
2 SEARCHING ON A SORTED LIST

Problem: Given a list $L[1, \dots, n]$ containing

keys such that $L[i] \leq L[i + 1]$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Problem is to find out if a given x is in L .

I. Use sorted property on sequential search

Quit search as soon as x is less than $L[i]$ and declare that $x \notin L$.

Could be shown that

$$A(n) = O(n) \approx n/2$$

$W(n)$ is still $O(n)$.

2.1 Jump Search

2.1.1 Jump Search (Divide and Conquer:)

Scan every i th entry of L for a fixed i . Suppose you have established that

$$L[2i] < x < L[3i]$$

then sequentially search $L[2i + 1], L[2i + 2], \dots, L[3i - 1]$.

$$W(n) = \frac{n}{i} + (i - 1)$$

because there are $\frac{n}{i}$ partitions, and there are $(i - 1)$ items to search sequentially within a partition.

eg. $i = 4, w(n) = n/4 + 3$

$i = 10, w(n) = n/10 + 9$

$i = 100, w(n) = n/100 + 99$

but still $O(n)$ for fixed i .

How about i depending n ?

eg. $i = \log n$

$$\Rightarrow w(n) = \frac{n}{\log n} + \log n - 1 = O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$$

better than $O(n)$ of seq search.

($n \notin O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$)

eg. $i = \sqrt{n}$

$$W(n) = n/\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n} - 1$$

$$= 2\sqrt{n} - 1 = O(\sqrt{n})$$

better than $O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$.

eg. $i = \frac{n}{\log n}$

$$W(n) = \frac{n}{\frac{n}{\log n}} + \frac{n}{\log n} - 1$$

$$= \log n + \frac{n}{\log n} - 1 = O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$$

Let us minimize for i ,

$$W(n) = n/i + i - 1$$

$$\frac{d}{di}(W(n)) = -\frac{n}{i^2} + 1$$

set to 0 and solve, gives $i = \sqrt{n}$.

Hence $W(n) = O(\sqrt{n})$ is the best possible with the approach.

2.1.2 Recursively apply partitioning in a smaller list

Let us partition into k intervals of size n/k each

$$W(n) = n/i + i - 1 \tag{1}$$

$$W(n) = \frac{n}{n/k} + n/k - 1 \tag{2}$$

$$= k + \frac{n}{k} - 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= O(n/k) \tag{4}$$

$$\tag{5}$$

If we apply partitioning recursively in the sublist, we get

$$W(n) = k + W(n/k)$$

for k partitions and each partition of size n/k .

Since, in order to identify a partition, we need not compare x with $L[1]$,

$$W(n) = k - 1 + W(n/k)$$

Say $k = 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(n) &= 3 + W(n/4) \\
 &= 3 + \left(3 + W\left(\frac{n/4}{4}\right) \right) \\
 &= 3 + 3 + W\left(\frac{n}{4^2}\right) \\
 &= 3 + 3 + \left(3 + W\left(\frac{n}{4^3}\right) \right) \\
 &= 3 * 3 + W\left(\frac{n}{4^3}\right) \\
 &= 4 * 3 + W\left(\frac{n}{4^4}\right) \\
 &\dots \\
 &= i * 3 + W\left(\frac{n}{4^i}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

How large can i be?

Eventually, partition size will become equal to 1, when

$$n = 4^i \text{ or } \log_4 n = i$$

Then $W(1) = 1$

Thus,

$$W(n) = 3 \log_4 n + W(1)$$

$$W(n) = 3 \log_4 n + 1$$

$$= O(\log_4 n)$$

– much better than \sqrt{n} .

In general, for any fixed k ,

$$w(n) = (k - 1) \log_k n + 1$$

2.2 RECURSIVE JUMP SEARCH: With Best Value for k

Minimize $W(n)$ w.r.t k .

Find $\frac{dw}{dk}$ & solve by setting to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}w(n) &= (k-1)\log_k n + 1 \\&= (k-1)\frac{\log_e n}{\log_e k} + 1 \\ \frac{dw(n)}{dk} &= (k-1)\log_e n(-1)(\log_e k)^{-2}\frac{1}{k} + \frac{\log_e n}{\log_e k} \\&= -\frac{k-1}{k}\frac{\log_e n}{(\log_e k)^2} + \frac{\log_e n}{\log_e k}\end{aligned}$$

Set $\frac{dw}{dk} = 0$ and solve to get

$$\frac{k-1}{k} = \log_e k$$

$$\Rightarrow \log_e k = 1 - \frac{1}{k} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow k < e^1 = e = 2.7$$

$$\Rightarrow k = 2 \text{ (can not be 1)}$$

Further check that $\frac{d^2w}{dk^2}$ at $k = 2$ is ≥ 0 for a minimum value.

Thus, $k = 2$ is the best. So, dividing in 3 partition is not better than that in 2.

$$w(n) = k - 1 + w(n/k)$$

$$= 2 - 1 + w(n/2)$$

$$= \log_2 n + 1$$

$$w(n) = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$$

Binary Search: For binary search, we assumed that n is a power of 2.

If not, $w(n) = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$

$$A(n) = \log_2 n + 1/2$$

for binary search.

3 Optimality of Binary Search

Computation Model: Only operation allowed is comparison: Comparison Model

To Show: Binary Search is optimal in the class of search algorithms on an ordered list that can perform no other operation on the entries except comparison.

3.1 Descision Trees

- **Sequential Search**

$$n = 16$$

$$w(n) = n$$

- **Jump Search**

$$n = 16 \text{ sublist size} = \sqrt{16} = 4$$

$$w(16) = 7 = 2n - 1 = 2 \cdot 4 - 1 = 7$$

- **Binary Search**

$$\text{Middle} = \lfloor \frac{\text{first} + \text{last}}{2} \rfloor$$

$$w(16) = 5 = 4 + 1 = \lfloor \log_2 16 \rfloor + 1$$

Proof: (Binary search is optimal)

- Numbers of nodes in any decision tree is $\geq n$
- Minimum numbers of levels in any binary tree with n nodes is $\geq \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$

(H.W.)

- $\Rightarrow 1 + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ is a lower bound on problem complexity
- \Rightarrow Binary Search is optimal