Report: Survey Results

Dear Instructor FARMAN,

This email contains results for BASIC COLLEGE MATHEMATIC:

The global indicators are listed first, followed by the individual average values, consisting of the following scales:
- INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)
- INSTRUCTOR OVERALL
- STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)
- COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)
- OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)
- MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

BLAKE FARMAN
BASIC COLLEGE MATHEMATIC (MATH111-001-SUMMER I-2013)
No. of responses = 8


Overall indicators

Global Index

av.=3.79
dev.=0.7

2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)

av.=4.43
dev.=0.69

3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL

av.=3.86
dev.=0.69

4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)

av.=3.31
dev.=0.81

5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)

av.=3.94
dev.=0.77

6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)

av.=3.43
dev.=0.53

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean
25%   0%   50%   0%   25%
Left pole   Right pole
1   2   3   4   5
Scale   Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

---

1. DEMOGRAPHICS

1.1) Classification:

Freshman	14.3%
Sophmore	42.9%
Junior	28.6%
Senior	14.3%

n=7

1.2) Cumulative GPR?

3.50 - 4.00	16.7%
3.00 - 3.49	33.3%
2.50 - 2.99	33.3%
2.00 - 2.49	16.7%

n=6

1.3) Is this course required?

Yes	71.4%	n=7
Yes, but I had a choice	14.3%	
No	14.3%	

1.4) Anticipated grade in this course?

|		
B	57.1%	n=7
C	42.9%	

2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)

2.1) The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.

0% 0% 42.9% 0% 57.1%
n=7
av.=4.14
dev.=1.07

2.2) The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.

0% 0% 0% 28.6% 71.4%
n=7
av.=4.71
dev.=0.49

2.3) The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.

0% 0% 14.3% 0% 85.7%
n=7
av.=4.71
dev.=0.76

2.4) The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.

0% 0% 0% 0% 100%
n=7
av.=5
dev.=0

**2.5)** The instructor met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.

0% 0% 0% 14.3% 85.7%
n=7
av.=4.86
dev.=0.38

2.6) The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.

0% 0% 14.3% 14.3% 71.4%
n=7
av.=4.57
dev.=0.79

2.7) Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, e-mail, fax and other means)

0% 0% 14.3% 14.3% 71.4%
n=7
av.=4.57
dev.=0.79

2.8) If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your learning experience in the course.

14.3% 0% 28.6% 42.9% 14.3%
n=7
av.=3.43
dev.=1.27

2.9) displays a clear understanding of the subject matter and course topics.

0% 0% 0% 28.6% 71.4%
n=7
av.=4.71
dev.=0.49

| 2.10) | motivates me to learn the subject. | 14.3% 14.3% 42.9% 14.3% 14.3% | n=7 av.=3 dev.=1.29 |

2.10) motivates me to learn the subject.

14.3% 14.3% 42.9% 14.3% 14.3%

n=7
av.=3
dev.=1.29

2.11) gives clear and helpful responses to student questions.

0% 0% 0% 71.4% 28.6%

n=7
av.=4.29
dev.=0.49

2.12) is concerned that students learn the material.

0% 0% 0% 57.1% 42.9%

n=7
av.=4.43
dev.=0.53

2.13) treats students with respect.

0% 0% 0% 14.3% 85.7%

n=7
av.=4.86
dev.=0.38

2.14) grades fairly.

0% 14.3% 0% 14.3% 71.4%

n=7
av.=4.43
dev.=1.13

2.15) well-utilized class time, either by lecture, group work, student presentations or other activities.

0% 0% 0% 28.6% 71.4%

n=7
av.=4.71
dev.=0.49

3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL

3.1) OVERALL, considering all aspects, I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:

0% 0% 28.6% 57.1% 14.3%

n=7
av.=3.86
dev.=0.69

4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)

4.1) Gaining factual knowledge of this subject (terminology, methods, skills).

0% 0% 28.6% 71.4% 0%

n=7
av.=3.71
dev.=0.49

4.2) Learning fundamental principles, theories or generalizations.

0% 14.3% 14.3% 71.4% 0%

n=7
av.=3.57
dev.=0.79

4.3) Improving my oral and written communication skills.

14.3% 42.9% 14.3% 28.6% 0%

n=7
av.=2.57
dev.=1.13

4.4) Learning to apply course materials to improve decision making, rational thinking and problem solving.

0% 33.3% 33.3% 33.3% 0%

n=6
av.=3
dev.=0.89

4.5) OVERALL, I rate the progress I have made in this course as compared with others I have taken as:

	0%	0%	50%	37.5%	12.5%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=8
av.=3.63
dev.=0.74

5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)

5.1) Exams assess and reflect course material accurately.

	0%	0%	12.5%	50%	37.5%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=8
av.=4.25
dev.=0.71

5.2) Specified objectives for this course were achieved.

	0%	0%	12.5%	62.5%	25%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=8
av.=4.13
dev.=0.64

5.3) Text was clear, readable and useful.

	16.7%	0%	16.7%	66.7%	0%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=6
av.=3.33
dev.=1.21

5.4) The classroom atmosphere was conducive to free expression of ideas and questioning.

|	0%	0%	0%	66.7%	33.3%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=6
av.=4.33
dev.=0.52

5.5) The departmental prerequisite courses were adequate preparation for this course.

	0%	0%	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=7
av.=3.57
dev.=0.79

6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)

6.1) OVERALL, considering all of the above aspects, I rate this course as:

	0%	0%	57.1%	42.9%	0%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=7
av.=3.43
dev.=0.53

7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

7.1) Related to my other courses, the material covered was:

	0%	37.5%	62.5%	0%	0%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=8
av.=2.63
dev.=0.52

7.2) Related to my other courses, the workload was:

	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	4

n=7
av.=3
dev.=0

8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS

8.1) Miscellaneous #1 — The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

8.2) Miscellaneous #2 — The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

8.3) Miscellaneous #3 — The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

8.4) Miscellaneous #4   The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

8.5) Miscellaneous #5   The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Profile

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)

|			
2.1)	The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.		n=7 av.=4.14 md=5.00 dev.=1.07
2.2)	The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5.00 dev.=0.49
2.3)	The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5.00 dev.=0.76
2.4)	The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.		n=7 av.=5.00 md=5.00 dev.=0.00
2.5)	The instructor met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.		n=7 av.=4.86 md=5.00 dev.=0.38
2.6)	The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.		n=7 av.=4.57 md=5.00 dev.=0.79
2.7)	Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider		n=7 av.=4.57 md=5.00 dev.=0.79
2.8)	If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your		n=7 av.=3.43 md=4.00 dev.=1.27
2.9)	displays a clear understanding of the subject matter and course topics.		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5.00 dev.=0.49
2.10)	motivates me to learn the subject.		n=7 av.=3.00 md=3.00 dev.=1.29
2.11)	gives clear and helpful responses to student questions.		n=7 av.=4.29 md=4.00 dev.=0.49
2.12)	is concerned that students learn the material.		n=7 av.=4.43 md=4.00 dev.=0.53
2.13)	treats students with respect.		n=7 av.=4.86 md=5.00 dev.=0.38
2.14)	grades fairly.		n=7 av.=4.43 md=5.00 dev.=1.13
2.15)	well-utilized class time, either by lecture, group work, student presentations or other activities.		n=7 av.=4.71 md=5.00 dev.=0.49

3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL

3.1)	OVERALL, considering all aspects, I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:		n=7 av.=3.86 md=4.00 dev.=0.69

4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)

4.1)	Gaining factual knowledge of this subject (terminology, methods, skills).		n=7 av.=3.71 md=4.00 dev.=0.49
4.2)	Learning fundamental principles, theories or generalizations.		n=7 av.=3.57 md=4.00 dev.=0.79

| 4.3) | Improving my oral and written communication skills. | 0 | | 4 | n=7 | av.=2.57 md=2.00 dev.=1.13 |
| 4.4) | Learning to apply course materials to improve decision making, rational thinking and problem solving. | 0 | | 4 | n=6 | av.=3.00 md=3.00 dev.=0.89 |
| 4.5) | OVERALL, I rate the progress I have made in this course as compared with others I have taken as: | 0 | | 4 | n=8 | av.=3.63 md=3.50 dev.=0.74 |

5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)

| 5.1) | Exams assess and reflect course material accurately. | 0 | | 4 | n=8 | av.=4.25 md=4.00 dev.=0.71 |
5.2)	Specified objectives for this course were achieved.	0		4	n=8	av.=4.13 md=4.00 dev.=0.64
5.3)	Text was clear, readable and useful.	0		4	n=6	av.=3.33 md=4.00 dev.=1.21
5.4)	The classroom atmosphere was conducive to free expression of ideas and questioning.	0		4	n=6	av.=4.33 md=4.00 dev.=0.52
5.5)	The departmental prerequisite courses were adequate preparation for this course.	0		4	n=7	av.=3.57 md=3.00 dev.=0.79

6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)

| 6.1) | OVERALL, considering all of the above aspects, I rate this course as: | 0 | | 4 | n=7 | av.=3.43 md=3.00 dev.=0.53 |

7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

| 7.1) | Related to my other courses, the material covered was: | 0 | | 4 | n=8 | av.=2.63 md=3.00 dev.=0.52 |
| 7.2) | Related to my other courses, the workload was: | 0 | | 4 | n=7 | av.=3.00 md=3.00 dev.=0.00 |

8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS

8.1)	Miscellaneous #1 (*)	0		4
8.2)	Miscellaneous #2 (*)	0		4
8.3)	Miscellaneous #3 (*)	0		4
8.4)	Miscellaneous #4 (*)	0		4
8.5)	Miscellaneous #5 (*)	0		4

(*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

Profile

Subunit: Math TA
Name of the instructor: BLAKE FARMAN
Name of the course: BASIC COLLEGE MATHEMATIC
(Name of the survey)

2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)		av.=4.43 dev.=0.69
3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL		av.=3.86 dev.=0.69
4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)		av.=3.31 dev.=0.81
5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)		av.=3.94 dev.=0.77
6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)		av.=3.43 dev.=0.53

7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

7.3) Please comment on what you feel are the positive and negative aspects of your instructor and his/her teaching outlook:

It's a difficult course to take in summer with the shorter schedule. I think he did well with the time allotted!

Effective teacher

Very ... to do

was very knowledgable but ...

Utilize study guide or some test
prompt

Easy to learn from a the lectures

7.4) Comment on what you feel are the positive and negative aspects of this course:

Nothing against the instructor but I wish I had taken
this course during the regular semester. There's a lot
of material to cover

Class should not be offered as a Summer course!
There is far too much material to be truly learned in
Such a short amount of time.

First time taking this course and I ... ... the past year ...

positive: instructor ready to help, passionate

negative: too advanced style for math 111

Grading was a little tough b/c we can ... ... overall good teach...