

Telco - Customer Churn

10.02.2018

Annapoorani Springboard Capstone project proposal

Problem Statement

Predict behavior to retain customers. To can analyze all relevant customer data and develop focused customer retention programs.

Outcomes

Telco - a Telecommunication company will be beneficial by this project. This will help them in the following ways

- Improve user experience with their products
- Identifying important features that are key for customer retention
- To come up with new Marketing Strategies.

Data

Telco data collected from Kaggle.

https://www.kaggle.com/blastchar/telco-customer-churn

Approach

The Steps to solve the identified problems are as below

- Data Wrangling using Python Pandas, so that data is cleaned and readily available for analysis
- EDA to discover the underlying facts about the data and to study the different features

• Applying machine learning algorithms like Logistic regression, Decision Trees and Deep Learning.

Data Wrangling

Success of analysis depends upon how the data is cleaned up as usable for analysis with columns as separate features and each row as single observation. As it is highlighted always as Data Wrangling is time consuming, wrangling for this project also was very challenging.

As this data is downloaded from Kaggle it is already cleaned. Two main steps performed is

- 1. Converting the Total and Monthly charges to numeric
- 2. Checked for any NA values in the columns, identified very few rows with Total Charges as NA and those rows are dropped

Data Pre-Processing

Most features of the Telco – Customer Churn Dataset are categorical variables. One hot encoding is performed on those categories and redundant columns are removed.


One major column of interest is the Internet Service, if the column is 'No' then obviously the concern customer won't have Streaming TV or Streaming Movies.

Henceforth "Internet_Service_No" column is retained by dropping Streaming_TV_No and Streaming Movies No columns.

Exploratory Data Analysis


EDA helps in Visualizing underlying insights from the data. It helps in Feature Enginerring also paves way for identifying new scope of data. As churn is the key field of study, first part of the notebook deals with the picturization of churn data based on different features.

Churn Based on Gender



As from above chart churning rate looks similar for both male and female so there is **no** significant difference in churning rate based on gender.


Churn Behavior with Senior Citizen




It seems Senior citizens churned and not churned numbers have very less difference, also the number of Total Senior Citizens is less compared to remaining population.

Partners Churn

If there is no partner then churn rate is little higher.




Churn Numbers for Dependents



If there are no Dependents then Churning numbers are higher

Customer Churn with Phone Service




data.PhoneService.value_counts()

Yes 6352 No 680

Name: PhoneService, dtype: int64


Most of the Telcom customers have Phone service too, **Nearly 10% of them Phone Service** customers are churned

Churn based on Multiple Lines




Irrespective of customer have multiple lines or not the Churning numbers are same.

Churn based on Device Protection




Customer without device protection churned higher

Churn based on Customer option on Internet service




Customers getting Internet Service by Fiber optics Churned more, so definetly that may be a important feature.

Churn based on Contract Pattern




Customers chosen Month-to-month contract churned higher, this also may be a important feature Payment Method – Churn Behavior




Customer paid by electronic check churned more

Customer Churn based on support




Customer without tech support churned higher than customer with tech support

Churn based on Billing Method



Churn by Streaming Services



Both Streaming Tv and Streaming Movies have greater churn rate

Feature Engineering

From EDA Internet Service, Contract, Payment Method, Streaming Tv and Streaming Movies, Tech Support are the Primary features and Paperless billing and Senior Citizen can be of secondary importance.

Second way is by applying statsmodel Logit function

```
from statsmodels.formula.api import logit
m = logit('Churn_Yes ~ gender_Female + tenure + MonthlyCharges + TotalCharges + SeniorCitizen_1 + Partner_Yes + Dependents_Yes +
print(m.summary())
```

```
Optimization terminated successfully.

Current function value: 0.414311

Iterations 9
```

Logit Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	Churn_Yes	No. Observations:	7032				
Model:	Logit	Df Residuals:	7009				
Method:	MLE	Df Model:	22				
Date:	Wed, 03 Oct 2018	Pseudo R-squ.:	0.2845				
Time:	12:51:43	Log-Likelihood:	-2913.4				
converged:	True	LL-Null:	-4071.7				
		LLR p-value:	0.000				

	coef	std err	Z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	0.3202	3.87e+06	8.27e-08	1.000	-7.59e+06	7.59e+06
gender_Female	0.0221	0.065	0.341	0.733	-0.105	0.149
tenure	-0.0606	0.006	-9.713	0.000	-0.073	-0.048
MonthlyCharges	-0.0404	0.032	-1.271	0.204	-0.103	0.022
TotalCharges	0.0003	7.06e-05	4.657	0.000	0.000	0.000
SeniorCitizen_1	0.2168	0.085	2.564	0.010	0.051	0.382
Partner_Yes	0.0013	0.078	0.017	0.986	-0.151	0.154
Dependents_Yes	-0.1491	0.090	-1.662	0.097	-0.325	0.027
PhoneService_Yes	0.1743	0.649	0.269	0.788	-1.097	1.446
MultipleLines_Yes	0.4485	0.177	2.530	0.011	0.101	0.796
InternetService_Fiber_optic	1.7490	0.798	2.191	0.028	0.185	3.314
InternetService_No	-1.7879	0.807	-2.214	0.027	-3.370	-0.205
OnlineSecurity_Yes	-0.2049	0.179	-1.146	0.252	-0.555	0.145
OnlineBackup_Yes	0.0259	0.175	0.148	0.883	-0.318	0.370
DeviceProtection_Yes	0.1470	0.176	0.834	0.405	-0.199	0.493
TechSupport_Yes	-0.1810	0.181	-1.002	0.316	-0.535	0.173
StreamingTV_Yes	0.5916	0.326	1.813	0.070	-0.048	1.231
StreamingMovies_Yes	0.5998	0.327	1.836	0.066	-0.041	1.240
Contract_Month_to_month	0.7798	3.87e+06	2.01e-07	1.000	-7.59e+06	7.59e+06
Contract_One_year	0.1182	3.87e+06	3.05e-08	1.000	-7.59e+06	7.59e+06
Contract_Two_year	-0.5777	3.87e+06	-1.49e-07	1.000	-7.59e+06	7.59e+06
PaperlessBilling_Yes	0.3411	0.074	4.580	0.000	0.195	0.487
PaymentMethod_Electronic_check	0.3469	0.077	4.503	0.000	0.196	0.498
PaymentMethod_Mailed_check	-0.0146	0.101	-0.145	0.885	-0.212	0.183

The third approach is to apply Random Forest Classifier to identify the important approach

 $Tenure, Monthly Charges, Senior Citizen_1, Phone Service_Yes, Internet Service_Fiber_optic, Internet Service_No, Contract_Month_to_month, Contract_One_year, Contract_Two_year, Payment Method_Electronic_check$

From all the above three methods the concluded features are Tenure, MonthlyCharges, SeniorCitizen_1,PhoneService_Yes,InternetService_Fiber_optic,InternetService_No,Contract_Month_to_month,Contract_One_year, Contract_Two_year, PaymentMethod_Electronic_check

CLASSIFICATION

Approaches for Predicting Qualitative Responses are called Classification. There are many classification techniques, widely used are **Logistic Regression**, **Decision Trees**, **SVM**, **Random Forest and XG Boost**. **Deep Learning** also can be applied for classification problems.

Confusion Matrix

	Actual				
	Churn	No	Yes		
eq	No	True Negative	False Positive		
Predicted	Yes	False Negative	True Positive		

The above table is called the Confusion Matrix, it clearly helps in studying our model performance.

- True Positive Actual Data point is positive and Predicted as positive
- True Negative Actual Data point is negative and Predicted as negative
- False Positive Actual Data point is negative and Predicted as positive
- False Negative Actual Data point is positive and Predicted as negative.

From above four values two metrics are calculated

- Precision = True Positives/ (True Positives + False Positives)
- Recall = True Positives/ (True Positives + False Negatives)

Precision talks about out of Total predicted positive values how many are actually Positive. Recall talks about the Total positive values predicted right.

- Cost of False Positive is high, then Precision is a good measure
- Cost of False Negative is high, then recall is a good measure.

For Customer churn classification, False Negative cost is high that is if a customer churned, is identified as not churned customer. So, Recall is an important measure for this dataset.

Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression models the **probability**that the output variable belongs to a particular category. Logistic Regression uses Logistic function as follows

$$p(X) = e^{(b0 + b1*X)} / (1 + e^{(b0 + b1*X)})$$

```
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, classification_report
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.25, random_state=42)
# Create the classifier: logreg
logreg = LogisticRegression()
# Fit the classifier to the training data
logreg.fit(X_train,y_train)
# Predict the labels of the test set: y_pred
y_pred = logreg.predict(X_test)
# Compute and print the confusion matrix and classification report
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred))
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred))
```

```
[[1152 148]
[ 223 235]]
           precision
                      recall f1-score
                                         support
                0.84
        0
                         0.89
                                  0.86
                                            1300
                0.61
                         0.51
                                  0.56
                                            458
                0.78
avg / total
                         0.79
                                  0.78
                                            1758
```

Decision Trees

Decision Trees are non- non-parametric supervised learning method used for classification and regression. The goal is to create a model that predicts the value of a target variable by learning simple decision rules inferred from the data features.

- Decision Trees can be able to handle both continuous and categorical data.
- The main disadvantage of Decision trees is it will create overcomplex trees that lead to **Overfitting**. It also creates biased tree if one class dominates.

```
import model evaluation utils as meu
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
Dtree = DecisionTreeClassifier()
Dtree.fit(X_train,y_train)
Dtree_predictions = Dtree.predict(X_test)
#meu.display_model_performance_metrics(true_labels=y_test, predicted_labels=Dtree_predictions,
                                  # classes=b_test_labels)
from sklearn import metrics
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, Dtree_predictions))
print(classification_report(y_test, Dtree_predictions))
print (metrics.accuracy_score(y_test,Dtree_predictions))
[[1035 265]
[ 224 234]]
            precision recall f1-score
                                            support
         0
                 0.82
                           0.80
                                     0.81
                                               1300
         1
                 0.47
                           0.51
                                     0.49
                                               458
avg / total
                 0.73
                           0.72
                                   0.73
                                              1758
```

Random Forest

In Random Forest each tree is built from samples wit replacement from training set. Split is not chosen as the best split among features instead built from random subset of features.

```
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
# train the model
RF = RandomForestClassifier()
RF.fit(X train, y train)
# predict and evaluate performance
RF_predictions = RF.predict(X_test)
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, RF_predictions))
print(classification_report(y_test, RF_predictions))
print (metrics.accuracy_score(y_test,RF_predictions))
[[1168 132]
 [ 249 209]]
            precision
                          recall f1-score
                                             support
          0
                  0.82
                            0.90
                                      0.86
                                                1300
          1
                  0.61
                            0.46
                                      0.52
                                                 458
avg / total
                            0.78
                                      0.77
                                                1758
                  0.77
0.7832764505119454
```

SVM

- SVM Support Vector Machines are very effective in high dimensional spaces.
- Rather than simply drawing a zero-width line between the classes, margin of some width can be drawn around each line, up to the nearest point.

```
from sklearn import svm
sv = svm.SVC()
sv.fit(X_train, y_train)
# predict and evaluate performance
sv_predictions = sv.predict(X_test)
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, sv_predictions))
print(classification_report(y_test, sv_predictions))
print (metrics.accuracy_score(y_test,sv_predictions))
[[1224
        76]
[ 344 114]]
            precision recall f1-score
                                           support
                 0.78
                           0.94
                                     0.85
                                              1300
         0
         1
                 0.60
                           0.25
                                     0.35
                                               458
avg / total
                 0.73
                           0.76
                                     0.72
                                              1758
```

0.7610921501706485

XG Boost

XGBoost is an implementation of gradient boosted decision trees designed for speed and performance that is dominative competitive machine learning.

```
import xgboost as xgb
xgb = xgb.XGBClassifier(seed=42)
xgb.fit(X_train, y_train)
xgb_predictions = xgb.predict(X_test)
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, xgb_predictions))
print(classification_report(y_test, xgb_predictions))
print (metrics.accuracy_score(y_test,xgb_predictions))
[[1169 131]
[ 236 222]]
            precision recall f1-score
                                          support
                0.83
                         0.90
                                   0.86
                                             1300
         0
         1
                0.63
                          0.48
                                   0.55
                                              458
avg / total
                0.78
                       0.79
                                   0.78
                                             1758
```

Deep Learning

0.7912400455062572

- he type of network that work well on this kind of problems is a simple stack of fully connected **Dense** layers with **relu** activations.
- The argument being passed to each Dense layer is the number of hidden units of layer. Here it is 64.

```
import keras
from keras.layers import Dense
from keras.models import Sequential
from keras.utils import to categorical
# Convert the target to categorical: target
target = to_categorical(new_data.Churn_Yes)
n_{cols} = X.shape[1]
A_train, A_test, b_train, b_test = train_test_split(X, target, test_size = 0.25, random_state=42)
model = Sequential()
# Add the first layer
model.add(Dense(64,activation='relu',input_shape=(n_cols,)))
model.add(Dense(64,activation='relu'))
# Add the output layer
model.add(Dense(64,activation='relu'))
model.add(Dense(2,activation='softmax'))
# Compile the model
model.compile(optimizer='adam',loss='categorical crossentropy',metrics=['accuracy'])
# Fit the model
model.fit(A_train,b_train,epochs=100)
```

As the point of interest is recall, from above all the models have very less recall value for Customer Churn (Value 1) - 0.48 to 0.51, but recall for not churned if from 0.79 to 0.94. Considering Precision, the values are higher for classifier label 0 than 1. This leads to the doubt about the distribution of rows for both classifiers.

SMOTE

Imbalanced data sets affect the performance and predictions of a model. From the code below the number of customers churn (1) is in very less number compared to not churned. There can be three types of solutions for these problems, they are

- Over-sample the minority class.
- Under-sample the majority class.
- Synthesize new minority classes.

SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique) is the process of creating a new minority classes from the datasets.

```
print("Before OverSampling, counts of label '1': {}".format(sum(y_train==1)))
print("Before OverSampling, counts of label '0': {} \n".format(sum(y_train==0)))

Before OverSampling, counts of label '1': 1411
Before OverSampling, counts of label '0': 3863

from imblearn.over_sampling import SMOTE
sm = SMOTE(random_state=2)
X_train_res, y_train_res = sm.fit_sample(X_train, y_train.ravel())

print("After OverSampling, counts of label '1': {}".format(sum(y_train_res==1)))
print("After OverSampling, counts of label '0': {}".format(sum(y_train_res==0)))

After OverSampling, counts of label '1': 3863
After OverSampling, counts of label '0': 3863
```

After applying **SMOTE** the distribution of both are classifiers are synthesized equally. Now we can apply all the algorithms to see any considerable differences in model performance and predictions.

Logistic regression after SMOTE

```
logreg_smote = LogisticRegression()
# Fit the classifier to the training data
logreg_smote.fit(X_train_res,y_train_res.ravel())
# Predict the labels of the test set: y_pred
y pred = logreg smote.predict(X test)
# Compute and print the confusion matrix and classification report
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred))
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred))
from sklearn import metrics
print (metrics.accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred))
[[947 353]
[ 93 365]]
            precision recall f1-score support
                0.91 0.73
                                    0.81
                                             1300
         1
                0.51
                        0.80
                                    0.62
                                              458
avg / total
                0.81 0.75 0.76 1758
```

0.7463026166097838

		Before SMOTE		After SMOTE	
		Precision	Recall	Precision	Recall
Logistic	0	0.84	0.89	0.91	0.73
	1	0.61	0.51	0.51	0.8
Decision	0	0.83	0.79	0.83	0.78
	1	0.47	0.53	0.47	0.54
Random	0	0.82	0.89	0.82	0.88
	1	0.59	0.44	0.57	0.44
svm	0	0.78	0.94	0.81	0.84
	1	0.68	0.25	0.49	0.44
XG Boost	0	0.83	0.9	0.85	0.85
	1	0.63	0.48	0.58	0.58

 Decion Trees and Random Forest didn't show any considerable difference in recall and presion values before and after applying SMOTE

- SVM and XGBoost though recall value for classifier 1 increased but the precision for the same met with a decrease in value.
- Comparing other models Logistic Regression gives best value for recall and precision value with little drop in precision of Classifier 1

Classification with Important Features

Considering only the important features from Feature Engineering analysis, the features are Tenure, MonthlyCharges, SeniorCitizen_1, PhoneService_Yes, InternetService_Fiber_optic, InternetService_No, Contract_Month_to_month, Contract_One_year, Contract_Two_year, PaymentMethod_Electronic_check

		Before		After		SMOTE less	
		SMOTE		SMOTE		Features	
		Precision	Recall	Precision	Recall	Precision	Recall
Logistic	0	0.84	0.89	0.91	0.73	0.91	0.66
	1	0.61	0.51	0.51	0.8	0.46	0.81
Decision	0	0.83	0.79	0.83	0.78	NA	NA
	1	0.47	0.53	0.47	0.54	NA	NA
Random	0	0.82	0.89	0.82	0.88	0.9	0.68
	1	0.59	0.44	0.57	0.44	0.47	0.79
svm	0	0.78	0.94	0.81	0.84	0.91	0.64
	1	0.68	0.25	0.49	0.44	0.45	0.83
XG							
Boost	0	0.83	0.9	0.85	0.85	0.9	69
	1	0.63	0.48	0.58	0.58	0.47	0.78

As only a smaller number of features selected precision and recall values suffered a considerable amount. Recall for classifier 0 and Precision for 1 suffers the most

From the above analysis Logistic Regression after SMOTE application performs best for this problem implying that not always complex algorithms are essential for better performance.