Working title: Mirković–Vybornov fusion in Beilinson–Drinfeld Grassmannian

October 2020

1 Background

The BD Grassmannian. The convolution Grassmannian. Distinguished orbits, slices therein. Mirković–Vybornov.

2 Notation

Definition 1. Say μ_1 and μ_2 are **disjoint** if $(\mu_1)_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mu_2)_i = 0$ and $(\mu_2)_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\mu_1)_i = 0$.

Anne: I propose "anodyne" as another candidate for the above property after Kapranov–Shechtman.

3 Main results

Claim 1. $\widetilde{T^a_x} \to \pi^{-1}(\overline{\mathrm{Gr}^\lambda} \cap \mathrm{Gr}_\mu)$ (this does depend on b! we get something like a springer fibre where the action of [what] on either side has eigenvalues a permutation of b.)

Claim 2. Let $\mathcal{W}^\mu_{\mathrm{BD}} = G_1(\!(t^{-1})\!)t^\mu$. Then $S^{\mu_1+\mu_2}$ is contained in $\mathcal{W}^\mu_{\mathrm{BD}}$ if μ is dominant. Joel: And μ_1, μ_2 are dominant also? Anne: Roger has a proof.

Claim 3. Let $a = (0, s)$ and suppose μ_1 and μ_2 are disjoint ~~"transverse"~~ Let $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$. Then $X \in \widetilde{T^a_x}$ is a $\mu \times \mu$ block matrix, with $(\mu_1)_k \times (\mu_1)_k$ diagonal block conjugate to a $(\mu_1)_k$ Jordan block and $(\mu_2)_k \times (\mu_2)_k$ diagonal block conjugate to $(\mu_2)_k$ Jordan block plus sI.

Question 1. If μ_i is not a permutation of λ_i and λ_i are not "homogeneous" how do we proceed? E.g. if $\mu_1 = (3, 0, 2)$, $\mu_2 = (0, 2, 0)$ and $\lambda_1 = (4, 1)$, $\lambda_2 = (2, 0, 0)$.

Question 2. If μ_1 and μ_2 are not disjoint how do we proceed? E.g. if $\mu_1 = (2, 2, 0)$, $\mu_2 = (1, 0, 2)$; $\mu_1 = (2, 2, 1)$, $\mu_2 = (1, 0, 1)$.

4 Background on MVy

What they do. What they stop short of doing.

- Their slice T_x or T_λ
- Their embedding $T_x \to \mathfrak{G}_N$
- N-dim D
- The map $\tilde{\mathbf{m}} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^n \to \mathrm{End}(D)$
- The map $\mathbf{m} : \tilde{\mathcal{N}}^n \to \mathcal{N}$ sending $(x, F_\bullet)$ to x
- The map $\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}^n \to \mathfrak{G}$ sending $\mathcal{L}_\bullet$ to $\mathcal{L}_n$

The special case $b = \vec{0}$. In this case 0 in the affine quiver variety goes to the point L_λ in the affine Grassmannian, and the preimage of zero in the smooth quiver variety (the core?) is identified with the preimage of L_λ in the BD Grassmannian.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{L}(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi^{-1}(L_\lambda) \arrow[d] \\ 0 \arrow[r] & L_\lambda \end{tikzcd}$$

MVy write: "we believe that one should be able to generalize this to arbitrary [b]" and that's where we come in!

Recall the Mirković–Vybornov immersion [MV07, Theorems 1.2 and 5.3].

Theorem 1. *([MV07, Theorem 1.2 and 5.3]) There exists an algebraic immersion $\tilde{\psi}$*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}^{-1}(T_\lambda) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{n,a,E,\tilde{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_b^{n,a}(P)$$

5 Statements and Proofs of Results

Anne: Maybe split for now into a Notation section and a Proofs section

Define

$$S_{\mu_1,\mu_2} = N((t^{-1}))t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2}$$

and

$$W_\mu = G_1[[t^{-1}]]t^\mu.$$

Let $|\lambda| = |\lambda_1 + \lambda_2|$ and $|\mu| = |\mu_1 + \mu_2|$.

Anne: Why not $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and recall $|\nu|$ in general.

Lemma 1 (Proof in Proposition 2.6 of KWWY)**.** *Suppose μ is dominant. Then*

$$N((t^{-1}))t^\mu = N_1[[t^{-1}]]t^\mu.$$

Lemma 2. *For dominant μ_1, μ_2, we have*

$$S_{\mu_1,\mu_2} \subset W_{\mu_1+\mu_2}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu_1,\mu_2} &= N((t^{-1}))t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2} \\ &\subset T_1[[t^{-1}]]N((t^{-1}))t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2} \\ &= T_1[[t^{-1}]]N_1[[t^{-1}]]t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2} \\ &= B_1[[t^{-1}]]t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2} \\ &= B_1[[t^{-1}]]t^{\mu_1+\mu_2} \\ &\subset G_1[[t^{-1}]]t^{\mu_1+\mu_2} \\ &= W_{\mu_1+\mu_2} \end{aligned}$$

where $B_1[[t^{-1}]]t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2} = B_1[[t^{-1}]]t^{\mu_1+\mu_2}$ since

$$\frac{t}{t-s} = 1 + \frac{s}{t} + \frac{s^2}{t^2} + \cdots \in B_1[[t^{-1}]].$$

$\square$

Define $\mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{BD}$ to be the family with generic fibre $\mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda_1} \times \mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda_2}$ and 0-fibre $\mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$.

Define $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$ to be matrices X of size $|\lambda| \times |\lambda|$ such that

$$X\big|_{E_0} \in \mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1} \text{ and } (X - sI)\big|_{E_s} \in \mathbb{O}_{\lambda_2}$$

Let

$$\mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, ..., \mu^{(n)}).$$

Define $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1,\mu_2}$ to be $|\mu| \times |\mu|$ matrices X such that X consists of block matrices where the size of the i-th diagonal block is $|\mu^{(i)}| \times |\mu^{(i)}|$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 2. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathrm{Gr}^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}} \cap S_{\mu_1,\mu_2} \cong \overline{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\mu_1,\mu_2} \cap \mathfrak{n}.$$

Anne: Rather, corollary?

Proof. We will prove this similarly to how the usual Mirković–Vybornov isomorphism is proven.

Step 1: Define a map $\mathbb{T}_{\mu_1,\mu_2} \cap \mathcal{N} \to G_1[t^{-1}, (t-s)^{-1}]t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2}$.

Step 2: If $A \in \mathbb{T}_{\mu_1,\mu_2} \cap \mathfrak{n}$ then A is sent to $(N_-)_1[t^{-1}, (t-s)^{-1}]t^{\mu_1}(t-s)^{\mu_2}$. Anne: Requires MVyBD!

Step 3: Conversely, given $L \in W_{\mu_1+\mu_2}$, want to show surjectivity.

$\square$

References

[MV07] Ivan Mirković and Maxim Vybornov. Quiver varieties and beilinson-drinfeld grassmannians of type a. arXiv preprint arXiv:0712.4160, 2007. 2