## Background

#### Ultrametric basics

**Definition.** Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a metric space. If  $\rho$  satisfies the ultrametric inequality

$$\rho(x,z) \le \max(\rho(x,y),\rho(y,z)), \forall x,y,z \in M$$

then  $(M, \rho)$  is an ultrametric space.

**Definition.** Let (V, N) be a normed vector space. Then N satisfies the strong triangle inequality if

$$N(x+y) \le max(N(x), N(y)), \forall x, y \in V$$

**Proposition.** Let (V, N) be a normed vector space and suppose N satisfies the strong triangle inequality. Then the metric space,  $(V, \rho_N)$ , where  $\rho_N$  is the metric induced by N, is an ultrametric space.

**Proposition.** [1] All triangles in an ultrametric space  $(M, \rho)$  are either equilateral or isocoles, with at most one short side.

**Proposition.** [1] If S is a compact subset of an ultrametric space and  $\Gamma_S$  is the set of all distances occurring between points of S, then  $\Gamma_S$  is a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular if  $|\Gamma_S| = \infty$ , then the elements of  $\Gamma_S$  can be indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a compact ultrametric space and let

$$B_r(a) = \{x \in M \mid \rho(x, a) < r\}$$

denote the open ball of radius r, centred at a for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $a \in (M, \rho)$ . Likewise let

$$\overline{B_r(a)} = \{ x \in M \mid \rho(x, a) \le r \}$$

denote the closed ball of radius r, centred at a for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $a \in (M, \rho)$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $B_r(a)$  be a ball in an ultrametric space  $(M, \rho)$ . Then the diameter of B,  $d = diam(B) = \sup_{x,y \in B} \rho(x,y)$ , is less than or equal to the radius of B.

**Proposition.** If  $(M, \rho)$  is an ultrametric space and  $B_{r_1}(x_0)$  and  $B_{r_2}(y_0)$  are balls in  $(M, \rho)$ , then either  $B_{r_1}(x_0) \cap B_{r_2}(y_0) = \emptyset$ ,  $B_{r_1}(x_0) \subseteq B_{r_2}(y_0)$ , or  $B_{r_2}(x_0) \subseteq B_{r_1}(x_0)$ . That is, in an ultrametric space, all balls are either comparable or disjoint.

**Proposition.** [1] The distance between any two balls in an ultrametric is constant. That is, if  $B_{r_1}(x_0)$  and  $B_{r_2}(y_0)$  are two balls in an ultrametric space  $(M, \rho)$ , then  $\rho(x, y) = c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\forall x \in B_{r_1}(x_0)$  and  $\forall y \in B_{r_2}(y_0)$ 

**Proposition.** [1] Every point of a ball in an ultrametric is at its centre. That is, if  $B_r(x_0)$  is a ball in an ultrametric space  $(M, \rho)$ , then  $B_r(x) = B_r(x_0)$ ,  $\forall x \in B_r(x_0)$ 

## $\rho$ -orderings

### $\rho$ -orderings, $\rho$ -sequences, and valuative capacity

In what follows let S be a compact subset of an ultrametric space  $(M, \rho)$ .

**Definition.** [2] A  $\rho$ -ordering of S is a sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \subseteq S$  such that  $\forall n > 0, \ a_n \text{ maximizes } \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho(s, a_i) \text{ over } s \in S.$ 

**Definition.** [2] The  $\rho$ -sequence of S is the sequence whose  $0^{th}$ -term is 1 and whose  $n^{th}$  term, for n > 0, is  $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho(a_n, a_i)$ .

**Proposition.** [2] The  $\rho$ -sequence of S is well-defined so long as S is compact and  $\rho$  is an ultrametric. That is, the  $\rho$ -sequence of a compact subset of an ultrametric spaces does not depend on the choice of  $\rho$ -ordering of S.

**Definition.** [2] Let  $\gamma(n)$  be the  $\rho$ -sequence of S. The valuative capacity of S is

$$\omega(S) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(n)^{1/n}$$

**Proposition.** [2] For S and  $\gamma(n)$  as above,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(n)^{1/n} = r < \infty$ .

**Proposition.** If  $S \subseteq M$  is a finite subset of an ultrametric space, then  $\omega(S) = 0$ .

**Proposition.** (upper bound) If  $diam(S) := \max_{x,y \in S} \rho(x,y) = d$ , then  $\omega(S) < d$ .

*Proof.* Since d is the diameter of S, the  $n^{th}$  term of the  $\rho$ -sequence of S is bounded by  $d^n$  and so  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma(n)^{1/n}=d$  if and only if  $\gamma(n)=d^n$ ,  $\forall n$ . This implies  $\rho(a_n,a_i)=d$ ,  $\forall n$  and  $\forall i< n$ , but then  $\rho(a_i,a_j)=d$ ,  $\forall i,j$ , since the  $\rho$ -sequence is maximized at each n. This means  $\omega(S)< d$  would imply that the cover of S,  $\cup_{a_i}B_d(a_i)$  is in fact an infinite partition, contradicting the compactness of S. Then  $\omega(S)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma(n)^{1/n}< d$ .

**Proposition.** (translation invariance) Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a compact ultrametric space and suppose M is also a topological group. If  $\rho$  is (left) invariant under the group operation, then so is  $\omega$ . That is, if  $\rho(x,y) = \rho(gx,gy)$ ,  $\forall g, x, y \in M$ , then  $\omega(gS) = \omega(S)$ , for  $S \subseteq M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be a  $\rho$ -ordering for S. Then  $\{ga_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is a  $\rho$ -ordering for gS. Then

$$\omega(gS) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(n)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho(ga_n, ga_i) \right]^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho(a_n, a_i) \right]^{1/n} = \omega(S)$$

**Example 1.** With the notation of the previous section, note that for  $x, y \in (\mathbb{Z}_p, |\cdot|_p)$ ,  $\rho_p(x, y) = |x - y|_p = p^{-\nu_p(x-y)} = p^{-\nu_p((a+x)-(a+y))} = |(a+x) - (a+y)|_p = \rho_p(a+x, a+y)$  so that  $\omega(a+S) = \omega(S)$  for  $S \in (\mathbb{Z}_p, |\cdot|_p)$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p, \rho_{p,\infty})$  be the metric space with elements  $\{(x,y) \mid x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$  and metric  $\rho_{p,\infty}((x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2)) = \max(\rho_p(x_1,y_1)), \rho_p(x_2,y_2))$ . Consider it also as a topological group with operation  $(g_1,g_2) + (x_1,x_2) = (g_1+x_1,g_2+x_2)$ . Then  $\rho_{p,\infty}((x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2)) = \max(\rho_p(x_1,y_1)), \rho_p(x_2,y_2) = \max(\rho_p(g_1+x_1,g_1+y_1)), \rho_p(g_2+x_2,g_2+y_2) = \rho_{p,\infty}(((g_1,g_2)+(x_1,x_2)),((g_1,g_2)+(y_1,y_2))),$  and  $\omega((g_1,g_2)+S) = \omega(S)$  for  $S \in (\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p, \rho_{p,\infty})$ .

**Proposition.** Let (V, N) be a normed vector space and suppose N satisfies the strong triangle identity. Then if N is multiplicative, so is  $\omega$ . That is, if  $N(gx) = N(g)N(x), \forall g, x \in V$ , then  $\omega(gS) = N(g)\omega(S)$ , for  $g \in V$  and  $S \subseteq M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be the metric induced by N, so that  $\rho(x,y) = N(x-y), \forall x,y \in V$ . Let  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be a  $\rho$ -ordering for S. Then since N is multiplicative, for  $u,v \in gS$ ,  $u=gs_i$  and  $v=gs_j$  for some  $s_i,s_j \in S$ ,

$$\rho(u,v) = \rho(gs_i, gs_j) = N(gs_i - gs_j) = N(g(s_i - s_j)) = N(g)N(s_i - s_j) = N(g)\rho(s_i, s_j).$$

Then  $\{ga_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is a  $\rho$ -ordering for gS and

$$\omega(gS) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho(ga_n, ga_i) \right]^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} N(g) \rho(a_n, a_i) \right]^{1/n}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ N(g)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho(a_n, a_i) \right]^{1/n} = N(g) \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho(a_n, a_i) \right]^{1/n} = N(g) \omega(S)$$

**Example 3.** Since  $|\cdot|_p$  is multiplicative,  $\omega(mS) = |m|_p \omega(S)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular,  $\omega(p\mathbb{Z}) = |p|_p \omega(\mathbb{Z}) = \frac{1}{p} * p^{\frac{1}{1-p}} = p^{-p/p-1}$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p, |\cdot|_{p,\infty})$  be the vector space with elements  $\{(x,y) \mid x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$  and norm  $|(x_1,x_2)|_{p,\infty} = \max(|x_1|_p, |x_2|_p)$ . Then  $|(g,g)(x_1,x_2)|_{p,\infty} = \max(|gx_1|_p, |gx_2|_p) = \max(|g|_p |x_1|_p, |g|_p |x_2|_p) = (g,g)|_p |(x_1,x_2)|_p$ , so that  $|\cdot|_{p,\infty}$  is multiplicative for  $(g,g) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $\omega((g,g)S) = |(g,g)|_p \omega(S)$ . In particular,  $\omega((p,p)\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) = \omega(p\mathbb{Z} \times p\mathbb{Z}) = (p,p)|_p \omega(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) = p^{-1}\omega(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proposition.** [2](subadditivity) If  $diam(S) := \max_{x,y \in S} \rho(x,y) = d$  and  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i$  for  $A_i$  compact subsets of M with  $\rho(A_i, A_j) = d$ ,  $\forall i, j$ , then

$$\frac{1}{\log(\omega(S)/d)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\log(\omega(A_i)/d)}$$

**Corollary.** Suppose  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$  with  $\rho(S_{i}, S_{j}) = d = diam(S)$  and also  $\omega(S_{i}) = \omega(S_{j}), \ \forall i, j$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\omega(S_{i}) = r\omega(S), \ \forall i$ . Then  $\omega(S) = r^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \cdot d$ . In particular if  $S = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(M, \rho) = (\mathbb{Z}, |\cdot|_{p})$  then  $\omega(S) = (\frac{1}{p})^{1/p-1}$  for any prime p.

**Corollary.** (Joins of computable sets are computable) Let  $\Gamma_M = \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\infty = 0\}$  be the set of distances in M. Suppose that  $S = B_{\gamma_i}(x)$ , for some x and i, is the union of 2 or more balls of radius  $\gamma_{i+1}$ , i.e.,  $S = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_{\gamma_{i+1}}(x_j)$  is a join in the lattice of open sets in M, then

$$\frac{1}{\log(\omega(S)/\gamma_{i+1})} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\log(\omega(B_{\gamma_{i+1}}(x_j))/\gamma_{i+1})}$$

We describe an algorithm for computing the  $\rho$ -ordering of a set recursively and discuss some immediate corollaries.

Let  $S \subseteq M$  be a compact subset of an ultrametric space  $(M,\rho)$ . Let  $\Gamma_S = \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\infty = 0\}$  be the set of distances in S. Note that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the closed balls of radius  $\gamma_k$  partition S, i.e.,  $S = S_{\gamma_k} := \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{B_{\gamma_k}(x_i)}$ , where both n and the  $x_i$ 's depend on k. In what follows, fix a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $S_{\gamma_k} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{B_{\gamma_k}(x_i)}$  be such a partition. Note that we can construct  $S_{\gamma_{k+1}}$  by partitioning each of the  $\overline{B_{\gamma_k}(x_i)}$ , i.e.,

$$S = S_{\gamma_{k+1}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{i=1}^{l_i} \overline{B_{\gamma_k}(x_{i,j})}$$

where  $1 \leq l_i \leq n$  and  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{l_i} \overline{B_{\gamma_k}(x_{i,j})} = \overline{B_{\gamma_k}(x_i)}$ ,  $\forall i$ . We denote by  $x_{i,j}$  the centre of a ball of radius  $\gamma_{k+1}$  partitioning the ball  $B_{\gamma_k}(x_i)$ . Without loss of generality, when j = 1, assume  $x_{i,j} = x_i$ ,  $\forall i$ .

We now make the following observation due to [3],

**Lemma.** For each k, the elements of  $S_{\gamma_k}$ , that is, the closed balls of radius  $\gamma_k$ , themselves form an ultrametric space, where

$$\rho_{k}(\overline{B_{\gamma_{k}}(x)}, \overline{B_{\gamma_{k}}(y)}) = \begin{cases} \rho(x, y), & \text{if } \rho(x, y) > \gamma_{k} \\ 0, & \text{if } \rho(x, y) \leq \gamma_{k}, \text{ i.e., } \overline{B_{\gamma_{k}}(x)} = \overline{B_{\gamma_{k}}(y)} \end{cases}$$

We note that since S is assumed to be compact,  $S_{\gamma_k}$  is a finite metric space  $\forall k < \infty$  and  $S_{\gamma_{\infty}} = \bigcup_{x \in S} \overline{B_0(x)} = \bigcup_{x \in S} x = S$  and  $\rho_{\infty} = \rho$ . Now view  $S_{\gamma_k}$ , for fixed  $k < \infty$  as a finite ultrametric space and represent its  $n < \infty$  elements by their centres, the  $x_i$ 's. Without loss of generality, we can reindex the  $x_i$ 's so that they give the first n terms of a  $\rho_k$ -ordering of  $S_{\gamma_k}$ . The following proposition is the main result of this section.

**Proposition.** Given S a compact subset of an ultrametric space M and  $\Gamma_S$ , the set of distances in S, if  $S_{\gamma_k}$  is a partition of S as described above for  $\gamma_k \in \Gamma_S$  with  $k < \infty$ , where the centres of the balls are indexed according to a  $\rho_k$ -ordering of  $S_{\gamma_k}$ , then a  $\rho_{k+1}$ -ordering of  $S_{\gamma_{k+1}}$  can be found by forming a matrix,  $A_k$ , whose  $(i,j)^{th}$ -entry is  $x_{i,j}$ , as shown below, and then concatenating the rows (where the columns are padded by \* if necessary).

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{2,1} & \dots & x_{n,1} \\ x_{1,2} & x_{2,2} & \dots & x_{n,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1,l_1} & x_{2,l_2} & \dots & x_{n,l_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

*Proof.* Note that the entries in each column are points in the ball  $B_{\gamma_k}(x_i)$  so that the pairwise distance between columns is constant and always exceeds the distance between elements within a column. Moreover, the columns are organized such that for any j,  $x_{n,j}$  maximizes  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(x_{n,j}, x_{i,j})$  since  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(x_{n,j}, x_{i,j}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(x_{n,1}, x_{i,1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(x_n, x_i)$  and the  $x_i$ 's are indexed according a  $\rho_k$ -ordering of  $S_{\gamma_k}$ .

Then a  $\rho_{\gamma_{k+1}}$ -ordering of  $S_{\gamma_{k+1}}$  is obtained by minimizing the number of elements from any one column and by taking the points  $x_{i,j}$  (for fixed j) in sequence. For example, by concatenating the rows.

Corollary. Interweaving the bottown row of the lattice of closed balls for a set S gives a  $\rho$ -ordering of S.

**Corollary.** Suppose S and T are compact subsets of an ultrametric space M with  $\Gamma_S = \Gamma_T$  and  $\mid S_{\gamma_k} \mid = \mid T_{\gamma_k} \mid, \forall k$ . Then  $\omega(S) = \omega(T)$ .

**Corollary.** (regularity) Suppose that S is such that  $\forall k$ , any  $B_{\gamma_k}(x) = \bigcup_{j=1}^l B_{\gamma_{k+1}}(x_j)$ , that is, every ball in S breaks into exactly l smaller balls.

## Fares and Petite paper

### Background from Gerritzen and van der Put

Background results from [4]. Let k be a field that is complete with respect to a non-archimedean valuation and let K be a complete and algebraically closed field containing k.

**Definition.** [4] The set  $\{\lambda \in k; |\lambda| \le 1\}$ , denoted  $k^0$ , is the **valuation ring** of k. It has a unique maximal ideal, denoted  $k^{00}$ , given by  $\{\lambda \in k; |\lambda| < 1\}$ . The **residue field** of k is  $\bar{k} := k^0/k^{00}$ .

**Definition.** [4] The **projective line over** k, denoted  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ , is the space whose points are lines l in  $k^2$  that intersect (0,0) and whose topology and field structure are inherited from k.

We give two equivalent representations for the points of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . A point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is an equivalence class of  $k^2 \setminus (0,0)$  under the relation  $(x,y) \sim (x',y')$  if there exists a  $\lambda \in k \setminus 0$  such that  $(x,y) = \lambda(x',y')$ . Equivalently, suppose that l is a line in  $k^2$  intersecting the origin, that is a point in  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . We denote l by a representative  $[x_0,x_1] \in k^2$  such that  $l = \{\lambda(x_0,x_1); \lambda \in k\}$ , called homogeneous coordinates of l.

**Proposition.** [4] Let  $\psi: k \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be the map given by  $\psi(\lambda_0) = [1, \lambda_0]$ , where  $[1, \lambda_0]$  is the line in  $k^2$ ,  $\{\lambda(1, \lambda_0); \lambda \in k\}$ . Then the image of  $\psi$  is  $\mathbb{P}^1(k) \setminus [0, 1]$  and is isomorphic to k, so that k is identified with projective space minus a distinguished point, [0, 1], which is denoted by  $\infty$ .

**Definition.** [4] k is called a **local field** if k is locally compact.

**Proposition.** [4] The following are equivalent:

- 1. k is a local field.
- 2.  $|k^*| \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\bar{k}$  is finite, where  $k^*$  is the set of units in k, ie  $k^* = \{\lambda \in k, \lambda \neq 0\}$ .

- 3. k is a finite extension of either  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ .
- 4.  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is compact

**Definition.** [4] We denote by GL(2,k) the set of invertible  $2 \times 2$  matrices over k. A **fractional linear automorphism**,  $\phi$ , of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is a map defined by  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  for some  $\binom{a}{c}\binom{b}{d} \in GL(2,k)$ . The set of fractional linear automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is denoted PGL(2,k). Note that  $PGL(2,k) = GL(2,k)/\{\binom{\lambda}{0}\binom{\lambda}{\lambda}; \lambda \in k^*\}$ . In homogeneous coordinates, we can represent the action of  $\phi$  by  $[x_0,x_1] \mapsto [cx_1+dx_0,ax_1+bx_0]$ .

**Definition.** [4] Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of PGL(2,k). A point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is a **limit point of**  $\Gamma$ , if there exists a point q in  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  and a sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n(q) = p$ .

**Proposition.** [4] If  $\Gamma$  is not a discrete subgroup of PGL(2, k) then every point of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is a limit point of  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, the sequence  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  has a limit  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . Let p be any point of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  and let  $q = \gamma^{-1}(p)$ . Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n(q) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n(\gamma^{-1}(p)) = p$ .

**Definition.** [4] A subgroup  $\Gamma$  of PGL(2, k) is **discontinuous** if the closure of every orbit of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is compact and the set of all limit points of  $\Gamma$  is not equal to  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ .

**Proposition.** [4] If  $\Gamma$  is a discontinuous subgroup of PGL(2, k) and  $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of limit points of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  is compact, no where dense and if  $\mathcal{L}$  contains more than two points,  $\mathcal{L}$  is perfect.

**Definition.** [4] Let A be an element of GL(2, k) and let  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  be the eigenvalues of A. Then A is called **elliptic** if  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , but  $|a_1| = |a_2|$ . A is called **parabolic** if  $a_1 = a_2$ , and A is called **hyperbolic** if  $|a_1| \neq |a_2|$ .

**Example 5.** Consider the matrix  $T_s = \binom{p \ s}{0 \ 1} \in GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  for some s in  $(0, \ldots, p-1)$  (note that  $det(T_s) = p$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , so that  $T_s \in GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , although it is not in  $GL(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ ).  $T_s$  has eigenvalues p and 1 and so  $T_s$  is hyperbolic for any choice of s or p. Consider the action of  $T_s$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is identified with the subspace  $\{[1, \lambda]; \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . In homogeneous coordinates, this action is given by  $[1, \lambda] \mapsto [1, p\lambda + s]$ . Since  $|(p\lambda + s - s)| = |p\lambda| \le \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $T_s$  sends  $\lambda$  to  $B_{\frac{1}{p}}(s)$ . Also note that for s = 0,  $T_s$  has the effect of shifting the index of  $\lambda$  by 1, that is, if  $\lambda = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i p^i$ , where  $n = ord(\lambda)$ , then  $T_0([1, \lambda]) = [1, p\lambda] \rightsquigarrow p\lambda = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_{(i-1)} p^{(i-1)}$ .

**Definition.** [4] A **Schottky group** is a finitely-generated, free and discontinuous subgroup of PGL(2, k)

# Computation of the capacity of some sets (F&P, section 5)

#### Setup

Let  $A = \{0, 1, ..., d-1\}$  be a finite alphabet and  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the collection of infinite sequenes with values in A. Note  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cantor set, so it is perfect, nowhere dense, and compact.

A basis for the topology is given by the cylinder set: take countably many copies of  $\{0, 1, ..., d-1\}$  where each copy has the discrete topology.

Let  $p \geq d$  be a prime number and let  $\phi$  be the canonical embedding of  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  into  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  via the following continuous (under the above topology) map:

$$\phi: A^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ by } (x_n)_{n \ge 0} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^k$$

Lemma. (F&P Lemma 5.1)

Let  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_s$  be  $s \geq 2$  words with the same length l such that all the first letters are distinct. Let  $X \subset A^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the set of sequences such that any factor is a factor of a concatenation of the words  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_s$ . Then the set  $E := \phi(X) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  satisfies:

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} x_i + p^l E$$
, with  $x_i = \phi(w_i 0^{\infty})$ 

It is a regular compact set and its valuative capacity is

$$L_p(E) = \frac{l}{s-1}$$

Notice that this provides examples of sets with empty interiors but with positive capacities.

**Example 6.**  $w_1 = 0, w_2 = 2, A = \{0, 1, 2\}, p = d = 3$ Then  $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 0} \in X$  if each term in  $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 0}$  is either 0 or 2. We have

$$E = 0 + 3E \cup 2 + 3E$$
 and

$$L_p(E) = \frac{1}{2-1} = 1$$

Note that we can rephrase the lemma as follows: Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_s$  be  $s \geq 2$  points in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $|x_i - x_j|_{p} = 1$ ,  $\forall i, j \in 1, ..., s$ . Suppose also that there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall i$ ,

$$x_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i p^i$$

Let  $\gamma_i$  be the fractional linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  given by  $\binom{p^l}{0} \frac{x_i}{1}$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the subgroup of  $PGL(2,\mathbb{Q}_p)$  generated by the  $\gamma_i$ .

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is the limit set of  $\Gamma$ , and Z is the subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $Z = \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ , (where  $\psi : \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is the map given by  $\psi(\lambda_0) = [1, \lambda_0]$ ) then Z is a regular, compact subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  satisfying

$$Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} x_i + p^l Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} B_{\frac{1}{p^l}}(x_i)$$

and with vaulative capacity

$$L_p(Z) = \frac{l}{s-1}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the set Z above is equal to  $E = \phi(X)$  in the original lemma. First note that if  $w_1, w_2, ..., w_s$  are words in  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then the first letter of each  $w_i$  is distinct if and only if  $|\phi(w_i) - \phi(w_j)|_{p} = 1, \forall i, j$  (since the pairwise distance is 1 if and only if  $\operatorname{ord}(\phi(w_i) - \phi(w_j)) = 0$  for any i and j, if and only if the coefficient of  $p^0$  (i.e., the first letter each  $w_i$ ) is different  $\forall i, j$ ). So then the  $x_i$  are just the  $\phi(w_i)$ .

We now consider the limit set of  $\Gamma$ . First consider an arbitrary element  $\gamma \neq id_{\Gamma} \in \Gamma$ . If  $\gamma = \prod_{j \in J} \gamma_j$  for some finite index set J, then we can write  $\gamma$  as

where n is the cardinality of J. Then an element of  $\Gamma$  is of the form  $\binom{p^{lm}}{0} \binom{z}{1}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and z is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  whose coefficient vector is a concatenation of the coefficient vectors of the  $x_i$  (for  $0 \le i \le ml$  and 0 for i > ml).

Let  $a = [a_0, a_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and let  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\Gamma$ . We have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} [a_0, p^{nl} a_1 + z_n] = [a_0, z],$$

where the coefficient vector of each  $z_n$  is a concatenation of the coefficient vectors of the  $x_i$ , for finitely-many terms (and then 0s), and z is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  whose entire coefficient vector is a concatenation of the coefficient vectors of the  $x_i$ . Then the limit set of  $\Gamma$  is the set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ [\lambda, x]; \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p, x \in S \},\$$

where S is the set of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , such that the entire coefficient vector of  $\mathbf{x}$  is a concatenation of the coefficient vectors of the  $x_i$ ; that is S is the set  $S = E = \phi(X)$  in the original lemma. Now we observe that  $\psi^{-1}(\{[1, x]; x \in S\}) = S = E = \phi(X)$  and  $\psi^{-1}(\{[\lambda, x]; x \in S\}) = \emptyset$  for any other  $\lambda \neq 1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , so that  $Z = \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = S \cup \emptyset = S = E = \phi(X)$ , as required.

## **Bibliography**

- [1] Alain M. Robert, A course in p-adic analysis.
- [2] Keith Johnson, P-orderings and Fekete sets
- [3] Nate Ackerman, Completeness in Generalized Ultrametric Spaces
- [4] Lothar Gerritzen and Marius van der Put, Schottky Groups and Mumford Curves.