

**MAT327: Introduction to Topology. Solutions to
the Big List Problems**

Anmol Bhullar

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, SCARBOROUGH

E-mail address: `anmol.bhullar@mail.utoronto.ca`

Thank you to my instructor Ivan Khatchatourian for providing these wonderful problems.

Contents

Preface

I attempt to answer and \LaTeX all of the solutions to the big list of problems posted by my instructor Ivan Khatchatourian for MAT327: Introduction to Topology. The problems are separated into difficulties which are labelled via asterisks. One asterisk being the lowest difficulty and 3 being the highest. Especially hard problems are marked via a cross. This is the format my instructor uses and I'm merely copying it for consistency's sake.

Topologies

* **Ex. 1** — Fix $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$. Show explicitly that the open interval (a, b) is open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. Show explicitly that the interval $[a, b)$ is not open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$.

Answer (Ex. 1) — First, we show that (a, b) is open in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$. To do this: we have to show that for any point $x \in (a, b)$, there exists a neighbourhood $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq (a, b)$.

Thus, choose a point $x \in (a, b)$. By density of \mathbb{R} , there exists $x_1 \in (a, x)$ and $x_2 \in (x, b)$. Let $\epsilon = \min(x_1, x_2)$. Then $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq (a, b)$.

Next, we show that $[a, b)$ is not open. To do this, we show that \exists no $\epsilon > 0$ such that $N(a, \epsilon) \subseteq [a, b)$. Note that any $x \in (a - \epsilon, a]$ would not be in (a, b) for any $\epsilon > 0$, so $N(a, \epsilon) \not\subseteq [a, b)$.

* **Ex. 2** — Let X be a set and $\mathcal{B} = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$. Show that the only topology on X that contains \mathcal{B} as a subset is the discrete topology.

Answer (Ex. 2) — To show that only X_{discrete} has the property $\mathcal{B} \subseteq X_{\text{discrete}}$, consider the set:

$$\mathcal{T} := \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$$

For \mathcal{T} to be a topology, it must be closed under finite intersections so we must put \emptyset in \mathcal{T} . Furthermore, \mathcal{T} must be closed under the union of an arbitrary collection elements of X . If this is to be true, then \mathcal{T} must contain every subset of X since any arbitrary subset can be written as the union of all of its elements. Thus an arbitrary subset $A \subseteq X$ must be in \mathcal{T} . This implies $\mathcal{T} = X_{\text{discrete}}$.

* **Ex. 4** — Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}})$ be an infinite set with the co-countable topology. Show that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$ is closed under countable intersections but not necessarily arbitrary ones.

Answer (Ex. 4) — Given a countable indexing set I , we have to show

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$$

Equivalently, we can show $X \setminus (\bigcap U_\alpha)$ is countable. By DeMorgan's Law: $X \setminus (\bigcap U_\alpha) = \bigcup (X \setminus U_\alpha)$. Since the right hand side is the countable union of countable sets, it is countable. Thus, $\bigcap U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{co-countable}}$. To show that an arbitrary intersection of elements is not open, it suffices to state that the intersection of arbitrary many elements does not necessarily form a countable set.

* **Ex. 5** — Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$ be a set with the property that for all $x \in A$, \exists an open set $U_x \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $x \in U_x \subseteq A$. Show that A is open.

Answer (Ex. 5) — Let I be a set which indexes the elements of A . Then:

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \{x_\alpha\} = A$$

Similarly, since $x_\alpha \in U_{x_\alpha}$ and $U_{x_\alpha} \subseteq A$, then:

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_{x_\alpha} = A$$

Since this is the union of arbitrary elements of \mathcal{T} , then $A \in \mathcal{T}$.

* **Ex. 6** — Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be injective. Is $\mathcal{T}_f := \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{T}\}$ a topology on Y ? Is it necessarily a topology on the range of f ?

Answer (Ex. 6) — Lost solution : (

* **Ex. 7** — Let X be a set and let \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be two topologies on X . Is $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ a topology on X ? What about $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$? Is yes, prove it. If not, provide a counterexample.

Answer (Ex. 7) — Let $\mathcal{T}_1 := \mathcal{T}_{\text{usual}}$, $\mathcal{T}_2 := \mathcal{T}_7$ on \mathbb{R} . Consider:

$$(6, 7) \cap [6.5, 7] \quad \text{both in } \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$$

but this intersection yields the interval: $[6.5, 7)$ which is not open in either \mathcal{T}_1 or \mathcal{T}_2 . So $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ is not necessarily a topology. Now, we show that $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ is a topology:

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_1, \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_2 &\implies \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2 \\ X \in \mathcal{T}_1, X \in \mathcal{T}_2 &\implies X \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, given, $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$. Then $U \cap V$ is in both \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 so it follows $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ and that since $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_1$, then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Similarly for \mathcal{T}_2 so then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. Thus, the finite intersection of open sets in $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ is in $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$. Now it is left to show that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ given that for all $\alpha \in I$, $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$.

If every $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$, then it follows $\cup V_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and similarly for \mathcal{T}_2 . Thus $\mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2$ is a topology.

* **Ex. 8** — Let X be an infinite set. Show that there are infinitely many topologies on X .

Answer (Ex. 8) — Define $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-}k} := \{U \subseteq X : |U^c| \leq k\}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{\text{co-}k}$ is a topology for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that if $|X| = \infty$, then there are infinite topologies on X .

* **Ex. 9** — Let $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a collection of topologies on a set X , where I is some indexing set. Prove that there is a unique finest topology that is refined by all the \mathcal{T}_α 's. That is, prove that there is a topology \mathcal{T} on X such that:

- (1) \mathcal{T}_α refines \mathcal{T} for every $\alpha \in I$
- (2) If \mathcal{T}' is another topology that fulfills (a), then \mathcal{T} is finer than \mathcal{T}'

Answer (Ex. 9) — Claim: $\mathcal{T} = \cap \mathcal{T}_\alpha$. By Ex 7, \mathcal{T} is a topology, and \mathcal{T}_α refines \mathcal{T} by construction of \mathcal{T} . Suppose \mathcal{T}' is another topology of X such that all \mathcal{T}_α refine \mathcal{T}' and that \mathcal{T}' refines \mathcal{T} . Then, there exists $x \in \mathcal{T}'$ such that $x \notin \mathcal{T}$. But then $x \notin \cap \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ so there exists \mathcal{T}_{α_0} such that $x \notin \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_0}$. Thus \mathcal{T} is not refined by \mathcal{T}' and then $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}$ which implies \mathcal{T} is unique.

* **Ex. 10** — This extends exercise 6. Show with examples that the assumption that f is injective is necessary. That is, give an example of a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and a non-injective function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that \mathcal{T}_f is a topology and another example where \mathcal{T}_f is not.

Answer (Ex. 10) — An example of a non-injective function which is not a topology is given by mapping all of the irrationals of \mathbb{R} to themselves but mapping all rationals to 0. Since there is no open set in \mathbb{R} which maps to 0, we arrive that \mathcal{T}_f is not a topology. An example of a non injective function which is a topology is given by:

*** **Ex. 11** — Working in $\mathbb{R}_{\text{usual}}$:

- (1) Show that every non empty open set contains a rational number
- (2) Show that there is no uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint open subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Answer (Ex. 11) — Let U be such a set. For any $x \in U$, $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $N(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$, but this is impossible since by density of \mathbb{Q} , there exists a $y \in (x, x + \epsilon)$. Then, no such U exists. Now, we prove (b). We know the set of all intervals (a, b) where $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ is countable. Suppose $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is such a set. If we show $\theta := \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$, $|\theta| \geq |\{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}|$ then we are done. Since we construct a set θ_2 where for every $X_\alpha \in \theta$, θ_2 contains two rational open subsets of X_α . Then $|\theta| \leq |\theta_2|$ implying that θ_2 is at most countable.

