



MASTER IN
COMPUTER
SCIENCE

Evaluating the Effects of Experiencing a Mixed Reality Simulation of Symptoms of Schizophrenia on Empathy in Medical Students

Master Thesis

Ann Kiener

University of Bern

supervised by

Prof. Elena Mugellini

Prof. Dennis Lalanne

April 20, 2025

u^b

UNIVERSITÄT
BERN

unine

UNIVERSITÉ DE
NEUCHÂTEL

**UNI
FR**

UNIVERSITÉ DE Fribourg
UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG

Abstract

This thesis presents a mixed reality application designed to enhance empathy in medical students towards patients with schizophrenia. The application utilizes mixed reality (MR) technology to immerse users in the experiences of individuals with schizophrenia, allowing them to gain a deeper understanding of the challenges faced by these patients. The study involved a user-centered design approach, incorporating feedback from healthcare professionals to ensure the application's effectiveness and relevance. The results indicate that the MR experience

Keywords: mixed reality, empathy, schizophrenia, medical education

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to...

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.0.1	Project Background	5
1.0.2	Scope of Project	5
2	State of the Art	6
2.1	XR Technologies	6
2.2	Immersive Simulations of Schizophrenia	6
2.2.1	Virtual Reality Applications	6
2.2.1.1	in Medical Education	6
2.2.1.2	Research Gaps	7
2.2.2	Augmented and Mixed Reality Applications	7
2.2.2.1	Key Studies	7
2.2.2.2	Technical Advantages	8
2.3	Empathy in Healthcare Education	8
2.3.1	Definition of Empathy	8
2.3.2	Measuring Empathy	9
2.3.3	Immersive Technologies and Empathy	9
2.3.3.1	Empathy Increase through Virtual Reality	9
2.3.3.2	Empathy Increase through Mixed Reality	10
2.3.4	Limitations in Empathy Training	10
2.4	Simulation Design Considerations	11
2.4.1	Empathy and Usability	11
2.4.2	Ethical Challenges	11
2.4.3	Simulation Design Strategy	12
3	Methodology	13
3.1	Research Question	13
3.2	Using Mixed Reality	14
3.3	Participants and Procedure	14
3.4	Simulation Design	15
3.5	Evaluation and Data Collection	16
3.5.1	Jefferson Scale of Empathy (JSE)	16
3.5.2	Emotional Response (Positive and Negative Affect)	16
3.5.3	Perceptions of the Simulation	17
3.6	Design Choices	17

<i>CONTENTS</i>	4
4 Implementation	18
4.1 Structure of the Simulation	18
4.2 Implementation of the Simulation	19
4.2.1 Orchestration	19
4.2.2 Auditory Hallucinations	19
4.2.3 Visual Hallucinations	20
5 User Study	21
5.1 Methodology	21
6 Results and Analysis	22
7 Discussion	23
8 Conclusion	24
A JSE Items	25
B Shortened JSE Item Set	27
List of Tables	28
List of Figures	28
Bibliography	29

1

Introduction

The purpose of a report is to transmit coherent information on a subject to the target readers. Reports are usually technical and should be based on verifiable facts or experiments. It is not a chronological description of your work. Obviously, the requirements of your readers (and tutors especially) must be taken into account: what information is requested, how much does the reader know already, what interests him/her? Write your report in such a way that your fellow students will be able to understand it and can put the contained information to use. Try to use short sentences to explain your work rather than long never-ending sentences.

1.0.1 Project Background

Explain the context of your work. Motivate the relevance of your project within its context.

1.0.2 Scope of Project

Explain the scope of your project.

2

State of the Art

This chapter provides a review of current research on the use of immersive technologies in simulating psychotic symptoms, particularly for the purpose of increasing empathy in healthcare education. It explores how Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR) have been applied in educational and clinical settings, with the focus on schizophrenia. The chapter highlights both the promise and limitations of these technologies, outlines major research gaps and presents evidence supporting MR as a balanced and potentially more effective tool for empathy training. It also addresses design and ethical considerations critical to building realistic and meaningful simulations, and introduces the reasons behind the simulation strategy adopted in this thesis.

2.1 XR Technologies

Extended Reality (XR) refers to the spectrum of immersive technologies that blend the physical and digital world. This includes Virtual Reality (VR), which fully immerses the user in a computer-generated environment; Augmented Reality (AR), which overlays digital content onto the real world; and Mixed Reality (MR), which combines both, meaning that real and virtual elements can interact with each other. In the context of schizophrenia education, VR is often used to simulate intense experiences, such as auditory or visual hallucinations. AR has been applied to embed simulated voices or visual cues into everyday settings, making the experience more relatable. MR, the focus of this thesis, should to integrate the strengths of both: allowing users to remain in their reality while experiencing interactive, layered symptoms, which may lead to more engagement and emotional resonance [14, 27, 33].

2.2 Immersive Simulations of Schizophrenia

2.2.1 Virtual Reality Applications

2.2.1.1 in Medical Education

A major goal of schizophrenia simulation is to recreate symptoms such as hearing voices, visual hallucinations, or experiencing delusions. For example, studies like those by Zare-Bidaki et al. (2022) and Chaffin

et al. (2013), used VR to create multi-sensory experiences, combining sound, visuals, and interaction to simulate intense delusions and internal voices [6, 33]. Silverstein et al. (2021) and van Ommen et al. (2019) looked at how people with schizophrenia might see distorted images, such as unfamiliar faces, strange objects, or unreal environments [28, 30]. These insights become incredibly important, and were also heavily used for the design of the simulation created in this project, as they help to create a more realistic and relatable experience for users.

Some tools are made specifically for training in medical and nursing education. Yoo et al. (2020) and Lee et al. (2020) developed VR training programs using 360-degree video and actors to recreate clinical situations [17, 32]. These simulations included symptoms like hearing voices or patients behaving aggressively and were shown to be realistic and useful for learning. Kuhail et al. (2022) and Domnick et al. (2023) developed similar VR tools for medical students, which helped increase understanding and reduce stigma [8, 15].

2.2.1.2 Research Gaps

While immersive technologies have become increasingly valuable for simulating schizophrenia symptoms, existing research remains heavily focused on VR. Among the broader XR spectrum, VR is by far the most studied and widely applied method, leaving Augmented Reality (AR) and Mixed Reality (MR) comparatively underexplored [15].

For instance, a systematic review by Holopainen et al. (2023) examined 12 studies using VR-based interventions for schizophrenia, including cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT), avatar therapy, social skills training, and relaxation exercises. These studies reported positive outcomes across a range of symptoms—such as hallucinations, paranoia, and cognitive difficulties—with minimal adverse effects. Notably, none of the reviewed interventions utilized AR or MR, further showing the gap in the literature [12].

Similarly, Lan et al. (2023) reviewed a large number of articles and found that, while VR continues to show promise in these medical settings, there was no evidence of AR or MR being tested in medical trials for psychosis. Despite the many advantages these technologies could offer—particularly MR, which allows for immersive symptom simulation while keeping users grounded in the real world [16].

This gap presents a good opportunity to explore MR as an alternative approach, especially for applications centered on empathy development. MR has the potential to provide emotionally engaging yet psychologically safer experiences than fully immersive VR. The following section highlights existing studies that have begun to explore AR and MR in schizophrenia education, and sets the foundation for the MR-based approach developed in this thesis.

2.2.2 Augmented and Mixed Reality Applications

2.2.2.1 Key Studies

An increasing number of studies are exploring the use of AR and MR in schizophrenia education. One early - and for this thesis very relevant - example is by Silva et al. (2017), who created a tool using AR to simulate psychotic symptoms. This system, developed with input from psychiatric professionals, was designed to help users — especially medical students — better understand schizophrenia and reduce stigma. The AR tool allowed users to interact with simulated symptoms in real time, providing a safe and controlled learning environment [27]. To test the system, 21 medical students used AR glasses to experience the simulation. Afterward, they filled out questionnaires about their attitudes toward schizophrenia, how realistic they found the experience, and whether their views had changed. Students gave high ratings for the audio quality and educational value of the simulation. Many said it helped them better understand what psychotic experiences might feel like. However, some users also reported problems, such as discomfort from the equipment and difficulty focusing in the environment [27].

The simulations impact on empathy and stigma was measured using questionnaires before and after the experience. The results showed that students felt more empathy, expressed more concern for a fictional patient, and were more willing to help. However, there was also a small increase in stigma scores, showing that the results were complex. The study suggests that while AR can help increase empathy, future designs should focus on improving comfort and exploring long-term effects [27]. It also recommends combining simulations with brief educational sessions on schizophrenia to deepen understanding [27]. In this thesis, a similar approach is taken: students will participate in the simulation after they have already been educated on schizophrenia, which should help them better understand and relate to the experience.

Another very relevant study by Skoy et al. (2016) created a simulation where users hear disturbing voices through headphones to better understand the kind of confusion and distraction that people with schizophrenia may deal with [29]. The simulation used Patricia Deegan's "Hearing Distressing Voices" audio track — based on her personal experience with schizophrenia — and was paired with practical tasks. Students completed these while listening to disturbing voices through headphones, mimicking real-life challenges. After the simulation, students took part in a debriefing and completed reflective writing. Results showed a significant increase in empathy scores.

A more recent project by Krogmeier et al. (2024) involved the development of *Live-It*, an AR simulation that used the passthrough function of the Meta Quest headset. This system simulated hallucinations and delusions in familiar places like living rooms or pharmacies. The design was based on real-life accounts from individuals with schizophrenia and was reviewed by neuropsychologists to ensure accuracy [14]. Participants in the study — mainly students and professionals in mental health — reported strong emotional reactions and said the simulation helped them better understand schizophrenia symptoms. One of the strengths of *Live-It* was its ability to place symptoms into everyday situations, which made the experience feel more realistic and less overwhelming than fully immersive VR. For example, users heard voices that ranged from critical to supportive, reflecting the variety of hallucinations people might experience. The simulation also ended with hopeful, recovery-focused messages, which helped balance the emotional impact. Overall, the study found that *Live-It* increased empathy and encouraged participants to support individuals with schizophrenia. It showed that AR can be a powerful tool in mental health education, especially when it helps bridge the gap between theory and real-life experience [14].

2.2.2.2 Technical Advantages

AR/MR simulations place symptoms in real-world settings, which can reduce user discomfort and improve relatability. These simulations tend to be less intense than full VR, making them more accessible to first-time users or those unfamiliar with immersive technology. One useful feature is passthrough, a technology that allows users to see their actual surroundings through cameras on the headset while digital content is overlaid on top. This helps users stay oriented and grounded in the real world while still experiencing simulated symptoms, which may enhance engagement while minimizing sensory overload. Features like passthrough may help enhancing empathy without overwhelming users [14, 16, 27].

2.3 Empathy in Healthcare Education

2.3.1 Definition of Empathy

Empathy is a key part of good communication and care in healthcare. Many studies have shown that when healthcare professionals show empathy, patients are more satisfied, more likely to follow treatment plans, and often have better mental health outcomes [7, 22, 23]. In medical and nursing education, empathy is no longer seen as just a "soft skill." It is now treated as something important that can be taught and developed. Teaching empathy helps improve the way future professionals connect with patients and provide care [7].

Empathy is usually described as having two main parts: *cognitive empathy* and *affective empathy*. Cognitive empathy is the ability to understand what someone else is thinking or feeling. Affective empathy means actually feeling or emotionally connecting with what the other person is going through [19, 31]. In healthcare, both types are important. Understanding a patient's perspective (cognitive empathy) helps with communication and decision-making, while emotional connection (affective empathy) helps build trust and stronger relationships [7, 23]. Some researchers also talk about a third type, called *clinical empathy*, which is about clearly showing patients that you understand them and care about helping them [11].

Understanding this and training empathy helps doctors and nurses better understand their patients and respond in helpful and compassionate ways [22, 23]. However, research has shown that empathy can decrease during medical training. This might be because students are under pressure, focusing more on technical knowledge, or feeling emotionally drained [20, 23]. This decline in empathy can lead to negative outcomes for both patients and healthcare professionals. Patients may feel misunderstood or neglected, while healthcare providers may experience burnout and job dissatisfaction [7, 20]. Therefore, it is crucial to find effective ways to teach and maintain empathy in medical education.

The immersive tools discussed in this thesis are being tested in medical and nursing schools as a new way to teach empathy by letting students "step into the shoes" of patients [1]. As mentioned earlier, this approach is becoming more popular in education and has shown promising results.

2.3.2 Measuring Empathy

Various instruments are used to measure these dimensions of empathy, including the Jefferson Scale of Empathy (JSE), which is being widely applied in medical education [1]. This tool allows researchers to assess changes in empathy following interventions and distinguish between shifts in emotional versus cognitive components, which is also what I want to achieve in this thesis. In the context of this thesis, the JSE will be used to measure the impact of the MR simulation on medical students' empathy levels. The JSE is a validated instrument that has been widely used in medical education research and has demonstrated reliability and validity in assessing empathy in healthcare professionals [11]. By employing the JSE, this study aims to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the effectiveness of the MR simulation in enhancing both cognitive and affective empathy among medical students. In chapter 5, we will discuss the results of the JSE and how they relate to the overall objectives of this thesis.

2.3.3 Immersive Technologies and Empathy

2.3.3.1 Empathy Increase through Virtual Reality

Virtual Reality (VR) has often been called the "ultimate empathy machine" because it can create powerful first-person experiences in fully immersive environments [21]. Several studies support this idea, showing that VR can have a strong emotional effect on users.

VR is especially useful when it comes to helping people understand the experiences of stigmatized groups, such as individuals with schizophrenia [10, 18, 20]. These systems allow users to go through simulated versions of symptoms like hearing voices or feeling paranoid. By placing users in situations that reflect what it might be like to live with psychosis, these simulations aim to increase empathy and reduce negative attitudes. For example, Formosa et al. (2018) found that people who used a VR simulation of schizophrenia symptoms felt more empathy and showed less stigma afterwards compared to those who did not use the simulation [10]. A similar study by Hsia et al. (2022) showed that pharmacy students who experienced auditory hallucinations in VR also became more empathetic and less stigmatizing toward people with schizophrenia [13]. One crucial reason for this was that the students also heard from a guest speaker diagnosed with schizophrenia after they have experienced the simulation. This combined approach helps address one of the main concerns with simulations — that they can unintentionally increase social distance or reinforce stereotypes if not supported by real-life context. Including authentic human

interaction can make the experience more meaningful and well-rounded. In this thesis we will also include a debriefing session after the simulation, where students can reflect on their experiences and discuss them with peers and instructors. This is important for helping students process what they have learned and apply it to real-life situations [13].

2.3.3.2 Empathy Increase through Mixed Reality

MR is gaining attention as a promising alternative to VR in empathy-focused education, particularly in mental health contexts. Unlike VR, MR allows users to remain partially connected to their physical surroundings while engaging with digitally simulated symptoms. This hybrid approach combines the immersive power of VR with the real-world anchoring of AR, helping to reduce sensory overload and making experiences more relatable and less overwhelming [33].

Studies by Silva et al. (2017) and Krogmeier et al. (2024), which were already discussed in detail in section 2.2.1.3 demonstrate the effectiveness of MR in increasing empathy and understanding toward individuals with schizophrenia. In both cases, simulations placed users in familiar environments—such as classrooms or pharmacies—while layering auditory and visual hallucinations over real-world settings. Participants reported strong emotional engagement and a clearer understanding of what it might be like to experience psychosis [14, 27].

Together, these insights reinforce the central aim of this thesis: to evaluate MR as a balanced and effective tool for simulating psychotic experiences in medical education. By allowing users to engage empathetically with symptoms while staying cognitively oriented, MR may better support both affective and cognitive empathy development. Its unique ability to blend emotional immersion with contextual realism makes it especially well-suited for sensitive topics like schizophrenia, where responsible storytelling and psychological safety are essential.

2.3.4 Limitations in Empathy Training

Martingano et al. (2021) reviewed 43 studies and found that while VR often enhances affective empathy, its effect on cognitive empathy is less consistent [19]. They argue that immersive experiences might reduce the user's need to mentally simulate another's perspective, as the simulation does that work for them. Without reflection or guided discussion, users may have strong emotional reactions but fail to develop deeper understanding.

Similarly, Rueda and Lara (2020) caution against over-relying on emotional responses alone. They call for "reason-guided empathy," which integrates critical thinking and ethical reflection into simulation-based learning [26]. Without this, empathy may be short-lived or biased.

The findings also show that more expensive or immersive setups do not necessarily yield better outcomes. Thoughtful design and context are incredibly important. Many VR simulations rely heavily on dramatic intensity, which can restrict the ability of the user to reflect or exercise perspective-taking—the cognitive process of imagining the world from another person's viewpoint, which is essential for developing empathy and reducing bias [?]. This limitation further supports the use of MR paired with preparation and debriefing, as adopted in this thesis.

Ozcan et al. (2018) tracked empathy development in nursing students over four years. While communication skills improved, emotional empathy declined—likely due to burnout or emotional distancing [23]. This underlines the importance of designing empathy training that includes emotional support and reflection. The MR simulation in this thesis builds on that principle.

Finally, as mentioned repeatedly, ethical concerns remain. VR simulations can unintentionally reinforce stereotypes if not carefully framed. Emotional contagion, without deeper context, may lead to bias or stigma [26]. MR's real-world anchoring, along with structured pre- and post-simulation activities, is

intended to reduce this risk. The approach in this thesis prioritizes both emotional resonance and cognitive clarity to foster sustained, thoughtful empathy in clinical learners.

2.4 Simulation Design Considerations

2.4.1 Empathy and Usability

Immersive simulations offer powerful opportunities to increase empathy in medical education, particularly for conditions like schizophrenia. However, designing effective simulations requires careful attention to realism, emotional impact, and usability.

Marques et al. (2022) compared a VR simulation of psychosis with a standard 2D video and found that the VR group experienced greater gains in cognitive empathy and held more positive attitudes toward individuals with schizophrenia. However, the study also noted several limitations: it lacked a control group and did not measure perceived immersion — a key factor in empathy development. Some participants also struggled with unfamiliarity with the technology [18].

Similarly, Zare-Bidaki et al. (2022) found that VR simulations of psychosis led to higher empathy and stigma reduction compared to traditional patient visits. However, they emphasized that simulations should supplement—not replace—direct human interactions. Authentic contact provides depth, variability, and personal meaning, which simulations alone cannot replicate [13, 33].

Both studies emphasize that simulations must balance engagement and emotional intensity without overwhelming participants. Overly dramatic portrayals of symptoms — such as frightening hallucinations or paranoia — can trigger distress, increase social distance, or reinforce harmful stereotypes if not properly contextualized [2, 6, 33].

To reduce this risk, Zare-Bidaki et al. (2022) recommend using calm, familiar environments and grounding simulations in lived experience. They also suggest that AR or MR, which preserve awareness of the real world, may help avoid overstimulation while still enabling emotional immersion [33]. This aligns with the approach taken in this thesis, which uses MR to simulate symptoms in relatable real-world contexts. The use of passthrough features allows participants to remain anchored while interacting with hallucination overlays, aiming to foster empathy without sensory overload.

2.4.2 Ethical Challenges

While immersive simulations hold great promise for enhancing empathy, they also raise important ethical and psychological concerns—particularly in the context of mental health education. One of the primary risks is that, if poorly designed or unsupported by context, these experiences can reinforce stigma rather than reduce it. For instance, Ando et al. (2011) reviewed 10 studies using hallucination simulations and found that while participants often reported increased empathy, they also expressed a stronger desire for social distance. This suggests that emotional impact alone does not guarantee positive attitudinal change and may, in some cases, amplify discomfort or misunderstanding [2].

These findings highlight the critical importance of proper preparation and debriefing. Without guided reflection, users may interpret psychotic symptoms in simplistic or fear-based ways, reinforcing stereotypes about schizophrenia. Ando et al. and Rueda and Lara (2020) both advocate for what they call a *reason-guided empathy*, a model in which emotional engagement is supported by ethical reflection and cognitive understanding. This approach encourages users not only to feel compassion but also to think critically about the lived experience of mental illness [2, 26].

Another important ethical issue has to do with how the simulation is designed. Using very realistic effects—like intense visuals, surround sound, and dramatic symptoms—can make the experience feel more lifelike. But for some users, especially those not used to immersive technology, this can be overwhelming. Also, trying to show a “typical” psychotic episode can be problematic, since symptoms vary a lot from

person to person. This could lead to a simplified or even misleading picture of what schizophrenia is really like [33].

It is also essential to think about how the story behind the symptoms is presented. If the simulation focuses only on fear or confusion without any background or explanation, it might unintentionally make people with schizophrenia seem dangerous or unstable. This can reinforce negative stereotypes. Rueda and Lara (2020) warn that mental health simulations need to be told in a responsible way—showing the human side of the experience, not just the symptoms [26].

Mixed Reality (MR) can help reduce some of these risks. Because MR lets users stay connected to the real world while still experiencing simulated symptoms, it can feel more balanced and less overwhelming. When used alongside proper educational materials and opportunities to reflect on the experience, MR can help build deeper, more respectful empathy. This is a key part of the design approach taken in this thesis.

2.4.3 Simulation Design Strategy

To address the challenges mentioned above, this thesis adopts a design strategy that:

- Uses Mixed Reality to simulate schizophrenia symptoms in familiar environments, allowing users to remain grounded in reality
- Tests the simulation on medical students which already have had a preparatory educational session to provide context and understanding of schizophrenia, reducing the risk of reinforcing stigma
- Includes a debriefing session to help with reflection, discussion, and ethical understanding of the experience
- Measures perceived immersion and empathy outcomes to evaluate the impact of the simulation on students' attitudes and understanding
- Uses a combination of auditory and visual hallucinations to create a layered experience that reflects the complexity of real-life symptoms
- Provides a safe and controlled environment for students to explore their emotional responses and develop empathy without overwhelming them
- Engages students in a reflective process that encourages them to connect their experiences to real-life clinical practice and patient interactions

By doing so, this approach aims to foster both affective and cognitive empathy in medical students — helping them not only to feel what patients go through, but also to understand their experiences within a respectful and informed framework.

3

Methodology

In this chapter, I present the methodology used to design, implement, and evaluate a MR simulation aimed at increasing empathy toward individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia. Building on the gaps and opportunities identified in the state of the art (Chapter 2), this study explores whether a brief MR simulation of symptoms—lasting approximately 4–5 minutes—can significantly influence both *affective* and *cognitive* empathy among medical students. The approach combines immersive technology, tested on medical students which already have experience with patients and know about schizophrenia and its symptoms, and guided debrief to examine how such a simulation may reshape students’ perceptions and attitudes toward people with schizophrenia.

3.1 Research Question

The central research question of this project is:

Can a short Mixed Reality simulation of schizophrenia symptoms effectively increase both affective and cognitive empathy in medical students, and influence their perception of individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia?

This question emerges from several key insights presented in the state of the art:

- VR has been shown to enhance affective empathy, but its effects on cognitive empathy are inconsistent [19, 31].
- MR remains underexplored, yet early studies suggest it can balance immersion and realism, potentially supporting more empathetic outcomes [14, 27].
- Ethical concerns require immersive experiences to be framed through knowledge delivered beforehand and reflection/debriefing after the simulation to avoid stigma or stereotype reinforcement [2, 26].

3.2 Using Mixed Reality

Based on the literature review, MR offers several advantages over VR in the context of schizophrenia simulations, making it a particularly suitable choice for this thesis. One of the most important benefits of MR is its ability to provide emotional safety through real-world grounding. Unlike fully immersive VR, which can sometimes overwhelm users with intense sensory input, MR allows participants to remain anchored in their actual environment. This helps reduce the risk of distress that has been reported in VR-based schizophrenia simulations, especially when simulating frightening symptoms [33].

MR also offers higher relatability and engagement by integrating hallucinations and delusional content into familiar, everyday settings, such as a classroom. This contextualization can enhance the emotional resonance of the experience, as users are more likely to connect with scenarios that resemble their own daily environments [14]. Rather than experiencing psychotic symptoms in abstract or exaggerated virtual spaces, participants see these symptoms unfold in realistic and meaningful contexts, increasing the perceived authenticity of the simulation.

Furthermore, MR supports a more balanced approach to empathy training by addressing both cognitive and affective components. While VR often elicits strong emotional reactions, MR allows users to emotionally engage with the simulation while still having the cognitive space to process and reflect on what they are experiencing. This engagement is particularly valuable in educational settings, where the goal is not only to generate emotional impact but also to foster a deeper understanding of the condition which is being simulated [19, 26].

From a technical perspective, MR provides flexibility through the use of modern headsets equipped with passthrough functionality, such as the Meta Quest 3¹. This device enables the user to see their environment, onto which simulated symptoms can be layered in real time. This technology enables the development of dynamic and responsive simulations that feel both immersive and real.

3.3 Participants and Procedure

The target group for this study consists of medical students in their preclinical or early clinical training, specifically from the University of Health in Fribourg, Switzerland (in French: Haute école de santé Fribourg, HEdS-FR). This population was selected for two primary reasons. First, students at this stage are actively developing their clinical attitudes, including their capacity for empathy toward patients. Second, previous research has shown that empathy training tends to be particularly effective during this formative period in a healthcare professionals education [13, 15].

Participation in the study is voluntary, and all participants are recruited through internal communication channels within the university. Before taking part, each participant receives comprehensive information about the objectives of the study, its procedures, and potential risks. They are informed of their rights, including the ability to withdraw at any time, and are asked to sign a written consent form confirming their understanding and agreement.

The study is conducted in small groups. A total of five groups, each consisting of six students, participate in the simulation sessions. Within each group, only one student wears the MR headset and experiences the simulated symptoms. The other five students remain in the room during the simulation and are given a specific task by the instructor. Their role is to observe the behavior of the participant wearing the headset, noting any signs of confusion, distraction, or distress. This setup serves two purposes: first, it mirrors real clinical scenarios where healthcare providers must interpret subtle behavioral cues; and second, it allows researchers to explore whether witnessing someone else's simulated experience can also affect empathy and perception from an external, observational perspective.

¹Meta Quest 3 is a standalone mixed reality headset developed by Meta Platforms, released in October 2023. For more information, see: <https://www.meta.com/quest/quest-3/>

All six group members—both the headset user and the observers—complete the same set of questionnaires. These include the Jefferson Scale of Empathy (JSE) [11] to assess baseline and post-simulation empathy levels, and the Brief Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (B-PANAS) [5] to measure emotional responses and perceptions toward individuals with schizophrenia. The evaluation process is described in more detail in Chapter 3.5.

The simulation itself lasts approximately 4 to 5 minutes. During this time, the student wearing the headset is exposed to a carefully sequenced combination of auditory and visual hallucinations, all set within a familiar environment such as a classroom. The goal is to simulate psychotic symptoms in a way that is immersive but safe, and to encourage emotional and cognitive engagement with the experience.

Immediately following the simulation, all group members take part in a structured debriefing session moderated by teaching staff. This guided reflection allows participants to discuss what they observed or experienced, process their emotional responses, and relate the exercise to their future clinical work. For the observers in particular, this provides an opportunity to articulate how witnessing the simulation affected their perception of both the symptoms and the individual undergoing them.

After the debriefing, participants once again complete the JSE and B-PANAS questionnaires to assess any changes in empathy levels and emotional responses. They are also invited to provide qualitative feedback on the simulation, including comments on its realism, emotional impact, and educational value. The inclusion of both direct and indirect participants allows the study to assess how empathy might be influenced not only by immersive first-person experiences, but also through empathetic observation—a dimension that has received limited attention in the literature.

To conclude this chapter, by combining known MR technology with carefully structured educational framing, observation-based group dynamics, and post-simulation reflection, this thesis seeks to explore a multi-layered approach to empathy training in medical education. The methodology builds on known challenges and recommendations from the literature, such as avoiding emotional overload, reinforcing context, and ensuring accurate, respectful depictions of schizophrenia.

3.4 Simulation Design

The MR simulation developed in this thesis was designed to give students an emotional and realistic sense of what it might feel like to experience psychotic symptoms, while still keeping them in their real environment. Unlike VR, which fully replaces the users surroundings, MR allows digital symptoms — like hallucinations or sounds — to appear in the users actual space.

The simulation shows both auditory and visual symptoms, based on real descriptions from people who live with schizophrenia. Users hear critical or unsettling voices and see visual changes with the goal of distracting them. These effects are introduced step by step to reflect how symptoms often build gradually. The aim is not to scare or shock, but to help students connect with the emotional and mental confusion that someone with psychosis might feel.

Because the simulation is only 4 to 5 minutes long, it focuses on giving a short but meaningful experience. It is placed in a familiar environment, which is the classroom, so that the symptoms feel more relatable. This balance is important: the goal is to increase empathy and understanding, not to create fear or reinforce negative stereotypes.

To support this, the simulation is framed by two key points. Before the day of testing, students have already been lectured sometime in their studies on the topic of schizophrenia and also already have practical experience with patients. They also will be briefed about the simulation and what it should show. Afterwards, they take part in a guided debrief, where they can reflect on how they felt, what they learned, and how it might change the way they see or interact with patients. This step is especially important, as it helps students process the experience in a thoughtful way.

The overall design is based on ideas from recent research, which shows that immersive tools work

best when combined with education and reflection. Studies by Rueda and Lara (2020) and Zare-Bidaki et al. (2022) stress that simulations should be realistic and meaningful, but also ethically responsible and emotionally safe. This approach follows those recommendations closely, aiming to create a learning experience that supports both emotional connection and critical thinking [26, 33].

3.5 Evaluation and Data Collection

To assess the impact of the MR simulation on students' empathy and emotional understanding of schizophrenia, this study uses a combination of quantitative self-report measures and reflective feedback. The aim is to capture not only changes in empathy levels, but also students' emotional responses and perceptions of the simulations' realism and educational value.

Since the study was conducted at a French-speaking institution, all materials, including consent forms, questionnaires and the simulations audio, were provided in French to ensure accessibility and clarity for participants.

3.5.1 Jefferson Scale of Empathy (JSE)

The primary tool used to measure empathy is the Jefferson Scale of Empathy (JSE), which is widely applied in medical education and has been shown to reliably measure both affective and cognitive components of empathy [11]. The JSE is administered before and after the MR simulation to assess whether the experience has led to measurable changes in students' empathy levels. The results are analyzed to determine changes in total empathy scores, as well as shifts in cognitive and affective empathy dimensions.

Since the JSE was originally developed in English and no officially validated French version was available for this study, the questionnaire was translated into French by the researcher using a combination of online translation tools and manual adjustments. While care was taken to preserve the meaning and intent of the original items, this translated version has not undergone formal psychometric validation. As such, the use of this adapted French version represents a methodological limitation and should be considered when interpreting the results.

To better align the measurement tool with the goals of this study—namely, to evaluate both cognitive and affective components of empathy in a balanced and time-sensitive way—the full JSE was thematically reviewed and categorized by the author. Based on an in-depth literature review and the conceptual definitions of empathy used in this thesis, each item was classified as either *Cognitive* or *Affective*. Cognitive items reflect an emphasis on understanding the patient's perspective, thoughts, or non-verbal cues, while affective items relate to emotional awareness, resonance, or the therapeutic value of emotional understanding. A detailed overview of this classification can be found in Appendix A, Table A.1.

In order to maintain engagement, a shortened version of the JSE was developed. This version includes 13 items—five reflecting cognitive empathy and 8 reflecting affective empathy—that were selected based on thematic clarity and their alignment with the measurement goals of the study. The item selection is shown in Appendix B, Table B.1.

3.5.2 Emotional Response (Positive and Negative Affect)

To better understand the emotional impact of the simulation, students are also asked to rate the intensity of their own emotions when thinking about people with schizophrenia. This part of the questionnaire is based on a validated French-language version of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS), adapted from Boiroux (2024) [5]. Participants rate each emotion on a 5-point scale (1 = “Pas du tout” to 5 = “Extrêmement”).

The emotions included cover both positive and negative affective states such as:

Angoissé(e), Enthousiaste, Honteux(se), Inspiré(e), Intéressé(e), Irrité(e), Craintif(ve), Alerte, Attentif(ve), and Nerveux(se).

This allows for a more nuanced understanding of how the simulation influences students emotional reactions, which can be important in empathy development. The goal is not just to measure how much empathy increased, but also how the experience may have changed the emotional tone with which students think about individuals living with schizophrenia.

3.5.3 Perceptions of the Simulation

In addition to the JSE and the emotional response, participants which wore the headset, complete a short questionnaire immediately after the simulation, which evaluates their perceptions of the experience. This includes five statements rated on a 7-point Likert scale (1 = “Strongly disagree” to 7 = “Strongly agree”). The items are designed to assess how educational, immersive, and useful the simulation was perceived to be, as well as its potential to increase understanding and empathy. Example items include: *translate to english (?)*

- *La simulation était éducative.*
- *La simulation est un moyen efficace de sensibiliser à la schizophrénie.*
- *La simulation devrait rendre les gens plus compréhensifs à l’égard des personnes atteintes de schizophrénie.*

This helps evaluate how participants interpreted the experience and whether they found it meaningful in a learning context.

Together, the combination of the JSE, perception ratings, emotional intensity scales, and optional qualitative feedback provides a well-rounded view of the simulation’s effectiveness. This multi-method approach is designed to explore whether a brief MR simulation can positively affect both empathy and emotional understanding, while also providing insights into the simulation’s usability and educational value.

3.6 Design Choices

This methodology is directly informed by insights and gaps highlighted in the state of the art:

- MR is used instead of VR to reduce overloading the senses while preserving immersion [14].
- Debriefing sessions are included to increase meaningful reflection and avoid stigma [2, 26].
- The simulation content draws on real patient narratives to ensure authenticity and relatability [33].
- A short simulation duration enhances feasibility and safety without compromising emotional impact [10].

In summary, this study adopts a structured and ethically responsible MR-based approach to schizophrenia education. The goal is to increase both *affective* and *cognitive* empathy in medical students by situating simulated symptoms in real-world contexts, framed by education and post-reflection. This approach addresses gaps in current VR-centric literature and showcases a rather new method for developing empathy capacity in healthcare students.

4

Implementation

In this section, you should present what you have done. How things have been implemented and how they work. Please avoid putting lines and lines of code here. But you can highlight some important elements of your implementations (some important part of the code, if necessary).

4.1 Structure of the Simulation

Explain why you chose this structure and why you chose these hallucinations and sentences

The structure of the simulation was carefully designed to evoke a progressively immersive and unsettling representation of hallucinations associated with schizophrenia. This structure was chosen to reflect both phenomenological research on psychotic symptoms and evidence-based educational strategies for increasing empathy and reducing stigma in health professionals.

The auditory hallucinations used in this simulation draw from documented simulation programs such as Patricia Deegan's "Hearing Voices," which have been shown to significantly impact empathy levels in students and clinicians [13]. In alignment with these findings, the simulation integrates a sequence of whispered voices and confrontational phrases. The sentences were crafted and timed intentionally to gradually escalate in emotional intensity, following research that demonstrates increased affective engagement leads to more powerful learning and emotional responses [29].

Complementing the auditory component are visual hallucination elements, including dynamically spawning colored spheres, spatial distortions through dot placements, and visual darkening of the field of view. These visuals were inspired by clinical descriptions of visual hallucinations in psychotic disorders, such as geometric distortions, flickering lights, and anthropomorphic or symbolic figures [28, 30].

The structural design aims to simulate both simple and complex hallucinations. Early stimuli (whispers, darkness) mimic the subtle onset of perceptual anomalies, while the crescendo of auditory cues and visual manifestations evoke the overwhelming nature of full-blown psychosis. This approach allows users to move from discomfort to disorientation, simulating the lived experience of progressive symptom development.

4.2 Implementation of the Simulation

Explain how you implemented the simulation. What are the main components of the simulation? How do they interact with each other? The simulation was implemented in Unity and constructed through modular components that interact in time-dependent sequences coordinated by a central control script.

4.2.1 Orchestration

At the heart of the system lies the *Orchestrator.cs* script. This script sequences the entire simulation, controlling when sounds play, visual hallucinations appear, and environmental effects occur. The timeline was structured using *IEnumerator* coroutines, allowing asynchronous timed execution of events, ensuring immersive pacing without overwhelming the user too early in the experience.

Synchronization across components ensures the user is not overwhelmed with concurrent stimuli too early. For example, whispers begin before visuals, allowing users to acclimate to auditory disturbances before confronting the more jarring visual phenomena. Visual hallucinations are also paced in relation to emotional escalation in the voice samples, building tension across the timeline.




Figure 4.1: Diagram of the Orchestrator system showing the interaction between auditory, visual, and environmental components.

4.2.2 Auditory Hallucinations

The auditory effects in the system are handled by the *SoundManager.cs* script. Audio files are grouped by voice type, making it easy to play back different kinds of hallucination samples. These voices include phrases that sound accusatory, confusing, or frightening—reflecting common real-world descriptions of auditory hallucinations.

The voices were generated using ElevenLabs, a text-to-speech AI engine [9]. Each one was created with a specific emotional tone in mind: one voice sounds scared and almost shouting, another is snooty and mean, and the third sounds lost and desperate. These voices are played at key moments to create a stronger sense of fear, confusion, or paranoia. Their timing is matched with visual effects to create a more immersive and realistic experience of multisensory hallucinations.

In addition, the *ObjectCollision.cs* script adds interactive audio that loops until the user physically interacts with an object. This mimics the frustrating and unpredictable nature of hallucinations, as often described by people experiencing psychosis.

4.2.3 Visual Hallucinations

Visual components are diversified to simulate various hallucination types:

- Wave Deformation: *DynamicWaveDeformation.cs* distorts object surfaces using transformations, creating a pulsating geometry that mimics perceptual distortions in psychosis.
- Gradual Screen Darkening: *ScreenDarkener.cs* overlays a semi-transparent black UI panel to simulate the narrowing of visual perception or "tunnel vision," often reported during intense hallucinations.
- Dot Fields: *DotManager.cs* creates random red and blue dots that appear above the user. These dots represent chaotic visual input or visual noise, similar to the floating patterns often described by people experiencing psychosis.
- Pulsating Spheres: The Orchestrator periodically spawns spherical objects, creating a feeling of presence and spatial invasion. Their randomness and impermanence reflect transient hallucinations and visual object anomalies.

Each visual element in the system was designed based on descriptions of how people with schizophrenia may experience their symptoms. These experiences are often grouped into simple, geometric, and complex visual types [28, 30].

The way the system is built makes it easy to expand in the future.

5

User Study

In this section, you should present your user study and its methodology.

5.1 Methodology

6

Results and Analysis

In this section, you should present the results and their analysis. We recommend using graphics and charts to illustrate your result. Try to highlight the most important results.

7

Discussion

In this section, you should discuss your result and your work. Summarize and discuss your results, discuss your initial choices and compare with other works from the state of the art. How do you compare (if you can) ? Discuss your research questions in the light of your results.

8

Conclusion

This is a very important part of a report. Give all relevant conclusions, even negative. Stress novelty and scientific or industrial impact. Also new insights, outlook and recommendations for improvement should be put here. However, do not introduce results or concepts that belong in the body of the report. Bring structure in your conclusions.

A

JSE Items

Appendix A: JSE Items and Classification

JSE Item	Cognitive	Affective
1. My understanding of how my patients and their families feel does not influence medical or surgical treatment.		X
2. My patients feel better when I understand their feelings.		X
3. It is difficult for me to view things from my patients' perspectives.	X	
4. I consider understanding my patients' body language as important as verbal communication in caregiver-patient relationships.	X	
5. I have a good sense of humor that I think contributes to a better clinical outcome.	Ambiguous	Ambiguous
6. Because people are different, it is difficult for me to see things from my patients' perspectives.	X	
7. I try not to pay attention to my patients' emotions in history taking or in asking about their physical health.		X
8. Attentiveness to my patients' personal experience does not influence treatment outcomes.		X
9. I try to imagine myself in my patients' shoes when providing care to them.	X	
10. My patients value my understanding of their feelings which is therapeutic in its own right.		X
11. Patients' illnesses can be cured only by medical or surgical treatment; therefore, emotional ties to my patients do not have a significant influence on medical or surgical outcomes.	(X)	X
12. Asking patients about what is happening in their personal lives is unhelpful in understanding their physical complaints.	(X)	X
13. I try to understand what is going on in my patients' minds by paying attention to their non-verbal cues and body language.	X	
14. I believe that emotion has no place in the treatment of medical illness.		X
15. Empathy is a therapeutic skill without which success in treatment is limited.		X
16. An important component of the relationship with my patients is my understanding of their emotional status, as well as that of their families.		X
17. I try to think like my patients in order to render better care.	X	
18. I do not allow myself to be influenced by strong personal bonds between my patients and their family members.		X
19. I do not enjoy reading non-medical literature or the arts.	Ambiguous	Ambiguous
20. I believe that empathy is an important therapeutic factor in medical or surgical treatment.		X

Table A.1: Classification of JSE Items by Empathy Dimension (Cognitive vs. Affective)

B

Shortened JSE Item Set

Appendix B: Reduced Set of JSE Items and Classification

Selected JSE Item	Cognitive	Affective
2. My patients feel better when I understand their feelings.		X
3. It is difficult for me to view things from my patients' perspectives.	X	
6. Because people are different, it is difficult for me to see things from my patients' perspectives.	X	
7. I try not to pay attention to my patients' emotions in history taking or in asking about their physical health.		X
9. I try to imagine myself in my patients' shoes when providing care to them.	X	
10. My patients value my understanding of their feelings which is therapeutic in its own right.		X
12. Asking patients about what is happening in their personal lives is unhelpful in understanding their physical complaints.		X
13. I try to understand what is going on in my patients' minds by paying attention to their non-verbal cues and body language.	X	
14. I believe that emotion has no place in the treatment of medical illness.		X
15. Empathy is a therapeutic skill without which success in treatment is limited.		X
16. An important component of the relationship with my patients is my understanding of their emotional status, as well as that of their families.		X
17. I try to think like my patients in order to render better care.	X	
20. I believe that empathy is an important therapeutic factor in medical or surgical treatment.		X

Table B.1: Reduced JSE item set used in this study with classification into empathy components

List of Tables

A.1	Classification of JSE Items by Empathy Dimension (Cognitive vs. Affective)	26
B.1	Reduced JSE item set used in this study with classification into empathy components . .	27

List of Figures

4.1 Diagram of the Orchestrator system showing the interaction between auditory, visual, and
 environmental components. 19

Bibliography

- [1] Riham Alieldin, Sarah Peyre, Anne Nofziger, and Raffaella Borasi. Effectiveness of immersive virtual reality in teaching empathy to medical students: A mixed methods study. *Virtual Reality*, 28:129, 2024.
- [2] Shuntaro Ando, Sarah Clement, Elizabeth A. Barley, and Graham Thornicroft. The simulation of hallucinations to reduce the stigma of schizophrenia: A systematic review. *Schizophrenia Research*, 133(1–3):8–16, 2011.
- [3] Á. K. Bakk. Representing mental disorders with virtual reality applications: Designing for multi-modality and complex participation. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, 3:881766, 2023.
- [4] E. Bisso, M. S. Signorelli, M. Milazzo, M. Maglia, R. Polosa, E. Aguglia, and P. Caponnetto. Immersive virtual reality applications in schizophrenia spectrum therapy: a systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(17):6111, 2020.
- [5] F. Boiroux. The french-language shortened positive and negative affect schedule: Development, validation, and comparison of its psychometric properties with other short versions. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 74(3):100853, 2024.
- [6] A. J. Chaffin and C. Adams. Creating empathy through use of a hearing voices simulation. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 9(8):e393–e398, 2013.
- [7] L. Cunico, R. Sartori, O. Marognolli, and A. M. Meneghini. Developing empathy in nursing students: a cohort longitudinal study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 21(13–14):2016–2025, 2012.
- [8] Dillon Domnick and Katherine Fichera. Immersive simulation of schizophrenia. Technical report, Virginia Tech, CS 4624: Multimedia, Hypertext and Information Access, 2023. Instructor: Dr. Edward A. Fox, Client: Dr. James Ivory.
- [9] ElevenLabs. Elevenlabs text-to-speech ai. <https://elevenlabs.io/app/home>, 2025. Accessed: 2025-04-13.
- [10] Nicholas J. Formosa, Bennett W. Morrison, Graham Hill, and Dan Stone. Testing the efficacy of a virtual reality–based simulation in enhancing users’ knowledge, attitudes, and empathy relating to psychosis. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 70(1):57–65, 2018.
- [11] Mohammadreza Hojat, Salvatore Mangione, Thomas J. Nasca, Michael J.M. Cohen, Joseph S. Gonnella, Jerome B. Erdmann, Jon Veloski, and Marcia Magee. The jefferson scale of physician empathy: Development and preliminary psychometric data. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 61(2):349–365, 2002.
- [12] R. Holopainen, J. Tiihonen, and M. Lähteenvuo. Efficacy of immersive extended reality (xr) interventions on different symptom domains of schizophrenia spectrum disorders. a systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14:1208287, 2023.

- [13] S. L. Hsia, J. Brooks, E. Yao, K. Gruenberg, and P. Finley. Impact of an auditory hallucination simulation coupled with a speaker diagnosed with schizophrenia on mental illness stigma in pharmacy students. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 14(11):1397–1403, 2022.
- [14] Claudia Krogmeier, Emma Tison, Justin Dillmann, Arnaud Prouzeau, Antoinette Prouteau, et al. Leveraging augmented reality for understanding schizophrenia - design and evaluation of a dedicated educational tool. In *ISMAR 2024 - IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, Seattle, United States, October 2024. hal-04699693.
- [15] M. A. Kuhail, A. ElSayary, S. Farooq, and A. Alghamdi. Exploring immersive learning experiences: A survey. *Informatics*, 9(4):75, September 2022.
- [16] Lucy Lan, Jennifer Sikov, Julia Lejeune, Chelsea Ji, Hannah Brown, Kim Bullock, and Andrea E. Spencer. A systematic review of using virtual and augmented reality for the diagnosis and treatment of psychotic disorders. *Current Treatment Options in Psychiatry*, 10:87–107, 2023.
- [17] Y. Lee, S. K. Kim, and M. R. Eom. Usability of mental illness simulation involving scenarios with patients with schizophrenia via immersive virtual reality: A mixed methods study. *PLOS ONE*, 15(9):e0238437, 2020.
- [18] A. J. Marques, P. Gomes Veloso, M. Araújo, R. S. de Almeida, A. Correia, J. Pereira, and C. F. Silva. Impact of a virtual reality-based simulation on empathy and attitudes toward schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13:814984, 2022.
- [19] Alison Jane Martingano, Fernanda Hererra, and Sara Konrath. Virtual reality improves emotional but not cognitive empathy: A meta-analysis. *Technology, Mind, and Behavior*, 2(2), 2021.
- [20] Josefin Mattsson, Carina Elmqvist, and Gunilla Carlsson. Nursing students' experiences of empathy in a virtual reality simulation: A phenomenological study. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 71:103694, 2024.
- [21] Chris Milk. How virtual reality can create the ultimate empathy machine, 2015. TED Talk.
- [22] Jeanne K. Olson. Relationships between nurse-expressed empathy, patient-perceived empathy, and patient distress. *Image: The Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 27(4):317–322, 1995.
- [23] Cengiz T. Ozcan, Fusun Oflaz, and Bilal Bakir. The effect of a structured empathy course on the students of a medical and a nursing school. *International Nursing Review*, 59(4):532–538, 2012.
- [24] D. L. Penn, J. D. Ivory, and A. Judge. The virtual doppelganger: Effects of a virtual reality simulator on perceptions of schizophrenia. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 198(6):437–443, 2010.
- [25] Thammathip Piumsomboon, Gun A. Lee, Youngho Lee, and Mark Billingham. Empathic mixed reality: Sharing what you feel and interacting with what you see. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1741–1752. ACM, 2017.
- [26] Sara Rueda and Francisco Lara. Virtual reality and empathy enhancement: Ethical aspects. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 7:506984, 2020.
- [27] R. D. D. C. Silva, S. G. Albuquerque, A. D. V. Muniz, P. P. R. Filho, S. Ribeiro, P. R. Pinheiro, and V. H. C. Albuquerque. Reducing the schizophrenia stigma: a new approach based on augmented reality. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2017(1):2721846, 2017.
- [28] Steven M. Silverstein and Andrew Lai. The phenomenology and neurobiology of visual distortions and hallucinations in schizophrenia: an update. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12:684720, 2021.

- [29] Elizabeth T Skoy, Heidi N Eukel, Jeanne E Frenzel, Amy Werremeyer, and Brett McDaniel. Use of an auditory hallucination simulation to increase student pharmacist empathy for patients with mental illness. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 80(8):142, 2016.
- [30] Marieke M. van Ommen, Tessa van Lierop, Iris E. Sommer, and Sanne Koops. Visual hallucinations in psychosis: Review and future directions. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, 17:100144, 2019.
- [31] Stacey Ventura, Alison Jane Martingano, and Jonathan F. Kominsky. A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence on the use of virtual reality to foster empathy. *Virtual Reality*, 24:255–277, 2020.
- [32] S. Yoo, S. Kim, and Y. Lee. Learning by doing: Evaluation of an educational vr application for the care of schizophrenic patients. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–6, April 2020.
- [33] M. Zare-Bidaki, A. Ehteshampour, M. Reisaliakbarighomi, R. Mazinani, M. R. Khodaie Ardakani, A. Mirabzadeh, and S. B. Mousavi. Evaluating the effects of experiencing virtual reality simulation of psychosis on mental illness stigma, empathy, and knowledge in medical students. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13:880331, 2022.

Erklärung

gemäss Art. 28 Abs. 2 RSL 05

Name/Vorname:

Matrikelnummer:

Studiengang:

Bachelor ☐ Master ☐ Dissertation ☐

Titel der Arbeit:

.....

.....

LeiterIn der Arbeit:

.....

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass ich diese Arbeit selbständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen benutzt habe. Alle Stellen, die wörtlich oder sinngemäss aus Quellen entnommen wurden, habe ich als solche gekennzeichnet. Mir ist bekannt, dass andernfalls der Senat gemäss Artikel 36 Absatz 1 Buchstabe o des Gesetzes vom 5. September 1996 über die Universität zum Entzug des auf Grund dieser Arbeit verliehenen Titels berechtigt ist.

.....

Ort/Datum

.....

Unterschrift