

## Report: Survey Results

Dear Instructor Farman,


This email contains results for Finite Mathematics:

The global indicators are listed first, followed by the individual average values, consisting of the following scales:

- INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)
- INSTRUCTOR OVERALL
- STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)
- COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)
   OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)
   MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.


### Blake Farman







#### Overall indicators Global Index av.=4.44 dev.=0.68 2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I) av.=4.51 dev.=0.64 3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL av.=4.27 dev.=0.8 4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II) av.=4.44 dev.=0.71 5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III) av.=4.5 dev.=0.62 6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV) av.=4.5 dev.=0.65

#### Survey Results









4.5) OVERALL, I rate the progress I have made in this course as compared with others I have taken as:



n=14 av.=4.57 dev.=0.65


#### 5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)





n=14 av.=4.5 dev.=0.65

<sup>.2)</sup> Specified objectives for this course were achieved.



n=14 av.=4.43 dev.=0.65

Text was clear, readable and useful.




n=14 av.=4.43 dev.=0.65

5.4) The classroom atmosphere was conducive to free expression of ideas and questioning.



n=14 av.=4.5 dev.=0.65


5.5) The departmental prerequisite courses were adequate preparation for this course.



n=14 av.=4.64 dev.=0.5

#### 6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)


6.1) OVERALL, considering all of the above aspects, I rate this course as:



n=14 av.=4.5 dev.=0.65


#### 7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

7.1) Related to my other courses, the material covered was:



av.=3.86 dev.=1.17

(2) Related to my other courses, the workload was:



n=14 av.=3.79 dev.=1.19

#### 8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS

8.1) Miscellaneous #1 \_\_\_\_\_ The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

82) Miscellaneous #2 The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

8.3) Miscellaneous #3 \_\_\_\_\_ The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

8.4) Miscellaneous #4 \_\_\_\_\_ The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

8.5) Miscellaneous #5

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

## **Profile**

Subunit: Math TA
Name of the instructor: Blake Farman


Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Finite Mathematics (MATH170-002-SPRING-2016)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

#### 2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)

- 2.1) The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.
- 2.2) The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.
- 2.3) The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.
- 2.4) The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.
- <sup>2.5)</sup> The instructor met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.
- 2.6) The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.
- 2.7) Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider
- 2.8) If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your
- <sup>2.9)</sup> displays a clear understanding of the subject matter and course topics.
- <sup>2.10)</sup> motivates me to learn the subject.
- 2.11) gives clear and helpful responses to student questions.
- <sup>2.12)</sup> is concerned that students learn the material.
- $^{2.13)}\,$  treats students with respect.
- <sup>2.14)</sup> grades fairly.
- 2.15) well-utilized class time, either by lecture, group work, student presentations or other activities.



n=16	av.=4.63 md=5.00 dev.=0.62
n=16	av.=4.63 md=5.00 dev.=0.50
n=16	av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.63
n=16	av.=4.63 md=5.00 dev.=0.50
n=15	av.=4.80 md=5.00 dev.=0.4
n=16	av.=4.63 md=5.00 dev.=0.50
n=16	av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.63
n=16	av.=4.13 md=4.00 dev.=0.8
n=16	av.=4.75 md=5.00 dev.=0.58
n=16	av.=4.13 md=4.00 dev.=0.8
n=16	av.=4.31 md=4.50 dev.=0.79
n=16	av.=4.31 md=5.00 dev.=0.87
n=16	av.=4.63 md=5.00 dev.=0.62
n=16	av.=4.63 md=5.00 dev.=0.50

#### 3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL

3.1) OVERALL, considering all aspects, I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:



n=15 av.=4.27 md=4.00 dev.=0.80

av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.82


n=16

#### 4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)


- Gaining factual knowledge of this subject (terminology, methods, skills).
- 4.2) Learning fundamental principles, theories or generalizations.



- n=14 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.65
- n=14 av.=4.50 md=4.50 dev.=0.52



- 4.4) Learning to apply course materials to improve decision making, rational thinking and problem solving
- 4.5) OVERALL, I rate the progress I have made in this course as compared with others I have taken as:




n=14 av.=4.29 md=4.50 dev.=0.91

n=14 av.=4.36 md=4.50 dev.=0.84

n=14 av.=4.57 md=5.00 dev.=0.65

#### 5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)

- 5.1) Exams assess and reflect course material accurately.
- 5.2) Specified objectives for this course were achieved.
- 5.3) Text was clear, readable and useful.
- 5.4) The classroom atmosphere was conducive to free expression of ideas and questioning.
- 5.5) The departmental prerequisite courses were adequate preparation for this course.



n=14 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.65

n=14 av.=4.43 md=4.50 dev.=0.65

n=14 av.=4.43 md=4.50 dev.=0.65

n=14 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.65

n=14 av.=4.64 md=5.00 dev.=0.50

#### 6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)

6.1) OVERALL, considering all of the above aspects, I rate this course as:



n=14 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.65

#### 7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

- 7.1) Related to my other courses, the material covered was:
- 7.2) Related to my other courses, the workload




n=14 av.=3.86 md=4.00 dev.=1.17

n=14 av.=3.79 md=3.50 dev.=1.19

#### 8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS



- 8.2) Miscellaneous #2 (\*)
- 8.3) Miscellaneous #3 (\*)
- 8.4) Miscellaneous #4 (\*)
- 8.5) Miscellaneous #5 (\*)




(\*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.

# Profile

Subunit: Math TA
Name of the instructor: Blake Farman

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

Finite Mathematics (MATH170-002-SPRING-2016)



#### Comments Report

#### 7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

7.3) Please comment on what you feel are the positive and negative aspects of your instructor and his/her teaching outlook:

He was good.

All good things to say, no complaints. I enjoyed this course

This class was fine solvie: It was the best part of my day. Nothing is as exciting as coming to this class to learn about math

He knew the material a expressibilities

Helpful and snowledgable

good at oxplaining	when	someone	12	lost
--------------------	------	---------	----	------

<sup>7.4)</sup> Comment on what you feel are the positive and negative aspects of this course:

Good Clast

Manks for an awesome semester

Pack ON! "

# Fairmy tesis on thematerial

t had a good knowledge of material
theletal
tondestanding

Grant teacher and elses

gives usall the notes, homework is online and helpful

05/18/2016