THE MEAN VALUE THEOREM (Sec. 4.2) In this section we will explore two important results of differential calculus: · Rolle's theorem (Rolle, 1691) · Mean Value Theorem (Cauchy, 1823). We will see that Rolle's theorem (which is chronologically previous to the Mean Value Theorem) is nothing = lees than a particular case of the Mean Value Theorem. Before giving the formal statement of Rolle's theorem, consider the following situation. Imagine that you throw a ball straight up into the cir from a certain height, and then you catch it, but the same height. Beacause of the gravitational acceleration, the initial relacity of the ball will decrease until the ball reaches the peak where the relocity changes sign and starts increasing again (in absolute also). In particular the instantaneous we locity of the ball at the peak of its trajectory is equal to zero. Let f(t) be the position function of the ball which is thrown in the air at a time to and cought at a time to be a parabolo. Note that at a time to f(t) represents the height of the ball with respect to the grand floor. 1(t) ↑ height of the ball when it is thrown into the our and when 36)=3(6) it is cought again. - there exists a time tec in (a,b) such that the instantaneous veloci ty at t=c is zero, i.e. of (c)=0. Note that this happens when the ball reacher the peak of its trajectory i.e. when f(t) attains its moximum value


If we make abstraction of the fact that I in or example was a position function, we can state formally the following result.

ROLLE'S THEOREM (Rolle 1691)

Let of be a function which satisfies the following hypothesis:

- · j is continuous on the closed interval [a,6],
 · j is differentiable on the open interval (a,6),
- $\cdot \quad f(\alpha) = f(b).$

Then there exists c in (a,b) such that &'(c)=0.



Note that the tangent Cine at (c, f(c)) is parallel to the secont cine through (a,f(a)), (b, f(b))...

By Rolle's theorem we know that there exists at least a number c in (a,b) such that ('(c) = 0, bit there can also exist more numbers in (a, b) with such a property

Proof

Since of is continuous on [a, b] then by the extreme value theorem it has an absolute maximum value over [a, b].


Two different configurations are possible:

· I CASE

Both maximum and minimum value are attained at the endpoints of [a, b].

We can assume that f(a) = M is the abs. wax. Whe and f(b) = N is the abs. min. value. We have $N \subseteq f(x) \subseteq M$ for all x in [a,b].

Since $J(a) = J(b) \Rightarrow M = N \Rightarrow M \leq J(x) \leq M$ for all x in [a,b] = J(x) = M for all x in [a,b], that is J is constant over [a,b].



(2) Rolle's theorem is a particular case of the Mean Value theorem. Indeed, if we add the hypothesis
$$J(\alpha) = J(b)$$
, the Hean Value Theorem states that there exists C in $J(\alpha_1b)$ such that

$$3'(c) = \frac{3(b) - 3(a)}{b - a} = \frac{0}{b - a} = 0.$$

This gives also a "justification" to the name of the

$$ex: f(t) = t^3 - t$$
 over $[0,2]$

AVERAGE VELOCITY OVER
$$[0,2]$$
: $\frac{1}{2}(2) - \frac{1}{2}(0) = \frac{6-0}{2} = 3$.

By the Mean Value Theorem there exists a time c in (0,2) such that the instantaneous relocity at c equals 3. We have:

INSTANTANEOUS VELOCITY AT C: 1/(c) = 3c2-1

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $f'(c) = 3 (=) 3c^2 - 1 = 3 (=) c^2 = \frac{2}{3} (=)$

Hence
$$C = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \in (0,2)$$
 is such that $f'(c) = 3$.

Thours to the Hear Value Theorem, we can recover some information about a function from information about its derivative.

EXERCISES

O Suppose that
$$f(1) = 1$$
 and $f'(x) \ge 2$ for all $x > 0$.
How small can be $f(3)$?

We have that of is differentiable on (0,00) which implies that of is continuous on [1,3] and differentiable on (1,3).

By the Hean Value Theorem, there exists a in (1,3) such that:

$$d'(c) = \frac{d(3) - d(1)}{3 - 1} = \frac{d(3) - 1}{2}$$

This implies:

$$\frac{1}{3}(3) = 2\frac{1}{3}(c) + 1 \ge 2 \cdot 2 - 1 = 3$$

{1(x) ≥ 2 for all x in (1,3)

Thus $f(3) \ge 3$, that is, the lowest value for f(3) is 3.

② Does there exist a function such that f(-1) = 3, f(2) = 4and $f'(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}$ for all x in R?

Solution

The function f is differentiable for all x in IR (since f'(x) exists).

In particular this implies that & is continuous on [-1,2] and differentiable on (-1,2).

By the Mean Value Theorem, there exists a number c in (-1,2) such that:

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(2) - f(-1)}{2 - (-1)} = \frac{4 - 3}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$$

But this is in contradiction with the hypothesis that $g'(x) \ge \frac{1}{2}$ for all x (since $\frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{2}$).

Thus such a function cannot exist.

The following result is a consequence of the Mean Value

Proposition: If f'(x) = 0 for all x in (a,b) then f(x) is constant over (a,b).

Food:

In order to show that f is constant over the interval (a,b) it is enough to prove that wherever we choose X_1 and X_2 in (a,b) we have $f(X_1) = f(X_2)$.

Let $x_1, x_2 \in (a,b)$ with $x_1 < x_2$. Notice that the interval (x_1,x_2) is contained in (a,b). Since f is differentiable an (a,b) then f is continuous on $[x_1,x_2]$ and differentiable an (x_1,x_2) . Then, by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists c in (x, x) such that $f'(c) = \frac{f(x^5) - f(x^1)}{f(x^2) - f(x^2)}$ Since by hypothesis f'(x) =0 for all x in (a,b) then we $C = \frac{3(x_2) - 3(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \Rightarrow 3(x_2) - 3(x_1) = 0 \Rightarrow 3(x_1) = 3(x_2).$ {¹(c) = 0 Corollary: If I and q are two functions such that $\begin{cases}
f'(x) = g'(x) & \text{for all } x \text{ in an interval } (a,b), \text{ then} \\
\text{for all } x \text{ in } (a,b) & \text{J}(x) = g(x) + c, \text{ where} \\
\text{c is a constant.}$: from Let us consider the function Hypothesis g'(x) = g'(x) for all x in (a,b) $h(x) = \{(x) - g(x).$ For all x in (a,b) we have h'(x) = f'(x) - g'(x) = 0By the provious proposition we get that h(x) is constant over (a,b), i.e. there exists a real number c such that $h(x) = c \iff f(x) - g(x) = c \iff f(x) = g(x) + c$ for all x in (a,b).