

Homework 9 Written

August 2nd, 2021 at 11:59pm

1 Propositional Logic 1

A logician tells to his son: "If you don't finish your dinner, you will not play video games afterwards." After the son finishes his meal, he is sent to bed right away.

Which mistake did he make by thinking that he would be able to play video games after dinner?

first dinner \Rightarrow play video games is not equal to play video games \Rightarrow first dinner

2 Propositional Logic 2

Write the following sentences in CNF form.

a. $\neg(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \quad \neg p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)$

b. $(\neg p \Rightarrow q) \vee \neg(q \wedge r) \quad p \vee q \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$

c. $(p \Rightarrow \neg q) \Leftrightarrow ((q \wedge \neg r) \Rightarrow (\neg p)) \quad (p \vee \neg q \vee r \vee \neg p) \wedge (q \vee \neg q \vee r \vee \neg p) \vee (q \vee \neg p \vee \neg q)$

3 Propositional Logic 3

Consider a vocabulary with only four propositions, A, B, C, and D. How many models are there for the following sentences?

a. $B \vee C. \quad 12$

b. $\neg A \vee \neg B \vee \neg C \vee \neg D. \quad 15$

c. $(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge A \wedge \neg B \wedge C \wedge D. \quad 0$

4 Propositional Logic 4

We have defined four binary logical connectives.

a. Are there any others that might be useful? Yes

b. How many binary connectives can there be? 16

c. Why are some of them not very useful?

Because this binary combinations will not make any influence, since they could be presented by other many combinations

5 Propositional Logic 5

The inference rule *Modus Tollens* is written as follows:

$$\frac{\neg q, p \Rightarrow q}{\neg p}$$

Prove that Modus Tollens is equivalent to Modus Ponens, i.e., the latter can be proved from the former, and the other around.

6 First-Order Logic 1

Translate the following sentences in first-order logic:

- a. Alice likes everything that Bob dislikes.
- b. Bob doesn't like everything Alice likes.
- c. Charles doesn't like anything Alice likes.
- d. David likes anything everybody else dislikes.
- e. I like writing sentences in first-order logic.
- f. A parent of my sibling is my parent.
- g. A child of my parent, who is not me, is my sibling.

Try to use a minimum number of predicates, functions, and constants.

7 First-Order Logic 2

Translate into good, natural English (no *xs* or *ys*):

$$\forall x, y, l \ SpeaksLanguage(x, l) \wedge SpeaksLanguage(y, l) \Rightarrow Understands(x, y).$$

8 First-Order Logic 3

Consider a first-order logical knowledge base that describes worlds containing people, songs, albums (e.g., "Meet the Beatles") and disks (i.e., particular physical instances of CDs). The vocabulary contains the following symbols:

- *CopyOf(d, a)*: Predicate. Disk *d* is a copy of album *a*.
- *Owns(p, d)*: Predicate. Person *p* owns disk *d*.
- *Sings(p, s, a)*: Album *a* includes a recording of song *s* sung by person *p*.
- *Wrote(p, s)*: Person *p* wrote song *s*.
- *McCartney, Gershwin, BHoliday, Joe, EleanorRigby, TheManILove, Revolver*: Constants with the obvious meanings.

Express the following statements in first-order logic:

- a. Gershwin wrote "The Man I Love."
- b. Gershwin did not write "Eleanor Rigby."
- c. Either Gershwin or McCartney wrote "The Man I Love."
- d. Joe has written at least one song.
- e. Joe owns a copy of *Revolver*.
- f. Every song that McCartney sings on *Revolver* was written by McCartney.
- g. Gershwin did not write any of the songs on *Revolver*.
- h. Every song that Gershwin wrote has been recorded on some album. (Possibly different songs are recorded on different albums.)
 - i. There is a single album that contains every song that Joe has written.
 - j. Joe owns a copy of an album that has Billie Holiday singing "The Man I Love."
- k. Joe owns a copy of every album that has a song sung by McCartney. (Of course, each different album is instantiated in a different physical CD.)
- l. Joe owns a copy of every album on which all the songs are sung by Billie Holiday.

Anna Li
518370910048

1.

What the logician say is: $\neg \text{finish dinner} \Rightarrow \neg \text{play video games}$
which is equivalent to: $\text{play video games} \Rightarrow \text{finish dinner}$
which is not equivalent to $\text{finish dinner} \Rightarrow \text{play video games}$

2.

$$a. \neg(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r) \equiv \neg p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)$$

$$b. (\neg p \Rightarrow q) \vee \neg(q \wedge r) \equiv p \vee q \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$$

$$c. (p \Rightarrow \neg q) \Leftrightarrow ((q \wedge \neg r) \Rightarrow (\neg p)) \\ \equiv (p \vee \neg q \vee r \vee \neg p) \wedge (q \vee \neg q \vee r \vee \neg p) \wedge (q \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge \\ (\neg r \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (p \vee \neg p \vee \neg q)$$

3. a. 12

b. 15

c. 0

4.

a. Yes, there are

b. 16

c. Because they cannot be used to represent many combinations

$$\begin{aligned}
 5. \text{ Modus Tollen} &\equiv (\neg q \wedge (p \Rightarrow q)) \Rightarrow \neg p \\
 &\equiv (\neg q \wedge (\neg p \vee q)) \Rightarrow \neg p \\
 &\equiv ((\neg q) \wedge (\neg p) \vee \neg(\neg q)) \Rightarrow (\neg p) \\
 &\equiv \text{Modus Ponens}
 \end{aligned}$$

6.	$L(x, y)$: x likes y
	$P(x)$: x is a person
	$F(x)$: x is a sentence \in in 1st-order logic
	$I(x)$: x is sentence that I like writing
	$Pa(x, y)$: y is a child of x

- a. $\forall x \neg L(\text{Bob}, x) \Rightarrow L(\text{Alice}, x)$
- b. $\exists x \neg L(\text{Alice}, x) \Rightarrow \neg L(\text{Bob}, x)$
- c. $\forall x L(\text{Alice}, x) \Rightarrow \neg L(\text{Charles}, x)$
- d. $\forall x, y P(y) \wedge \neg(y = \text{David}) \wedge (\neg L(y, x)) \Rightarrow L(\text{David}, x)$
- e. $\forall s F(s) \Rightarrow I(s)$
- f. $\forall x, Pa(x, \text{ sibling}) \wedge P(x, I)$
- g. $\forall c. Pa(\text{parent}, c) \wedge \neg(c = \text{me}) \Rightarrow c = \text{sibling}$

7.

All of the people who speak the same language can understand each other

8.

- a. $\text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, \text{the Man I Love})$
- b. $\neg \text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, \text{Eleanor Rigby})$
- c. $\text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, \text{The Man I Love}) \vee \text{Wrote}(\text{McCartney}, \text{the Man I Love})$
- d. $\exists s \text{Wrote}(s)$
- e. $\exists d (\text{Copy of}(d, \text{Revolver}) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d))$
- f. $\forall s \text{Sings}(\text{McCartney}, s, \text{Revolver}) \wedge \text{Wrote}(\text{McCartney}, s)$

e.g. $\forall s, p \text{ Sings}(p, s, \text{Revolver}) \wedge \neg \text{Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, s)$

h. $\forall s \text{ Wrote}(\text{Gershwin}, s) \Rightarrow \exists a \forall p \text{ Sings}(p, s, a)$

i. $\exists a \forall p, s \text{ Wrote}(p, s, a) \wedge \text{Sings}(p, s, a)$

j. $\exists a, d \text{ Copy Of}(d, a) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d) \wedge \text{Sings}(d, a, \text{Billie Holiday, The Man I Love, a})$

k. $\forall a \exists s \text{ Sings}(\text{McCartney}, s, a) \Rightarrow \exists d \text{ Copy Of}(d, a) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d)$

I. $\forall a \forall s \text{ Sings}(\text{Billie Holiday}, s, a) \Rightarrow \exists d \text{ Copy Of}(d, a) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{Joe}, d)$