

# Catalysis of the Oxygen-Evolution Reaction in 1.0 M Sulfuric Acid by Manganese Antimonate Films Synthesized via Chemical Vapor Deposition

Jacqueline A. Dowling,<sup>†</sup> Zachary P. Ifkovits,<sup>†</sup> Azhar I. Carim, Jake M. Evans, Madeleine C. Swint, Alexandre Z. Ye, Matthias H. Richter, Anna X. Li, and Nathan S. Lewis\*



Cite This: *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* 2024, 7, 4288–4293



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Manganese antimonate ( $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$ ) electrocatalysts for the oxygen-evolution reaction (OER) were synthesized via chemical vapor deposition. Mn-rich rutile  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  catalysts on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) supports drove the OER for 168 h (7 days) at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  with a time-averaged overpotential of  $687 \pm 9 \text{ mV}$  and with  $>97\%$  Faradaic efficiency. Time-dependent anolyte composition analysis revealed the steady dissolution of Mn and Sb. Extended durability analysis confirmed that Mn-rich  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  materials are more active but dissolve at a faster rate than previously reported Sb-rich  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  alloys.



**KEYWORDS:** electrolysis, oxygen-evolution reaction in acid, manganese antimony oxide, earth-abundant materials, heterogeneous catalysis, chemical vapor deposition

The electrochemical oxygen-evolution reaction (OER) is an anodic process that oxidizes water, an abundant feedstock, and can supply electrons necessary to drive many fuel-forming cathodic processes including the production of  $\text{H}_2$  from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$  from  $\text{N}_2$ , and hydrocarbons from  $\text{CO}_2$ .<sup>1–3</sup> Water electrolysis for  $\text{H}_2$  generation specifically is of interest in the storage of energy from intermittent renewable sources.<sup>4,5</sup> Carbon-free electricity can drive water electrolysis to generate green  $\text{H}_2$  for use on demand.<sup>6,7</sup> Commercial proton-exchange membrane (PEM) electrolyzers use Ir-based catalysts to effect the oxygen-evolution reaction (OER) in acidic media.<sup>8,9</sup>  $\text{IrO}_x$  exhibits high OER activity and durability, but the low crustal abundance of Ir is a barrier to scale.<sup>10–14</sup> An earth-abundant, but less-active, electrocatalyst may be an acceptable replacement for  $\text{IrO}_x$  in scenarios with infrequent electrolyzer use and low-cost electricity.<sup>10</sup> Electrolyzers paired with seasonal or multiyear  $\text{H}_2$  storage in reliable wind and solar systems may operate at reduced capacity factors ( $\sim 50\%$ ) and capitalize on abundant, otherwise-curtailed, zero-cost electricity to drive electrolysis.<sup>5,10,15</sup>

A variety of earth-abundant materials have displayed relatively stable oxygen-evolution catalysis in acidic aqueous electrolytes, including Mn-oxyhalides, arc-melted  $\text{Ni}_2\text{Ta}$  electrodes, Co-doped  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  thin films, and  $\text{N}_2$ -doped W-carbide nanoarrays.<sup>16–19</sup> Earth-abundant Mn-rich rutile  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  powders are effective catalysts for chemical oxygen-evolution in acidic media, and Sb-rich rutile

$\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  sputtered films have shown promising long-term durability.<sup>20,21</sup> Rutile  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  ( $0.3 < y < 0.7$ ) materials are more active and stable than nonrutile  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  materials.<sup>20–25</sup> In this work,  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  was synthesized via chemical vapor deposition (CVD). CVD is a scalable synthetic method and may be an effective approach to controllably coat catalyst layers onto high surface-area supports, including those suitable for use in a PEM electrolyzer.<sup>26</sup> The CVD deposition method complements previous synthetic routes for generation of  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  including sputtering, bulk powder mixing, and electrodeposition.<sup>20–23</sup>

$\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  thin films were deposited by CVD on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrates using 30 supercycles that each consisted of 10  $\text{SbO}_x$  subcycles and 5  $\text{MnO}_x$  subcycles (Scheme 1).<sup>27</sup> Each chemical vapor deposition subcycle consisted of a precursor pulse with either tris-(dimethylamido)antimony(III) (TDMA-Sb) or bis-(ethylcyclopentadienyl)-manganese ( $\text{Mn}(\text{EtCp})_2$ ), in addition to an ozone coreactant pulse. The growth rates of  $\text{MnO}_x$  and  $\text{SbO}_x$  were independently measured via ellipsometry (Figure

**Received:** January 17, 2024



**Revised:** March 21, 2024

**Accepted:** March 21, 2024

**Published:** March 25, 2024



**Scheme 1. (A) Synthesis of Crystalline  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  via Chemical Vapor Deposition and Annealing. (B) Ternary Chemical Vapor Deposition with TDMA-Sb and  $\text{Mn}(\text{EtCp})_2$  Precursors, in Addition to Ozone As a Coreactant**




**Figure 1. (A)** Growth rates of  $\text{MnO}_x$  and  $\text{SbO}_x$  via CVD and ALD, respectively, derived from the analysis of ellipsometry data. **(B)** Grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) data acquired from  $\text{Mn}_{0.64}\text{Sb}_{0.36}\text{O}_x$  and XRD data acquired from the TEC8 FTO substrate after annealing in air for 6 h at 600 °C.

1A). The  $\text{MnO}_x$  thickness increased linearly with pulse duration, indicating controlled chemical vapor deposition, whereas the thickness of the  $\text{SbO}_x$  was constant regardless of the pulse duration, indicating self-limiting atomic-layer deposition.<sup>28</sup> The Mn–Sb binary oxide was formed using a 0.33 s pulse of  $\text{Mn}(\text{EtCp})_2$ , which corresponded to 0.43 nm of  $\text{MnO}_x$  per cycle, and a 1 s pulse of TDMA-Sb, which corresponded to 0.12 nm of  $\text{SbO}_x$  per cycle. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) indicated that the composition of the as-deposited, unannealed catalyst was  $\text{Mn}/(\text{Mn}+\text{Sb}) = 0.63 \pm 0.01$ . After annealing in air for 6 h at the maximum tolerable temperature (600 °C) of the TEC8 FTO substrate, grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) analysis of  $\text{Mn}_{0.64}\text{Sb}_{0.36}\text{O}_x$  showed reflections at  $2\theta \approx 27^\circ, 35^\circ, 53^\circ$ , and  $56^\circ$ , consistent with a rutile crystal structure based on a comparison to the reflections of rutile  $\text{MnSb}_2\text{O}_6$ .<sup>20,21,23</sup>

A  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  electrode was subjected to a 168 h (7 day) durability test at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$ , and the OER overpotential ( $\eta$ ) was recorded (Figure 2A). During this experiment, the galvanostatic hold was interrupted at 24 h intervals, and voltammetric and impedance data were collected after 30 s at open circuit (Figure 2B and Figure S2). The measured overpotentials at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  were reduced by ~14 mV to correct for the uncompensated ohmic resistance intrinsic to the electrochemical cell configuration. The time-

averaged OER overpotential over the entire test duration was  $\eta = 687 \pm 9 \text{ mV}$  (the blue shaded region in Figure 2A shows the standard deviation). However, consistent with previous results for  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  during the short periods at open circuit, as well as between the first and second voltammetric cycles collected in succession at each 24 h interval, the OER overpotential decreased and the catalyst “recovered” (Figure 2A, Figure S2B).<sup>21,23</sup> The OER overpotential at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  as measured from the voltammetric analyses was  $\eta = 617 \text{ mV}$  at  $t = 0 \text{ h}$  and was  $\eta = 618 \text{ mV}$  at  $t = 168 \text{ h}$  (Figure 2B, Figure S2B). Redox waves centered at 1.46 V vs the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) appeared and increased in magnitude, during the extended durability test (Figure 2B, Figure S2A), analogous to the behavior of  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  electrocatalysts deposited by sputtering.<sup>21</sup>

Aliquots of the electrolyte solution were taken without replacement at ~24 h intervals, and the dissolution of Sb and Mn was measured by ICP-MS during the durability test at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$  (Figure 2C). The average rate of Sb dissolution (11 weight % per day, or  $0.0013 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) was comparable to the average rate of Mn dissolution (8% per day, or  $0.0015 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) (Figure S3). The dissolution rate of both metals was lower during the initial 48 h of the test than at later time points. Another  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  electrode from the same deposition batch yielded an average of 97.6% Faradaic efficiency for oxygen evolution during 93 h of continuous operation at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (Figure 2D). Hence, despite the high Faradaic efficiency and a relatively stable OER overpotential, substantial



**Figure 2.** Electrochemical activity, stability, and Faradaic efficiency of  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  during the OER at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  for 168 h (7 days) in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$ . (A) Time dependence of the OER overpotential after correction for the uncompensated resistance of the cell. (B) Cyclic voltammograms ( $v = 40 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ ) collected after  $t = 0 \text{ h}$  and after  $t = 168 \text{ h}$  of the galvanostatic hold. (C) Amount of dissolved metal in the anolyte as quantified by ICP-MS, as a percentage of the total deposited Sb and total deposited Mn. (D) Eudiometric measurement of the level of  $\text{O}_2(\text{g})$  production.


catalyst corrosion occurred, consistent with the behavior of sputtered Mn-rich alloys.<sup>23,24</sup> The OER overpotential and metal dissolution rates of a replicate electrode that was tested for 176 h (>7 days) at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  were in agreement with that of the  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  electrode described above (Figure S3).

An additional  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  electrode was operated galvanostatically at  $J = 100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  and was subjected to very positive potentials during voltammetric analysis (Figure 3). The time-averaged OER overpotential over a period of 8.5 h at  $J = 100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  was  $724 \pm 8 \text{ mV}$  (Figure 3). Figure S8 presents an expanded view of the data in Figure 3 during the first 8 h of operation. The overpotential of the OER at  $J = 100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  was 709 mV at  $t = 0 \text{ h}$  and 688 mV at  $t = 8 \text{ h}$  (Figure 3). In the first 8 h at  $J = 100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , ICP-MS indicated more leaching of Sb than of Mn (Figure 3C). The chronopotentiometry experiment at  $100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was continued for 26 h, with periodic interruptions due to bubble formation that inhibited current flow at the counter electrode (Figure 3). Voltammetric analysis indicated that the initial OER overpotential at  $J = 350 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  was 819 mV in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (Figure S9).

The  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  electrode was characterized before and after the 168 h of the OER durability test at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$  by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The SEM data showed a conformal coating of the catalyst on the substrate prior to the OER, and

EIS measurements (Figure S4B and C, respectively) indicated an  $\sim 22$ -fold increase in surface roughness during the 168 h durability test (Figure S5). Notably, although the material dissolved, the overpotential required to produce  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  did not change substantially during this time.

The redox waves observed at  $\sim 1.46 \text{ V}$  vs RHE (Figure 2B) in the voltammetric data are consistent with behavior of  $\text{MnO}_x$  and other  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  materials.<sup>21,29</sup> XP spectra of the  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  catalyst material acquired before and after the 168 h OER durability test at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in 1.0 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$  indicated that the material was always principally composed of Mn(III) with some Mn(IV) observable ( $\sim 20\%$ ) after operation, consistent with previous analysis of antimonate systems (Figure S6, Figure S7, Table S3).<sup>23</sup> Sb sites in binary oxide materials are inactive for the OER reaction,<sup>21,23,24</sup> consistent with the OER being localized on Mn sites and mediated by Mn redox events, with  $\text{Sb}^{5+}$  ions contributing to electrochemical stability.<sup>21–23</sup> Electrocatalytically inactive  $\text{Sb}^{5+}$  sites may stabilize Mn sites that actively effect the OER by inducing enhanced hybridization of the O p-orbital and Mn d-orbital.<sup>23,24</sup> The Mn metal fraction as indicated by energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy decreased from  $64 \pm 5\%$  before operation to  $49 \pm 7\%$  after 168 h at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  (Figure S4A). XP spectra of the Sb 3d region indicated a shift from 3.2 to 5.0 in the Sb oxidation state (Figure S6, Figure S7C, and Table S3). Mn-rich alloys are thus expected to be less stable than Sb-rich alloys, consistent with the substantial metal dissolution of the  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  catalysts observed during the multiday durability test (Figure 2). However, some degree of



**Figure 3.** Electrochemical activity and stability of  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  during OER at  $J = 100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in  $1.0 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$ . (A) Chronopotentiometric response. (B) Cyclic voltammograms collected initially as well as after 24 h under the galvanostatic hold. (C) Amount of dissolved metal in the anolyte as quantified by ICP-MS, presented as a percentage of the total deposited Sb and total deposited Mn.

electronic stabilization of Mn sites by Sb ions may account for the enhanced corrosion resistance observed herein relative to that reported for unary Mn oxide materials.<sup>23,24</sup>

In summary, the extended durability of rutile  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  catalysts was assessed during galvanostatic operation at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  and at  $J = 100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in  $1.0 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . After 168 h of operation at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , a loss of electrocatalyst mass, an increase in porosity, and partial oxidation of the constituent Mn were observed relative to the as-prepared material. A lower overpotential was observed for the Mn-rich alloy at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  than previously reported for Sb-rich  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  alloys.<sup>21</sup> However, unlike the Sb-rich  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  alloys,  $\text{Mn}_{0.63}\text{Sb}_{0.37}\text{O}_x$  catalysts corroded continuously during operation. This behavior is consistent with the notion that Sb stabilizes Mn sites, as well as with prior results on the behavior of Mn-rich alloys prepared by sputtering.<sup>23,24</sup> The extended duration

testing reported here, along with previous reports, confirm an activity-stability trade-off across the Mn:Sb composition space.<sup>30</sup> A reduced Mn:Sb ratio may thus enhance the stability of  $\text{Mn}_y\text{Sb}_{1-y}\text{O}_x$  catalysts in acidic OER conditions while, however, producing a reduction in the OER activity. Despite the continuous corrosion of both Sb and Mn from the as-prepared material, the OER overpotential at  $J = 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  did not substantially increase, even at the point that >90% of the catalyst mass had dissolved.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.4c00135>.

Detailed experimental procedures, materials and chemicals, sample preparation, electrochemical measurements, materials characterization, additional OER overpotential data and metal dissolution rates, scanning electron micrographs, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy data, X-ray diffraction data, and X-ray photoelectron spectra (PDF)

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

Nathan S. Lewis – Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering and Beckman Institute, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0001-5245-0538](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5245-0538); Email: [nslewis@caltech.edu](mailto:nslewis@caltech.edu)

### Authors

Jacqueline A. Dowling – Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0001-5642-8960](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5642-8960)

Zachary P. Ifkovits – Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0003-2538-0794](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2538-0794)

Azhar I. Carim – Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering and Beckman Institute, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0003-3630-6872](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3630-6872)

Jake M. Evans – Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0002-8721-5316](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8721-5316)

Madeleine C. Swint – Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States

Alexandre Z. Ye – Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States

Matthias H. Richter – Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0003-0091-2045](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0091-2045)

Anna X. Li – Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, United States; [orcid.org/0000-0002-3435-3651](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3435-3651)

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsaem.4c00135>



## Author Contributions

<sup>†</sup>J.A.D. and Z.P.I. contributed equally.

## Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): N.S.L. is a scientific founder of and consultant to H2U Technologies, a company developing catalysts and electrolyzers for the production of hydrogen.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Science under Award Number DE-FG02-03-ER15483. ICP-MS data were collected at the Water and Environment Lab of the Resnick Sustainability Institute at the California Institute of Technology. XPS data were collected at the Molecular Materials Resource Center of the Beckman Institute at the California Institute of Technology.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Song, J.; Wei, C.; Huang, Z.-F.; Liu, C.; Zeng, L.; Wang, X.; Xu, Z. J. A Review on Fundamentals for Designing Oxygen Evolution Electrocatalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, *49*, 2196–2214.
- (2) Ghavam, S.; Vahdati, M.; Wilson, I. A. G.; Styring, P. Sustainable Ammonia Production Processes. *Front. Energy Res.* **2021**, DOI: 10.3389/fenrg.2021.580808.
- (3) Nitopi, S.; Bertheussen, E.; Scott, S. B.; Liu, X.; Engstfeld, A. K.; Horch, S.; Seger, B.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Chan, K.; Hahn, C.; Nørskov, J. K.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Chorkendorff, I. Progress and Perspectives of Electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction on Copper in Aqueous Electrolyte. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 7610–7672.
- (4) MIT Energy Initiative. *The Future of Energy Storage*; Technical Report; Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2022.
- (5) Dowling, J. A.; Rinaldi, K. Z.; Ruggles, T. H.; Davis, S. J.; Yuan, M.; Tong, F.; Lewis, N. S.; Caldeira, K. Role of Long-Duration Energy Storage in Variable Renewable Electricity Systems. *Joule* **2020**, *4*, 1907–1928.
- (6) Panchenko, V. A.; Daus, Yu. V.; Kovalev, A. A.; Yudaev, I. V.; Litt, Yu. V. Prospects for the Production of Green Hydrogen: Review of Countries with High Potential. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2023**, *48*, 4551–4571.
- (7) Davis, S. J.; Lewis, N. S.; Shaner, M.; Aggarwal, S.; Arent, D.; Azevedo, I. L.; Benson, S. M.; Bradley, T.; Brouwer, J.; Chiang, Y.-M.; Clack, C. T. M.; Cohen, A.; Doig, S.; Edmonds, J.; Fennell, P.; Field, C. B.; Hannegan, B.; Hodge, B.-M.; Hoffert, M. I.; Ingersoll, E.; Jaramillo, P.; Lackner, K. S.; Mach, K. J.; Mastrandrea, M.; Ogden, J.; Peterson, P. F.; Sanchez, D. L.; Sperling, D.; Stagner, J.; Trancik, J. E.; Yang, C.-J.; Caldeira, K. Net-Zero Emissions Energy Systems. *Science* **2018**, *360*, eaas9793.
- (8) Ayers, K. The Potential of Proton Exchange Membrane-Based Electrolysis Technology. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* **2019**, *18*, 9–15.
- (9) Buttler, A.; Spliethoff, H. Current Status of Water Electrolysis for Energy Storage, Grid Balancing and Sector Coupling via Power-to-Gas and Power-to-Liquids: A Review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2018**, *82*, 2440–2454.
- (10) Hubert, M. A.; King, L. A.; Jaramillo, T. F. Evaluating the Case for Reduced Precious Metal Catalysts in Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolyzers. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2022**, *7*, 17–23.
- (11) Riedmayer, R.; Paren, B. A.; Schofield, L.; Shao-Horn, Y.; Mallapragada, D. Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolysis Performance Targets for Achieving 2050 Expansion Goals Constrained by Iridium Supply. *Energy Fuels* **2023**, *37*, 8614–8623.
- (12) Minke, C.; Suermann, M.; Bensmann, B.; Hanke-Rauschenbach, R. Is Iridium Demand a Potential Bottleneck in the Realization of Large-Scale PEM Water Electrolysis? *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2021**, *46*, 23581–23590.
- (13) Bernt, M.; Hartig-Weiß, A.; Tovini, M. F.; El-Sayed, H. A.; Schramm, C.; Schröter, J.; Gebauer, C.; Gasteiger, H. A. Current Challenges in Catalyst Development for PEM Water Electrolyzers. *Chem. Ing. Technol.* **2020**, *92*, 31–39.
- (14) Cherevko, S.; Geiger, S.; Kasian, O.; Kulyk, N.; Grote, J.-P.; Savan, A.; Shrestha, B. R.; Merzlikin, S.; Breitbach, B.; Ludwig, A.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J. Oxygen and Hydrogen Evolution Reactions on Ru, RuO<sub>2</sub>, Ir, and IrO<sub>2</sub> Thin Film Electrodes in Acidic and Alkaline Electrolytes: A Comparative Study on Activity and Stability. *Catal. Today* **2016**, *262*, 170–180.
- (15) Ruggles, T. H.; Dowling, J. A.; Lewis, N. S.; Caldeira, K. Opportunities for Flexible Electricity Loads Such as Hydrogen Production from Curtailed Generation. *Adv. Appl. Energy* **2021**, *3*, No. 100051.
- (16) Han, N.; Yang, K. R.; Lu, Z.; Li, Y.; Xu, W.; Gao, T.; Cai, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Batista, V. S.; Liu, W.; Sun, X. Nitrogen-Doped Tungsten Carbide Nanoarray as an Efficient Bifunctional Electrocatalyst for Water Splitting in Acid. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 924.
- (17) Kwong, W. L.; Lee, C. C.; Shchukarev, A.; Messinger, J. Cobalt-Doped Hematite Thin Films for Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation in Highly Acidic Media. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 5017–5020.
- (18) Mondschein, J. S.; Kumar, K.; Holder, C. F.; Seth, K.; Kim, H.; Schaak, R. E. Intermetallic Ni<sub>2</sub>Ta Electrocatalyst for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction in Highly Acidic Electrolytes. *Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *57*, 6010–6015.
- (19) Pan, S.; Li, H.; Liu, D.; Huang, R.; Pan, X.; Ren, D.; Li, J.; Shakouri, M.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, M.; Wei, C.; Mai, L.; Zhang, B.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, Z.; Graetzel, M.; Zhang, X. Efficient and Stable Noble-Metal-Free Catalyst for Acidic Water Oxidation. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 2294.
- (20) Ifkovits, Z. P.; Evans, J. M.; Kempler, P. A.; Morla, M. B.; Pham, K. H.; Dowling, J. A.; Carim, A. I.; Lewis, N. S. Powdered Mn<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>1-x</sub>O<sub>x</sub> Catalysts for Cerium-Mediated Oxygen Evolution in Acidic Environments. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2022**, *7*, 4258–4264.
- (21) Moreno-Hernandez, I. A.; MacFarland, C. A.; Read, C. G.; Papadantonakis, K. M.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Lewis, N. S. Crystalline Nickel Manganese Antimonate as a Stable Water-Oxidation Catalyst in Aqueous 1.0 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2017**, *10*, 2103–2108.
- (22) Evans, T. A.; Choi, K.-S. Electrochemical Synthesis and Investigation of Stoichiometric, Phase-Pure CoSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> and MnSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> Electrodes for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction in Acidic Media. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2020**, *3*, 5563–5571.
- (23) Zhou, L.; Shinde, A.; Montoya, J. H.; Singh, A.; Gul, S.; Yano, J.; Ye, Y.; Crumlin, E. J.; Richter, M. H.; Cooper, J. K.; Stein, H. S.; Haber, J. A.; Persson, K. A.; Gregoire, J. M. Rutile Alloys in the Mn–Sb–O System Stabilize Mn<sup>3+</sup> To Enable Oxygen Evolution in Strong Acid. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 10938–10948.
- (24) Luke, S.; Chatti, M.; Yadav, A.; Kerr, B. V.; Kangsabanik, J.; Williams, T.; Cherepanov, P. V.; Johannessen, B.; Tanksale, A.; MacFarlane, D. R.; Hocking, R. K.; Alam, A.; Yella, A.; Simonov, A. N. Mixed Metal–Antimony Oxide Nanocomposites: Low pH Water Oxidation Electrocatalysts with Outstanding Durability at Ambient and Elevated Temperatures. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2021**, *9*, 27468–27484.
- (25) Shinde, A.; Jones, R. J. R.; Guevarra, D.; Mitrovic, S.; Becerra-Stasiewicz, N.; Haber, J. A.; Jin, J.; Gregoire, J. M. High-Throughput Screening for Acid-Stable Oxygen Evolution Electrocatalysts in the (Mn–Co–Ta–Sb)O<sub>x</sub> Composition Space. *Electrocatalysis* **2015**, *6*, 229–236.
- (26) Laube, A.; Hofer, A.; Ressel, S.; Chica, A.; Bachmann, J.; Struckmann, T. PEM Water Electrolysis Cells with Catalyst Coating by Atomic Layer Deposition. *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2021**, *46*, 38972–38982.
- (27) Mackus, A. J. M.; Schneider, J. R.; MacIsaac, C.; Baker, J. G.; Bent, S. F. Synthesis of Doped, Ternary, and Quaternary Materials by Atomic Layer Deposition: A Review. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1142–1183.
- (28) Yang, R. B.; Bachmann, J.; Reiche, M.; Gerlach, J. W.; Gösele, U.; Nielsch, K. Atomic Layer Deposition of Antimony Oxide and Antimony Sulfide. *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 2586–2588.
- (29) McCrory, C. C. L.; Jung, S.; Ferrer, I. M.; Chatman, S. M.; Peters, J. C.; Jaramillo, T. F. Benchmarking Hydrogen Evolving

Reaction and Oxygen Evolving Reaction Electrocatalysts for Solar Water Splitting Devices. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4347–4357.

(30) Dowling, J. A. Long-Duration Energy Storage in Reliable Wind and Solar Electricity Systems. Ph.D. Dissertation, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 2023.