



Mark Scheme (Results)

January 2024

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level
In Statistics S3 (WST03) Paper 01

Question Number	Scheme	Marks																																
1.	H_0 : There is no association between treatment and presence of fungus H_1 : There is association between treatment and presence of fungus	B1																																
	<table><tr><td>Expected</td><td>No treatment</td><td>Sulphur</td><td>Copper sulphate</td></tr><tr><td>No Fungus</td><td>$\frac{123 \times 30}{150}$ [=24.6]</td><td>$\frac{123 \times 63}{150}$ [=51.66]</td><td>$\frac{123 \times 57}{150}$ [=46.74]</td></tr><tr><td>Fungus</td><td>$\frac{27 \times 30}{150}$ [=5.4]</td><td>$\frac{27 \times 63}{150}$ [=11.34]</td><td>$\frac{27 \times 57}{150}$ [=10.26]</td></tr></table>	Expected	No treatment	Sulphur	Copper sulphate	No Fungus	$\frac{123 \times 30}{150}$ [=24.6]	$\frac{123 \times 63}{150}$ [=51.66]	$\frac{123 \times 57}{150}$ [=46.74]	Fungus	$\frac{27 \times 30}{150}$ [=5.4]	$\frac{27 \times 63}{150}$ [=11.34]	$\frac{27 \times 57}{150}$ [=10.26]	M1																				
	Expected	No treatment	Sulphur	Copper sulphate																														
	No Fungus	$\frac{123 \times 30}{150}$ [=24.6]	$\frac{123 \times 63}{150}$ [=51.66]	$\frac{123 \times 57}{150}$ [=46.74]																														
	Fungus	$\frac{27 \times 30}{150}$ [=5.4]	$\frac{27 \times 63}{150}$ [=11.34]	$\frac{27 \times 57}{150}$ [=10.26]																														
	<table><tr><td>Observed</td><td>Expected</td><td>$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$</td><td>$\frac{O^2}{E}$</td></tr><tr><td>20</td><td>24.6</td><td>0.86016...</td><td>16.2601...</td></tr><tr><td>55</td><td>51.66</td><td>0.21594...</td><td>58.5559...</td></tr><tr><td>48</td><td>46.74</td><td>0.03396...</td><td>49.2939...</td></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>5.4</td><td>3.91851...</td><td>18.5185...</td></tr><tr><td>8</td><td>11.34</td><td>0.98373...</td><td>5.6437...</td></tr><tr><td>9</td><td>10.26</td><td>0.15473...</td><td>7.8947...</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Totals:</td><td>6.167...</td><td>156.167...</td></tr></table>	Observed	Expected	$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	$\frac{O^2}{E}$	20	24.6	0.86016...	16.2601...	55	51.66	0.21594...	58.5559...	48	46.74	0.03396...	49.2939...	10	5.4	3.91851...	18.5185...	8	11.34	0.98373...	5.6437...	9	10.26	0.15473...	7.8947...	Totals:		6.167...	156.167...	dM1
	Observed	Expected	$\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	$\frac{O^2}{E}$																														
	20	24.6	0.86016...	16.2601...																														
	55	51.66	0.21594...	58.5559...																														
	48	46.74	0.03396...	49.2939...																														
10	5.4	3.91851...	18.5185...																															
8	11.34	0.98373...	5.6437...																															
9	10.26	0.15473...	7.8947...																															
Totals:		6.167...	156.167...																															
$X^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum \frac{O^2}{E} - 150$ = awrt 6.17 $\nu = (3 - 1)(2 - 1) = 2$ $\chi^2_2(0.05) = 5.991$ [Reject H_0 /significant/in the CR] There is sufficient evidence to suggest there is an association between <u>treatment</u> and presence of <u>fungus</u> .	dM1 A1 B1 B1ft A1ft																																	
Notes		[8]																																
<p>1st B1 both hypotheses correct with treatment (oe) and fungus (oe) (treatment and fungus need to only appear in either H_0 or H_1). May be written in terms of independence.</p> <p>1st M1 attempt at $\frac{\text{row total} \times \text{column total}}{\text{total}}$ (can be implied by at least one correct E_i to 1dp)</p> <p>2nd M1 (dep on 1st M1) at least 2 correct terms for $\frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$ or $\frac{O^2}{E}$ or correct expressions with their E_i (allow 2sf accuracy) (May be implied by awrt 6.17 or awrt 156.17)</p> <p>3rd M1 (dep on 2nd M1) for using $\sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$ or $\sum \frac{O^2}{E} - 150$ (May be implied by awrt 6.17)</p> <p>1st A1 awrt 6.17</p> <p>2nd B1 DoF/$\nu = 2$ (May be implied by 5.991)</p> <p>3rd B1ft 5.991 (or better) allow ft from their stated degrees of freedom)</p> <p>2nd A1ft (dep on 3rd M1 and 3rd B1) for a correct ft contextualised conclusion. Must include “treatment” and “fungus”. Ignore any non-contextual statements. If hypotheses are the wrong way round then A0.</p>																																		

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
2. (a)	Number all employees [1-800] Use a random number to select the first employee oe Then select every 10th employee from the list of employees	B1 B1 B1 (3)
(b)	Number all employees by city/for each city Use random numbers to select 54 employees from London, 31 employees from Edinburgh and 15 employees from Cardiff	B1 B1 B1 (3)
(c)	e.g Stratified sample reflects the population structure	B1 (1) [7]
Notes		
(a)	1 st B1 idea of numbering all employees 2 nd B1 idea of randomly selecting a starting point 3 rd B1 selecting every kth employee	
(b)	1 st B1 idea of numbering employees for each city 2 nd B1 use of random numbers (oe) 3 rd B1 54 from London, 31 from Edinburgh, 15 from Cardiff cao	
(c)	Any correct advantage e.g. Allows calculations [of statistics] for each city/group	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks																																																
3. (a)	$H_0 : \rho = 0$ $H_1 : \rho \neq 0$ $[r =] \frac{83.634}{\sqrt{2.486 \times 3026.234}} = 0.9642.....$ awrt 0.964 CV = 0.7545 [Reject H_0 /Significant] There is evidence of correlation between annual <u>tea consumption</u> and <u>population</u> .	B1 M1 A1 B1ft A1 (5)																																																
(b)	<table><tr><td>Country</td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>D</td><td>E</td><td>F</td><td>G</td></tr><tr><td>T Rank</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>P Rank</td><td>7</td><td>6</td><td>4</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>5</td></tr></table> or <table><tr><td>Country</td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>D</td><td>E</td><td>F</td><td>G</td></tr><tr><td>T Rank</td><td>3</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>7</td><td>6</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>P Rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>6</td><td>3</td></tr></table> $\sum d^2 = 4+0+0+16+0+4 [= 24]$ $[r_s =] 1 - \frac{6(24)}{7(48)} = 0.571428...$ awrt 0.571	Country	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	T Rank	5	6	4	7	1	2	3	P Rank	7	6	4	3	1	2	5	Country	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	T Rank	3	2	4	1	7	6	5	P Rank	1	2	4	5	7	6	3	M1 M1 dM1A1 (4)
Country	A	B	C	D	E	F	G																																											
T Rank	5	6	4	7	1	2	3																																											
P Rank	7	6	4	3	1	2	5																																											
Country	A	B	C	D	E	F	G																																											
T Rank	3	2	4	1	7	6	5																																											
P Rank	1	2	4	5	7	6	3																																											
(c)	$H_0 : \rho_s = 0$ $H_1 : \rho_s > 0$ CV = 0.7143 [Do not reject H_0 / not significant] There is not enough evidence to suggest a <u>positive</u> correlation between annual <u>tea consumption</u> and <u>population</u> .	B1 M1 A1ft (3) [12]																																																
Notes																																																		
(a)	1 st B1 both hypotheses correct in terms of ρ (must be two-tailed). Condone use of p M1 use of formula for r (May be implied by awrt 0.964) 1 st A1 awrt 0.964 2 nd B1ft 0.7545 (or better) or ft 1-tailed alternative hypothesis (0.6694) 2 nd A1 correct contextual conclusion including tea consumption/ t and population/ p . Must be consistent with their r and their CV. (Ignore any non-contextual conclusion) Allow positive correlation																																																	
(b)	1 st M1 attempt to rank each country for tea and population (at least 4 correct in each) 2 nd M1 for $\sum d^2$ for their ranks (implied by $\sum d^2 = 24$) 2 nd M1 (dep on 1 st M1) use of $1 - \frac{6('24')}{7(48)}$ A1 awrt 0.571 (or $\frac{4}{7}$)																																																	
(c)	B1 both hypotheses correct in terms of ρ or ρ_s . Condone use of p M1 0.7143 (or better) A1ft correct contextual conclusion including positive, tea consumption/ t and population/ p . (Ignore any non-contextual conclusion) ft their part (b)																																																	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
4. (a)	$\frac{[0 \times 24] + 1 \times 34 + 2 \times 28 + 3 \times 21 + 4 \times 8 + 5 \times 5}{120} [= 1.75]^*$	B1*cs0 (1)
(b)	$[s =] 120 \times \frac{e^{-1.75} 1.75^4}{4!} [= 8.15]^*$ <p>or</p> $[s =] 120 - \left(20.85 + 36.49 + 31.93 + 120 \times \frac{e^{-1.75} 1.75^3}{3!} + 3.95 \right) [= 8.15]^*$	B1*cs0 (1)
(c)	$[r =] 18.63$	B1 (1)
(d)	<p>H_0 : Poisson distribution is a good fit. H_1 : Poisson distribution is not a good fit</p> $\sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = 1.43 + \frac{(8 + 5 - (8.15 + 3.95))^2}{8.15 + 3.95}$ <p style="text-align: right;">$= 1.49694... \text{ awrt } 1.5(0)$</p> <p>$\nu = 5 - 1 - 1 = 3$ $\chi^2_3(0.05) = 7.815$ [Do not reject H_0/not significant] There is insufficient evidence to reject the office manager's belief or the number of jobs sent to the printer are consistent with a Poisson distribution.</p>	B1 M1 M1 A1 B1 B1ft A1 (7)
Notes		
(a)	B1cs0 correct calculation, minimum working $\frac{34 + 56 + 63 + 32 + 25}{120} = 1.75^*$	
(b)	B1cs0 fully correct calculation (may be seen in stages) leading to 8.15*	
(c)	For 18.63 (This may be seen in part (b) if labelled as r)	
(d)	1 st B1 both hypotheses correct (mention of 1.75 is B0) 1 st M1 evidence of combining last 2 cells e.g. $8 + 5$ and $8.15 + 3.95$ 2 nd M1 use of $1.43 + \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$ for remaining cells (Condone cells not combined. May be implied by $1.43 + 0.00276... + 0.279... \text{ or awrt } 1.71$) 1 st A1 awrt 1.50 (allow 1.5 from correct working) 2 nd B1 Dof/ $\nu = 3$ implied by a correct critical value of 7.815 3 rd B1ft 7.815 (allow ft on the ν so may see 9.488 or 11.070 etc) 2 nd A1 (dep on 2 nd M1) a correct conclusion which states that the office manager's belief is correct/the data are consistent with a Poisson distribution which must be consistent with the test statistic and CV. Condone Po(1.75) is a suitable model. This mark is independent of the hypotheses	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
5. (a)	$H_0 : \mu_H - \mu_M = 15$ $H_1 : \mu_H - \mu_M > 15$ $z = \frac{56.3 - 39.8 - 15}{\sqrt{\frac{27.2}{38} + \frac{18.5}{45}}}$ $= 1.4130\dots$ <p style="text-align: right;">awrt 1.41</p> <p>CV = 1.6449 (or better) or $p = \text{awrt } 0.0788$ Do not reject H_0/Not significant There is not sufficient evidence to support the <u>professor's claim</u>/there is not sufficient evidence to suggest that undergraduates studying <u>History type</u> more than 15 words/minute faster than undergraduates studying <u>Maths</u>.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1 M1</p> <p>A1 B1 M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>(7)</p>
(b)	$s^2 \approx \sigma^2$ for both History and Maths Assume sample sizes are large enough so that CLT applies or \bar{X} is normally distributed for both	<p>B1 B1</p> <p>(2)</p> <p>[9]</p>
Notes		
(a)	<p>1st B1 both hypotheses correct in terms of μ_H and μ_M Allow equivalent rearrangements. Allow other letters as long it is clear which is History and which is Maths Must be attached to H_0 and H_1</p> <p>1st M1 for $z = \frac{a - b - 15}{\sqrt{\frac{c}{38} + \frac{d}{45}}}$ with at least 2 of a, b, c or d correct (allow \pm)</p> <p>2nd M1 for $z = \frac{56.3 - 39.8 - 15}{\sqrt{\frac{27.2}{38} + \frac{18.5}{45}}}$ (allow \pm)</p> <p>1st A1 awrt 1.41 2nd B1 for CV = ± 1.6449 and compatible sign with their test statistic (allow $p = \text{awrt } 0.0788$) 3rd M1 correct statement consistent with their test statistic and CV (no contradictory non-contextual comments) May be implied by correct contextual comment. 2nd A1 contextual conclusion that is consistent with their test statistic and their CV. Must mention professor's claim or History, Maths and typing (oe).</p>	
(b)	<p>1st B1 must mention both. Allow $s \approx \sigma$ for both History and Maths 2nd B1 either correct assumption</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
6. (a)	$[\bar{x} = 49.8]$ <div> $2 \times 1.96 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{8}} \right) = 53.88 - 45.72 = 8.16$ $2 \times 2.5758 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{8}} \right) = \frac{8.16 \times 2.5758}{1.96} = 10.7238...$ $99\% \text{ CI} = 49.8 \pm \frac{10.7238}{2}$ </div> <div> $49.8 + 1.96 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{8}} \right) = 53.88 \text{ or}$ $49.8 - 1.96 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{8}} \right) = 45.72$ $2.5758 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{8}} \right) = \frac{4.08 \times 2.5758}{1.96} = 5.3618...$ $99\% \text{ CI} = 49.8 \pm 5.3618$ </div> <div> $= (44.438..., 55.1619...) \quad (\text{awrt } 44.4, \text{ awrt } 55.2)$ </div>	M1 B1 M1 M1 A1 (5)
(b)	$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} = \frac{91.2}{8} = 11.4$ $\hat{\sigma}^2 = s^2 = \frac{1145.16 - 8 \times "11.4^2"}{7} = 15.06857...$ <p style="text-align: right;">awrt 15.1</p>	B1 M1 A1 (3)
(c)	<p>Combined $\Sigma x = 10.8 \times 24 + 91.2 = 350.4$ Combined $\Sigma x^2 = 1145.16 + 23 \times 17.64 + 24 \times 10.8^2 = 4350.24$</p> $\text{Combined } s^2 = \frac{"4350.24" - 32 \times \left(\frac{"350.4"}{32} \right)^2}{31} = 16.56$ $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{16.56}}{\sqrt{32}} = 0.719374...$ <p style="text-align: right;">awrt 0.719</p>	M1 A1 M1 M1A1 M1 A1 M1 A1 (7) [15]
Notes		
(a)	<p>1st M1 use of $2z \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ or $z \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ with $1.5 < z < 2$. Allow σ_m for $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ B1 1.96 (or better) and 2.5758 (or better)</p> <p>2nd M1 attempt to find width or semi-width of 99% CI with $z > 2$ Allow $\sigma = \frac{4.08 \times \sqrt{8}}{1.96} [= 5.887...]$</p> <p>3rd M1 Use of $49.8 \pm \text{awrt } 5.36$ or $49.8 \pm 2.5758 \left(\frac{"5.887..."}{\sqrt{8}} \right)$ If σ is incorrect then working must be shown.</p> <p>A1 correct interval with (awrt 44.4, awrt 55.2) Correct answer from less accurate z-values scores M1B0M1M1A1</p>	
(b)	<p>B1 11.4 cao M1 full attempt at s^2 ft their \bar{x} A1 awrt 15.1</p>	
(c)	<p>M1 for correct combined sum (may be implied by combined mean of 10.95) 2nd M1 for attempt at combined sum of squares $1145.16 + (n-1) \times 17.64 + n \times 10.8^2$ (allow 1 error) 1st A1 fully correct expression or awrt 4350 3rd M1 using their values in a complete expression for combined s^2 oe 2nd A1 $s^2 = 16.56$ or $s = \text{awrt } 4.07$ (either of these implies M1M1A1M1A1) 4th M1 use of $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ with combined values 3rd A1 awrt 0.719</p>	

Question Number	Scheme	Marks
7. (a)	$a = 2 \times 180 - 330 = 30$ $b = 4.5^2 \times 2 + 6.7^2 = 85.39$	B1 M1 A1 (3)
(b)	$X = L - 1.8S$ $E(X) = 330 - 1.8 \times 180 = 6$ $\text{Var}(X) = 6.7^2 + 1.8^2 \times 4.5^2 = 110.5$ $P(X > 0) = P\left(Z > \frac{0-6}{\sqrt{110.5}}\right)$ $P(Z > -0.57) = 0.7157$	M1 M1 A1 M1 A1 (5)
(c)	$T = S_1 - \frac{S_1 + S_2 + S_3}{3} = \frac{2S_1 - S_2 - S_3}{3}$ $E(T) = 0$ $\text{Var}(T) = \frac{1}{9}(2^2 \times 4.5^2 + 4.5^2 + 4.5^2) = \frac{6}{9}(4.5^2) = 13.5$ $P(T > 5) = P\left(Z > \frac{5-0}{\sqrt{13.5}}\right)$ $P(Z > 1.36) = 1 - 0.9131 = 0.0869$	M1 A1 M1 M1 M1 A1 (6)
Notes		
(a)	B1 30 cao M1 $2 \times \text{Var}(S) + \text{Var}(L)$ A1 85.39 (allow 85.4)	
(b)	1 st M1 Seeing or using $E(X) = 6$ or correct expression for mean 2 nd M1 $\text{Var}(L) + 1.8^2 \text{Var}(S)$ (condone mixing variances for M1) 1 st A1 for 110.5 (allow 65.61 + 6.7 ²) 3 rd M1 standardising with their mean and s.d. leading to a probability $p > 0.5$ 2 nd A1 awrt 0.716 [calc: 0.7159262...]	
(c)	1 st M1 realising the need to write as a single distribution using $\bar{S} = \frac{S_1 + S_2 + S_3}{3}$ 1 st A1 for $\frac{2S_1 - S_2 - S_3}{3}$ 2 nd M1 Using mean = 0 3 rd M1 using $\text{Var}(aS) = a^2 \text{Var}(S)$ 4 th M1 standardising with their mean and sd 2 nd A1 awrt 0.0868 to awrt 0.0869 [calc: 0.08678...] Note: Assuming S_1 and \bar{S} are independent, leads to $E(T) = 0$, $\text{Var}(T) = 27$, $P(T > 5) = 0.167...$ scores M0A0M1M0M1A0	