

# How to compute the fusion product of MV cycles in type A

Roger Bai, Anne Dranowski

October 2020

## 1 Players

$G = \mathbf{GL}_m$ .

- The ordinary affine Grassmannian  $\mathrm{Gr}$
- The Beilinson–Drinfeld Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_n^{\mathrm{BD}} \rightarrow C$
- Partitions  $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$  of  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\mu = \sum \mu_i \leq \lambda = \sum \lambda_i$  of  $N = \sum N_i$
- The slices  $\mathrm{Gr}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  to the orbits  $\mathrm{Gr}^\lambda$  and  $(\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathrm{BD}})^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$
- The nilpotent and semi-nilpotent cones  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_s$  (of matrices with eigenvalues 0 and 0 or  $s \neq 0$ )
- The slices  $\mathbb{T}_\mu$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  to the orbits  $\mathbb{O}_\lambda$  and  $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$

New (?) definitions among these are as follows.

The [family of] slices [with  $s$ -fibre?]

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu_1, \mu_2} = G_1[t^{-1}, (t-s)^{-1}]L_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \quad (1)$$

where  $L_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathrm{BD}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{C}(t)^m$  that specializes to a  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{C}((t))^m$  away from  $t = 0$  and away from  $t = s$ ; i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes \mathbb{C}[(t-s)^{-1}] &= L_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]] = L_{\mu_2} \text{ and} \\ L_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] &= L_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t-s]] = L_{\mu_1} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $L_{\mu_i}$  denotes the point  $t^{\mu_i}G(\mathcal{O}) \in \mathrm{Gr}$ .

The family of semi-infinite orbits [Anne: Maybe doesn't make sense before specialization?](#)

$$S_{\mu_1, \mu_2} = N_-(K)L_{\mu_1, \mu_2}. \quad (3)$$

The orbit of  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -lattices in  $\mathbb{C}(t)^m$  whose elements specialize again to  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -lattices in  $\mathbb{C}((t))^m$  away from  $t = 0$  and away from  $t = s$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{G}_2^{\mathrm{BD}})^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} &= \{L \in \mathcal{G}_2^{\mathrm{BD}} : L \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] \in \mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda_2} \text{ and} \\ &\quad L \otimes \mathbb{C}[(s-t)^{-1}] \in \mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda_1}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Anne: These defining conditions are again just telling us that in the fibre over the fixed point  $(0, s) \in C^{(2)}$  this set is pairs of lattices; note that we invert the indeterminates  $t$  and  $(t - s)$  after specializing  $(0, s)$ . Could we give a more explicit characterization like  $t^{N_1}(t - s)^{N_2}L_0 \subseteq L \subseteq t^{-N_1}(t - s)^{-N_2}$ ?

The [family “ $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}[s]$ ?  $\mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{C}$ ?” of] semi-nilpotent cone[s fibred over  $C = \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $s$ -fibre]

$$\mathcal{N}_s = \{A \in \text{Mat}(N) : \text{eigenvalues of } A \text{ are } 0 \text{ or } s\}. \quad (5)$$

The [family of] slice[s  $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  fibred over  $C = \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $s$ -fibre]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^s = \{B + C_s : B \text{ is a } \mu \times \mu \text{ block matrix of zeros} \\ \text{except possibly in the last } \min(\mu_i, \mu_j) \\ \text{columns of the last row of each } \mu_i \times \mu_j \text{ block} \\ \text{and } C_s \text{ is the block diagonal matrix of} \\ \text{companion matrices of } t^{\mu_1, k}(t - s)^{\mu_2, k}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The uppertriangular subfamily  $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^+$  with  $s$ -fibre

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^{+, s} = \{B + C_s \in \mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2} : B \in \mathfrak{n}\} \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \text{Mat}(N)$  is the unipotent subalgebra of uppertriangular matrices.

Anne: or—as Joel pointed out, may be ok with: The slice  $\mathbb{T}_\mu$  as defined in MVy, no change, and the family of slices

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^{+, s} = \mathbb{T}_\mu \cap \mathfrak{n} + C_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^s$$

where

$$C_s \text{ is the block diagonal matrix of companion matrices of } t^{\mu_1, k}(t - s)^{\mu_2, k} \quad (8)$$

The [family of] orbit[s  $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  fibred over  $C = \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $s$ -fibre]

$$\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^s = \{A \in \mathcal{N}_s : A \text{ is conjugate to } J_{\lambda_1} \oplus (sI_{N_2} + J_{\lambda_2})\} \quad (9)$$

where  $J_{\lambda_i}$  is the Jordan normal form of block type  $\lambda_i$  and  $I_{N_2}$  is the identity matrix in  $\text{Mat}(N_2)$ .

## 2 Exposition

## 3 Rising Action

## 4 Climax

## 5 Falling Action

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i$  be dominant ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ , and  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ . There is an isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \rightarrow \overline{(\mathcal{G}_2^{BD})^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \quad (10)$$

got by taking a  $\mu \times \mu$  block matrix  $A$  in the  $s$ -fibre  $\overline{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^s} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^s$  on the left to the representative of the  $s$ -fibre on the right defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g &= t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2} + a(t) \\ a_{ij}(t) &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\mu_i} A_{ji}^k t^{k-1} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $A_{ji}^k$  is the  $k$ th entry from the left of the last row of the  $\mu_j \times \mu_i$  block of  $A$ .

Let's call this the MVyBD isomorphism.

*Proof.* The proof is fibre by fibre, so fix  $s \neq 0$ . *Anne: Emphasize in the intro later (because this always confuses me) that by the  $s$ -fibre we really mean the  $(0, s)$ -fibre; i.e. its the BD Grassmannian over the second symmetric power of  $C = \mathbb{A}^1$ ; better just replace  $s$ -fibre by  $(0, s)$ -fibre everywhere it occurs.*

1. The map is well defined. In particular, it defines  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -lattices in  $\mathbb{C}(t)^m$ . Moreover, these lattices break down to give pairs of lattices upon inverting  $t$  or  $t-s$  that have the right properties. [Copy Roger's proof]
2. The inverse map is got by taking the matrix of multiplication by  $t$  on the quotient  $\mathbb{C}[t]^m/L$  just as in the ordinary MVy isomorphism—the only difference being  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ .

- (a) The matrix of  $t$  will have the right block type with respect to the basis

$$\{[e_i], [te_i], \dots, [t^{\mu_i-1}e_i] : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \tag{12}$$

of  $\mathbb{C}[t]^m/t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2}\mathbb{C}[t]^m$ . We can show this if we can show that  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -lattices satisfying Equation 2 have a basis of the form

$$v_i = t^{\mu_{1,i}}(t-s)^{\mu_{2,i}} + \sum_{j>i} p_{ij}(t)e_j \tag{13}$$

with  $\deg p_{ij}(t) < \mu_i = \mu_{1,i} + \mu_{2,i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). *Anne: I don't know why this should be true. We might have to just define fibres of  $\mathcal{W}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  in this way?*

- (b)  $t|_{\mathbb{C}[t]^m/L}$  will have two eigenvalues, 0 and  $s$ , and its generalized 0-eigenspace will have block type  $\leq \lambda_1$  while its generalized  $s$ -eigenspace will have block type  $\leq \lambda_2$ . This should follow from the defining fact that lattices satisfying Equation 4 equivalently satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} t|_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]^m/L_1} &\text{ has Jordan type } \leq \lambda_1 \\ t|_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]^m/L_2} &\text{ has Jordan type } \leq \lambda_2 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where recall  $L_i = L \otimes \mathbb{C}[(t-p_i)^{-1}]$  and  $p_1 = s$  while  $p_2 = 0$ . *Anne: Somehow, restricting to an eigenspace is like inverting/forgetting the action of  $t$  by any other generalized eigenvalue? Basic linear algebra? Joel?*

□

**Corollary 1.** *The MVyBD isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of subfamilies*

$$\overline{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^+ \rightarrow \overline{(\mathcal{G}_2^{BD})^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}} \cap S_{\mu_1, \mu_2}. \quad (15)$$

Define  $S_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^s = N_-((t^{-1}))t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2}$ .

Anne: is it a fibre of  $S_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$  defined above?

We could also make the following claim.

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 1 version 2). *Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\mu$  be dominant, such that  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \geq \mu$ . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}} \cap \mathbb{T}_\mu \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}_2^{BD\lambda_2, \lambda_2}} \cap \mathcal{W}_\mu \quad (16)$$

defined by the same map as in Theorem 1. Note  $\mathcal{W}_\mu = \text{Gr}_\mu$ .

**Lemma 1** (KWWY14). *Let  $\mu$  be dominant. Then*

$$N_-((t^{-1}))L_\mu = N_1[[t^{-1}]]L_\mu \quad (17)$$

Anne: where I am not sure about the double brackets.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be dominant and let  $s \in \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ . Then*

$$S_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^s \subset \text{Gr}_\mu \quad (18)$$

where  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ .

*Proof.* Copy Roger's proof. □

## 6 Denouement

As an application we can compute fusion of stable MV cycles of type  $\alpha_i$  for any  $i \in I$ . What about more general weights? Having  $\text{kpf} > 1$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Given two MV cycles  $Z_\tau$  and  $Z_\sigma$  of type...*

$$Z_\tau * Z_\sigma \quad (19)$$

is found by???