Examples Compendium

Roger Bai, Anne Dranowski

Last edit: approx. January 12, 2021

Contents

1	January 12, 2021	1
2	Disjoint, non-dominant weight	5
3	Some multiplicity	ţ
4	Simple root weights, things working	6
5	Non simple root weights	11

1 January 12, 2021

Let Z be the MV cycle of weight α_3 with Lusztig datum (000, 00, 1) and Z' the MV cycle of weight $\alpha_{1,2}$ with Lusztig datum (010, 00, 0).

In terms of tableax the fusion Z * Z' can be encoded as

$$S_3 * (1 \leftarrow 2) = (1 \leftarrow 2 \rightarrow 3) + P_3$$
 (2)

$$(000, 00, 1) * (010, 00, 0) = (010, 00, 1) + (001, 00, 0)$$
(3)

$$= (A_0, A_5, A_1A_4 - A_2A_3) \sqcup (A_0, A_1, A_3) \tag{4}$$

where the ideals in line 3 are given in coordinates on matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_3 & A_4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & s & A_5 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Check that

$$E_0 = \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_4 - \frac{1}{s} A_5 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3\} \to \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, -A_5 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3\}$$

$$E_s = \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 + \frac{1}{s} A_3 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \frac{1}{s} A_1 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1\} \to \{A_3 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 + A_1 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1\}$$

The equations imposed by the factor tableaux also degenerate.

$$(A_0, sA_2 - A_1A_5, sA_4 - A_3A_5) \rightarrow (A_0, A_1A_5, A_3A_5) = (A_0, A_5) \sqcup (A_0, A_1, A_3)$$

Need to supplement $A_5 = 0$ case with $\operatorname{col}_3 \wedge \operatorname{col}_4 = 0$ or $A_1 A_4 - A_2 A_3 = 0$ in order that $\dim \ker A = 3$.

Remark 1. If we take $s \to \infty$ in E_0 , E_s we get the spanning set $\{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_4\} \sqcup \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3\}$. Does this have any significance?

Next let Z be the MV cycle of weight $\alpha_{1,3}$ having Lusztig datum (100, 01, 0) and Z' the MV cycle of weight α_2 having Lusztig datum (000, 10, 0).

Again, in terms of tableaux, Z * Z' is given by

$$(1 \to 2 \leftarrow 3) * S_2 = P_2 + (2 \leftarrow 3) \oplus (1 \to 2)$$
 (6)

$$(100,01,0) * (000,10,0) = (010,01,0) + (100,11,0)$$

$$(7)$$

$$= (A_5, A_0) \sqcup (A_3, A_0 A_4 + A_1 A_5) \tag{8}$$

Let's verify with MVy. Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 & A_4 \\ 0 & 0 & s & A_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A - s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & -s & A_3 & A_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -s \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$E_0 = \{ s\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 - A_0\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \tag{9}$$

$$sA_0\vec{\mathbf{e}}_4 - A_0A_5\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 + A_1A_5\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 - A_0A_1\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 \text{ if } sA_4 - A_3A_5 = 0\}$$
 (10)

$$\rightarrow \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, -A_0 A_5 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 + A_1 A_5 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 - A_0 A_1 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 \text{ if } A_3 A_5 = 0\}$$
(11)

$$E_s = \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \tag{12}$$

$$s\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 + A_3\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 \text{ if } sA_1 + A_0A_3 = 0$$
 (13)

$$\to \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 \text{ if } A_0 A_3 = 0\} \tag{14}$$

From this we see that Z * Z' is contained in

$$(A_3A_5, A_0A_3) = (A_3) \sqcup (A_5, A_0)$$

Expected dimension is 4+3-2-1=4 hence the first ideal is not big enough. Indeed the case $A_3=0$ and $A_5\neq 0$ has to be supplemented with $A\Big|_{s=0}^2=0$ since the total Jordan type is (1,1)+(1,1)=(2,2). This adds the condition $A_0A_4+A_1A_5=0$.

Now take Z to be the MV cycle of weight $\alpha_{1,3}$ with Lusztig datum (010,00,1) and leave Z' as above. Then

$$(1 \leftarrow 2 \rightarrow 3) * S_2 = (2 \rightarrow 3) \oplus (1 \leftarrow 2) + P_2$$
 (16)

$$(010,00,1)*(000,10,0) = (010,10,1) + (010,01,0)$$

$$(17)$$

Verifying. Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -s^2 & 2s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_5 & A_6 & A_7 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_8 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A - s = \begin{bmatrix} -s & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -s & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -s^2 & s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & -s & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_5 & A_6 & A_7 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -s & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_8 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -s \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$E_0 = \{ \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \tag{18}$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{e}}_4 \text{ if } A_0 = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$s\vec{\mathbf{e}}_6 - A_5\vec{\mathbf{e}}_5 + c\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 \text{ if } A_2 = 0,$$
 (20)

$$A_5\vec{\mathbf{e}}_8 - A_7\vec{\mathbf{e}}_6 \text{ if } A_4, A_8 = 0\}$$
 (21)

$$\rightarrow \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_4, -A_5 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_5 + c \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, A_5 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_8 - A_7 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_6 \tag{22}$$

if
$$A_0, A_2, A_4, A_8 = 0$$
 (23)

$$E_s = \{s^2 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 + s \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, s \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 - \frac{1}{s} \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1,$$
 (24)

$$s\vec{\mathbf{e}}_5 + \vec{\mathbf{e}}_4 \text{ if } A_1 = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$s\vec{\mathbf{e}}_7 + \vec{\mathbf{e}}_6 + \vec{\mathbf{e}}_5 \text{ if } A_5 + (A_6 - 1)s = 0$$
 (26)

$$\rightarrow \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_4, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_6 + \vec{\mathbf{e}}_5 \text{ if } A_1, A_5 = 0\}$$
 (27)

In line 26 I don't think $A_3 = 0$ because we can probably add some combination $a\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 + b\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 + c\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$ so that $\vec{\mathbf{e}}_7$ maps to both 1-cycles (the 2-d kernel) determined so far.

Thus Z * Z' is contained in $(A_0, A_2, A_4, A_8, A_1, A_5)$ Also computed:

$$\frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{3}$$
(28)

$$(000, 00, 1) * (100, 10, 0) = (100, 01, 0) + (100, 10, 1)$$
(29)

$$S_3 * (1 \to 2) = (1 \to 2 \leftarrow 3) + (1 \to 2 \to 3)$$
 (30)

$$= (A_0, A_5, A_4, A_2) \sqcup (A_0, A_5, A_4, A_6) \tag{31}$$

in

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_4 & A_5 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_6 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

TODO: add explanation, double check the ideals.

Finally, we guess that the fusion $2\alpha_1*2\alpha_2$ is encoded by the tableau equation

$$\boxed{2 \ | \ 2} * \boxed{1 \ | \ 1} \\ \boxed{3 \ | \ 3} = \boxed{1 \ | \ 1 \ | \ 2 \ | \ 2} \\ \boxed{3 \ | \ 3} + \boxed{1 \ | \ 1 \ | \ 2 \ | \ 3} \\ \boxed{2 \ | \ 2} + \boxed{1 \ | \ 1 \ | \ 3 \ | \ 3}$$

Remains to check this and make sense of the middle term.

2 Disjoint, non-dominant weight

Example 1. $\lambda_1 = (1, 0, 0), \ \lambda_2 = (1, 1, 0), \ \mu_1 = (0, 1, 0), \ \mu_2 = (1, 0, 1).$ Joel: $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ determines the blocks we have on the RHS of the BD MVy isomorphism.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
s & A_0 & A_1 \\
0 & 0 & A_2 \\
0 & 0 & s
\end{bmatrix}$$

3 Some multiplicity

Example 2 (Joel's exercise). It would be good to do an example where we will see some multiplicity in the fusion product. I think that the simplest example would be the fusion product of the MV cycles for \mathbf{SL}_3 of weights $2\alpha_1$ and $2\alpha_2$. Following the notation from the mybasis paper, this would correspond to the following multiplication:

$$x^{2}y^{2} = (xy - z)^{2} + 2(xy - z)z + z^{2}.$$

Take $\lambda_1=(2,0,0),\ \lambda_2=(2,2,0),\ \mu_1=(0,2,0),\ \mu_2=(2,0,2).$ Note that there is only one tableau of weight μ_i and type λ_i for each i.

Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -s^2 & 2s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_4 & A_5 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -s^2 & 2s \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$E_s = \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 + s\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 + (s+1)\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2$$
 (32)

$$\vec{\mathbf{e}}_5 + s\vec{\mathbf{e}}_6 + \frac{1}{s}(A_4 + A_5s)\vec{\mathbf{e}}_4 + \frac{1}{s^2}(A_4 + A_5s)\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$$
 (33)

if
$$(A_0 + A_1 s)(A_4 + A_5 s) + (A_2 + A_3 s)s^2 = 0,$$
 (34)

$$\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 + (s+a)\vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \vec{\mathbf{e}}_6 + \frac{1}{s}\vec{\mathbf{e}}_5 + \frac{1}{s}A_5\vec{\mathbf{e}}_4 - \frac{1}{s^3}A_4\vec{\mathbf{e}}_3$$
 (35)

if
$$-2A_3s^3 - A_2s^2 + A_0A_4 - A_5s = 0$$
 (36)

$$E_0 = \{ A_0 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 + s^2 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3, A_0 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 + s^2 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_4 + \frac{A_1}{A_0} \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 - 2s \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 \}$$
 (37)

Taking $s \to 0$ we get

$$E_{s=0} = \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2, \vec{\mathbf{e}}_3 \text{ if } A_0 A_4 = 0\}$$
(38)

$$E_0 = \{\vec{\mathbf{e}}_1, A_0^2 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_2 + A_1 \vec{\mathbf{e}}_1\}$$
(39)

So Z*Z' is contained in (A_0A_4) . If we look at $(A\big|_{s=0})^4$, which must be zero since $\lambda=(4,2)$, we pick up the additional equation $A_1A_4+A_0A_5$. Therefore the ideal of Z*Z' is

$$(A_0 A_4, A_1 A_4 + A_0 A_5) \subset \mathbb{C}[A_0 ... A_5] \tag{40}$$

which decomposes as $(A_4, A_5) \sqcup (A_0, A_1) \sqcup (A_0, A_4)$. Since

$$\mathbb{C}[x,y]/(xy,x+y) \cong \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^2)$$

the component (A_0,A_4) occurs with multiplicity 2 as expected! Localize at, or colon out (A_1,A_5) .

Note that these ideals correspond to expected tableaux as follows.

$$= (A_4, A_5) + \frac{2?}{2}(A_0, A_4) + (A_0, A_1) \tag{42}$$

$$= (20,2) + 2?(11,1) + (02,0) \tag{43}$$

$$= (11 \to 22) + \frac{2?}{(1 \to (1 \leftarrow 2))} \to 2) + (11 \leftarrow 22) \tag{44}$$

$$= (xy - z)^{2} + 2(xy - z)z + z^{2}$$
(45)

Question 1. How do we tell from the tableaux that an ideal is occurring with multiplicity?

4 Simple root weights, things working

Example 3. Let

$$\mu_1 = (3, 1, 1)$$
 $\lambda_1 = (3, 2, 0)$ $\mu = (3, 3, 1)$

$$\mu_2 = (0, 2, 0)$$
 $\lambda_2 = (2, 0, 0)$ $\lambda = (5, 2, 0)$

and consider the companion matrices of

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d & e & f & g \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 4. Let

$$\lambda_1 = (3, 2, 0)$$
 $\mu_1 = (3, 1, 1)$
 $\lambda_2 = (2, 0, 0)$ $\mu_2 = (1, 1, 0)$

so the first MV cycle $Z_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ has MV polytope Conv $\{0, \alpha_1\}$ and the second MV cycle $Z_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ has MV polytope Conv $\{0, \alpha_2\}$. Their fusion product corresponds to two \mathbb{P}^2 's intersecting along a \mathbb{P}^1 . That is, we have the fusion product

$$Z_1 * Z_2 = Z_+ + Z_-$$

where $Z_{+} \cong Z_{-} \cong \mathbb{P}^{2}$. We have

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & s & a & b & c \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & s & d \\ & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The 0-generalized eigenspace E_0 of X is 5-dimensional, containing a 3-cycle and a 2-cycle. The 3-cycle is

$${X^2e_3, Xe_3, e_3} = {e_1, e_2, e_3}.$$

To obtain another vector in ker X, either a=0 or c=d=0, but the latter case cannot give a 2-cycle as $e_7 \notin \operatorname{im} X$. Then a=0 and we obtain a 2-cycle

$$\left\{X\left(e_6 - \frac{s}{d}e_7\right), e_6 - \frac{s}{d}e_7\right\} = \left\{e_5, e_6 - \frac{s}{d}e_7\right\}.$$

We also obtain the equations $b \neq 0$, $d \neq 0$, and sc - bd = 0 from this.

For the s-generalized eigenspace E_s , we need $a+sb\neq 0$ to obtain a 2-cycle, which can be taken as

$$\left\{ (X - sI) \left(e_2 + 2se_3 + 3s^2e_4 + \frac{s^3}{a + sb}e_5 + \frac{s^4}{a + sb}e_6 \right), \\ e_2 + 2se_3 + 3s^2e_4 + \frac{s^3}{a + sb}e_5 + \frac{s^4}{a + sb}e_6 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3 + s^3e_4, e_2 + 2se_3 + 3s^2e_4 + \frac{s^3}{a + sb}e_5 + \frac{s^4}{a + sb}e_6 \right\}$$

The minimal polymonial is $X^3(X - sI)^2$, which when equated to 0 gives again the equation cs - bd = 0. Thus the defining equations are

$${a = 0, cs - bd = 0}.$$

When we take s = 0, we get the equations

$${a = 0, bd = 0}$$

which corresponds to two \mathbb{A}^2 's intersecting along an \mathbb{A}^1 . This is indeed an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^2 \cup_{\mathbb{P}_1} \mathbb{P}^2$, as required.

Example 5 (Continued...). The matrix X from the previous example defines (under MVy) the matrix

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} (t-s)t^3 \\ -bt & (t-s)t \\ -c & -d & t \end{bmatrix}$$

in $G(\mathcal{O})$. Indeed the various blocks of X are in a precise sense the companion matrices of the polynomial entries of g

In Gr the element q defines the lattice

$$qL_0 = \mathbb{C}[t]\langle (t-s)t^3e_1 - (a+bt)e_2 - ce_3, (t-s)te_2 - de_3, te_3 \rangle$$

and computing the matrix of the action of t on the quotient L_0/L in the basis

$$\{[e_1], [te_1], [t^2e_1], [t^3e_1], [e_2], [te_2], [e_3]\}$$

recovers X up to a transpose of course.

Now let's see what we get when we invert t and t-s respectively. First let's invert t by considering $L_2 = L \otimes \mathbb{C}[t-s]$.

$$L_2 = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \langle (t-s)e_1 - \frac{a+bt}{t^3}e_2 - \frac{c}{t^3}e_3, (t-s)e_2 - \frac{d}{t}e_3, e_3 \rangle$$

so in L_0/L_2 we have

$$t[e_1] = s[e_1] + \frac{a+bt}{t^3}[e_2] + \frac{c}{t^3}[e_3]$$
 $t[e_2] = s[e_2] + \frac{d}{t}[e_3]$ $[e_3] = 0$

and

$$\left[t\big|_{L_0/L_2}\right]_{\{[e_1],[e_2]\}} = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ \frac{a+bt}{t^3} & s \end{bmatrix}$$

which upon subtracting sI gives a matrix having block type μ_2 and Jordan type $\lambda_2 = (2)$ assuming $\frac{a+bt}{t^3} \neq 0$. Next let's invert t-s by considering $L_1 = L \otimes \mathbb{C}[\![t]\!]$.

$$L_1 = \mathbb{C}[t, (t-s)^{-1}] \langle t^3 e_1 - \frac{a+bt}{t-s} e_2 - \frac{c}{t-s} e_3, te_2 - \frac{d}{t-s} e_3, te_3 \rangle$$
$$= \langle t^3 e_1 - \frac{a}{t-s} e_2 - \frac{b}{t-s} te_2 - \frac{c}{t-s} e_3, te_2 - \frac{d}{t-s} e_3, te_3 \rangle$$

so in L_0/L_1 we have

$$t[e_1] = [te_1]$$

$$t[te_1] = [t^2e_1]$$

$$t[t^2e_1] = \frac{a}{t-s}[e_2] + \frac{b}{t-s}t[e_2] + \frac{c}{t-s}[e_3]$$

$$= \frac{b}{t-s}\frac{d}{t-s}[e_3] + \frac{c}{t-s}[e_3]$$

$$= \frac{bd + (t-s)c}{(t-s)^2}[e_3]$$

$$= \frac{bd - sc}{(t-s)^2}[e_3] + \frac{c}{(t-s)^2}t[e_3] = 0$$

$$t[e_2] = \frac{d}{t-s}[e_3]$$

$$t[e_3] = 0$$

and

$$\left[t\big|_{L_0/L_1}\right]_{\{[e_1],[te_1],[t^2e_1],[e_2],[e_3]\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & \\ & & 0 & \frac{d}{t-s} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

taking transpose

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & 0 & \frac{d}{t-s} \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has block type μ_1 and Jordan type $\lambda_1 = (3, 2)$ assuming $d \neq 0$.

I have used the relations Roger found (and I checked) a = 0 and cs - bd = 0in the calculations above.

To sum up, the pair of matrices above should contain the same information as the matrix from the previous example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & s & b & c \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & s & d \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & \frac{d}{t-s} & \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} s & \frac{b}{t^2} \\ & s \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Wish. Given L in $\mathcal{G}r_n^{\mathrm{BD}}$ define a map to T_μ just like MVy by taking $[t\big|_{L_0/L}]$ and use the fact that $[t\big|_{L_0/L_i}]$ for i=1,2 are companion matrices of the right type, piece together two MVy isomorphisms to make a BD MVy iso.

Equivalent linear algebra question(?): If $p(t, t - s) = p_1(t)p_2(t - s)$ then how are $C(p_1)$, $C(p_2)$, and C(p) related?

5 Non simple root weights

Example 6 (Anne). Let $G = \mathbf{SL}_3$ and $\underline{\mathbf{i}} = 121$. Take $n^1_{\bullet} = (1, 0, 0)$, and $n^2_{\bullet} = (1, 0, 1)$ or (0, 1, 0). So

$$\mu_1 = (2, 2, 1)$$
 $\mu_2 = (1, 1, 1)$ $\mu = (3, 3, 2)$
 $\lambda_1 = (3, 1, 1)$ $\lambda_2 = (2, 1, 0)$ $\lambda = (5, 2, 1)$

Note

Anne: We should show that order does not matter; i.e. swapping indices on λ 's and μ 's produces the same result.

 $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1,\mu_2}^+\cap\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$ is made up of elements of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & A_4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_5 & A_6 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

As usual, denote by E_e the generalized e-eigenspace of A. $A|_{\mathbb{C}^3\cap E_0}$ should have Jordan type (2). The obvious 2-cycle is generated by e_2 : $\{e_2, Ae_2\}$. $A|_{\mathbb{C}^3\cap E_s}$ should have Jordan type (1). We take $e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3 \in \operatorname{Ker}(A - s)$. Next $A|_{\mathbb{C}^6\cap E_0}$ should have Jordan type (3, 1) while $A|_{\mathbb{C}^6\cap E_s}$ will have Jordan type (2) or (1, 1). Anne: This example breaks. Why? How should we choose weights?

Take 2: Let's try different weights.

$$\mu_1 = (1, 1, 0) \quad \lambda_1 = (2, 0, 0)$$
 $\mu_2 = (1, 1, 1) \quad \lambda_2 = (2, 1, 0)$
 $\mu = (2, 2, 1) \quad \lambda = (4, 1, 0)$

and

$$\tau(1,0,0) = \boxed{1 \hspace{.1cm} 2} \hspace{.1cm} \tau(1,0,1) = \boxed{1 \hspace{.1cm} 2} \hspace{.1cm} \tau(0,1,0) = \boxed{1 \hspace{.1cm} 3}$$

Then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

We have $E_0 \cap \mathbb{C}^2 = \operatorname{Span}(e_1)$, $E_s \cap \mathbb{C}^2 = \operatorname{Span}(e_1 + se_2)$. Next $E_0 \cap \mathbb{C}^4$ is spanned by a 2-cycle generated by $-\frac{A_0}{s}e_2 + e_3$ and $E_s \cap \mathbb{C}^4$ is spanned by a 2-cycle generated by

$$\frac{s}{A_1 + \frac{A_0}{s}} e_4 + \frac{1}{A_1 + \frac{A_0}{s}} e_3 - \frac{1}{s} e_1$$

or the additional 1-cycle $e_4 - \frac{A_1}{A_0} e_3$ assuming $A_0 + sA_1 = 0$. Finally E_s is spanned by an additional 1-cycle

$$e_5 - \frac{A_2}{A_1 + \frac{A_0}{s}} e_4 + \frac{1}{s} \frac{A_2}{A_1 + \frac{A_0}{s}} e_3$$

assuming $A_3 = 0$. Or the two 1-cycles are extended to a 2-cycle and a 1-cycle, the 2-cycle generated by $-\frac{1}{s}e_1 + \frac{s}{A_2}e_5$. This gives us

$$\boxed{1 \ 2} * \boxed{1 \ 2} = (A_3) \qquad \boxed{1 \ 2} * \boxed{1 \ 3} = (A_0 + sA_1) \to (A_0)$$

Does it agree with what is expected on the module/cluster side?

$$S_1 * (1 \rightarrow 2) = S_1 \oplus (1 \rightarrow 2)$$
 $S_1 * (1 \leftarrow 2) = S_1 \oplus (1 \leftarrow 2)$

The MV cycle of $\boxed{1\ 2}$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 : via MVy it has an open subset comprised of matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : A_0 \neq 0$$

The MV cycles of the other two tableaux are made up of matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_0 & A_1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{cases} A_0 \neq 0 \text{ and } A_2 = 0 & \tau = \boxed{1 \mid 2} \\ A_0 = 0 \text{ and } A_2 \neq 0 & \tau = \boxed{1 \mid 3} \\ \hline 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

both \mathbb{C}^2 's. Anne: How do the coordinates relate?

Example 7 (Roger). Let

$$\lambda_1 = (2,0,0,0)$$
 $\mu_1 = (1,1,0,0)$
 $\lambda_2 = (2,2,1,0)$ $\mu_2 = (3,2,1,1)$

so $\lambda_1 - \mu_1 = \alpha_1$ and $\lambda_2 - \mu_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. We have the following young tableaux:

$$\tau_1 = \boxed{1 \hspace{0.1cm} 2} \hspace{0.1cm} \quad \tau_2 = \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} 1 \hspace{0.1cm} 1} \\ 2 \hspace{0.1cm} 3 \end{array}} \hspace{0.1cm} \quad \tau_2' = \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} 1 \hspace{0.1cm} 1} \\ 2 \hspace{0.1cm} 4 \end{array}}$$

where τ_1 corresponds to the module S_1 , τ_2 corresponds to the module $2 \to 3$, and τ_2' corresponds to the module $2 \leftarrow 3$.

The matrix we are considering is

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & -s^2 & 2s & a & b & c & d \\ & & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & s & e & f \\ & & & & s & g \\ & & & & s \end{bmatrix}$$

such that dim $E_0 = 2$, dim ker X = 1, dim $E_s = 5$, and dim ker (X - sI) = 3 where E_0 and E_s are the 0- and s-generalized eigenspaces.

We see that the two-cycle in E_0 is

$$\left\{ X\left(e_2 + \frac{s^2}{a}e_4\right), e_2 + \frac{s^2}{a}e_4 \right\} = \left\{e_1, e_2 + \frac{s^2}{a}e_4\right\}.$$

As τ_2 and τ_2' both share $\boxed{\frac{1}{2}}$, we can find a 2-cycle from just the upper-left 3×3 block, and an additional vector in $\ker(X-sI)$ from the upper-left 5×5 -submatrix. The 2-cycle from the 3×3 block is

$$\left\{e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3, -\frac{2}{s}e_1 - e_2\right\}.$$

The additional vector in ker(X - sI) is $e_4 + se_5$ and this requires a + sb = 0.

Now consider the case that the young diagram we are working with is τ_2 . Then we have $e_4 + se_5 + x(e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3)$ part of a 2-cycle that can be found by looking at the upper-left 6×6 -submatrix. We find that the 2-cycle is

$$\left\{e_4 + se_5 + x(e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3), -\frac{2x}{s}e_1 - xe_2 - \frac{1}{s}e_4 + \frac{s}{e}e_6\right\}$$

and this requires that $ae - s^2c = 0$.

The last vector in ker(X - sI) comes from the entire X - sI and we see it is $-fe_6 + ee_7$, which requires g = 0 and ed - cf = 0.

For the case τ'_2 , we start with find the third vector in $\ker(X - sI)$ from the upper-left 6×6 -submatrix. We see that it is e_6 , which requires c = 0 and e = 0.

For the remaining 2-cycle, we want it to end with $x(e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3) + (e_4 + se_5) + ye_6$ so our 2-cycle is

$$\left\{x(e_1 + se_2 + s^2e_3) + (e_4 + se_5) + ye_6, -\frac{2x}{s}e_1 - xe_2 - \frac{1}{s}e_4 + \frac{s}{f}e_7\right\}$$

which requires $af - ds^2 = 0$ and fy - sg = 0. As y is free, the last equation is not really a restriction on f and g.

From the minimal polynomial, we have $X^2(X-sI)^2=0$ which gives us the equations

$$a + sb = cs + eb = bf + cq + ds = esq = 0.$$

Taking $s \to 0$, we have the following equations for our two cases of τ_2 and τ_2' :

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} \tau_2 & \tau_2' \\ \hline a = 0 & a = 0 \\ g = 0 & c = 0 \\ eb = 0 & e = 0 \\ bf = 0 & bf = 0 \\ ed - cf = 0 & \end{array}$$

For the τ_2 case, the coordinate ring is

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[a,b,c,d,e,f,g]}{\langle a,g,eb,bf,ed-cf\rangle}\cong\frac{\mathbb{C}[b,c,d,e,f]}{\langle eb,bf,ed-cf\rangle}=\frac{\mathbb{C}[b,c,d,e,f]}{\langle e,f\rangle\cap\langle b,ed-cf\rangle}$$

Hence the associated algebraic set is reducible with two irreducible components. The component corresponding to the ideal $\langle e, f \rangle$ is \mathbb{A}^3 , which corresponds to \mathbb{P}^3 , while the ideal $\langle b, ed-cf \rangle$ corresponds to the toric variety whose toric polytope is a square-based pyramid.

As τ_2 corresponds to the module $2 \to 3$, the irreducible components should correspond to the modules $P_1 = 1 \to 2 \to 3$ and $1 \leftarrow 2 \to 3$. Indeed, the MV cycle corresponding to P_1 is the Grassmannian $Gr(1,4) \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ and for $1 \leftarrow 2 \to 3$, we do get a toric variety with polytope the square-based pyramid.

However for the τ_2' case, the coordinate ring is

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}[a,b,c,d,e,f,g]}{\langle a,c,e,df\rangle}\cong\frac{\mathbb{C}[b,d,f,g]}{\langle df\rangle}$$

which corresponds to $\mathbb{A}^3 \cup \mathbb{A}^3$. Since τ_2' corresponds to the module $2 \leftarrow 3$, we expect two irreducible components corresponding to the modules $P_3 = 1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow 3$ and $1 \rightarrow 2 \leftarrow 3$. P_3 corresponds to the variety $Gr(3,4) \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ and $1 \rightarrow 2 \leftarrow 3$ also corresponds to a toric variety whose polytope is a square-based pyramid (?).

Example 8 (Above example redone). In $\mathbb{C}[A_0..A_6]$ where

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -s^2 & 2s & A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_4 & A_5 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s & A_6 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\hline 1 & 1 \\
\hline 2 & 3 \\
\hline 4
\end{array} = (A_6, A_2A_5 - A_3A_4, A_1A_4 + A_2s, A_1s + A_0) \\
= (A_6, A_2A_5 - A_3A_4, A_1A_4, A_0) \text{ at } s = 0 \\
= (A_0, A_4, A_5, A_6) \sqcup (A_0, A_1, A_6, A_2A_5 - A_3A_4) \sqcup (A_0, A_2, A_4, A_6)
\end{array}$$

while

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\hline
1 & 1 \\
\hline
2 & 4 \\
\hline
3
\end{array} = (sA_0A_5 + (1+s^2)A_1A_5 + sA_3, A_2, A_4, A_1s + A_0) \\
= (A_1A_5, A_2, A_4, A_0) \text{ at } s = 0 \\
= (A_0, A_2, A_4, A_5) \sqcup (A_0, A_1, A_2, A_4)
\end{array}$$

Cycles are

$$\frac{A_0}{s^2}e_2 + e_4 \xrightarrow{A} e_1$$

and, in the $\boxed{\frac{1}{2} \ket{1}}$ case,

$$e_2 + 2se_3 \xrightarrow{A-s} e_1 + se_2 + s^2 e_3$$
$$(sA_4e_4 + (1+s^2)A_4e_5 + se_6)/(sA_4) \xrightarrow{A-s} (e_4 + se_5)/s$$

while in the $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ case,

$$e_6 \xrightarrow{A-s} 0$$
 $e_4 + (1/s + s)e_5 + (1/A_5)e_7 \xrightarrow{A-s} (1/s)e_4 + e_5 + *e_6$