

# CPSC 468 Midterm Review

## Chapter 1: The Computational Model and Why It Doesn't Matter

**0.1** (Turing Machine). A  $k$ -tape Turing Machine  $M$  is described by a tuple  $(\Gamma, Q, \delta)$ . Assume  $k \geq 2$ , with 1 read-only input tape and  $k - 1$  work tapes. The last work tape is assumed to be the output tape.

- $\Gamma$  the finite alphabet of symbols that  $M$  may have on its tapes. Assume that  $\Gamma$  contains at least  $\{0, 1, \square, \triangleright\}$ .
- $Q$  a finite set of possible states  $M$ 's state register may be in. Assume that  $Q$  contains a  $q_{start}$  and  $q_{halt}$ .
- $\delta : Q \times \Gamma^k \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma^{k-1} \times \{L, S, R\}^k$  a transition function for  $M$  that takes in the current state and each head's read, and outputs the next state, with  $k - 1$  writes on all the work tapes, and movements for all  $k$  tapes.

**0.2** (Computing a Function). Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  and  $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , with  $M$  a TM. We say that  $M$  computes  $f$  if for every  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , if  $M$  is initialized to the start configuration on input  $x$ , then it halts with  $f(x)$  on the output tape. We say  $M$  computes  $f$  in  $T(n)$ -time if its computation on every  $x$  requires at most  $T(|x|)$  steps.

**0.3** (Time Constructible). A function  $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is time constructible if  $T(n) \geq n$  and there is a TM  $M$  that computes the function  $x \mapsto \lfloor T(|x|) \rfloor$  in time  $T(n)$ .  $T(n) \geq n$  is to allow the algorithm to read its input. Some time constructible functions are  $n$ ,  $n \log n$ ,  $n^2$  and  $2^n$ .

**0.4.** For every  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and time constructible  $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , if  $f$  is computable in time  $T(n)$  by some TM  $M$  using alphabet  $\Gamma$ , then it is able to compute the same function using  $\{0, 1, \square, \triangleright\}$  in  $(c \log_2 |\Gamma|) \cdot T(n)$ . This is because we may express each symbol of  $\Gamma$  using  $\log |\Gamma|$  binary bits, with some constant  $c$  overhead.

**0.5.** A  $k$ -tape TM can have its  $k - 1$  work tapes simulated by a single tape by interleaving the  $k$  tapes together.

**0.6** (Oblivious Turing Machine). An oblivious TM's head movement depends on the length of the input, not the contents of the input. Every TM can be simulated by an oblivious TM.

**0.7** (Turing Machine Representation). Every binary string  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  represents some TM, and every TM is represented by infinite such strings (think: comments in a language). The machine represented by  $x$  is denoted  $M_x$ .

**0.8** (Universal Turing Machine). There exists a TM  $\mathcal{U}$  such that for every  $x, \alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{U}(x, \alpha) = M_\alpha(x)$ , where  $M_\alpha$  denotes the TM represented by  $\alpha$ . Moreover, if  $M_\alpha$  halts on input  $x$  within  $T$  steps, then  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(x)$  halts within  $CT \log T$  steps, where  $C$  is a number independent of  $|x|$ , and depends only on  $M_\alpha$ 's alphabet size, number of tapes, and number of states. The cost of simulating any machine  $M_\alpha$  has a logarithmic overhead, due to the alphabet size difference between  $M_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  has a single tape, we do the trick over interleaving  $M_\alpha$ 's work tapes together.

**0.9** (Uncomputable Function). Define  $U$  as follows: for every  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , if the machine defined by  $\alpha$  accepts itself, such that  $M_\alpha(\alpha) = 1$ , then  $U(\alpha) = 0$ . In other words  $U(\alpha) = 1 - M_\alpha(\alpha)$ . There is no such TM that can compute  $U$ , because  $U$  will always negate it.

**0.10** (Halting Problem). A TM  $H$  such that  $H(\alpha, x) = 1$  if  $M_\alpha(x)$  halts, and yields 0 otherwise, does not exist. We can construct a wrapper TM  $W$  that invokes  $H$  on itself, and performs the opposite. Diagonalization motherfuckers!

**0.11** (DTIME). Let  $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be some function. A language  $L$  is in  $\text{DTIME}(T(n))$  iff there is a deterministic TM that runs in time  $c \cdot T(n)$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and decides  $L$ . This class contains **decision** problems.

**0.12** (The Class P).  $P = \bigcup_{c \geq 1} \text{DTIME}(n^c)$

**0.13** (Church-Turing Thesis). Every physically realizable computation device can be simulated by a TM.

**0.14** (Bounds). The asymptotic operators  $\{o, O, \Theta, \Omega, \omega\}$  can be thought of as  $\{<, \leq, =, \geq, >\}$ .

## Chapter 2: NP and NP Completeness

**0.15** (Non-deterministic Turing Machine). A non-deterministic TM is endowed with two transition functions  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  along with a special accept state  $q_{accept}$ . The NDTM may use either transition function per time step. For every input  $x$ , we say that  $M(x) = 1$  if there **exists** some sequence of transition function choices that would cause  $M$  to reach  $q_{accept}$ . Otherwise, if every sequence of non-deterministic choices causes  $M$  to halt on  $x$  without reaching  $q_{accept}$ , then we say that  $M(x) = 0$ .  $M$  runs in time  $T(n)$  if for every input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  and every sequence of non-deterministic choices,  $M$  reaches either the halting state or  $q_{accept}$  within  $T(|x|)$  steps.

**0.16** (NTIME). For every function  $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ , we say that  $L \in \text{NTIME}(T(n))$  if there is a constant  $c > 0$  and a  $c \cdot T(n)$ -time NDTM  $M$  such that for every  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we have  $x \in L \iff M(x) = 1$ .

**0.17** (NP).  $\text{NP} = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{N}} \text{NTIME}(n^c)$

**0.18**. A language  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is in NP if there exists a polynomial  $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a polynomial time TM  $M$  (called the **verifier** for  $L$ ) s.t. for every  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we have  $x \in L \iff \exists u \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)}$  s.t.  $M(x, u) = 1$ . If  $x \in L$  and  $u \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)}$  satisfy  $M(x, u) = 1$ , we call  $u$  a **certificate** for  $x$  (with respect to  $L$  and  $M$ ). NP is the class of languages for which we can tell if  $u$  is a solution to the problem  $x \in L$  in polynomial time.

**0.19** (Reductions, NP-hardness, and NP-completeness). We say that a language  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is a polynomial time **Karp reducible** to a language  $L' \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  if there is a polynomial time computable function  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that for every  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we have  $x \in L \iff f(x) \in L'$ . We say that  $L'$  is NP-hard if  $L \leq_p L'$  for every  $L \in \text{NP}$ . We say that  $L'$  is NP-complete if  $L'$  is NP-hard and  $L' \in \text{NP}$ .

**0.20** (Cook Reduction). A reduction computed by a deterministic polynomial time oracle TM.

**0.21** (Transitivity). If  $L \leq_p L'$ , and  $L' \leq_p L''$ , then  $L \leq_p L''$ .

**0.22**. If  $L$  is NP-hard and  $L \in \text{P}$ , then  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$ .

**0.23**. If  $L$  is NP-Complete then  $L \in \text{P} \iff \text{P} = \text{NP}$ .

**0.24** (TMSAT).  $\text{TMSAT} = \{(\alpha, x, 1^n, 1^t) : \exists u \in \{0, 1\}^n \text{ s.t. } M_\alpha(x, u) = 1 \text{ within } t \text{ steps}\}$

**0.25** (Decision vs Search). Suppose that  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$ , then for every NP language  $L$  there exists a polynomial time TM  $B$  such that on input  $x \in L$  outputs a certificate for  $x$ . That is,  $x \in L \iff \exists u \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)}$  s.t.  $M(x, u) = 1$  where  $p$  is some polynomial and  $M$  is a polynomial time TM, then on input  $x \in L$ , we have  $B(x)$  as the string  $u \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)}$  satisfying  $M(x, B(x)) = 1$ .

**0.26** (Complement Language). If  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ , then we denote  $\bar{L}$  to be the complement of  $L$ . That is,  $\bar{L} = \{0, 1\}^* \setminus L$ .

**0.27** (coNP).  $\text{coNP} = \{L : \bar{L} \in \text{NP}\}$

**0.28** (coNP Alternative Definition). For every  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ , we say  $L \in \text{coNP}$  if there is a polynomial  $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a polynomial time TM  $M$  s.t. for every  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we have  $x \in L \iff \forall u \in \{0, 1\}^{p(|x|)}$  with  $M(x, u) = 1$ .

**0.29**. coNP is the class of problems for which we can reject proposed solutions in polynomial time.

**0.30**.  $\text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$

**0.31** (EXP).  $\text{EXP} = \bigcup_{c \geq 1} \text{DTIME}(2^{n^c})$

**0.32** (NEXP).  $\text{NEXP} = \bigcup_{c \geq 1} \text{NTIME}(2^{n^c})$

## Chapter 3: Diagonalization

**0.33** (Main Idea). For two complexity classes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , we show  $C_1 \subsetneq C_2$  by presenting  $L$  s.t.  $L \in C_2$  but  $L \notin C_1$ .

**0.34** (Time Hierarchy Theorem). If  $f, g$  are time constructible function satisfying  $f(n) \log f(n) = o(g(n))$ , then we have  $\text{DTIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(g(n))$ .

**0.35** (Sketch for  $\text{DTIME}(n) \subsetneq \text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$ ). For the “diagonal” machine  $D$ : on input  $x$  run for  $|x|^{1.4}$  steps on the universal TM  $\mathcal{U}$  to simulate  $M_x(x)$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  outputs a bit  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ , we yield the opposite, which is  $1 - b$ , and 0 if it fails to halt in time  $|x|^{1.4}$ . By definition,  $D$  always halts within  $n^{1.4}$  steps and so any language decided by  $D$  is in  $\text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$ . There is no machine  $M$  that always halts within  $n$  steps that can emulate  $D$  because  $D$  will have captured its result and yielded the opposite. Thus,  $L \in \text{DTIME}(n^{1.5})$ , but  $L \notin \text{DTIME}(n)$ .

**0.36** (Non-deterministic Time Hierarchy Theorem). If  $f, g$  are time constructible functions satisfying the bound  $f(n+1) = o(g(n))$ , then  $\text{NTIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \text{NTIME}(g(n))$ .

**0.37** (Sketch for  $\text{NTIME}(n) \subsetneq \text{NTIME}(n^{1.5})$ ). Apply lazy diagonalization. Define  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as follows:  $f(1) = 2$  and  $f(i+1) = 2^{f(i)^{1.2}}$ . We will find some  $n$  such that  $f(i) < n \leq f(i+1)$ . The goal for our diagonal machine is to flip the result on the set  $\{1^n : f(i) < n \leq f(i+1)\}$ . Define  $D$  as: If (1)  $f(i) < n < f(i+1)$  then simulate  $M_i$  on input  $1^{n+1}$  using non-determinism in  $n^{1.1}$  time and output. Otherwise if (2)  $n = f(i+1)$  accept  $1^n$  iff  $M_i$  rejects  $1^{f(i)+1}$  in  $(f(i)+1)^{1.1}$  time. Thus, if  $f(i) < n < f(i+1)$  then  $D(1^n) = M_i(1^{n+1})$ , otherwise  $D(1^{f(i+1)}) \neq M_i(1^{f(i)+1})$ .

**0.38** (Ladner's Theorem). Suppose that  $P \neq NP$ , then there exists a language  $L \in NP \setminus P$  that is not NP-Complete.

**0.39** (Sketch for Ladner's Theorem). We make some  $L \in NP \setminus P$  “easy enough” using padding so that it is no longer NP-Complete, while keeping it “hard enough” so that it is not P. We do this by taking an NP-Complete language and “blowing holes” in it.

## Chapter 4: Space Complexity

**0.40** (Space-bounded Computation). Let  $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ . We say that  $L \in \text{SPACE}(s(n))$  if there is a constant  $c$  and a deterministic TM  $M$  that decides  $L$  with at most  $c \cdot s(n)$  locations on  $M$ 's work tapes (excluding the input tape) are ever visited by  $M$ 's head during its computation on every input of length  $n$ . Likewise, say that  $L \in \text{NSPACE}(s(n))$  if there is an NDTM  $M$  deciding  $L$  that never uses more than  $c \cdot s(n)$  non-blank tape locations on length  $n$  inputs, regardless of its non-deterministic choices.

**0.41.**  $\text{DTIME}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(S(n))$  since a TM can access only one tape cell per step, so a  $\text{SPACE}(S(n))$  TM can run for much longer than  $S(n)$  steps. In fact, halting behavior can run for as much as  $2^{\Omega(S(n))}$  steps – as an example, a counter machine that counts from 1 to  $2^{S(n)-1}$ . Any language that is in  $\text{SPACE}(S(n))$  is in  $\text{DTIME}(2^{O(S(n))})$ .

**0.42.** For space constructible  $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ :  $\text{DTIME}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{NSPACE}(S(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(S(n))})$

**0.43** (Configuration Graph). A configuration of a TM  $M$  consists of the contents of all non-blank entries on  $M$ 's tapes, along with its state and head position at a particular point in execution. For every space  $S(n)$  TM  $M$  and input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , the configuration graph of  $M$  on input  $x$ , denote  $G_{M,x}$  is a directed graph whose nodes correspond to all possible configurations of  $M$ , where the input contains the value  $x$  and the work tapes have at most  $S(|x|)$  non-blank cells. The graph has a directed edge from a configuration  $C$  to a configuration  $C'$  if  $C'$  can be reached from  $C$  in one step according to  $M$ 's transition function. If  $M$  is deterministic, then the graph has out-degree one, and if  $M$  is non-deterministic, then the graph has outdegree at most two. Let  $G_{M,x}$  be the configuration graph of a space- $S(n)$  machine  $M$  on some input  $x$  s.t.  $|x| = n$ :

- Every vertex in  $G_{M,x}$  can be described using  $cS(n)$  bits for some constant  $c$  (depending on  $M$ 's alphabet size and number of tapes) and in particular,  $G_{M,x}$  has at most  $2^{cS(n)}$  vertices.
- There is an  $O(S(n))$ -size CNF formula  $\varphi_{M,x}$  such that for every two strings  $C$  and  $C'$ , we have  $\varphi_{M,x}(C, C') = 1$  iff  $C$  and  $C'$  encode two neighboring configurations in  $G_{M,x}$ .

## Chapter 5: The Polynomial Hierarchy and Alterations

## Chapter 6: Boolean Circuits

### Examples