sample dataset so that their results can be directly compared to one another. Then, I performed PC to project the data into two-dimensional space so that I can plot the data points and decision boundary of each classifier and visually compare the results. I hope you enjoy this exploration into each models efficacy! In [2]: import numpy as np import csv from sklearn.model selection import train test split from sklearn.naive\_bayes import GaussianNB from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

Comparing Bayes, Logistic, and KNN classifiers

There are a myriad of classifiers out there, each with their own use case and rationale for when to be employed. This script goes through the results of Naive Bayes, Logisitc, and KNN all on the same

from matplotlib.colors import ListedColormap In [3]: | raw = []with open('../data/marriage.csv') as cf: readcsv = csv.reader(cf, delimiter=',') for row in readcsv: raw.append(row) data = np.array(raw).astype(np.float) x = data[:, 0:-1]y = data[:, -1]**Functions to Create the Models** 

X\_train, X\_test, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(x, y, test\_size=0.2)

predicted 2')

predicted 2

0

ypred test = clf.predict(Xtest)

matched test = ypred test == ytest

acc\_test = sum(matched\_test)/len(matched\_test)

0

cf\_test\_11 = np.sum(ytest[idx1] == ypred\_test[idx1])

cf\_test\_22 = np.sum(ytest[idx2] == ypred\_test[idx2])

print('Accuracy: '+ str(round(acc\_test, 4))+"\n")

print(' predicted 1 predicted 2')

0

Functions for PCA and Graph Plotting

u, s, \_ = np.linalg.svd(xc.T @ xc /len(xc)) xt = xc@u[:, 0:2]@np.diag(s[0:2]\*\*-1/2)

In [11]: def plot\_decision\_boundary(model, title, x\_train, x\_test, y\_train):

cmap\_light = ListedColormap(['#FFAAAA', '#AAAAFF']) cmap\_bold = ListedColormap(['#FF0000', '#0000FF'])

 $x_{min}$ ,  $x_{max} = x_{train}[:,0].min()$ ,  $x_{train}[:,0].max()$ y\_min, y\_max = x\_train[:,1].min(), x\_train[:,1].max()

plt.pcolormesh(xx, yy, Z, cmap=cmap\_light, shading='auto')

plt.scatter(x\_train[:,0], x\_train[:,1], c=y\_train, cmap=cmap\_bold)

X\_tr, X\_te, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(x,y, test\_size=0.2)

 $X_{test} = (X_{te} - X_{tr.mean}(axis=0))@u[:, 0:2] @np.diag(s[0:2]**-1/2)$ 

plot\_decision\_boundary(lr, 'Marriage: Logistic Regression', X\_train, X\_test, y\_train, Y\_test, y\_train, X\_test, Y\_test, Y\_test,

plot\_decision\_boundary(nb, 'Marriage: Naive Bayes', X\_train, X\_test, y\_train)

lr = LogisticRegression(random\_state=0).fit(X\_train, y\_train)

kn = KNeighborsClassifier(n\_neighbors=3).fit(X\_train, y\_train)

plot\_decision\_boundary(kn, 'Marriage: KNN', X\_train, X\_test, y\_train)

np.arange(y\_min, y\_max, h))

xx,  $yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x_min, x_max, h),$ 

Z = model.predict(np.c\_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()])

# Put the result into a color plot

# Also plot the training points

Finally, the main, to run the code

print('>>>>>> Results Pre PCA <<<<<\\n')</pre>

print('>>>>>> Results Post PCA <<<<<\\n')</pre>

# plot the decision boundary of each modes

nb = GaussianNB().fit(X\_train, y\_train)

0 0

predicted 2

0

Marriage: Logistic Regression

-0.02

0.00

0.00

0.02

0.04

exploration is proof of concept to help me visually understand the behavior behind the underlining

0.06

Marriage: KNN

0.02

0.04

0.06

plt.xlim(xx.min(), xx.max()) plt.ylim(yy.min(), yy.max())

Z = Z.reshape(xx.shape)

plt.figure()

plt.title(title)

 $acc_lr = Logistic(x, y)$  $acc_kn = KNN(x,y)$ 

 $acc_nb = NaiveBayes(x,y)$ 

# new test train split for PCA

 $X_{train}$ , u,  $s = pca2(X_{tr})$ 

>>>>>> Results Pre PCA <<<<<<

predicted 1 predicted 2

predicted 1 predicted 2

Accuracy: 1.0

Confusion Matrix:

Accuracy: 0.9412

Confusion Matrix:

Accuracy: 0.9412

Confusion Matrix:

true 1

true 2

true 1 13 true 2 0

predicted 1

13

>>>>>> Results Post PCA <<<<<<

18 true 2 ^

In [12]: if \_\_name\_\_ == "\_\_main\_\_":

Function used to plot the decision boundary. This will help viually compare the re

print('-----BAYES-----')

cf\_test\_12 = np.sum(ypred\_test[idx1] ==2)

cf test 21 = np.sum(ypred test[idx2] ==1)

predicted 1 predicted 2

# gets accuracy

{cf test 12}") {cf\_test\_22}")

# create logistic model with the training data lr = LogisticRegression(random\_state=0).fit(X\_train, y\_train) # predict model on test set y\_pred\_lr = lr.predict(X\_test) # gets accuracy acc\_test = sum(y\_pred\_lr==y\_test)/ntest # creates confusion matrix of test results and displays accuracy idx1 = np.where(y\_test ==1)  $idx2 = np.where(y_test == 2)$ cf\_test\_11 = np.sum(y\_test[idx1] == y\_pred\_lr[idx1]) cf\_test\_12 = np.sum(y\_pred\_lr[idx1] ==2) cf\_test\_22 = np.sum(y\_test[idx2] == y\_pred\_lr[idx2]) cf\_test\_21 = np.sum(y\_pred\_lr[idx2] ==1)

print('~~~~~~~~~~LR~~~~~~LR~~~~~~~') print('Accuracy: '+ str(round(acc test, 4))+"\n") print('Confusion Matrix:') print(' predicted 1 print("\n") return acc\_test

Logistic

In [4]: **def** Logistic(x,y):

# split the data

ntest = len(y\_test)

In [5]: Logistic(x,y) Accuracy: 1.0 Confusion Matrix: predicted 1 true 1

19 0 true 2 Out[5]: 1.0 KNN In [6]: **def** KNN(x,y): # split the data Xtrain, Xtest, ytrain, ytest = train test split(x, y, test size=0.2, random state= # create the KNN model with the training data clf = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors = 3).fit(Xtrain, ytrain) # predict model on test set

# creates confusion matrix of test results and displays accuracy idx1 = np.where(ytest ==1) idx2 = np.where(ytest == 2)cf test 11 = np.sum(ytest[idx1] == ypred test[idx1]) cf test 12 = np.sum(ypred test[idx1] ==2) cf test 22 = np.sum(ytest[idx2] == ypred test[idx2]) cf\_test\_21 = np.sum(ypred\_test[idx2] ==1) print('~~~~~~~~KNN~~~~~~KNN~~~~~~\') print('Accuracy: '+ str(round(acc test, 4))+"\n") print('Confusion Matrix:') print("\n") return acc test

In [7]: KNN(x,y)

true 1

true 2

Out[7]: 0.9411764705882353

Naive Bayes

In [8]: def NaiveBayes(x,y):

Accuracy: 0.9412

Confusion Matrix:

13

idx2 = np.where(ytest == 2)

print('Confusion Matrix:')

~~~~~~~~~~~~~BAYES~~~~~~~~~~

predicted 1 predicted 2 true 1 13

 $xc = (x_ - x_.mean(axis=0))$ 

return xt, u, s

Plotting of Boundaries

11 11 11

h = 0.005

0

print("\n")

Accuracy: 0.9412

Confusion Matrix:

In [9]: NaiveBayes(x,y)

true 2

**PCA** 

In [10]: def pca2(x):

Out[9]: 0.9411764705882353

return acc\_test

# split the data Xtrain, Xtest, ytrain, ytest = train\_test\_split(x, y, test\_size=0.2, random\_state= # create the Bayes model with the training data clf = GaussianNB(var\_smoothing=1e-9,).fit(Xtrain, ytrain) # predict model on test set ypred\_test = clf.predict(Xtest) # gets accuracy matched\_test = ypred\_test == ytest acc\_test = sum(matched\_test)/len(matched\_test) # creates confusion matrix of test results and displays accuracy idx1 = np.where(ytest ==1)

0.0 -0.2-0.4-0.6-0.06 -0.080.4 0.2

0.2

0.0 -0.2-0.4-0.6 -0.08-0.06 -0.040.4 0.2 0.0 -0.2-0.4-0.6 -0.06 -0.04 -0.08

mathematics of each model.

-0.02 Marriage: Naive Bayes -0.02 **Analysis** 

-0.04

0.00 0.02 0.04 0.06 This data set is rather small to distinguish large differences in the results of the accuracy; however, the the decision boundaries graph illuminates more information than the accuracy results. We can see here how each model forms its classifier boundaries in a robust manner. KNN appears to be more dynamic in nature, while Naive Bayes simply divides the classification in in a linear manner. This