

Galois Theory for High School Students

N. Hott Maybe

November 15, 2022

Abstract

This note will go through most of the topic in the Galois Theory. This note mainly follows one of the projects from MIT PRIMES (a research program for students from K-9 to K-12), but may also be suitable for low quality undergraduate students.

Contents

1	Algebraic Extensions	2
2	Splitting Fields	3
3	Normal Extensions and Separable Extensions	4
4	Galois Groups	5
5	Galois Groups of Polynomials	6
6	Solvable Groups	7
7	Solvability: Algebraic Equations	7
8	Solvability: Straightedge-and-compass Constructions	7
9	Reference	7

1 Algebraic Extensions

Remark 1.1. Suppose F is a field. The following theorem is listed without proof since it is well-known.

Theorem 1.2. $F[x]$ is an Euclid ring, therefore $F[x]$ is a PID.

Proposition 1.3. Give $f(x) \in F[x]$ and $a \in F$, $(x - a) \mid f(x)$ iff $f(a) = 0$.

Remark 1.4. Such a in the above proposition is called a root of $f(x) \in F$.

Definition 1.5 (Field Extensions). Give a set S ,

$$F[S] = \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0}^{< \infty} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} a_1^{i_1} \cdots a_n^{i_n}, i_1 \cdots i_n \in \mathbb{N}, a_1 \cdots a_n \in S, a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \in F \right\}$$

the fraction field of $F[S]$ is denoted by $F(S)$. $F(S)$ is the smallest field containing $F \cup S$.

Remark 1.6. Give an element $\alpha \in F(S) \setminus F$, if α is a root of a polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$, then α is called an algebraic element.

Proposition 1.7. If $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, then $F(S) = F(S_1)(S_2)$

Proof. Obviously $F(S) \subset F(S_1)(S_2)$. Conversely, since $F(S_1) \subset F(S)$ and $S_2 \subset F(S)$, $F(S_1)(S_2) \subset F(S)$. \square

Definition 1.8 (Algebraic Extensions). $F(S)$ is a algebraic extension when S is a finite collection of algebraic elements over F .

Remark 1.9. Give α an algebraic element.

Proposition 1.10. $F(\alpha) = F[\alpha] \cong F[x] / \langle p(x) \rangle$

Proof. Let $I = \{f(x) \in F[x] : f(\alpha) = 0\} = \langle p(x) \rangle$. Obviously $F[\alpha]$ is a integral domain, therefore $p(x)$ is prime, I is maximal, $F[\alpha]$ is a field. \square

Remark 1.11. The irreducible polynomial $p(x)$ above is unique by some unit in F . Let the coefficient of the highest degree term be 1, denote this polynomial $\text{Irr}(\alpha, F)$.

Definition 1.12 (Degree). $\deg(\alpha, F) = \deg(\text{Irr}(\alpha, F))$.

Proposition 1.13. Suppose $\deg(\alpha, F) = n$, then $F(\alpha) = \text{span}_F\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$.

Proof. $\forall f(x) \in F[x]$, $\exists q(x), r(x)$ such that

$$f(x) = q(x)\text{Irr}(\alpha, F) + r(x), \quad \deg r(x) < n$$

therefore $f(\alpha) = r(\alpha)$. Also, $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$ are linearly independent. \square

Definition 1.14. Suppose field K , $F \subset K$. Then K is a vector space over F , denote the dimension of this vector space $[K : F]$.

Proposition 1.15. $[F(\beta) : F] < \infty$ iff β is an algebraic element.

Proof. This follows immediately after writing down the basis of $F(\beta)$ as a vector space over F . \square

Proposition 1.16. Suppose field K , E with $F \subset E \subset K$. Then

$$[K : F] = [K : E][E : F]$$

Proof. This could be easily seen by directly writing down the basis of K as a vector space over F . \square

Proposition 1.17. Suppose K a field with $[K : F] < \infty$. Then $\exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ algebraic elements in K , and $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

Proof. Pick any element $\alpha_1 \in K \setminus F$. Then $[K : F(\alpha_1)] \leq [K : F]$. If the equality is taken, the proof is done. Otherwise repeat this process, which obviously must be done in finite steps. \square

2 Splitting Fields

Definition 2.1 (Splitting Fields). Given $f(x) \in F[x]$, $\deg f(x) = n$. The splitting field K satisfies:

- (1) $f(x) = c(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$, where $c, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$
- (2) $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$

Proposition 2.2. Give $f(x) \in F[x]$, the splitting field of $f(x)$ exists if $\deg f(x) > 0$

Proof. The proof will be done by induction on $\deg f(x)$. When $\deg f(x) = 1$, the splitting field of $f(x)$ is just F . Assume $\deg f(x) = n + 1$, suppose $p(x)$ is an irreducible factor of $f(x)$. Then denote $F(\alpha_1) \cong F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$, $p(\alpha_1) = 0$ therefore $f(\alpha_1) = 0$. Then $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)f'(x)$, $\deg f'(x) = n$. Since the splitting field of $f'(x)$ exists, the splitting field of $f(x)$ can be found by just adding α_1 . \square

Proposition 2.3. Denote the splitting field of $f(x) \in F[x]$ with K . Then $[K : F] \leq (\deg f(x))!$

Proof. This is obvious by the proof of Proposition 2.2. $([F(\alpha_1) : F] \leq n)$ \square

Proposition 2.4. Suppose fields $F \subset E \subset K$ and K is the splitting field of $f(x) \in F[x]$. Then K is also the splitting field of $f(x) \in E[x]$.

Proof. This is obvious since $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset E(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subset K$. \square

Proposition 2.5. Suppose $\sigma : F \rightarrow \bar{F}$ a field homomorphism. Then:

- (1) σ can extend to an isomorphism $\sigma : F[x] \rightarrow \bar{F}[x]$, and $\sigma(p(x))$ is irreducible iff $p(x)$ is irreducible.
- (2) Suppose K, \bar{K} are the extensions of F, \bar{F} respectively, $p(x) \in F[x]$ irreducible, and $\alpha \in K$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{K}$ roots of $p(x)$ and $\sigma(p(x))$. Then σ can extend to an isomorphism $\bar{\sigma} : F(\alpha) \rightarrow \bar{F}(\bar{\alpha})$ with $\bar{\sigma}(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}$.

Proof. For (1), just let $\sigma|_F = \sigma$, $\sigma(x) = x$. The rest is obvious. For (2), suppose $\pi : F[x] \rightarrow F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$, $\bar{\pi} : \bar{F}[x] \rightarrow \bar{F}[x]/\langle \sigma(p(x)) \rangle$, and ν, ν' the canonical projection and isomorphism, this gives the isomorphism $\bar{\sigma}' : x + \langle p(x) \rangle \mapsto x + \langle \sigma(p(x)) \rangle$. Then the $\bar{\sigma}$ can be easily found by traversing the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F[x] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle & \xleftarrow{\nu} & F(\alpha) \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma}' & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma} \\ \bar{F}[x] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \bar{F}[x]/\langle \sigma(p(x)) \rangle & \xleftarrow{\bar{\nu}} & \bar{F}(\bar{\alpha}) \end{array}$$

\square

Remark 2.6. The extension in (1) is unique.

Proposition 2.7. Give $\sigma : F \rightarrow \bar{F}$ an field isomorphism. Extend it to $\sigma : F[x] \rightarrow \bar{F}[x]$. Denote the splitting field of $f(x) \in F[x]$ and $\sigma(f(x)) \in \bar{F}[x]$ with E and \bar{E} respectively. Then σ can be extend to an isomorphism $\bar{\sigma} : E \rightarrow \bar{E}$, and the number of different extensions $n_\sigma \leq [E : F]$, where the equality is taken iff every irreducible factor of $f(x)$ in E has no repeated roots.

Proof. Show the first part by induction on $\deg f(x)$. When $\deg f(x) = 1$, σ is just itself. Assume $\deg f(x) = n + 1$, suppose $p(x)$ is a irreducible factor of $f(x)$. Then $\exists \alpha_1 \in E$ and $\bar{\alpha}_1 \in \bar{E}$, $p(\alpha_1) = \sigma(p(\bar{\alpha}_1)) = 0$. Therefore σ can be extend to $\sigma_1 : F(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \bar{F}(\bar{\alpha}_1)$ with $\sigma_1(\alpha_1) = \bar{\alpha}_1$. Then σ can be extend to $\sigma_1 : F(\alpha_1)[x] \rightarrow \bar{F}(\bar{\alpha}_1)[x]$. Then write $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)f'(x)$, $\sigma_1(f(x)) = (x - \bar{\alpha}_1)\sigma'(f'(x))$. By previous proposition E and \bar{E} are the splitting field of $f(x) \in F(\alpha_1)[x]$ and $f'(x) \in \bar{F}(\bar{\alpha}_1)[x]$ respectively. Therefore σ_1 can be extend to $\sigma_1 : E \rightarrow \bar{E}$.

For the second part, denote $\bar{\sigma} : E \rightarrow \bar{E}$ the extension of $\sigma : F \rightarrow \bar{F}$. Suppose $p(x)$ is an irreducible factor of $f(x)$ and $p(\alpha_1) = 0$ ($\alpha_1 \in E$). Then $\bar{\sigma}(\alpha_1)$ must be a root of $\sigma(p(x))$ since $\sigma(p(\bar{\sigma}(\alpha_1))) = \sigma\bar{\sigma}(p(\alpha_1)) = 0$. Denote k_1 the number of different choices of $\bar{\sigma}(\alpha_1)$, $k_1 \leq \deg p(x) = [F(\alpha_1) : F]$, where the equality is taken iff $p(x)$ has no repeated roots. Therefore there are only k_1 extensions on $F(\alpha_1)$. Since $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, the number of different extensions are

$$n_\sigma = k_1 \cdots k_n \leq [F(\alpha_n) : F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})] \cdots [F(\alpha_1) : F] = [E : F]$$

where the condition of equality can be easily verified. \square

Remark 2.8. This proposition implies that the splitting field is unique under isomorphism.

Proposition 2.9. Suppose fields $F \subset E \subset K$ with E the splitting field of $f(x) \in F[x]$, then for any isomorphism $\sigma : K \rightarrow K$ such that $\sigma|_F = \text{id}$, $\sigma(E) = E$.


Proof. This is obvious by observing the image of σ on the roots of $f(x)$. \square

3 Normal Extensions and Separable Extensions

Definition 3.1 (Normal Extensions). An algebraic extension K is called a normal extension of F iff $\forall p(x) \in F[x]$ such that $p(x)$ is irreducible, if $p(x)$ has one root in K , then $p(x)$ splits over K .

Proposition 3.2. Give $F \subset K$ fields, K is a normal extension of F iff K is a splitting field of some polynomial in $F[x]$.

Proof. Let $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $f(x) = \text{Irr}(\alpha_1, F) \cdots \text{Irr}(\alpha_n, F)$, since K is a normal extension $f(x) = (x - \beta_1) \cdots (x - \beta_t) \in K$. Therefore K is the splitting field of $f(x)$. Conversely, let K be the splitting field of $f(x)$. Then let $p(x) \in F[x]$ and $\exists \alpha \in K$, $p(\alpha) = 0$. Let E be the splitting field of $p(x) \in K[x]$, and $g(x) = f(x)p(x)$, then E is the splitting field of $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$. Let $\beta \in E$ a root of $p(x)$, Then $\tau : F(\alpha) \rightarrow F(\beta), \alpha \mapsto \beta$ can be extended to an isomorphism of E with $\tau|_F = \text{id}$. Then $\tau(K) = K$, therefore $\beta \in K$, the proof is done by selecting β .



□

Definition 3.3 (Separable Polynomials). $f(x) \in F[x]$ is separable iff every its irreducible factor has no repeated roots in its splitting field.

Proposition 3.4. If $\text{ch } F = 0$, then $\forall f(x) \in F[x]$, $f(x)$ is separable.

Proof. For each of its irreducible factor $p(x)$, consider its derivative $p'(x)$. It is easy to see $(p'(x), p(x)) = 1$ when $p(x)$ has no repeated roots. If F is of characteristic 0, then obviously $(p'(x), p(x)) = 1$. □

Definition 3.5 (Separable Elements). α is called separable over F iff $\text{Irr}(\alpha, F)$ is separable.

Proposition 3.6. Every finite separable extension of F is a single algebraic extension of F .

Proof. If F is a finite field, then its algebraic extension K is also a finite field. Since $K \setminus \{0\}$ is a cyclic group, $K = F(\alpha)$ where α is the generator of the cyclic group.

If F is an infinite field, suppose $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. The proposition is obviously true when $n = 1$. Assume it is true for $n - 1$ elements, then $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) = F(\beta)$. Therefore $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = F(\alpha_n, \beta)$. Let E be the splitting field of $\text{Irr}(\beta, F)\text{Irr}(\alpha, F)$. Then in $E[x]$,

$$\text{Irr}(\beta, F) = (x - \beta)(x - \beta_2) \cdots (x - \beta_s)$$

$$\text{Irr}(\alpha_n, F) = (x - \alpha_n)(x - \alpha_n^1) \cdots (x - \alpha_n^t)$$

since α_n is separable, $(\alpha_n, \alpha_n^1, \dots, \alpha_n^t)$ is pairwise different. Then

$$T = \left\{ \frac{\beta - \beta_k}{\alpha_n - \alpha_n^j} \right\}, \quad \text{where } \beta = \beta_1$$

obviously β is a finite set. Therefore take $c \in F$ such that $c \notin T$, and let $\theta = \beta - c\alpha_n$ and

$$f(x) = ((\theta - cx) - \beta) \cdots ((\theta - cx) - \beta_n)$$

Then $f(\alpha_n) = 0$ and $f(\alpha_n^j) \neq 0$. Therefore

$$(f(x), \text{Irr}(\alpha_n, F)) = x - \alpha_n$$

since $f(x), \text{Irr}(\alpha_n, F) \in F(\theta)[x]$, $\alpha_n \in F(\theta)$. Then $\beta \in F(\theta)$, therefore $F(\theta) = F(\alpha_n, \beta)$. □

Remark 3.7. $f(x) \in F(\theta)$ since $f(x) = g(\theta - cx)$, where $g(x) = \text{Irr}(\beta, F)$. And $\gcd_E(f, \text{Irr}(\alpha_n, F))$ is equal to $\gcd_{F(\theta)}(f, \text{Irr}(\alpha_n, F))$ since it can be computed with the Euclidean algorithm.

4 Galois Groups

Definition 4.1 (Galois Group). Suppose K is a finite extension over F . Then the set of all automorphism of K that is identity on F is a group, denoted by $\text{Gal}(K/F)$.

Definition 4.2 (Invariant Subfield). Suppose $G \leq \text{Aut}(K)$. Then $\text{Inv}G = \{a \in K : g(a) = a, \forall g \in G\}$.

Proposition 4.3. $[K : \text{Inv}(G)] \leq |G|$.

Proof. Let $G = \{a_1 = \text{id}, \dots, a_n\}$. Take $m(m > n)$ elements from K denoted by u_1, \dots, u_m . Suppose a matrix A with $A_{ij} = a_i(u_j)$. The linear equation $AX = 0$ must have nontrivial solutions since $m > n$. Let $(1, \dots, b_m)$ be such solution that has most zeros. If $b_i \in \text{Inv}G$ for each i , then u_1, \dots, u_m is linearly dependent since $\sum_1^m a_k(b_j u_j) = a_k(\sum_1^m b_j u_j) = 0$ and a_k are isomorphisms. On the other hand, if there exists $b_i \notin G$, assume $i = 2$. Then $\exists a \in G$ such that $a(b_2) \neq b_2$. Thus

$$\sum_1^m a_k(u_j) a(b_j) = a \left(\sum_1^m a^{-1} a_k(b_j u_j) \right) = 0$$

$(1, a(b_2), \dots, a(b_m))$ is also a nontrivial solution. Then $(0, b_2 - a(b_2), \dots, b_m - a(b_m))$ has more zero elements, contradiction. Hence every $m(m > n)$ elements in K are linearly dependent, the proof is done. \square

Definition 4.4 (Galois Extension). Suppose K/F with $\text{Inv}(\text{Gal}(K/F)) = F$, then K is called a Galois extension of F .

Proposition 4.5. Suppose K is a finite extension of F . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) K is the splitting field of a separable polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$.
- (2) K is a Galois extension of F and $[K : F] = |\text{Gal}(K/F)|$.
- (3) K is a separable normal extension of F .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since $f(x)$ is a separable polynomial of $F[x]$, any irreducible factor $p(x)$ of $f(x)$ has $\deg p(x)$ different roots in K . Therefore $|\text{Gal}(K/F)| \leq [K : F]$ by proposition 2.7. Let $E = \text{Inv}(\text{Gal}(K/F))$, then obviously $\text{Gal}(K/E) = \text{Gal}(K/F)$. Since K is also the splitting field of $f(x) \in E[x]$, $[K : E] = |\text{Gal}(K/E)|$. Therefore $[K : E] = [K : F]$, $E = F$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). $\forall \alpha \in K$, denote $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$. Let

$$\text{Irr}(\alpha, F) = x^r + b_1 x^{r-1} + \dots + b_r, \quad b_i \in F$$

then $\forall \sigma \in G$, $\sigma(\alpha)$ is also a root of $\text{Irr}(\alpha, F)$. Therefore G must be a finite group. Suppose $\{\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_s(\alpha)\} = \{\sigma(\alpha) : \sigma \in G\}$ where σ_1 is the identity. Let

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s (x - \sigma_i(\alpha)) = x^s + p_1 x^{s-1} + \dots + p_s$$

it is easy to verify that $\sigma(p_i) = p_i$ for any $\sigma \in G$. Therefore $p_i \in F$, $h(x) \in F[x]$. Since $s \leq r$, $h(x) = \text{Irr}(\alpha, F)$. Therefore $\text{Irr}(\alpha, F)$ is separable, K is a separable extension. K is also a normal extension of F by the above construction, since any irreducible polynomial in $F[x]$ that has one root in K can be seen as $\text{Irr}(\alpha, F)$ for some $\alpha \in K$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial. \square

Theorem 4.6 (The Fundamental Theorem). Suppose K is a separable normal extension of F . Denote Γ the set of all subgroups of $G = \text{Gal}(K/F)$, and Σ the set of all fields between K and F , then the map

$$\text{Inv} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma, H \mapsto \text{Inv}H$$

is a bijection, and

- (1) $\text{Inv}^{-1} = \text{Gal} : E \mapsto \text{Gal}(K/E)$,
- (2) If $H \in \Gamma$, then $|H| = [K : \text{Inv}H]$, $[G : H] = [\text{Inv}H : F]$.
- (3) $\text{Inv}H$ is a normal extension of F with $\text{Gal}((\text{Inv}H)/F) \cong G/H$ iff $H \triangleleft G$.

Proof. Suppose $H \in \Gamma$, $\text{Inv}H = E$, then $F \subset E \subset K$. Thus $H \subset \text{Gal}(K/E) \subset \text{Gal}(K/F)$. Since K is a separable normal extension of F , by the last proposition, K is the splitting field of $f(x) \in F[x]$. Therefore K is the splitting field of $f(x) \in E[x]$, hence K is also a separable normal extension of E . Then by the last proposition, $|H| \leq |\text{Gal}(K/E)| = [K : E]$, and proposition 4.3 gives $|H| \geq [K : E]$. Therefore $|H| = [K : E]$, $H = \text{Gal}(K/E)$, $\text{Gal} \circ \text{Inv} = \text{id}_\Gamma$.

Conversely, suppose $E \in \Sigma$, then $F \subset E \subset K$. By the same argument K is a separable normal extension on E . Thus $\text{Gal}(K/E) \in \Gamma$ and $E = \text{Inv}(\text{Gal}(K/E))$. Therefore $\text{Inv} \circ \text{Gal} = \text{id}_\Sigma$.

For (2), in (1) it is proved that for any $H \in \Gamma$, $|H| = [K : \text{Inv}H]$. Then

$$[G : H] = |G|/|H| = [K : F]/[K : \text{Inv}H] = [\text{Inv}H : F]$$

For (3), suppose $H \in \Gamma$ and $a \in G$. Then $aHa^{-1} \in \Gamma$. Since $\text{Inv}(aHa^{-1}) = \{k \in K : aha^{-1}(k) = k\} = \{k \in K : h(a^{-1}(k)) = a^{-1}(k)\} = a(\text{Inv}H)$, when $H \triangleleft G$, $a(\text{Inv}H) = \text{Inv}H$. Let \bar{a} be the restriction of a to $\text{Inv}H$, then $\bar{a} \in \text{Gal}(\text{Inv}H/F)$. Therefore $\pi : a \mapsto \bar{a}$ gives a homomorphism between G and $\text{Gal}(\text{Inv}H/F)$. Thus

$$F \subset \text{Inv}(\text{Gal}(\text{Inv}H/F)) \subset \text{Inv}\pi(G) = F \quad (*)$$

Therefore $\text{Inv}H$ is a Galois extension of F , thus K/F is normal. Since $\ker \pi = H$, by (2),

$$|\pi(G)| = [G : H] = [\text{Inv}H : F] = |\text{Gal}(\text{Inv}H/F)|$$

hence $\pi(G) = \text{Gal}(\text{Inv}H/F) \cong G/H$. Conversely, suppose $F \subset E \subset K$ with E a normal extension, then $\forall g \in G$, $g(E) = E$. Thus

$$g(E) = g(\text{Inv}(\text{Gal}(G/E))) = \text{Inv}(g(\text{Gal}(G/E))g^{-1}) = \text{Inv}(\text{Gal}(K/E)) = E$$

since Inv is injective, $\text{Gal}(K/E) \triangleleft G$. □

Remark 4.7. Comments on (*): (1) it is not obvious that $\pi(G) = \text{Gal}(\text{Inv}H/F)$; (2) $\text{Inv}\pi(G) = F$ because π is the restriction map. If $\text{Inv}\pi(G)$ is larger than F , then $\text{Inv}G$ will be larger than F as well.

5 Galois Groups of Polynomials

Proposition 5.1. Suppose $f(x) \in F[x]$ is a monic polynomial with no repeated roots, K is the splitting field of $F[x]$, $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m (x - \alpha_i)$. Then

- (1) $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup G of $S_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}$.
- (2) $f(x) \in F[x]$ is irreducible iff G is transitive.

Proof. (1) Let $X = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. Suppose $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, then $f(\sigma(\alpha_i)) = 0$, therefore $\sigma(X) \subset X$. Obviously $\sigma(\alpha_i) \neq \sigma(\alpha_j)$ when $i \neq j$. Thus $\sigma|_X \in S_X$. Since $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $\sigma = \tau \in G$ iff $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \tau(\alpha_i)$ for each i . Thus G is a subgroup of S_X .

(2) Suppose G is transitive on X , then $\forall \alpha_i, \alpha_j \in X$, $\exists \sigma \in G$, such that $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$. Therefore $\text{Irr}(\alpha_i, F) = \text{Irr}(\alpha_j, F)$. Therefore in $K[x]$, $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m (x - \alpha_i) | \text{Irr}(\alpha_i, F)$. Therefore $f(x) = \text{Irr}(\alpha_i, F)$ is irreducible.

Conversely, if $f(x)$ is irreducible, then $\text{Irr}(\alpha_i, F) = \text{Irr}(\alpha_j, F)$ for each i, j . Then by proposition 2.7, there is an extension σ' such that $\sigma'(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$. □

Definition 5.2 (Galois Groups of Polynomials). Given $f(x) \in F[x]$, denote its splitting field K . The galois group of this polynomial $G(f, F) := \text{Gal}(K/F)$.

Proposition 5.3. Suppose x_1, \dots, x_n transcendental elements, p_1, \dots, p_n elementary symmetric polynomials of x_1, \dots, x_n , $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i) \in F(p_1, \dots, p_n)[x]$. Then $G(g, F(p_1, \dots, p_n)) \cong S_n$.

Proof. Let $G = \text{Gal}(F(x_1, \dots, x_n)/F)$. Then $\forall \sigma \in S_n$, σ gives an automorphism on $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\sigma|_F = \text{id}$. Extend it to $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with

$$\sigma(p/q) = \sigma(p)/\sigma(q), \quad p, q \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

therefore $\sigma \in G$, $S_n \leq G$. Since $\sigma(p_i) = p_i$,

$$F(p_1, \dots, p_n) \subset \text{Inv}S_n$$

thus

$$[F(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \text{Inv}S_n] \leq [F(x_1, \dots, x_n) : F(p_1, \dots, p_n)]$$

since $\deg g(x) = n$, $[F(x_1, \dots, x_n) : F(p_1, \dots, p_n)] \leq n!$, which is the same as proposition 4.3. On the other hand, each $\sigma_i \in S_n$ gives an automorphism on $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as vector space on $\text{Inv}S_n$. Obviously $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n!$ are linearly independent. Therefore \square

Proposition 5.4. Suppose p is a prime number, and $f(x)$ is a irreducible polynomial on \mathbb{Q} with $\deg f(x) = p$, and it has two imaginary roots, then $G(f, \mathbb{Q}) \cong S_p$.

6 Solvable Groups

7 Solvability: Algebraic Equations

8 Solvability: Straightedge-and-compass Constructions

9 Reference