

# Beyond Physical Memory: Policies

Annalise Tarhan

February 17, 2021

- 1 Generate random addresses with the following arguments. Change the policy from FIFO, to LRU, to OPT. Compute whether each access in said address traces are hits or misses.**

## **1.1 -s 0 -n 10**

FIFO:

Miss - 8  
Miss - 8,7  
Miss - 8,7,4  
Miss - 7,4,2  
Miss - 4,2,5  
Hit - 4,2,5  
Miss - 2,5,7  
Miss - 5,7,3  
Miss - 7,3,4  
Miss - 3,4,5

LRU:

Miss - 8  
Miss - 8,7  
Miss - 8,7,4  
Miss - 7,4,2  
Miss - 4,2,5  
Hit - 2,5,4  
Miss - 5,4,7  
Miss - 4,7,3  
Hit - 7,3,4  
Miss - 3,4,5

OPT:

Miss - 8

Miss - 8,7  
Miss - 8,7,4  
Miss - 2,7,4  
Miss - 5,7,4  
Hit - 5,4,7  
Hit - 7,4,5  
Miss - 3,4,5  
Hit - 3,4,5  
Hit - 3,4,5

## **1.2 -s 1 -n 10**

FIFO:

Miss - 1  
Miss - 1,8  
Miss - 1,8,7  
Miss - 8,7,2  
Miss - 7,2,4  
Hit - 7,2,4  
Miss - 2,4,6  
Miss - 4,6,7  
Miss - 6,7,0  
Hit - 6,7,0

LRU:

Miss - 1  
Miss - 1,8  
Miss - 1,8,7  
Miss - 8,7,2  
Miss - 7,2,4  
Hit - 7,2,4  
Miss - 2,4,6  
Miss - 4,6,7  
Miss - 6,7,0  
Hit - 6,7,0

OPT:

Miss - 1  
Miss - 1,8  
Miss - 1,8,7  
Miss - 8,2,7  
Miss - 2,7,4  
Hit - 2,4,7  
Miss - 4,6,7  
Hit - 4,6,7  
Miss - 6,7,0

Hit - 6,7,0

### **1.3 -s 2 -n 10**

FIFO:

Miss - 9

Hit - 9

Miss - 9,0

Hit - 9,0

Miss - 9,0,8

Miss - 0,8,7

Miss - 8,7,6

Miss - 7,6,3

Hit - 7,6,3

Hit - 7,6,3

LRU:

Miss - 9

Hit - 9

Miss - 9,0

Hit - 9,0

Miss - 9,0,8

Miss - 0,8,7

Miss - 8,7,6

Miss - 7,6,3

Hit - 7,3,6

Hit - 7,3,6

OPT:

Miss - 9

Hit - 9

Miss - 9,0

Hit - 9,0

Miss - 9,0,8

Miss - 0,8,7

Miss - 8,7,6

Miss - 7,3,6

Hit - 7,3,6

Hit - 7,3,6

- 2 For a cache of size 5, generate worst-case address reference streams for each of the following policies: FIFO, LRU, and MRU. For the worst-case reference streams, how much bigger of a cache is needed to improve performance dramatically and approach OPT?**

FIFO:

1,2,3,4,5,6,1,2,3,4,5,6

LRU:

1,2,3,4,5,6,1,2,3,4,5,6

MRU:

1,2,3,4,5,6,5,6,5,6,5,6

For each example, a cache with one more space would give performance equal to OPT

- 3 Generate a random trace. How would you expect different policies to perform on such a trace?**

{3,2,5,0,5,3,2,2,3,2,1}

With a cache size of 3, FIFO and LRU would both perform significantly worse than optimal, since it would discard the 2 and the 3 sooner than it should, holding on to 5 and 0 unnecessarily. MRU would also suffer, and random is unpredictable. LFU would do as well as LRU.

- 4 Now generate a trace with some locality. How can you generate such a trace? How does LRU perform on it? How much better than RAND is LRU? How does CLOCK do? How about CLOCK with different numbers of clock bits?**

In this case, temporal locality is more relevant, so references to the same address should be close together. Given a completely sorted list, though, RAND does exactly as well as LRU. With a few non-sorted arguments inserted, RAND actually does better. CLOCK is similar.

**5   Skipped**