

Computational Astrophysics

E. Larrañaga

Observatorio Astronómico Nacional
Universidad Nacional de Colombia

May 25, 2019

Outline

1 Ordinary Differential Equations

- Reduction to First-Order ODE
- Errors and ODEs

2 Euler's Method

- Stability of Forward Euler

3 Predictor-Corrector Method

4 Runge-Kutta Methods

- RK2
- RK3
 - RK4
- Runge-Kutta Methods with Adaptive Step Size
 - Embedded Runge-Kutta Formulae
 - Adjusting the Step Size h

Ordinary Differential Equations

A system of first-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs) is a relationship between an unknown (vectorial) function $y(x)$ and its derivative $y'(x)$. The general system of first-order ODEs has the form

$$y'(x) = f(x, y(x)). \quad (1)$$

A solution to the differential equation is, obviously, any function $y(x)$ that satisfies it.

Ordinary Differential Equations

There are two general classes of first-order ODE problems:

- 1 Initial value problems: $y(x_i)$ is given at some starting point x_i .
- 2 Two-point boundary value problems: y is known at two ends (“boundaries”) of the domain and these “boundary conditions” must be satisfied simultaneously.

Reduction to First-Order ODE

Any ODE can be reduced to first-order form by introducing additional variables.

Example

$$y''(x) + q(x)y'(x) = r(x) . \quad (2)$$

Introducing a new function $z(x)$ this can be written as

$$(1) \quad y'(x) = z(x) ,$$

$$(2) \quad z'(x) = r(x) - q(x)z(x) .$$

Errors and ODEs

All procedures to solve numerically an ODE consist of transforming a continuous differential equation into a discrete iteration procedure that starts from the initial conditions and returns the values of the dependent variable $y(x)$ at points $x_m = x_0 + m * h$, where h is the discretization step size assumed to be constant here).

Errors and ODEs

Two kinds of errors can arise in this procedure:

- 1 **Round-off error.** Due to limited float point accuracy. The global round-off is the sum of the local float point errors.
- 2 **Truncation error.**

Local: The error made in one step when we replace a continuous process (e.g. a derivative) with a discrete one (e.g., a forward difference).

Global: If the local truncation error is $\mathcal{O}(h^{n+1})$, then the global truncation error must be $\mathcal{O}(h^n)$, since the number of steps used in evaluating the derivatives to reach an arbitrary point x_f , having started at x_0 , is $\frac{x_f - x_0}{h}$.

Euler's Method

We want to solve $y' = f(x, y)$ with $y(x_0) = y_0$. We introduce a fixed stepsize h and we first obtain an estimate of $y(x)$ at $x_1 = x_0 + h$ using Taylor's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} y(x_1) &= y(x_0 + h) = y(x_0) + y'(x_0)h + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \\ &= y(x_0) + hf(x_0, y(x_0)) + \mathcal{O}(h^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

By analogy, we obtain that the value y_{n+1} of the function at the point $x_{n+1} = x_0 + (n+1)h$ is given by

$$y_{n+1} = y(x_{n+1}) = y_n + hf(x_n, y(x_n)) + \mathcal{O}(h^2). \tag{4}$$

This is called the *forward Euler Method*.

Euler's Method

Euler's method is extremely simple, but rather inaccurate and potentially unstable.

The error scales $\propto h^2$ locally. However, if L is the length of the domain, then $h = L/N$, where N is the number of points used to cover it. Since we are taking N integration steps, the global error is $\propto Nh^2 = NL^2/N^2 = LL/N \propto h$.

Hence, forward Euler is a first-order accurate method.

Stability of Euler's Method

Forward Euler is an *explicit* method. This means that y_{n+1} is given explicitly in terms of known quantities y_n and $f(x_n, y_n)$.

Explicit methods are simple and efficient, but the drawback is that the step size must be small for stability.

Stability of Euler's Method

Example

$$y' = -ay, \quad \text{with } y(0) = 1, \ a > 0, \ y' = \frac{dy}{dt}. \quad (5)$$

The exact solution to this problem is $y = e^{-at}$, which is stable and smooth with $y(0) = 1$ and $y(\infty) = 0$.

Applying forward Euler,

$$y_{n+1} = y_n - a h y_n = (1 - ah)y_n \quad (6)$$

$$y_{n+1} = (1 - ah)^2 y_{n-1} = \cdots = (1 - ah)^{n+1} y_0. \quad (7)$$

Stability of Euler's Method

This implies that in order to prevent any potential amplification of errors, we must require that $|1 - ah| < 1$.

In fact, there are 3 cases,

- (i) $0 < 1 - ah < 1$: $(1 - ah)^{n+1}$ decays (good!).
- (ii) $-1 < 1 - ah < 0$: $(1 - ah)^{n+1}$ oscillates (not so good!).
- (iii) $1 - ah < -1$: $(1 - ah)^{n+1}$ oscillates and diverges (bad!).

This gives the stability criterion of $0 < h < \frac{2}{a}$.

Predictor-Corrector Method

Consider the modification

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \frac{f(x_n, y_n) + f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})}{2} . \quad (8)$$

This may be a better estimate as it is using the “average slope” of y . However, we don't know y_{n+1} yet.

Predictor-Corrector Method

We can get around this problem by using forward Euler to estimate y_{n+1} and then use Eq. (8) for a better estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1}^{(P)} &= y_n + hf(x_n, y_n) , && \text{(predictor)} \\ y_{n+1} &= y_n + \frac{h}{2} \left[f(x_n, y_n) + f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{(P)}) \right] . && \text{(corrector)} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

One can show that the error of the predictor-corrector method decreases locally with h^3 , but globally with h^2 . One says it is *second-order accurate* as opposed to the Euler method, which is first-order accurate.

Runge-Kutta Methods

The idea behind Runge-Kutta (RK) methods is to match the Taylor expansion of $y(x)$ at $x = x_n$ up to the highest possible order.

Second Order RK Method

For

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y) , \quad (10)$$

we have

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + ak_1 + bk_2 , \quad (11)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= h f(x_n, y_n) , \\ k_2 &= h f(x_n + \alpha h, y_n + \beta k_1) . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Second Order RK Method

The four parameters a, b, α, β will be fixed so that Eq. (11) agrees as well as possible with the Taylor series expansion of $y' = f(x, y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= y_n + hy'_n + \frac{h^2}{2}y''_n + \mathcal{O}(h^3) , \\ &= y_n + hf(x_n, y_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{d}{dx} f(x_n, y_n) + \mathcal{O}(h^3) , \\ &= y_n + hf_n + h^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial y} f_n \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^3) , \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $f_n = f(x_n, y_n)$.

Second Order RK Method

Using Eq. (11),

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + ahf_n + bhf(x_n + \alpha h, y_n + \beta hf_n) . \quad (14)$$

Now we expand the last term of Eq. (14) in a Taylor series to first order in terms of (x_n, y_n) ,

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + ahf_n + bh \left[f_n + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_n, y_n)\alpha h + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_n, y_n)\beta hf_n \right] , \quad (15)$$

and can now compare this with Eq. (11) to read off:

$$a + b = 1 , \quad \alpha b = \frac{1}{2} \quad \beta b = \frac{1}{2} . \quad (16)$$

Second Order RK Method

So there are only 3 equations for 4 unknowns and we can assign an arbitrary value to one of the unknowns. Typical choices are:

$$\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a = 0, \quad b = 1. \quad (17)$$

With this, we have for RK2:

$$k_1 = hf(x_n, y_n), \quad (18)$$

$$k_2 = hf\left(x_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y_n + \frac{1}{2}k_1\right), \quad (19)$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + k_2 + \mathcal{O}(h^3). \quad (20)$$

This method is locally $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$, but globally only $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

Note that using $a = b = 1/2$ and $\alpha = \beta = 1$ we recover the predictor-corrector method!

$$\begin{aligned}k_1 &= hf(x_n, y_n) \\k_2 &= hf\left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{1}{2}k_1\right), \\k_3 &= hf(x_n + h, y_n - k_1 + 2k_2), \\y_{n+1} &= y_n + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 4k_2 + k_3) + \mathcal{O}(h^4) .\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

$$k_1 = hf(x_n, y_n) , \quad (22)$$

$$k_2 = hf\left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{1}{2}k_1\right) ,$$

$$k_3 = hf\left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{1}{2}k_2\right) ,$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_n + h, y_n + k_3) ,$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) + \mathcal{O}(h^5) . \quad (23)$$

RK Methods with Adaptive Step Size

The RK methods above require choosing a fixed step size h but, how should one choose this parameter?

It would be better to choose an *error tolerance* and let h be chosen automatically to satisfy this error tolerance.

This implies that we need

- 1 A method for estimating the error.
- 2 A way to adjust the stepsize h , if the error is too large (or too small).

Embedded Runge-Kutta Formulae

Embedded RK formulae provide an error estimator. Now we will present the scheme for 3rd/4th order embedded RK (Bogaki and Shampine)

$$\begin{aligned}k_1 &= hf(x_n, y_n) , \\k_2 &= hf\left(x_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y_n + \frac{1}{2}k_1\right) , \\k_3 &= hf\left(x_n + \frac{3}{4}h, y_n + \frac{3}{4}k_2\right) , \\y_{n+1} &= y_n + \frac{2}{9}k_1 + \frac{1}{3}k_2 + \frac{4}{9}k_3 + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \\k_4 &= hf(x_n + h, y_{n+1}) \\y_{n+1}^* &= y_n + \frac{7}{24}k_1 + \frac{1}{4}k_2 + \frac{1}{3}k_3 + \frac{1}{8}k_4 + \mathcal{O}(h^3) .\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

The error is

$$\delta y_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - y_{n+1}^* .\tag{25}$$

Embedded Runge-Kutta Formulae

In this scheme, k_4 of step n is the same as k_1 of step $n + 1$.
Therefore, k_1 does not need to be recomputed on step $n + 1$;
simply save k_4 and re-use it on the next step.
This trick is called FSAL (First Same As Last).

Adjusting the Step Size h

Given the error estimate $\delta y_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - y_{n+1}^*$ we want that it says smaller than some tolerance, $|\delta y_{n+1}| \leq \epsilon$ by adjusting h .

Usually, one sets

$$\epsilon = \underbrace{\epsilon_a}_{\text{absolute error tolerance}} + |y_{n+1}| \underbrace{\epsilon_r}_{\text{relative error tolerance}}. \quad (26)$$

Adjusting the Step Size h

We define

$$\Delta = \frac{|\delta y_{n+1}|}{\epsilon}, \quad (27)$$

and we want $\Delta \approx 1$.

Note that for a p -th-order formula, $\Delta \sim \mathcal{O}(h^p)$. So if you took a step h and got a value Δ , then you change the step to h_{desired} ,

$$h_{\text{desired}} = h \left| \frac{\Delta_{\text{desired}}}{\Delta} \right|^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (28)$$

to get the new $\Delta_{\text{desired}} = 1$.

Adjusting the Step Size h

The algorithm to adjust h can be written as follows:

- 1 Take step h , measure Δ .
- 2 If $\Delta > 1$ (error too large), then
 - set $h_{\text{new}} = h \left| \frac{1}{\Delta} \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} S$, where S is a fudge factor (~ 0.9 or so).
 - *reject* the old step, redo with h_{new} .
- 3 If $\Delta < 1$ (error too small), then
 - set $h_{\text{new}} = h \left| \frac{1}{\Delta} \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} S$.
 - accept old step, take next step with h_{new} .

Next Class

Ordinary Differential Equations. Boundary Value Problems