

# Examples

Roger Bai, Anne Dranowski, Joel Kamnitzer

Last edit: December 30, 2020

## 1 Examples

*Example 1.*  $\lambda_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = (1, 1, 0)$ ,  $\mu_1 = (0, 1, 0)$ ,  $\mu_2 = (1, 0, 1)$ . **Joel:**  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  determines the blocks we have on the RHS of the BD MVy isomorphism of Equation ??.

In the non-BD case, MVy establish

$$\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda} \cap \mathcal{W}^\mu \rightarrow \left\{ X = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ \hline * & 0 & * & 0 & * \end{array} \right] \mid X \in \overline{\mathbb{O}}_\lambda \right\}$$

In the BD case the RHS will consist of the same block like matrices  $X$  but now having eigenvalues  $s, 0$  such that  $X - s|_{E_s} \in \mathbb{O}_{\lambda_2}$

*Example 2.* Do Joel's exercise: It would be good to do an example where we will see some multiplicity in the fusion product. I think that the simplest example would be the fusion product of the MV cycles for  $\mathbf{SL}_3$  of weights  $2\alpha_1$  and  $2\alpha_2$ . Following the notation from the mvbasis paper, this would correspond to the following multiplication:  $x^2y^2 = (xy - z)^2 + 2(xy - z)z + z^2$ .

For this example, I think we need  $\lambda_1 = (2, 0, 0)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = (2, 2, 0)$ ,  $\mu_1 = (0, 2, 0)$ ,  $\mu_2 = (2, 0, 2)$ .

Adding 2020-12-30 14:03:05: Let's take

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= (4, 0, 0) & \lambda_1 &= (4, 4, 0) & \lambda &= (8, 4, 0) \\ \mu_2 &= (2, 2, 0) & \mu_1 &= (4, 2, 2) & \mu &= (6, 4, 2) \end{aligned}$$

Note that there is only one SSYT of shape  $\lambda_i$  and weight  $\mu_i$

$$\tau_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \tau_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} t^4(t-s)^2 &= t^4(t^2 - 2st + s^2) = t^6 - 2st^5 + s^2t^4 \\ t^2(t-s)^2 &= t^4 - 2st^3 + s^2t^2 \end{aligned}$$

Elements of  $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^+$  will take the form

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cc} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & & & -s^2 & 2s & 0 & 0 & a_3 & a_4 & b_1 & b_2 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & -s^2 & 2s & c_1 & c_2 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

The tableau tells us for each  $1 \leq i \leq 12$

Jordan type of  $A|_{\text{Span}(e_1, \dots, e_i) \cap E_0}$  is shape of  $\tau_1|_{\text{first } i \text{ boxes}}$

So take  $i = 10$ . Then  $A$  restricted to  $\mathbb{C}^{10} \cap E_0$  should have Jordan type  $(4, 2)$ .  
[Anne: How to do it box by box?](#)  $s$  columns somehow correspond to  $\tau_2$  boxes.  
 Therefore  $a_1 = 0$ . The 4-cycle is obvious. For the 2-cycle we require  $a_2 = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &\leftarrow e_2 \leftarrow e_3 \leftarrow e_4 \\ e_7 &\leftarrow e_8 \end{aligned}$$

Now looking at all of  $A$  we have continue our 2-cycle to a 4-cycle. Roger found

$$e_{10} - \left( \frac{2s}{c_1} + \frac{s^2 c_2}{c_1^2} \right) e_{11} + \frac{s^2}{c_1} e_{12}$$

This requires  $c_1^2 a_4 + c_1 b_2 s^2 - 2s c_1 b_1 - s^2 c_2 = 0$  and  $a_3 c_1 + s^2 b_1 = 0$ .

Now looking for the  $s$ -eigenspace, we expect  $A - s|_{\mathbb{C}^6 \cap E_s}$  to have Jordan type 2. The kernel is spanned by  $e_1 + s e_2 + s^2 e_3 + s^3 e_4 + s^4 e_5 + s^5 e_6$ . It is continued to a 2-cycle by/the 2-cycle is generated by  $-\frac{5}{s} e_1 - 4e_2 - 3s e_3 - 2s^2 e_4 - s^3 e_5$ . The 3-cycle is maybe  $(1/s^2, -4/s, -8, 5s, 3s^2, 2s^3, -s^2/a_3, -s^3/a_3, -s^4/a_3, -s^5/a_3)$  padded with zeros.

$$A - s = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cc|cc|cc} -s & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ & -s & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & & -s & 1 & & & & & & & \\ & & & -s & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & & & -s & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & & -s^2 & s & 0 & 0 & a_3 & a_4 & b_1 & b_2 \\ \hline & & & & & & -s & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & -s & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & -s & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & -s^2 & s & c_1 & c_2 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & -s & 1 & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & -s & \end{array} \right]$$

*Example 3.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= (2) & \lambda_1 &= & \mu &= (5) \\ \mu_2 &= (3) & \lambda_2 &= & \lambda &= \end{aligned}$$

Consider the companion matrix  $C(p)$  of

$$p(t) = (t - s)^3 t^2 = (t^3 - 3t^2 s + 3ts^2 - s^3)t^2 = t^5 - 3t^4 s + 3t^3 s^2 - t^2 s^3$$

Let  $X = C(p)^T$  so

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & s^3 & -3s^2 & 3s \end{bmatrix}$$

Ask that  $X|_{E_0}$  has Jordan type  $\lambda_1$  and  $X - s|_{E_s}$  has Jordan type  $\lambda_2$ . In this rank 1 case we are forced to take  $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ .

So what are the generalized eigenspaces  $E_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )? Note  $\dim E_0 = 2$  and  $\dim E_s = 3$ .

Anne: The basis

$$[1], [t], [\mathbf{1}], [\mathbf{t}], [\mathbf{t}^2]$$

with  $t[t] = 0$  and  $t[\mathbf{t}^2] = s^3[\mathbf{1}] - 3s^2[\mathbf{t}] + 3s[\mathbf{t}^2]$  is *not the correct basis to consider*. Hence my confusion of yore: what we would like is  $t[t] = 0$  no? what the matrix is telling us is that  $t[t] = [\mathbf{1}]$ . Can we still speak of *two* generalized eigenspaces?

Rather, take  $B$  to be the basis  $b_1 = e_1, b_2 = e_2, b_5 = e_5, b_4 = Xe_5, b_3 = X^2e_5$ . In this basis

$$X_B = [X(b_i)] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

*Example 4.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= (3, 1, 1) & \lambda_1 &= (3, 2, 0) & \mu &= (3, 3, 1) \\ \mu_2 &= (0, 2, 0) & \lambda_2 &= (2, 0, 0) & \lambda &= (5, 2, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and consider the companion matrices of

$$p_1(t) = t^3 \quad p_2(t) = t(t-s)^2 = t^3 - 2st^2 + s^2t \quad p_3(t) = t$$

$$X = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d & e & f & g \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -s^2 & 2s & k \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

*Example 5.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= (3, 2, 0) & \mu_1 &= (3, 1, 1) \\ \lambda_2 &= (2, 0, 0) & \mu_2 &= (1, 1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

so the first MV cycle  $Z_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  has MV polytope  $\text{Conv}\{0, \alpha_1\}$  and the second MV cycle  $Z_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  has MV polytope  $\text{Conv}\{0, \alpha_2\}$ . Their fusion product corresponds to two  $\mathbb{P}^2$ 's intersecting along a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . That is, we have the fusion product

$$Z_1 * Z_2 = Z_+ + Z_-$$

where  $Z_+ \cong Z_- \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ . We have

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & s & a & b & c \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & s & d \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The 0-generalized eigenspace  $E_0$  of  $X$  is 5-dimensional, containing a 3-cycle and a 2-cycle. The 3-cycle is

$$\{X^2 e_3, X e_3, e_3\} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}.$$

To obtain another vector in  $\ker X$ , either  $a = 0$  or  $c = d = 0$ , but the latter case cannot give a 2-cycle as  $e_7 \notin \text{im } X$ . Then  $a = 0$  and we obtain a 2-cycle

$$\left\{X \left(e_6 - \frac{s}{d} e_7\right), e_6 - \frac{s}{d} e_7\right\} = \left\{e_5, e_6 - \frac{s}{d} e_7\right\}.$$

We also obtain the equations  $b \neq 0$ ,  $d \neq 0$ , and  $sc - bd = 0$  from this.

For the  $s$ -generalized eigenspace  $E_s$ , we need  $a + sb \neq 0$  to obtain a 2-cycle, which can be taken as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (X - sI) \left( e_2 + 2se_3 + 3s^2e_4 + \frac{s^3}{a+sb}e_5 + \frac{s^4}{a+sb}e_6 \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. e_2 + 2se_3 + 3s^2e_4 + \frac{s^3}{a+sb}e_5 + \frac{s^4}{a+sb}e_6 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3 + s^3e_4, e_2 + 2se_3 + 3s^2e_4 + \frac{s^3}{a+sb}e_5 + \frac{s^4}{a+sb}e_6 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The minimal polynomial is  $X^3(X - sI)^2$ , which when equated to 0 gives again the equation  $cs - bd = 0$ . Thus the defining equations are

$$\{a = 0, cs - bd = 0\}.$$

When we take  $s = 0$ , we get the equations

$$\{a = 0, bd = 0\}$$

which corresponds to two  $\mathbb{A}^2$ 's intersecting along an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . This is indeed an open subset of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \cup_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathbb{P}^2$ , as required.

*Example 6* (Example 7 continued...). The matrix  $X$  from the previous example defines (under MVy) the matrix

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} (t-s)t^3 & & & \\ -bt & (t-s)t & & \\ -c & -d & t & \end{bmatrix}$$

in  $G(\mathcal{O})$ . Indeed the various blocks of  $X$  are in a precise sense the companion matrices of the polynomial entries of  $g$

In  $\text{Gr}$  the element  $g$  defines the lattice

$$gL_0 = \mathbb{C}[t]\langle (t-s)t^3e_1 - (a+bt)e_2 - ce_3, (t-s)te_2 - de_3, te_3 \rangle$$

and computing the matrix of the action of  $t$  on the quotient  $L_0/L$  in the basis

$$\{[e_1], [te_1], [t^2e_1], [t^3e_1], [e_2], [te_2], [e_3]\}$$

recovers  $X$  up to a transpose of course.

Now let's see what we get when we invert  $t$  and  $t-s$  respectively.

First let's invert  $t$  by considering  $L_2 = L \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t-s]]$ .

$$L_2 = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]\langle (t-s)e_1 - \frac{a+bt}{t^3}e_2 - \frac{c}{t^3}e_3, (t-s)e_2 - \frac{d}{t}e_3, e_3 \rangle$$

so in  $L_0/L_2$  we have

$$t[e_1] = s[e_1] + \frac{a+bt}{t^3}[e_2] + \frac{c}{t^3}[e_3] \quad t[e_2] = s[e_2] + \frac{d}{t}[e_3] \quad [e_3] = 0$$

and

$$\left[ t|_{L_0/L_2} \right]_{\{[e_1], [e_2]\}} = \begin{bmatrix} s & \\ \frac{a+bt}{t^3} & s \end{bmatrix}$$

which upon subtracting  $sI$  gives a matrix having block type  $\mu_2$  and Jordan type  $\lambda_2 = (2)$  assuming  $\frac{a+bt}{t^3} \neq 0$ .

Next let's invert  $t-s$  by considering  $L_1 = L \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \mathbb{C}[t, (t-s)^{-1}]\langle t^3e_1 - \frac{a+bt}{t-s}e_2 - \frac{c}{t-s}e_3, te_2 - \frac{d}{t-s}e_3, te_3 \rangle \\ &= \langle t^3e_1 - \frac{a}{t-s}e_2 - \frac{b}{t-s}te_2 - \frac{c}{t-s}e_3, te_2 - \frac{d}{t-s}e_3, te_3 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so in  $L_0/L_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} t[e_1] &= [te_1] \\ t[te_1] &= [t^2e_1] \\ t[t^2e_1] &= \frac{a}{t-s}[e_2] + \frac{b}{t-s}t[e_2] + \frac{c}{t-s}[e_3] \\ &= \frac{b}{t-s} \frac{d}{t-s}[e_3] + \frac{c}{t-s}[e_3] \\ &= \frac{bd + (t-s)c}{(t-s)^2}[e_3] \\ &= \frac{bd - sc}{(t-s)^2}[e_3] + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2}t[e_3] = 0 \\ t[e_2] &= \frac{d}{t-s}[e_3] \\ t[e_3] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[t|_{L_0/L_1}]_{\{[e_1],[te_1],[t^2e_1],[e_2],[e_3]\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & \frac{d}{t-s} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

taking transpose

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & \frac{d}{t-s} \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has block type  $\mu_1$  and Jordan type  $\lambda_1 = (3, 2)$  assuming  $d \neq 0$ .

I have used the relations Roger found (and I checked)  $a = 0$  and  $cs - bd = 0$  in the calculations above.

To sum up, the pair of matrices above should contain the same information as the matrix from the previous example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & s & b & c & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & s & d \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & \frac{d}{t-s} \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} s & \frac{b}{t^2} \\ & s \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

**Wish.** Given  $L$  in  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\text{BD}}$  define a map to  $T_\mu$  just like MVy by taking  $[t|_{L_0/L}]$  and use the fact that  $[t|_{L_0/L_i}]$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are companion matrices of the right type, piece together two MVy isomorphisms to make a BD MVy iso.

Equivalent lin alg question: If  $p(t, t-s) = p_1(t)p_2(t-s)$  then how are  $C(p_1)$ ,  $C(p_2)$ , and  $C(p)$  related? I think it's basically this theorem [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Structure\\_theorem\\_for\\_finitely\\_generated\\_modules\\_over\\_a\\_principal\\_ideal\\_domain](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Structure_theorem_for_finitely_generated_modules_over_a_principal_ideal_domain)

*Example 7.* Let  $G = \mathbf{SL}_3$  and  $\mathbf{i} = 121$ . Take  $n_\bullet^1 = (1, 0, 0)$ , and  $n_\bullet^2 = (1, 0, 1)$  or  $(0, 1, 0)$ . So  $\mu_1 = (2, 2, 1)$ ,  $\lambda_1 = (3, 1, 1)$  and  $\mu_2 = (1, 1, 1)$ ,  $\lambda_2 = (2, 1, 0)$ . *Anne: We should show that order does not matter.* Then  $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^+ \cap \mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  is made up of elements

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & s & 0 & a_2 & a_3 & b_1 & b_2 & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & s & c_1 & 0 & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & & & & 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

and zero eigenspace conforming to the shape

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

is made of three cycles

$$\begin{array}{c} a_2 e_1 \leftarrow a_2 e_2 - s e_4 \leftarrow a_2 e_3 - s e_5 \\ e_4 \\ e_7 \end{array}$$

while the  $s$ -eigenspace confirming to the shape

$$\lambda_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

is made of the two cycles

$$\begin{array}{c} e_1 + s e_2 + s^2 e_3 \leftarrow e_2 + 2 s e_3 + e_7 + s e_8 \\ e_4 + s e_5 + s^2 e_6 \end{array}$$

assuming  $b_2 = s$  and  $a_2 + s a_3 = 0$ .