

# Permutation Closed Testing with Sum-Based Statistics

## 1 Sum-Based Test Statistics

Given a full model  $F = \{1, \dots, f\}$  of univariate hypotheses, let  $S \subseteq F$  be a subset under test by closed testing with level  $\alpha$ . Hence  $S$  is rejected if and only if all its supersets  $V$  ( $S \subseteq V \subseteq F$ ) are rejected.

Let

$$g_i^\pi \quad (i = 1, \dots, f, \pi = 1, \dots, B)$$

be some test statistics corresponding to the  $f$  covariates and  $B$  random permutations, where the first permutation is the identity. Assume that such test statistics are such that

$$g_V^\pi = \sum_{i \in V} g_i^\pi \quad (V \subseteq F, \pi = 1, \dots, B).$$

Moreover, define the centered test statistics

$$d_i^\pi = g_i^\pi - g_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, f, \pi = 1, \dots, B),$$

so that the observed values are all  $d_i = 0$ , and the variability due to  $g_i$  is excluded.

For  $V \subseteq F$ , the vector of its statistics is

$$\mathbf{d}_V = (0, d_V^2, \dots, d_V^B)^\top.$$

Consider  $d_V^{(1)} \leq d_V^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq d_V^{(B)}$ , and define  $k = \lceil (1 - \alpha)B \rceil$ . An exact permutation test is such that

- if  $d_V^{(k)} < 0$ ,  $V$  is rejected;
- if  $d_V^{(k)} = 0$ ,  $V$  is rejected with probability

$$a = \frac{\alpha B - \#\{\pi : d_V^\pi > 0\}}{\#\{\pi : d_V^\pi = 0\}}.$$

## 2 Shortcut

Let  $s = |S|$  and  $m = f - s$ . The possible superset sizes are  $|V| = s + v$ , with  $v = 0, \dots, m$ .

Fix a value  $v$ . We will define a shortcut for the analysis of the supersets in

$$\mathcal{V}_v = \{V : S \subseteq V \subseteq F, |V| = s + v\},$$

that does not require the critical values of all the  $\binom{m}{v}$  vectors  $\mathbf{d}_V$  ( $V \in \mathcal{V}_v$ ). It relies on the construction of a lower and an upper critical values,  $L_v$  and  $U_v$ , such that

- if  $L_v < 0$  (and with probability  $a$  if  $L_v = 0$ ), then at least one superset  $\tilde{V} \in \mathcal{V}_v$  is not rejected, and thus  $S$  is not rejected;
- if  $U_v < 0$ , then all supersets  $V \in \mathcal{V}_v$  are rejected, and other sizes can be explored.

Notice that if  $L_v \leq 0 \leq U_v$ , then the outcome is indecisive.

**Lower critical value.** In order not to reject  $S$ , it is sufficient to find a non-rejected superset. Hence we consider  $\tilde{V} \in \mathcal{V}_v$  which is likely to be non-rejected, and define the lower critical value as  $L_v = d_{\tilde{V}}^{(k)}$ .

In particular,  $\tilde{V}$  is defined by considering  $S$  and the indices of the remaining  $v$  smallest observed statistics. If  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  is a permutation of the indices in  $F \setminus S$  such that

$$g_{i_1} \leq g_{i_2} \leq \dots \leq g_{i_m},$$

then  $\tilde{V} = S \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_v\}$  and

$$d_{\tilde{V}}^\pi = d_S^\pi + \sum_{h=1}^v d_{i_h}^\pi \quad (\pi = 1, \dots, B).$$

**Upper critical value.** The upper critical value is  $U_v = u_v^{(k)}$ , where

$$\mathbf{u}_v = (0, u_v^2, \dots, u_v^B)^\top$$

is a vector such that

$$u_v^\pi \geq d_V^\pi \quad (V \in \mathcal{V}_v, \pi = 1, \dots, B).$$

As a consequence,

$$U_v \geq d_V^{(k)} \quad (V \in \mathcal{V}_v).$$

If  $U_v < 0$ , then all supersets in  $\mathcal{V}_v$  are rejected.

For each  $\pi = 1, \dots, B$ , the element  $u_v^\pi$  is defined by considering  $d_S^\pi$  and the remaining  $v$  highest centered statistics. If  $(j_1(\pi), \dots, j_m(\pi))$  is a permutation of the indices in  $F \setminus S$  such that

$$d_{j_1(\pi)}^\pi \geq d_{j_2(\pi)}^\pi \geq \dots \geq d_{j_m(\pi)}^\pi,$$

then

$$u_v^\pi = d_S^\pi + \sum_{h=1}^v d_{j_h(\pi)}^\pi.$$

**Testing.** The values  $v = 0, \dots, m$  are checked in sequence.

- As soon as a non-rejection is found ( $\tilde{v}$  with  $L_{\tilde{v}} < 0$ ), the analysis stops and  $S$  is not rejected.
- If all values lead to rejection ( $U_v < 0$  for all  $v$ ), then  $S$  is rejected.
- If some values  $v \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  lead to indecisive outcomes ( $L_v \leq 0 \leq U_v$ ), the Branch and Bound method is applied. Notice that an indecisive outcome cannot occur for  $v = 0$  or  $v = m$ , since

$$L_0 = U_0 = d_S^{(k)} \qquad L_m = U_m = d_F^{(k)}.$$

**Early stop.** Assume that there exists  $w \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$d_{j_w(\pi)}^\pi \leq 0 \quad (\pi = 1, \dots, B)$$

or, equivalently,

$$u_w^\pi = u_{w-1}^\pi + d_{j_w(\pi)}^\pi \leq u_{w-1}^\pi \quad (\pi = 1, \dots, B).$$

Then the upper critical value is non-increasing for  $v \geq w$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d_{j_m(\pi)}^\pi &\leq \dots \leq d_{j_w(\pi)}^\pi \leq 0 \quad (\pi = 1, \dots, B) \\ u_m^\pi &\leq \dots \leq u_w^\pi \leq u_{w-1}^\pi \quad (\pi = 1, \dots, B) \\ U_m &\leq \dots \leq U_w \leq U_{w-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, it is sufficient to stop the analysis as soon as we find a value  $v^* \geq w - 1$  such that  $U_{v^*} < 0$ . All the supersets with  $v \geq v^*$  are automatically rejected.

### 3 Branch and Bound

Assume that some values  $v \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$  lead to an indecisive outcome.

For a fixed index  $e \in F \setminus S$ , the total space  $\mathbb{S} = \{V : S \subseteq V \subseteq F\}$  is partitioned into two disjoint subspaces, according to the inclusion of  $e$ :

$$\mathbb{S}_- = \{V : S \subseteq V \subseteq F \setminus \{e\}\} \quad \mathbb{S}_+ = \{V : S \cup \{e\} \subseteq V \subseteq F\}.$$

The shortcut is applied to each subspace, in order to evaluate the indecisive values  $v$ :

- if  $S$  is not rejected in at least one subspace, it is not rejected in the total space;
- if  $S$  is rejected in both subspaces, it is rejected in the total space;
- if there is an indecisive outcome in at least one subspace, the procedure is iterated by partitioning the indecisive subspace(s).

For any choice of  $e$ ,  $U_v$  does not increase in the subspaces (since it is defined by taking the maximum statistics over smaller subsets). However, the choice of  $e$  and the order in which the subspaces are explored influence  $L_v$ , and thus the efficiency of the algorithm. We wish to begin with the subspaces that are more likely to lead to a rejection, i.e. where  $L_v$  is more likely to be high.

I will evaluate the efficiency in three different scenarios, employing the order of the observed statistics in  $F \setminus S$ .

- Removal of the highest statistic:  $e = i_m$ , and  $\mathbb{S}_-$  is explored first. In this case,  $L_v$  does not vary in  $\mathbb{S}_-$ , and is likely to decrease in  $\mathbb{S}_+$ .
- Keeping of the lowest statistic:  $e = i_1$ , and  $\mathbb{S}_+$  is explored first. In this case,  $L_v$  may decrease in  $\mathbb{S}_-$ , and does not vary in  $\mathbb{S}_+$ .
- Removal of the lowest statistic:  $e = i_m$ , and  $\mathbb{S}_-$  is explored first.