

## CRYPTOGRAPHY HANDOUT 18

### DIGITAL SIGNATURES (GUIDED NOTES)

#### 1. RSA SIGNATURES

Bob has a document or message  $m$  that Alice agrees to sign.

1. Signing process

- Alice generates two large primes  $p$  and  $q$ . She computes  $n = pq$ .
- She chooses  $e_A$  where  $1 < e_A < \varphi(n)$  with  $\gcd(e_A, \varphi(n)) = 1$ .
- She computes  $d_A$  such that  $e_A d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(n)}$ .
- Alice publishes  $(e_A, n)$  and keeps  $d_A, p, q$  private.
- Her signature is  $y \equiv m^{d_A} \pmod{n}$ .  $(m, y)$  are made public.

2. Verification process

- Bob gets Alice's  $(e_A, n)$ . He computes  $z \equiv y^{e_A} \pmod{n}$ .
- If  $z = m$ , then Bob accepts the signature as valid. Otherwise, the signature is not valid.

**Example.**  $m = 35$

1. Signing process:  $p = 7, q = 13$

- $n =$  \_\_\_\_\_
- $\varphi(n) =$  \_\_\_\_\_  $e_A =$  \_\_\_\_\_
- $d_A =$  \_\_\_\_\_
- Public info:  $(e_A, n) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
- Alice's Signature:  $y =$  \_\_\_\_\_

2. Verification Process: Bob sees  $(e_A, n)$  and  $(m, y) =$  \_\_\_\_\_.

- He computes  $z =$  \_\_\_\_\_
- Is the signature valid or not?

**Example.**  $m = 14$

1. Signing process:  $p = 11, q = 17$ 
  - a.  $n =$  \_\_\_\_\_
  - b.  $\varphi(n) =$  \_\_\_\_\_  $e_A = 7$  works here because  $\gcd(7, \varphi(n)) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
  - c.  $d_A = 183$  works here because  $e_A d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(n)}$ . Check this: \_\_\_\_\_
  - d. Public info:  $(e_A, n) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
  - e. Alice's Signature:  $y =$  \_\_\_\_\_
2. Verification Process: Bob sees  $(e_A, n)$  and  $(m, y) =$  \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (a) He computes  $z =$  \_\_\_\_\_
  - (b) Is the signature valid or not?

What if during the verification process, Bob had received  $(m, y) = (14, 158)$  instead?  
What would he conclude?

## 2. BLIND SIGNATURES - RSA

In some cases, a message is “blinded” or disguised before it is signed.

1. Alice chooses two primes  $p$  and  $q$ . Then she computes  $n = pq$ .
2. Alice also chooses an encryption exponent  $e$  and decryption exponent  $d$ .
3.  $(n, e)$  are public whereas  $p, q, d$  are private.
4. Bob chooses a random integer  $k \pmod n$  with  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$  and computes  $t \equiv k^e m \pmod n$ . He sends  $t$  to Alice.
5. Alice signs  $t$  by computing  $s \equiv t^d \pmod n$ . She gives  $s$  to Bob.
6. Bob computes  $s/k \pmod n$ , which is  $m^d$ .

**Example.**  $m = 11$

1.  $p = 7, q = 13$ , so  $n = pq =$  \_\_\_\_\_
2.  $e = 5$  and  $d = 29$  because  $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(n)}$ . Verify this: \_\_\_\_\_
3.  $(n, e) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
4.  $k =$  \_\_\_\_\_ since  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ . He computes  $t =$  \_\_\_\_\_
5.  $s =$  \_\_\_\_\_
6.  $s/k =$  \_\_\_\_\_ which should match up with  $m^d =$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Example.**  $m = 23$

1.  $p = 11, q = 17$ , so  $n = pq =$  \_\_\_\_\_
2.  $e = 7$  and  $d = 183$  because  $de \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(n)}$ . Verify this: \_\_\_\_\_
3.  $(n, e) =$  \_\_\_\_\_
4.  $k =$  \_\_\_\_\_ since  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ . He computes  $t =$  \_\_\_\_\_
5.  $s =$  \_\_\_\_\_
6.  $s/k =$  \_\_\_\_\_ which should match up with  $m^d =$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Question 1.** *Show that  $s/k$  is actually the signed message  $m^d$ .*

### 3. ELGAMAL SIGNATURE SCHEME

The ElGamal Encryption method can also be modified to give a signature scheme.

Before she gets started, Alice chooses a prime  $p$  and a primitive root  $\alpha$ . She chooses a secret integer  $a$  such that  $1 \leq a \leq p - 2$  and calculates  $\beta \equiv \alpha^a \pmod{p}$ .  $(p, \alpha, \beta)$  are made public while  $a$  is private.

1. Signing process

- a. Alice chooses a secret random  $k$  such that  $\gcd(k, p - 1) = 1$ .
- b. She computes  $r \equiv \alpha^k \pmod{p}$  with  $0 < r < p$ .
- c. She also computes  $s \equiv k^{-1}(m - ar) \pmod{p - 1}$ . The signed message is  $(m, r, s)$ .

2. Verification process

- a. Bob gets Alice's public key  $(p, \alpha, \beta)$ .
- b. He computes  $v_1 \equiv \beta^r r^s \pmod{p}$  and  $v_2 \equiv \alpha^m \pmod{p}$ .
- c. The signature is valid if and only if  $v_1 \equiv v_2 \pmod{p}$ .

**Example.** Before she gets started, Alice chooses a prime  $p = 17$  and a primitive root  $\alpha = 3$ . She chooses a secret integer  $a = 4$  such that  $1 \leq a \leq p - 2$  and calculates  $\beta \equiv \alpha^a \pmod{p} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .  $(p, \alpha, \beta) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  are made public while  $a$  is private.

1. Signing process

- a.  $k = 5$  since  $\gcd(k, p - 1) = 1$ . Verify this:  $\underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .
- b.  $r = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$
- c.  $s = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ . The signed message is  $(m, r, s) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .

2. Verification process

- a. Bob gets Alice's public key  $(p, \alpha, \beta)$ .
- b.  $v_1 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  and  $v_2 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .
- c. The signature is valid if and only if  $v_1 \equiv v_2 \pmod{p}$ .

**Question 2.** *Show that the verification process works. Assume the signature is valid with the following steps:*

- Since  $s \equiv k^{-1}(m - ar) \pmod{p - 1}$ , then  $sk \equiv \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \pmod{p - 1}$ .
- This means  $m \equiv \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \pmod{p - 1}$ .
- A congruence  $\pmod{p - 1}$  in the exponent yields an overall congruence  $\pmod{p}$ , so we have: