

→ CV is model validation for assessing how the result of a statistical analysis will ~~generate~~ generalize to an independent data set.   
 → used in order to limit problems like overfitting

## # Cross Validation :-

→ Cross Validation is a technique which involves reserving a particular sample of a data set on which we don't train the data Model. Later we test the Model on this sample before finalizing the Model.

→ steps in Cross validation :-

- ① We reserve a sample data set
- ② Train the Model using the remaining part of data set
- ③ Use the reserve sample of the data set test (validation) set. This will help us to know the effectiveness of Model performance. If our Model deliver +ve result on validation set. go ahead with current model. If rocks!

## ⇒ Common Method used for Cross-Validation :-

### ① Validation Set Approach :-

→ In this, we divided data set 50% for validation  
50% for training

→ Dis :-

We train Model only on 50% data whereas may be possible we are leaving some interesting info i.e. high bias.



### ⑤ Leave one out cross validation (LOOCV):-

→ In this approach, we reserve only one data point and train Model on rest data points. This process iterate for each data points.

→ Adv & Dis:-

- We make use of all data points i.e. low bias
- We repeat the cross validation process  $n$  times means high execution time.
- This approach leads to higher variation in testing Model  $\because$  we testing against one data point. If the data point turns out to be an outlier - it can lead to higher variation i.e. high variance.

### ③ k-fold cross validation:-

- From above 2 methods - i) high bias  
ii) high variance.

So, it will take care of both.

Steps:-

- i) Randomly split our entire data set into  $K$  "folds"
- ii) For each  $k$  folds in our data set, build our model on  $(k-1)$  folds of data set. Then test the model to check effectiveness of  $k$ th fold.
- iii) Record the error we see on each predictions.
- iv) Repeat this until each of  $k$  folds has served as the test set.
- v) The avg. of our  $k$  recorded errors is called the cross validation error & will serve as our performance metric for the Model.



## ⇒ How to choose right value of $k$ :

→ lower value of  $k \Rightarrow$  more bias  $\Rightarrow$  undesirable  
 large " " "  $\Rightarrow$  more variability.

Small value of  $k$  leads to validation set approach  
 higher " " " " " LOOCV approach

So, always suggested  $k=10$

## ⇒ How to measure Model's bias-variance ?

→ After  $k$ -fold cross validation, we will get  $k$ -different model estimation errors ( $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$ ). In ideal scenario, these error values should add to zero.

→ For Model's bias, take avg of all errors,  
 lower avg value, better Model

→ For Model's variance, take standard deviation of all errors.  
 lower value of std, our Model doesn't vary a lot with different subset of training data.

→ So, our focus on achieving balance b/w bias & variance  
 i.e., for better predictive Model.

reduce variance, controlling bias to an extent

→ This trade-off usually leads to building less complex predictive models.

Model is too simple  $\Rightarrow$  Suffer from underfitting

Model is too complex  $\Rightarrow$  Suffer from overfitting