

Fair Division of A Graph

Authors: S. Bouveret, K. Cechlarova, E. Elkind, A. Igarashi, D. Peters
arXiv:1705.10239

Era Sarda, mt1200801@iitd.ac.in

November 17, 2023

Prof. Rohit Vaish

Outline

- 1 Recap
- 2 Main Results
- 3 Conclusion

- **Example Scenario:** department of Mathematics at University X that is about to move to a new building and need adjacent offices.
- **Fair division of indivisible items** under connectivity constraints : each agent's share has to be connected in this graph.
- **Undirected graph:** as a tool to capture the connectivity requirement.

Connected Fair Division

An instance of the CFD is a triple $I = (G, N, U)$, where

- $G = (V, E)$ is an undirected graph with m vertices.
- $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a set of agents
- U is an n -tuple of utility functions $u_i : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ where $\sum_{v \in V} u_i(v) = 1$ for each $i \in N$.

Framework for fair division

- proportionality
- envy-freeness+completeness
- maximin share

Along with connectivity constraints

Some Terms

- A problem is **slice-wise polynomial** (XP) with respect to a parameter k if each instance I of this problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(|I|)$ where f is a computable function.
- A problem is **fixed parameter tractable** (FPT) with respect to a parameter k if each instance I of this problem can be solved in time $f(k) \cdot \text{poly}(|I|)$.
- The Agents are said to have the **same type** when they have the same preferences over all the items.

Main Results of the Paper

- Finding PROP-CFD allocations is NP-complete even for paths (reducible to X3C).

Main Results of the Paper

- Finding PROP-CFD allocations is NP-complete even for paths (reducible to X3C).
- PROP-CFD is solvable in polynomial time if G is a star.

Main Results of the Paper

- Finding PROP-CFD allocations is NP-complete even for paths (reducible to X3C).
- PROP-CFD is solvable in polynomial time if G is a star.
- Finding complete EF-CFD allocations is NP-complete for both paths and stars (reducible to X3C and Independent Set problems, respectively).

Main Results of the Paper

- Both PROP and complete EF allocations can be found efficiently when the graph is a path and agents can be classified into a small number of types (XP problem).

Main Results of the Paper

- Both PROP and complete EF allocations can be found efficiently when the graph is a path and agents can be classified into a small number of types (XP problem).
- A small number of player types plus a small number of players result in an efficient algorithm for finding proportional allocations on arbitrary trees.

Main Results of the Paper

- Both PROP and complete EF allocations can be found efficiently when the graph is a path and agents can be classified into a small number of types (XP problem).
- A small number of player types plus a small number of players result in an efficient algorithm for finding proportional allocations on arbitrary trees.
- An MMS (Maximal Minsum Share) allocation always exists if the underlying graph is a tree and can be computed efficiently in polynomial time.

- **Both PROP and complete EF allocations can be found efficiently when the graph is a path and agents can be classified into a small number of types (XP problem).**

Proportional Connected Fair Division

When G is a path and number of agent types is small.

Denote $k :=$ Max no. of connected components each agent can get in proportional allocation.

$\nu_0 :=$ Minimum valuation of each connected component an agent gets assigned.

Theorem

PROP-CFD is in XP with respect to the number of player types p if G is a path. Here $k=1$ and $\nu_0 = \frac{1}{n}$.

- For $i = 0, \dots, m$, and $0 \leq j_l \leq n$ for each $l \in [p]$.

Let $A_i[j_1, \dots, j_p] =$
$$\begin{cases} 1 & \exists \text{ a valid partial allocation } \pi \text{ of } V_i \text{ with } j_l \text{ happy agents of type } l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note: $A_0[j_1, \dots, j_p] = 1 \Leftrightarrow j_k = 0$ for all $k \in [p]$.

- For $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$A_i[j_1, \dots, j_p] = 1 \Leftrightarrow$$

$\exists s < i$ and $t \in [p]$ such that $A_s[j_1, \dots, j_t - 1, \dots, j_p] = 1$ and $\text{val}(t, \{v_{s+1}, v_{s+2}, \dots, v_i\}) \geq \frac{1}{n}$.

$$A_m[j_1, \dots, j_p] = 1?$$

$$\text{for } n_l \leq j_l \leq n$$

$$A_m[j_1, \dots, j_p] = 1?$$

$$\text{for } n_l \leq j_l \leq n$$

$$O(mp) \times (m+1)(n+1)^p$$

$$A_m[j_1, \dots, j_p] = 1?$$

$$\text{for } n_l \leq j_l \leq n$$

$$O(mp) \times (m+1)(n+1)^p$$

XP w.r.t. p

Algorithm 1 $\text{checkV}(t, S, n)$

```
1: if  $\text{val}(t, S) \geq \frac{1}{n}$  then  
2:   return true  
3: else  
4:   return false  
5: end if
```

Algorithm 2 $\text{checkA}(i, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p, n)$

```
1: if  $i == 0$  then
2:    $k = 1$ 
3:   while  $k \leq p$  do
4:     if  $j_k \neq 0$  then
5:       return false
6:     end if
7:      $k++$ 
8:   end while
9:   return true
10: end if
11:  $k = 1$ 
12: while  $k < i$  do
13:    $t = 1$ 
14:   while  $t \leq p$  do
15:      $\text{ans} = (\text{ans} \mid \{\text{checkA}(k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{t-1}, j_t - 1, j_{t+1}, \dots, j_p) \& \text{checkV}(t, \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_i\}, n)\})$ 
16:      $t++$ 
17:   end while
18:    $k++$ 
19: end while
20: if  $\text{ans} == 1$  then
21:   return true
22: else
23:   return false
24: end if
```

Inspiration: Mathematics Department at IIT Delhi has 2 connected components allocated for faculty offices in the campus. One is in the Main building other one is in Mechanical Department.

Initial intuition for the allocation: increase the number of players from n to kn , and run the same algorithm.

Problem: It will give each connected components valuation greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{kn}$.

What if we want each connected components minimum valuation less than or greater than $\frac{1}{kn}$, i.e. ν_0 ?

PROP-CFD for $m > k > 1$ and $\frac{1}{n} > \nu_0 \geq 0$

Recursive function $\text{checkA}(i, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p, n)$:

Call $\text{checkA}(m, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p, n)$;

- ① For l from 1 to $i-1$
- ② $S = \{v_{l+1}, v_{l+2}, \dots, v_i\}$
- ③ Check for each player type 1 to p , if there's a player which can be allocated the connected component S and our allocation is valid
 - ① S can be allocated to the player of type t say j_t^{th} player, if $\text{val}(t, S) \geq \nu_0$ and the current no. of allocated components to that player is less than k and the player is not **satisfied**.
 - ② If above is true, then need to check if S is allocated to the player, then will it be satisfied.
 - ① If yes, then update $\text{value}(t, j_t)$, and check if $A_l[j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t - 1, \dots, j_p]$ is 1 or 0. If 1, then valid allocation, $\text{perans} = \text{perans} \mid \text{true}$. If 0, then invalid allocation, re-update $\text{value}(t, j_t)$.
 - ② If no, then update $\text{value}(t, j_t)$, and check if $A_l[j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t, \dots, j_p]$ is 1 or 0. If 1, then valid allocation, $\text{perans} = \text{perans} \mid \text{true}$. If 0, then invalid allocation, re-update $\text{value}(t, j_t)$.
 - ③ If not true, then invalid allocation.

- Above algorithm returns true if
$$A_0[j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p] = 0 \Leftrightarrow j_t = 0 \text{ for } t = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

Algorithm: k-PROP-CFD (Part 1)

Algorithm 3 k-PROP-CFD

```
int k[][] = 0 for all  $t = 1$  to  $p$  and  $j_t = 1$  to  $\max\{j_t; t = 1 \text{ to } p\}$ ;  
int value[][] = 0 for all  $t = 1$  to  $p$  and  $j_t = 1$  to  $\max\{j_t; t = 1 \text{ to } p\}$ ;  
checkA( $m, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p$ );  
function CHECKA( $i, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p$ )  
  if  $i == 0$  then  
     $l = 1$   
    while  $l \leq p$  do  
      if  $j_l \neq 0$  then  
        return false  
      end if  
       $l++$   
    end while  
    return true  
  end if  
  perans = false  
   $l = 1$ 
```

▷ m is the number of vertices in the path G

Algorithm: k-PROP-CFD (Part 2)

Algorithm 4 k-PROP-CFD

while $l < i$ **do**

$t = 1$

while $t \leq p$ **do**

$S = \{v_{l+1}, \dots, v_i\}$

if $(t, S) \geq v_0$ **then**

if $(t, S) \geq \frac{1}{n} - \text{value}(t, j_t)$ **then**

$\text{value}(t, j_t) += (t, S)$; $\text{ans} = \text{checkA}(k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t - 1, j_{t+1}, \dots, j_p)$;

if $\text{ans} == 1$ **then**

if $k(t, j_t) \geq k$ **then**

$\text{value}(t, j_t) -= (t, S)$; $\text{perans} = \text{perans} \mid \text{false}$;

else

$\text{perans} = \text{perans} \mid \text{true}$; $k(t) ++$;

end if

else

$\text{value}(t, j_t) -= (t, S)$; $\text{perans} = \text{perans} \mid \text{false}$;

end if

Algorithm: k-PROP-CFD (Part 3)

Algorithm 5 k-PROP-CFD

```
if . then..  
    if . then..  
    else  
        value( $t, j_t$ ) $+$  = ( $t, S$ ); ans = checkA( $k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_t, j_{t+1}, \dots, j_p$ );  
        if ans == 1 then  
            if  $k(t, j_t) \geq k$  then  
                value( $t, j_t$ ) $-$  = ( $t, S$ ); perans = perans | false;  
            else  
                perans = perans | true;  $k(t)++$  ;  
            end if  
        else  
            value( $t, j_t$ ) $-$  = ( $t, S$ ); perans = perans | false ;  
        end if  
    end if  
else  
    perans = perans | false  
end if  
 $t++$ ; end while;  $l++$ ; end while; return perans;
```

k-PROP-CFD is of order $O(mp) \times (m+1)(\min[k, \frac{1}{\nu_0}] \times n+1)^p$ in worst case

k-PROP-CFD with flexible ν_0 has not a very bad complexity compared to $k=1$, and has a benefit of increasing the possibilities of allocation of vertices.

Thank You for Listening!

Any Questions?