# Scikit-learn exploration

# Made by:

- Annisa Rahim / 13518089
- Stefanus Gusega Gunawan / 13518149

Prepare a dict to record the evaluation of each model

# **Breast-Cancer Dataset**

Load the datasets first.

```
from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

load = load_breast_cancer()
cancer = pd.DataFrame(load.data, columns=load.feature_names)
target = pd.DataFrame(load.target, columns =['target'])

# Split 80 : 20
X_train, X_valid, y_train, y_valid = train_test_split(cancer, target, train_size = 0.8, X_valid)
```

Out[3]:

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	concave	mean symmetry	dim
421	14.690	13.98	98.22	656.1	0.10310	0.18360	0.14500	0.063000	0.2086	(
47	13.170	18.66	85.98	534.6	0.11580	0.12310	0.12260	0.073400	0.2128	(
292	12.950	16.02	83.14	513.7	0.10050	0.07943	0.06155	0.033700	0.1730	(
186	18.310	18.58	118.60	1041.0	0.08588	0.08468	0.08169	0.058140	0.1621	(
414	15.130	29.81	96.71	719.5	0.08320	0.04605	0.04686	0.027390	0.1852	(
132	16.160	21.54	106.20	809.8	0.10080	0.12840	0.10430	0.056130	0.2160	(
161	19.190	15.94	126.30	1157.0	0.08694	0.11850	0.11930	0.096670	0.1741	(

mean

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	mean symmetry	dim
197	18.080	21.84	117.40	1024.0	0.07371	0.08642	0.11030	0.057780	0.1770	(
245	10.480	19.86	66.72	337.7	0.10700	0.05971	0.04831	0.030700	0.1737	(
453	14.530	13.98	93.86	644.2	0.10990	0.09242	0.06895	0.064950	0.1650	(
411	11.040	16.83	70.92	373.2	0.10770	0.07804	0.03046	0.024800	0.1714	(
214	14.190	23.81	92.87	610.7	0.09463	0.13060	0.11150	0.064620	0.2235	(
283	16.240	18.77	108.80	805.1	0.10660	0.18020	0.19480	0.090520	0.1876	(
107	12.360	18.54	79.01	466.7	0.08477	0.06815	0.02643	0.019210	0.1602	(
542	14.740	25.42	94.70	668.6	0.08275	0.07214	0.04105	0.030270	0.1840	(
518	12.880	18.22	84.45	493.1	0.12180	0.16610	0.04825	0.053030	0.1709	(
324	12.200	15.21	78.01	457.9	0.08673	0.06545	0.01994	0.016920	0.1638	(
488	11.680	16.17	75.49	420.5	0.11280	0.09263	0.04279	0.031320	0.1853	(
376	10.570	20.22	70.15	338.3	0.09073	0.16600	0.22800	0.059410	0.2188	(
237	20.480	21.46	132.50	1306.0	0.08355	0.08348	0.09042	0.060220	0.1467	(
362	12.760	18.84	81.87	496.6	0.09676	0.07952	0.02688	0.017810	0.1759	(
420	11.570	19.04	74.20	409.7	0.08546	0.07722	0.05485	0.014280	0.2031	(
451	19.590	25.00	127.70	1191.0	0.10320	0.09871	0.16550	0.090630	0.1663	(
519	12.750	16.70	82.51	493.8	0.11250	0.11170	0.03880	0.029950	0.2120	(
65	14.780	23.94	97.40	668.3	0.11720	0.14790	0.12670	0.090290	0.1953	(
242	11.300	18.19	73.93	389.4	0.09592	0.13250	0.15480	0.028540	0.2054	(
558	14.590	22.68	96.39	657.1	0.08473	0.13300	0.10290	0.037360	0.1454	(
85	18.460	18.52	121.10	1075.0	0.09874	0.10530	0.13350	0.087950	0.2132	(
180	27.220	21.87	182.10	2250.0	0.10940	0.19140	0.28710	0.187800	0.1800	(
207	17.010	20.26	109.70	904.3	0.08772	0.07304	0.06950	0.053900	0.2026	(
•••										
403	12.940	16.17	83.18	507.6	0.09879	0.08836	0.03296	0.023900	0.1735	(
120	11.410	10.82	73.34	403.3	0.09373	0.06685	0.03512	0.026230	0.1667	(
501	13.820	24.49	92.33	595.9	0.11620	0.16810	0.13570	0.067590	0.2275	(
545	13.620	23.23	87.19	573.2	0.09246	0.06747	0.02974	0.024430	0.1664	(
62	14.250	22.15	96.42	645.7	0.10490	0.20080	0.21350	0.086530	0.1949	(
344	11.710	15.45	75.03	420.3	0.11500	0.07281	0.04006	0.032500	0.2009	(
457	13.210	25.25	84.10	537.9	0.08791	0.05205	0.02772	0.020680	0.1619	(
31	11.840	18.70	77.93	440.6	0.11090	0.15160	0.12180	0.051820	0.2301	(

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	mean symmetry	dim
555	10.290	27.61	65.67	321.4	0.09030	0.07658	0.05999	0.027380	0.1593	(
443	10.570	18.32	66.82	340.9	0.08142	0.04462	0.01993	0.011110	0.2372	(
400	17.910	21.02	124.40	994.0	0.12300	0.25760	0.31890	0.119800	0.2113	(
5	12.450	15.70	82.57	477.1	0.12780	0.17000	0.15780	0.080890	0.2087	(
59	8.618	11.79	54.34	224.5	0.09752	0.05272	0.02061	0.007799	0.1683	(
496	12.650	18.17	82.69	485.6	0.10760	0.13340	0.08017	0.050740	0.1641	(
289	11.370	18.89	72.17	396.0	0.08713	0.05008	0.02399	0.021730	0.2013	(
346	12.060	18.90	76.66	445.3	0.08386	0.05794	0.00751	0.008488	0.1555	(
531	11.670	20.02	75.21	416.2	0.10160	0.09453	0.04200	0.021570	0.1859	(
305	11.600	24.49	74.23	417.2	0.07474	0.05688	0.01974	0.013130	0.1935	(
425	10.030	21.28	63.19	307.3	0.08117	0.03912	0.00247	0.005159	0.1630	(
347	14.760	14.74	94.87	668.7	0.08875	0.07780	0.04608	0.035280	0.1521	(
462	14.400	26.99	92.25	646.1	0.06995	0.05223	0.03476	0.017370	0.1707	(
165	14.970	19.76	95.50	690.2	0.08421	0.05352	0.01947	0.019390	0.1515	(
550	10.860	21.48	68.51	360.5	0.07431	0.04227	0.00000	0.000000	0.1661	(
295	13.770	13.27	88.06	582.7	0.09198	0.06221	0.01063	0.019170	0.1592	(
119	17.950	20.01	114.20	982.0	0.08402	0.06722	0.07293	0.055960	0.2129	(
172	15.460	11.89	102.50	736.9	0.12570	0.15550	0.20320	0.109700	0.1966	(
3	11.420	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	0.28390	0.24140	0.105200	0.2597	(
68	9.029	17.33	58.79	250.5	0.10660	0.14130	0.31300	0.043750	0.2111	(
448	14.530	19.34	94.25	659.7	0.08388	0.07800	0.08817	0.029250	0.1473	(
442	13.780	15.79	88.37	585.9	0.08817	0.06718	0.01055	0.009937	0.1405	(

114 rows × 30 columns

**→** 

Check whether there is missing values.

```
cols_missing = [col for col in cancer.columns if (cancer[col].isnull().any())]
cols_missing
```

Out[4]: []

# **Train datasets**

# DecisionTreeClassifier

In [5]:

```
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
         classifier = DecisionTreeClassifier(random state=1)
         classifier.fit(X_train, y_train)
Out[5]: DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=1)
        Print the tree.
In [6]:
         from sklearn.tree import export text
         tree = export_text(classifier, feature_names = list(cancer.columns))
         print(tree)
         --- worst perimeter <= 106.05
             --- worst concave points <= 0.16
                 --- worst concave points <= 0.14
                     --- area error <= 48.98
                        |--- class: 1
                     --- area error > 48.98
                         |--- worst radius <= 13.55
                            |--- class: 0
                         --- worst radius > 13.55
                           |--- class: 1
                 --- worst concave points > 0.14
                     |--- worst texture <= 29.45
                        |--- class: 1
                     --- worst texture > 29.45
                        |--- class: 0
             --- worst concave points > 0.16
                 |--- worst texture <= 24.78
                    |--- class: 1
                  --- worst texture > 24.78
                    |--- class: 0
         --- worst perimeter > 106.05
             |--- worst texture <= 20.65
                 --- worst perimeter <= 116.80
                    |--- class: 1
                 --- worst perimeter > 116.80
                     |--- mean smoothness <= 0.08
                        |--- class: 1
                     --- mean smoothness > 0.08
                       |--- class: 0
               - worst texture > 20.65
                 |--- mean concave points <= 0.05
                     |--- concave points error <= 0.01
                        |--- class: 0
                     --- concave points error > 0.01
                        |--- class: 1
                 --- mean concave points > 0.05
                     |--- mean smoothness <= 0.08
                        |--- class: 1
                     --- mean smoothness > 0.08
                        |--- class: 0
```

Give prediction to training data so we can see how good the model is. Compared to true value of training data.

```
In [7]: predict_train = classifier.predict(X_train)
```

Evaluate the model with Accuracy Metrics.

$$Accuracy = \frac{Number of Correct predictions}{Total number of predictions made}$$

```
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
acc_train_score = accuracy_score(y_train, predict_train)
# insert to dict
data['acc_train'].append(acc_train_score)
acc_train_score
```

Out[8]: 1.0

Evaluate the model with F1 score Metrics. The metrics used based on this confusion matrix. F1 Score is the *Harmonic Mean* between precision and recall.

### Predicted

# Actual

	Negative	Positive
Negative	True Negative	False Positive
Positive	False Negative	True Positive

$$Precision = rac{TruePositives}{TruePositives + FalsePositives}$$

$$Recall = \frac{TruePositives}{TruePositives + FalseNegatives}$$

$$F1 = 2*(rac{1}{rac{1}{Precision} + rac{1}{Recall}}) = 2*(rac{TruePositives}{2TruePositives + FalsePositives + FalseNegatives})$$

```
from sklearn.metrics import f1_score
f1_train_score = f1_score(y_train, predict_train)

# insert to dict
data['f1_train'].append(f1_train_score)
f1_train_score
```

Out[9]: 1.0

Now predict the test data.

```
In [10]: predict_valid = classifier.predict(X_valid)
```

Evaluate the model with Accuracy Metrics.

Out[11]: 0.9473684210526315

Evaluate the model with F1 Metrics

```
In [12]:
    f1_valid_score = f1_score(y_valid, predict_valid)
    # insert to dict
    data['f1_valid'].append(f1_valid_score)
    f1_valid_score
```

Out[12]: 0.9594594594594595

## **Id3Estimator**

Train the model and print the tree.

```
import six
import sys
sys.modules['sklearn.externals.six'] = six

from id3 import Id3Estimator, export

id3_model1 = Id3Estimator()

id3_model1.fit(X_train, y_train.values.ravel()) # make it numpy 1D array, not a df

# export text
tree_text1 = export.export_text(id3_model1.tree_, feature_names=cancer.columns)
print(tree_text1)
```

```
worst perimeter <=105.15
| worst concave points <=0.14
| radius error <=0.64: 1 (249)
| radius error >0.64
| | mean radius <=12.27: 0 (1)
| mean radius >12.27: 1 (2)
| worst concave points >0.14
| worst texture <=25.94: 1 (6)
| worst texture >25.94
| mean compactness <=0.12
| mean radius >14.06: 1 (2)
| mean radius >14.06: 0 (1)
| mean compactness >0.12: 0 (5)
```

```
worst concave points <=0.15
   worst texture <=19.91: 1 (13)
   worst texture >19.91
        worst radius <=16.80
            mean smoothness <=0.09: 1 (8)
            mean smoothness >0.09
                smoothness error <=0.00: 1 (2)
                smoothness error >0.00: 0 (5)
       worst radius >16.80
            worst concavity <=0.21
                mean texture <=21.26: 1 (2)
                mean texture >21.26: 0 (2)
            worst concavity >0.21: 0 (21)
worst concave points >0.15
   mean texture <=15.35
       mean radius <=14.89: 1 (1)
       mean radius >14.89: 0 (3)
   mean texture >15.35: 0 (132)
```

Evaluate the model with Accuracy and F1 score metrics.

```
In [14]: # Model Evaluation
    predict_train1 = id3_model1.predict(X_train)

print("Accuracy: ", accuracy_score(predict_train1, y_train))
    print("F1 score: ", f1_score(predict_train1, y_train))

# insert to dict
    data['acc_train'].append(accuracy_score(predict_train1, y_train))
# insert to dict
    data['f1_train'].append(f1_score(predict_train1, y_train))
```

Accuracy: 1.0 F1 score: 1.0

Evaluate the model to test data with Accuracy and F1 score metrics.

```
In [15]: # Model Prediction
    predict_test1 = id3_model1.predict(X_valid)

    print("Accuracy: ", accuracy_score(predict_test1,y_valid))
    print("F1 score: ", f1_score(predict_test1,y_valid))

# insert to dict
    data['acc_valid'].append(accuracy_score(predict_test1,y_valid))
# insert to dict
    data['f1_valid'].append(f1_score(predict_test1,y_valid))
```

Accuracy: 0.9385964912280702 F1 score: 0.953020134228188

### **KMeans**

Train the datasets.

```
In [16]: from sklearn.cluster import KMeans

# Determine how many clusters
n_clust = y_train['target'].nunique()
```

```
kmeans_model_1 = KMeans(n_clusters=n_clust,random_state=1)
kmeans_model_1.fit(X_train)
```

Out[16]: KMeans(n clusters=2, random state=1)

Predict the data train and data test.

```
In [17]:
    kmeans_predict_train = kmeans_model_1.predict(X_train)
    kmeans_predict_test = kmeans_model_1.predict(X_valid)
    print(kmeans_predict_train)
    print(y_train)
```

```
313
           1
534
           1
319
           1
           0
           0
393
141
           0
           0
86
478
           1
503
           0
215
           0
398
           1
490
           1
252
           0
468
           0
           1
357
254
276
           1
178
           1
281
           1
390
           1
508
           1
           0
129
144
           1
           0
72
235
           1
37
           1
```

[455 rows x 1 columns]

Define a function to switch the value from 0 to 1

```
import numpy as np
def switch(x: int) -> int:
    if (x==0):
        return x+1
    elif (x==1):
        return x-1
```

For evaluation with training data.

```
# Find out each cluster belong with which class

# First clusterization using first prediction (k_means_train)
# Calculate the accuracy and F1
acc_train = accuracy_score(y_train, kmeans_predict_train)
f1_train = f1_score(y_train, kmeans_predict_train)
print(f"First clusterization accuracy: {acc_train}")
print(f"First clusterization F1: {f1_train}")

# Second clusterization: switch 0 to 1
switched_train = pd.Series(kmeans_predict_train)
fix = switched_train.apply(switch)
acc_train1= accuracy_score(y_train, fix)
f1_train2= f1_score(y_train, fix)
print(f"Second clusterization accuracy: {acc_train1}")
print(f"Second clusterization F1: {f1_train2}")
```

First clusterization accuracy: 0.15164835164835164
First clusterization F1: 0.005154639175257732
Second clusterization accuracy: 0.8483516483516483
Second clusterization F1: 0.891679748822606

```
In [20]:  # insert to dict
    data['acc_train'].append(acc_train1)
    # insert to dict
    data['f1_train'].append(f1_train2)
```

The performance can be seen by the greatest metrics score. So the cluster 0 belongs to class of 1, cluster 1 belongs to class of 0. Now, for evaluation with validation data (datatest).

```
In [21]:
          # First clusterization
          acc_valid = accuracy_score(y_valid, kmeans_predict_test)
          f1_valid = f1_score(y_valid, kmeans_predict_test)
          print(f"First clusterization accuracy: {acc valid}")
          print(f"First clusterization F1: {f1_train}")
          # Second clusterization: switch 0 to 1
          switched valid = pd.Series(kmeans predict test).apply(switch)
          acc_valid_switch = accuracy_score(y_valid, switched_valid)
          f1_valid_switch = f1_score(y_valid, switched_valid)
          print(f"Second clusterization accuracy: {acc_valid_switch}")
          print(f"Second clusterization F1: {f1 valid switch}")
         First clusterization accuracy: 0.19298245614035087
         First clusterization F1: 0.005154639175257732
         Second clusterization accuracy: 0.8070175438596491
         Second clusterization F1: 0.8674698795180723
In [22]:
          # insert to dict
          data['acc_valid'].append(acc_valid_switch)
          # insert to dict
          data['f1_valid'].append(f1_valid_switch)
```

Same as when we evaluate with training data, the second clusterization is better.

## LogisticRegression

# insert to dict

```
data['f1 train'].append(f1)
         Training Data with only 2500 maximum iteration
         Accuracy: 0.9604395604395605
         F1 score: 0.9685314685314685
In [25]:
          predict test = model 1.predict(X valid)
          acc = accuracy_score(y_valid, predict_test)
          f1 = f1 score(y valid, predict test)
          print("Test Data with only 2500 maximum iteration")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data['acc valid'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data['f1 valid'].append(f1)
         Test Data with only 2500 maximum iteration
         Accuracy: 0.9473684210526315
         F1 score: 0.9594594594595
         Scaling the dataset (using Standard Scaler)
In [26]:
          # Scaling
          from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, MinMaxScaler
          scaler = StandardScaler()
          scaled X train = scaler.fit transform(X train)
          scaled_X_valid = scaler.fit_transform(X_valid)
         Modelling the scaled dataset.
In [27]:
          model 2 = LogisticRegression(max iter=2500, random state=1)
          model_2.fit(scaled_X_train,y_train.values.ravel())
Out[27]: LogisticRegression(max_iter=2500, random_state=1)
         Evaluate the model to train data with Accuracy and F1 score metrics.
In [28]:
          predict train = model 2.predict(scaled X train)
          acc = accuracy score(y train, predict train)
          f1 = f1_score(y_train, predict_train)
          print("Training Data with using max 2500 iteration and StandardScaler")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data['acc train'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data['f1_train'].append(f1)
```

```
Training Data with using max 2500 iteration and StandardScaler Accuracy: 0.9912087912087912
F1 score: 0.993006993006993
```

Evaluate the model to test data with Accuracy and F1 score metrics.

```
In [29]:
          predict test = model 2.predict(scaled X valid)
          acc = accuracy_score(y_valid, predict_test)
          f1 = f1 score(y valid, predict test)
          print("Test Data with using max 2500 iteration and StandardScaler")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data['acc_valid'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data['f1_valid'].append(f1)
         Test Data with using max 2500 iteration and StandardScaler
         Accuracy: 0.9736842105263158
         F1 score: 0.9793103448275863
        Scaling the data (Using MinMax Scaler)
In [30]:
          scaler = MinMaxScaler()
          scaled X train = scaler.fit transform(X train)
          scaled X valid = scaler.fit transform(X valid)
          model_3 = LogisticRegression(max_iter=2500, random_state=1)
          model_3.fit(scaled_X_train,y_train.values.ravel())
Out[30]: LogisticRegression(max_iter=2500, random_state=1)
In [31]:
          predict train = model 3.predict(scaled X train)
          acc = accuracy score(y train, predict train)
          f1 = f1_score(y_train, predict_train)
          print("Training Data with using max 2500 iteration and MinMaxScaler")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data['acc_train'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data['f1 train'].append(f1)
         Training Data with using max 2500 iteration and MinMaxScaler
         Accuracy: 0.9626373626373627
         F1 score: 0.9709401709401708
In [32]:
          predict test = model 3.predict(scaled X valid)
          acc = accuracy score(y valid, predict test)
          f1 = f1_score(y_valid, predict_test)
```

```
print("Test Data with using max 2500 iteration and MinMaxScaler")
print("Accuracy: ", acc)
print("F1 score: ", f1)

# insert to dict
data['acc_valid'].append(acc)
# insert to dict
data['f1_valid'].append(f1)
```

Test Data with using max 2500 iteration and MinMaxScaler Accuracy: 0.9736842105263158 F1 score: 0.9795918367346939

### Conclusion

This data is better with MinMaxScaler because the metrics of the validation dataset bigger than the metrics of the training dataset, even this is a unusual condition. Possible answer: the data was divided into two imbalance dataset, so the training data harder to solve, and the validation data easier to solve. So, we still concluded that with MinMaxScaler is better, because it proves that model is not overfit.

## Neural\_network

Define the algorithm

```
In [33]: from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier
    model_1 = MLPClassifier(max_iter = 700, random_state=1)
    model_1.fit(X_train,y_train.values.ravel())
```

Out[33]: MLPClassifier(max\_iter=700, random\_state=1)

Predict with training data

Accuracy: 0.9428571428571428 F1 score: 0.9559322033898305

Predict with validation data

```
In [35]: predict_test = model_1.predict(X_valid)
```

```
acc = accuracy_score(y_valid, predict_test)
f1 = f1_score(y_valid, predict_test)

print("Accuracy: ", acc)
print("F1 score: ", f1)

# insert to dict
data['acc_valid'].append(acc)
# insert to dict
data['f1_valid'].append(f1)
```

Accuracy: 0.9473684210526315 F1 score: 0.9594594594594595

#### **Standard Scaler**

Train the training data

```
In [36]:
    from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, MinMaxScaler
    scaler = StandardScaler()

    scaled_X_train = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
    scaled_X_valid = scaler.fit_transform(X_valid)

    model_2 = MLPClassifier(max_iter = 700, random_state=1)

    model_2.fit(scaled_X_train,y_train.values.ravel())
```

Out[36]: MLPClassifier(max\_iter=700, random\_state=1)

Predict with training data

Accuracy: 1.0 F1 score: 1.0

Predict with validation data

```
data['acc_valid'].append(acc)
# insert to dict
data['f1_valid'].append(f1)
```

Accuracy: 0.9649122807017544 F1 score: 0.97222222222222

#### MinMax Scaler

Train the traning data

```
In [39]: scaler = MinMaxScaler()

scaled_X_train = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
scaled_X_valid = scaler.fit_transform(X_valid)

model_3 = MLPClassifier(max_iter = 700, random_state=1)
model_3.fit(scaled_X_train,y_train.values.ravel())
```

Out[39]: MLPClassifier(max\_iter=700, random\_state=1)

Predict with training data

Accuracy: 0.9934065934065934 F1 score: 0.9947643979057591

Predict with validation data

Accuracy: 0.9035087719298246 F1 score: 0.9172932330827067

## **SVM**

Define the SVM (Support Vector Machines) constructor

```
In [42]:
          from sklearn.svm import SVC
          svm = SVC(random state=1)
        Train the traning dataset
In [43]:
          svm.fit(X_train, y_train.values.ravel())
          # .values will give the values in an array (shape: (n,1)
          # .ravel will convert that array shape to (n, )
Out[43]: SVC(random_state=1)
        Predict the dataset.
In [44]:
          pred train svm breast = svm.predict(X train)
          pred_val_svm_breast = svm.predict(X_valid)
          pred val svm breast
Out[44]: array([1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1,
                0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
                1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
                1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1,
                1, 1, 1, 1])
        Evaluate data train prediction with using Accuracy and F1 metrics
In [45]:
          acc score = accuracy score(y train, pred train svm breast)
          f1 = f1 score(y train, pred train svm breast)
          print("Accuracy : ", acc_score)
          print("F1 : ",f1)
          # insert to dict
          data['acc train'].append(acc score)
          # insert to dict
          data['f1_train'].append(f1)
         Accuracy: 0.9230769230769231
         F1: 0.9405772495755519
        Evaluate data test prediction with using Accuracy and F1 metrics
In [46]:
          acc score = accuracy score(y valid, pred val svm breast)
          f1 = f1_score(y_valid, pred_val_svm_breast)
          print("Accuracy : ", acc_score)
          print("F1 : ",f1)
          # insert to dict
          data['acc_valid'].append(acc_score)
          # insert to dict
```

Accuracy: 0.9035087719298246 F1: 0.9290322580645161

data['f1 valid'].append(f1)

```
In [47]: breast_cancer = pd.DataFrame(data)
```

# **Play-Tennis Dataset**

Load the dataset first.

```
In [48]:
           # initialise data of lists.
          data_tennis = {'algo':['DecisionTreeClassifier', 'Id3Estimator', 'KMeans','Logreg','Log
                          'NN_StdScaler','NN_MinMaxScaler','SVM'],
                   'acc_train':[],
                   'acc_valid':[],
                   'f1_train':[],
                   'f1 valid':[]
                  }
In [49]:
          tennis = pd.read csv('datasets/PlayTennis.csv')
          X_tennis = tennis.drop(['play'],axis=1)
          y tennis = pd.DataFrame(tennis['play'])
          # Split 80 : 20
          X_train_tennis, X_valid_tennis, y_train_tennis, y_valid_tennis = train_test_split(X_ten
          y_valid_tennis
Out[49]:
            play
         3
             yes
         7
              no
             yes
         Check whether there are missing values
In [50]:
           cols_with_missing_tennis = [col for col in tennis.columns if tennis[col].isnull().any()
          cols with missing tennis
Out[50]: []
```

## **Train datasets**

## DecisionTreeClassifier

Make the categorical variable to numeric variable

```
In [51]: from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
    cond = (X_train_tennis.dtypes == 'object')
    object_cols = list(cond[cond].index)
    label_X_train = X_train_tennis.copy()
    label_X_valid = X_valid_tennis.copy()
```

```
label_encoder = LabelEncoder()
for col in object_cols:
    label_X_train[col] = label_encoder.fit_transform(X_train_tennis[col])
    label_X_valid[col] = label_encoder.transform(X_valid_tennis[col])

# In case needed
label_y_train = label_encoder.fit_transform(y_train_tennis.values.ravel())
label_y_valid = label_encoder.transform(y_valid_tennis.values.ravel())
label_X_train
```

Out[51]:		outlook	temp	humidity	windy
	2	0	1	0	False
	10	2	2	1	True
	4	1	0	1	False
	1	2	1	0	True
	12	0	1	1	False
	0	2	1	0	False
	13	1	2	0	True
	9	1	2	1	False
	8	2	0	1	False
	11	0	2	0	True
	5	1	0	1	True

Train the model.

```
tennis_classifier = DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=1)
tennis_classifier.fit(label_X_train, y_train_tennis)
```

Out[52]: DecisionTreeClassifier(random\_state=1)

Print the tree.

```
In [53]:
    tree_tennis = export_text(tennis_classifier, feature_names = list(X_tennis.columns))
    print(tree_tennis)
```

Predict training data with the model.

```
In [54]:
          predict_train_tennis = tennis_classifier.predict(label_X_train)
          predict_train_tennis
Out[54]: array(['yes', 'yes', 'no', 'yes', 'no', 'no', 'yes', 'yes', 'yes',
                 'no'], dtype=object)
         Evaluate the model to training data with Accuracy Metrics
In [55]:
          acc_train_tennis = accuracy_score(y_train_tennis,predict_train_tennis)
          acc train tennis
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['acc train'].append(acc train tennis)
         Evaluate the model to training data with F1 Metrics
In [56]:
          f1_train_tennis = f1_score(y_train_tennis,predict_train_tennis,pos_label='yes')
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_train'].append(f1_train_tennis)
          f1 train tennis
Out[56]: 1.0
         Predict the test data using model.
In [57]:
          predict valid tennis = tennis classifier.predict(label X valid)
          predict valid tennis
Out[57]: array(['no', 'no', 'yes'], dtype=object)
         Evaluate the model with datatest using Accuracy Metrics
In [58]:
          acc_valid_tennis = accuracy_score(y_valid_tennis,predict_valid_tennis)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_valid'].append(acc_valid_tennis)
          acc valid tennis
Out[58]: 0.66666666666666
         Evaluate the model with datatest using F1 Metrics
In [59]:
          f1_valid_tennis = f1_score(y_valid_tennis,predict_valid_tennis,pos_label='yes')
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_valid'].append(f1_valid_tennis)
          f1 valid tennis
Out[59]: 0.66666666666666
```

### **Id3Estimator**

Train the model and print the tree produced.

Evaluate the model to training data with Accuracy and F1 Metrics

```
In [61]:
    predict_train2 = id3_model2.predict(label_X_train)

    print("Accuracy: ", accuracy_score(predict_train2,label_y_train))
    print("F1 score: ", f1_score(predict_train2,label_y_train))
# insert to dict
data_tennis['acc_train'].append(accuracy_score(predict_train2,label_y_train))
# insert to dict
data_tennis['f1_train'].append(f1_score(predict_train2,label_y_train))
Accuracy: 1.0
```

Accuracy: 1.0 F1 score: 1.0

Evaluate the model to test data with using Accuracy and F1 Metrics

```
predict_test2 = id3_model2.predict(label_X_valid)

print("Accuracy: ", accuracy_score(predict_test2,label_y_valid))
print("F1 score: ", f1_score(predict_test2,label_y_valid))
# insert to dict
data_tennis['acc_valid'].append(accuracy_score(predict_test2,label_y_valid))
# insert to dict
data_tennis['f1_valid'].append(f1_score(predict_test2,label_y_valid))
```

### **KMeans**

Define KMeans and then train the dataset.

```
In [63]: from sklearn.cluster import KMeans

# Determine how many clusters
n_clst = 2
# Define the KMeans
kmeans_model_2 = KMeans(n_clusters = n_clst, random_state=1)
kmeans_model_2.fit(label_X_train)
```

Out[63]: KMeans(n\_clusters=2, random\_state=1)

Predict and produce clusters.

```
In [64]:
    predict_train = kmeans_model_2.predict(label_X_train)
    predict_test = kmeans_model_2.predict(label_X_valid)
```

Evaluate the training data now

```
In [65]:
          # Find out each cluster belong with which class
          # First clusterization using first prediction (k means train)
          # Calculate the accuracy and F1
          acc train = accuracy score(label y train, predict train)
          f1 train = f1 score(label y train, predict train)
          print(f"First clusterization accuracy: {acc train}")
          print(f"First clusterization F1: {f1 train}")
          # Second clusterization: switch 0 to 1
          switched train = pd.Series(predict train)
          fix = switched train.apply(switch)
          acc train1= accuracy score(label y train, fix)
          f1_train2= f1_score(label_y_train, fix)
          print(f"Second clusterization accuracy: {acc_train1}")
          print(f"Second clusterization F1: {f1 train2}")
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['acc train'].append(acc train1)
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['f1 train'].append(f1 train2)
```

Second clusterization is better, so we take the metrics as the final metrics. Evaluate the validation data now

```
In [66]:
          # First clusterization
          acc valid = accuracy score(label y valid, predict test)
          f1_valid = f1_score(label_y_valid, predict_test)
          print(f"First clusterization accuracy: {acc valid}")
          print(f"First clusterization F1: {f1_train}")
          # Second clusterization: switch 0 to 1
          switched valid = pd.Series(predict test).apply(switch)
          acc_valid_switch = accuracy_score(label_y_valid, switched_valid)
          f1_valid_switch = f1_score(label_y_valid, switched_valid)
          print(f"Second clusterization accuracy: {acc valid switch}")
          print(f"Second clusterization F1: {f1 valid switch}")
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_valid'].append(acc_valid_switch)
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['f1 valid'].append(f1 valid switch)
         First clusterization accuracy: 0.0
```

Second clusterization F1: 1.0 file:///C:/Users/Annisa Rahim/Downloads/exploration (1).html

First clusterization F1: 0.4615384615384615

Second clusterization accuracy: 1.0

Same as when we evaluate with training data, the second clusterization is better and then will be our final metrics score.

# LogisticRegression

Modelling

```
In [67]:
          model 1 = LogisticRegression(random state=1)
          model 1.fit(label X train, label y train)
Out[67]: LogisticRegression(random_state=1)
         Predict the train data and evaluate the prediction with Accuracy and F1 score.
In [68]:
          predict train = model 1.predict(label X train)
          acc = accuracy_score(label_y_train, predict_train)
          f1 = f1 score(label y train, predict train)
          print("local data")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_train'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['f1 train'].append(f1)
         local data
         Accuracy: 0.81818181818182
         F1 score: 0.87500000000000001
In [69]:
          predict_test = model_1.predict(label_X_valid)
          acc = accuracy score(label y valid, predict test)
          f1 = f1 score(label y valid, predict test)
          print("test data")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['acc valid'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_valid'].append(f1)
         test data
         Accuracy:
                    0.666666666666666
         F1 score: 0.8
         Scaling: Standard
In [70]:
          # Scaling if needed
          from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, MinMaxScaler
          scaler = StandardScaler()
          scaled_label_X_train = scaler.fit_transform(label_X_train)
```

scaled label X valid = scaler.fit transform(label X valid)

```
model 2 = LogisticRegression(random state=1)
          model_2.fit(scaled_label_X_train,label_y_train)
Out[70]: LogisticRegression(random_state=1)
In [71]:
          predict_train = model_2.predict(scaled_label_X_train)
          acc = accuracy_score(label_y_train, predict_train)
          f1 = f1 score(label y train, predict train)
          print("local data")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_train'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_train'].append(f1)
         local data
         Accuracy: 0.9090909090909091
         F1 score: 0.9333333333333333
In [72]:
          predict test = model 2.predict(scaled label X valid)
          acc = accuracy score(label y valid, predict test)
          f1 = f1_score(label_y_valid, predict_test)
          print("test data")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['acc valid'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_valid'].append(f1)
         test data
         Accuracy:
                    0.666666666666666
         F1 score: 0.8
         Scaling: MinMax
In [73]:
          model_3 = LogisticRegression(random_state=1)
          model 3.fit(scaled label X train, label y train)
          predict_train = model_3.predict(scaled_label_X_train)
          acc = accuracy_score(label_y_train, predict_train)
          f1 = f1_score(label_y_train, predict_train)
          print("local data")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['acc train'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_train'].append(f1)
```

2/21/2021

```
exploration
         local data
         Accuracy: 0.9090909090909091
         F1 score: 0.9333333333333333
In [74]:
          predict test = model 3.predict(scaled label X valid)
          acc = accuracy_score(label_y_valid, predict_test)
          f1 = f1_score(label_y_valid, predict_test)
          print("test data")
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_valid'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_valid'].append(f1)
         test data
         Accuracy:
                    0.666666666666666
         F1 score:
                    0.8
         Neural network
        Train the dataset
In [75]:
          from sklearn.neural network import MLPClassifier
          model_1 = MLPClassifier(max_iter=700, random_state=1)
          model 1.fit(label X train, label y train)
```

```
Out[75]: MLPClassifier(max_iter=700, random_state=1)
```

Predict the training data

```
In [76]:
          predict train = model 1.predict(label X train)
          acc = accuracy score(label y train, predict train)
          f1 = f1_score(label_y_train, predict_train)
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_train'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_train'].append(f1)
         Accuracy: 1.0
```

Predict the validation data

F1 score: 1.0

```
In [77]:
          predict_test = model_1.predict(label_X_valid)
          acc = accuracy_score(label_y_valid, predict_test)
          f1 = f1_score(label_y_valid, predict_test)
```

```
print("Accuracy: ", acc)
print("F1 score: ", f1)
# insert to dict
data_tennis['acc_valid'].append(acc)
# insert to dict
data_tennis['f1_valid'].append(f1)
```

Accuracy: 1.0 F1 score: 1.0

#### StandardScaler

Train the dataset

```
In [78]:
    scaler = StandardScaler()

    scaled_label_X_train = scaler.fit_transform(label_X_train)
    scaled_label_X_valid = scaler.fit_transform(label_X_valid)

    model_2 = MLPClassifier(max_iter=700, random_state=1)
    model_2.fit(scaled_label_X_train,label_y_train)
```

Out[78]: MLPClassifier(max\_iter=700, random\_state=1)

Predict the training data

Accuracy: 1.0 F1 score: 1.0

Predict with validation data

#### MinMax Scaler

Train the dataset

```
In [81]:
          scaler = MinMaxScaler()
          scaled label X train = scaler.fit transform(label X train)
          scaled label X valid = scaler.fit transform(label X valid)
          model_3 = MLPClassifier(max_iter=700, random_state=1)
          model_3.fit(scaled_label_X_train,label_y_train)
Out[81]: MLPClassifier(max_iter=700, random_state=1)
        Predict the training data
In [82]:
          predict train = model 3.predict(scaled label X train)
          acc = accuracy score(label y train, predict train)
          f1 = f1_score(label_y_train, predict_train)
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_train'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_train'].append(f1)
         Accuracy: 1.0
         F1 score: 1.0
         Predict the validation data
In [83]:
          predict_test = model_3.predict(scaled_label_X_valid)
          acc = accuracy score(label y valid, predict test)
          f1 = f1_score(label_y_valid, predict_test)
          print("Accuracy: ", acc)
          print("F1 score: ", f1)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_valid'].append(acc)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_valid'].append(f1)
         F1 score: 0.8
        SVM
        Define the SVM (Support Vector Machines) constructor
```

```
In [84]:
          svm = SVC(random state=1)
```

Train the dataset

```
svm.fit(label_X_train, y_train_tennis.values.ravel())
In [85]:
          # .values will give the values in an array (shape: (n,1)
          # .ravel will convert that array shape to (n, )
          # y train tennis.values.ravel()
Out[85]: SVC(random_state=1)
         Predict the data train and the data test
In [86]:
          pred_svm_train_tennis = svm.predict(label_X_train)
          pred_svm_val_tennis = svm.predict(label_X_valid)
          pred svm train tennis
          # pred svm val tennis
Out[86]: array(['yes', 'yes', 'no', 'yes', 'no', 'yes', 'yes', 'yes', 'yes', 'yes',
                 'yes'], dtype=object)
         Evaluate the prediction of data train with using Accuracy and F1 metrics
In [87]:
          acc score svm = accuracy score(y train tennis, pred svm train tennis)
          # must define the positive label = yes
          f1_score_svm = f1_score(y_train_tennis, pred_svm_train_tennis, pos_label="yes")
          print("Accuracy : ",acc_score_svm)
          print("F1 : ",f1_score_svm)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_train'].append(acc_score_svm)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['f1_train'].append(f1_score_svm)
         Accuracy: 0.81818181818182
         F1: 0.87500000000000001
         Evaluate the prediction of data validation with using Accuracy and F1 metrics
In [88]:
          acc_score_svm = accuracy_score(y_valid_tennis, pred_svm_val_tennis)
          # must define the positive label = yes
          f1_score_svm = f1_score(y_valid_tennis, pred_svm_val_tennis, pos_label="yes")
          print("Accuracy : ",acc_score_svm)
          print("F1 : ",f1 score svm)
          # insert to dict
          data_tennis['acc_valid'].append(acc_score_svm)
          # insert to dict
          data tennis['f1 valid'].append(f1 score svm)
         Accuracy: 1.0
         F1: 1.0
In [89]:
          play tennis = pd.DataFrame(data tennis)
```

# Analysis accuracy and F1 score for each model

## The metrics data from Breast Cancer Dataset

```
In [90]: breast_cancer
```

Out[90]:

	algo	acc_train	acc_valid	f1_train	f1_valid
0	DecisionTreeClassifier	1.000000	0.947368	1.000000	0.959459
1	Id3Estimator	1.000000	0.938596	1.000000	0.953020
2	KMeans	0.848352	0.807018	0.891680	0.867470
3	Logreg	0.960440	0.947368	0.968531	0.959459
4	Logreg_StdScaler	0.991209	0.973684	0.993007	0.979310
5	Logreg_MinMaxScaler	0.962637	0.973684	0.970940	0.979592
6	NN	0.942857	0.947368	0.955932	0.959459
7	NN_StdScaler	1.000000	0.964912	1.000000	0.972222
8	NN_MinMaxScaler	0.993407	0.903509	0.994764	0.917293
9	SVM	0.923077	0.903509	0.940577	0.929032

### **Analisis Tiap Model**

Decision Tree dan id3 Estimator:

- akurasi dan f1 pada train set sempurna, namun cenderung turun pada validation set hal ini diakibatkan oleh kecenderungan dari terjadinya overfitting saat membentuk model Decision Tree, karena decision tree membentuk model dengan menyesuaikan rule-rule ke data yang sedang ditinjau.
- pada data breast cancer, akurasi dan f1 validation dengan DTL biasa lebih baik daripada menggunakan id3 estimator

#### KMeans:

 akurasi dan f1 cenderung tidak terlalu tinggi, karena persebaran data tidak terbagi dua dengan jelas, dan kemungkinan terdapat outlier. Ada kemungkinan model mengambil centroid yang kurang tepat. Selain itu, KMeans merupakan algoritma unsupervised, sedangkan data yang kami gunakan memiliki label yang sebaiknya dapat dimanfaatkan untuk mempelajari data dengan lebih tepat

#### Logistic Regression

akurasi dan f1 cenderung tinggi, agak mengalami overfit saat menggunakan standard scaler.
 Hal ini mungkin terjadi karena standard scaler mengubah nilai-nilai kolom pada range yang sama, sehingga hasil klasifikasi model pada data training cukup besar.

#### Neural network

- akurasi dan f1 tidak terlalu tinggi saat tidak menggunakan scaler
- saat mengguakan scaler, akurasi bertambah namun mengalami overfit saat menggunakan minmax scaler

**SVM** 

• akurasi dan f1 cenderung tidak terlalu tinggi. Kemungkinan hasil pengelompokan tidak memiliki dua bagian yang jelas sehingga sulit untuk membagi kedalam dua bagian data

## Kesimpulan

#### Kasus 1:

Jika tidak memperhatikan model-model yang menggunakan scaled dataset, maka model-model yang akan dibandingkan adalah model 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, dan 9. Pertama-tama, kita melihat menggunakan accuracy metrics pada bagian validation dataset. Ketika dilihat, yang memiliki nilai accuracy metrics terbesar adalah 3 algoritma, yaitu DecisionTreeClassifier, LogisticRegression, dan NeuralNetwork dengan nilai sebesar 0.947368. Lalu, untuk melihat apakah algoritma overfit atau tidak, maka bisa dilihat pada bagian training dataset. Yang memiliki akurasi terkecil adalah NN. Lalu, kita cek pada bagian F1 score metrics, berlaku hal yang sama, NN memiliki F1 score terbesar pada validation dataset dan memiliki F1 score yang cukup kecil pada training datasetnya. Lalu, dalam hal ini KMeans memiliki performansi yang paling rendah, jika dilihat dari metrics dari tiap metode metrics.

#### Kasus 2:

Jika memperhitungkan model-model yang menggunakan scaled dataset, maka Logistic Regression Model dengan Standard Scaler memiliki performansi terbaik, jika kita mempertimbangkan nilai accuracy metrics. Jika kita mempertimbangkan nilai F1 score, Logistic Regression Model dengan MinMaxScaler memiliki performansi terbaik. Yang jelas, jika Logistic Regression Model diberi Scaler, akan menjadi model yang paling baik. Untuk model yang memiliki performansi terburuk tetap jatuh pada KMeans, baik dari segi accuracy metrics maupun F1 score metrics.

# The metrics data from Play Tennis Dataset

In [91]:	р	lay_tennis				
Out[91]:		algo	acc_train	acc_valid	f1_train	f1_valid
	0	DecisionTreeClassifier	1.000000	0.666667	1.000000	0.666667
	1	Id3Estimator	1.000000	0.666667	1.000000	0.666667
	2	KMeans	0.636364	1.000000	0.666667	1.000000
	3	Logreg	0.818182	0.666667	0.875000	0.800000
	4	Logreg_StdScaler	0.909091	0.666667	0.933333	0.800000
	5	Logreg_MinMaxScaler	0.909091	0.666667	0.933333	0.800000
	6	NN	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
	7	NN_StdScaler	1.000000	0.666667	1.000000	0.666667

Lalu, kita lihat pada dataset yang ukurannya lebih kecil -- bahkan jauh lebih kecil -- dari dataset sebelumnya. Jika kita menggunakan accuracy metrics sebagai acuan, maka ada tiga kandidat terbaik (jika melihat juga dari validation dataset), yaitu KMeans, NN, dan SVM. Kita lihat bagaimana kinerja

0.666667 1.000000 0.800000

1.000000 0.875000 1.000000

NN MinMaxScaler

1.000000

SVM 0.818182

8

9

ketika diterapkan pada training dataset. Hal yang cukup mengejutkan adalah hasil evaluasi pada validation dataset yang terjadi pada model KMeans dan SVM adalah lebih besar dari hasil evaluasi pada training dataset. Hal ini tidak biasa. Namun, yang jelas pada KMeans, selisihnya cukup jauh, sehingga tidak cukup baik untuk dijadikan pertimbangan, karena bisa saja data yang terjadi pada training dataset sangat random, sehingga banyak outlier daripada validation datasetnya. Lalu, ketika dilihat, NN sangat konsisten baik dalam accuracy metrics maupun F1 score metrics. Maka bisa disimpulkan NN memiliki performansi terbaik untuk dataset yang ukurannya kecil.