# Problem Set 1

#### Anne Gatchell

### Due February 4, 2013

#### 1

For reference:  $1 day * (24hrs/1day) * (3600s/1hr) * (10^6/1s) = 8.64x10^4 \mu s$ 

#### $\mathbf{A}$

In this case, Acme should pay professor Flitwick.

$$n = 41$$
  
 $f(n) = 1.99^n$   
 $g(n) = n^3$   
 $t = 17days = 1.4688x10^{12}\mu s$ 

Without Flitwick, it takes  $f(41) = 1.99^{41} = 1.79x10^{12}\mu s$ With Flitwick it takes  $t + g(n) = 1.47x10^{12}\mu s + 41^3 = 1.46x10^{12}\mu s$ 

It will take Flitwick less time to spend 17 days working and then running his program than it will take to just run the program by itself:

Flitwick's alg is 17 days, 0.069sThe other lag will take 20 days, 17 hours, 21 min, 47.45 seconds

#### $\mathbf{B}$

In this case, Acme should not pay professor Flitwick.

$$\begin{split} n &= 10^6 \\ f(n) &= n^{2.00} \\ g(n) &= n^{1.99} \\ t &= 2 days = 2*8.64 x 10^{10} \mu s = 1.728 x 10^{11} \mu s \end{split}$$

Without Flitwick, it takes  $f(10^6) = (10^6)^{2.00} = 10^{12} \mu s = 11.574 days = 11 days, 13 hours, 46 minutes, 40 seconds$ 

With Flitwick it takes  $t+g(n)=2days+(10^6)^{1.99}=1.73x10^{11}\mu s+8.71x10^{11}\mu s=1.044x10^{12}\mu s$   $1.044x10^{12}/8.64x10^{10}\mu s=12.08days=12days,1hr,56min,3.6s$ 

It is a close call, but it will be faster to just run the original algorithm and not pay Flitwick.

2

3

#### $\mathbf{A}$

Is there a c for which  $0 \le 2^{nk} \le c2^n$  for k > 1?

Dividing both sides by  $2^n$ :  $(2^n)^{k-1} \le c$ 

No. There is no constant c that is greater or equal to  $(2^n)^{k-1}$  for sufficiently large n.

## $\mathbf{B}$

Is there a c for which  $0 \le 2^{n+k} \le c2^n$  for  $0 \le k \le c$  (some positive constant)?

 $2^n*2^k \le c2^n$  Dividing both sides by  $2^n$ :  $2^k \le c \text{for Yes. For } n_o = 0, c \ge 2^k \text{ where } k \ge 0.$ 

4

 $\mathbf{A}$ 

 $\mathbf{B}$ 

Final order: 1,  $n^{1/lgn}$ ,  $2^{lg*n}$ ,  $(\sqrt{2})^{lgn}$ , n, nlgn, lg(n!),  $n^2$ ,  $(3/2)^n$ ,  $e^n$ , (lgn)!, n!