

arxiv-topics: Explore & Discover Research Papers using Topics Learned from Data

Ashish Gupta

Stefan Jansen

Anmol Kapoor

Akash Mohapatra

The Challenge: Tracking relevant, fast-moving research

arxiv hosts 1.5m academic papers, and users add over 10K papers per month. Keeping track of trends is challenging. arxiv is limited to keyword search, and does not let users track and explore content based on themes that capture relationships beyond shared words, or recommend content from this perspective.

Approach: Learn topics to summarize and relate content

arxiv-topics uses unsupervised learning to uncover topics in documents, and lets the user explore the meaning of these topics to assess if they represent a domain.

How topics capture key content aspects

A topic is a list of words that are more likely to appear when a document is about this topic. There is evidence that the most relevant words of a topic often make sense to humans and capture key aspects of the content. An article, in turn, is a mix of topics that each contributes a percentage. If we learn the topics and related words, and the topic mix of each article, we can organize documents accordingly.






Figure source: Blei, D. M. (2012). Probabilistic topic models. *Communications of the ACM*, 55(4), 77-84.

How Latent Dirichlet Allocation learns topics

LDA is a Bayesian probability model that assumes topics are distributions over words, and documents are distributions over topics. It assumes a Dirichlet distribution that implies articles cover only a few topics, and topics use only a small set of words frequently. Put differently, LDA assumes an author writes an article by first mixing a random subset of topics, and then draws from the related words according to their probabilities. Hence, the content depends on the topic and word probabilities. LDA learns the distributions by reverse-engineering the content generation and describes the word-topic-document relationship as illustrated above.

How arxiv-topics facilitates topic exploration and text discovery

arxiv-topics trains topic models on arxiv papers, lets the user explore and select a configuration, and annotates papers accordingly so that a user can view articles most aligned with a topic, or find content with a similar topic mix as a given paper.



When the user selects a topic model, arxiv-topics (1) displays the key training parameters, (2) visualizes the relative size and positioning of topics, (3) shows the key terms for a selected topic, and (4) allows the user to explore different rankings by adjusting the weights of saliency and relevance [18].

The Data

We use 26,717 papers (53.8 GB) obtained from the arxiv API for CS categories related to machine learning. We converted the pdf to text, and kept 63,735 lemmatized tokens based on word lists, heuristics and manual inspection. Category, top authors and year of publication break down as follows:


Papers by category:		Top authors:		Publications frequency:	
Category	Papers	Author	Publications	Year	Publications
cs.CV	12345	Yoshua Bengio	113	2018	11908
cs.LG	6765	Luc Van Gool	75	2017	11434
cs.CL	4983	Sergey Levine	59	2016	9693
stat.ML	4318	Lawrence Carin	49	2015	5845
cs.AI	3188	Pieter Abbeel	48	2014	827

How to evaluate a topic model

Due to its unsupervised nature, human judgement is the best way to evaluate topic quality. We used pyLDavis (bottom left, also included in arxiv-topics) to display the relevance and relationship of topics (using t-SNE for dimensionality reduction), and the topic-word relationships. pyLDavis ranks word relevance based on a weighted average of the frequency of a word across the corpus and given the topic. Topic coherence measures how consistently the words that define a topic are used jointly. The U-Mass score has been found to align well with human perception, and rates topics based on how often key terms are used together across documents.

Experiments & Results


We test vectorization parameters to adjust the vocabulary, algorithms choice and parametrization, and the interface for visualization, search and discovery. We experimented with **Latent Semantic Indexing** but found the negative weights more difficult to interpret than the LDA probabilities, and focused on the latter. We achieved the best coherence with binary as opposed to absolute counts for the document-term matrix. We compared minimum document frequencies and total number of tokens using average UMass scores for models with 2-10, 15 and 20 topics but found only limited difference, so we use 10 and 25K tokens (left panel).



We evaluated LDA topic models using the U-Mass score for 2-100 topics (right panel), and found that the sample documents support up to 30 topics before the quality or the number of tokens with significant probability drop. Topics clearly differentiate key AI/ML areas like NLP or computer vision, and the differences become more granular as the number of topics increases. In the figure below, the upper right panel shows seven diverse yet well-defined topics from a 20-topic model. Sorting by one topic (lower right) shows clearly related papers. The similarity search using Euclidean distance of topic weights (right) surfaces the results that a keyword search might have missed.

#	Title	Similarity Score
1	Philosophy in the Face of Artificial Intelligence	0.0581572621465594
2	Exploring Implicit Human Responses to Robot Mistakes in a Learning from Demonstration Task	0.06174780709115867
3	The CARESS EU-Japan project, making assistive robots culturally competent	0.06607849827676873
4	Turing's Red Flag	0.06804555248790063
5	Blue Sky Ideas in Artificial Intelligence Education from the EAAI 2017 New and Future AI Educator Program	0.06977102977840949
6	How Intelligent is your Intelligent Robot?	0.07635952441846561
7	An affective computational model for machine consciousness	0.08075466926440229
8	Laying Down the Yellow Brick Road, Development of a Wizard-of-Oz Interface for Collecting Human-Robot Dialogue	0.08089089661162571
9	Living Together, Mind and Machine Intelligence	0.08480337853473292
10	Logics and practices of transparency and opacity in real-world applications of public sector machine learning	0.09303769319814671

Similarity search for 'The Dark Side of Ethical Robots', top paper for topic 9 in medium 20-topic model



Name	Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	Topic 5	Topic 6	Topic 7	Topic 8	Topic 9	Topic 10
f-GAN, Training Generative Neural Samplers using Variational Divergence Minimization	0	0.98137	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01820
AdaGAN, Boosting Generative Models	0	0.94330	0	0.00558	0	0	0.05090	0	0	0
GANs for Sequences of Discrete Elements with the Gumbel-softmax Distribution	0.14612	0.83654	0	0	0	0.00554	0	0	0	0.01119
Relaxed Wasserstein with Applications to GANs	0	0.82720	0.11750	0	0	0.00600	0.04873	0	0	0
Many Paths to Equilibrium, GANs Do Not Need to Decrease a Divergence At Every Step	0	0.82070	0	0	0	0.17903	0	0	0	0
TripleGAN, Training Generative Model with Triplet Loss	0	0.76938	0	0.20706	0	0.02317	0	0	0	0
Most Ligand-Based Classification Benchmarks Reward Memorization Rather than Generalization	0.01128	0.72727	0	0.09713	0	0.00609	0	0.15809	0	0
Personalized Donor-Recipient Matching for Organ Transplantation	0	0.53079	0	0.00532	0.01180	0.00200	0	0.44981	0	0
Semi-supervised Conditional GANs	0	0.43670	0	0.14801	0	0.40820	0	0	0	0.00681
A Multi-signal Variant for the GPU-based Parallelization of Growing Self-Organizing Networks	0	0.41536	0	0.00361	0	0.34407	0	0.19538	0.04051	0

Papers are annotated and sortable by topic scores (10-topic model, medium vocabulary, result of 'GAN' keyword search)