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Dear Miss Trendl,**

**I have received now reviews of this revision from the original three reviewers.  The consensus is that you have successfully addressed most of their concerns. The reviewers think that this is an improved presentation and that this is a very good paper.  Thus, I am happy to accept the paper tentatively.  I highlight this term because all the reviewers made some suggestions that could further improve the presentation of the results and their interpretation, and I want to give you an opportunity to polish the final version by addressing them.  To be clear, I am not insisting that you accept and implement all their recommendations, but I hope you will consider them seriously.**

**In the system, I will mark this as requiring a minor revision, but when you upload the next version (and the relevant cover letter) I will not re-send it for another round of reviews.**

**This is a very nice and original paper (I learned a lot from reading it), and I believe it will make a nice contribution to the literature.  I hope that the final version will be even better.**

**To submit a revision, go to** <https://www.editorialmanager.com/dec/> **and log in as an Author. You will see a menu item call Submission Needing Revision. You will find your submission record there.  
  
Sincerely,  
David Budescu  
Editor  
Decision**

Dear Professor Budescu,  
  
Thank you for your comments. We found the reviewers’ suggestions very constructive, and implemented almost all of them. We feel that the paper has been improved significantly as a result of the peer-review process and we would like to thank you and the reviewers for your help.

Best,

Anna Trendl, Neil Stewart, Tim Mullett