

CMPT 379

Compilers

Anoop Sarkar

<http://www.cs.sfu.ca/~anoop>

10/27/10

1

Parse trees

- Given an input program, we convert the text into a parse tree
- Moving to the backend of the compiler: we will produce intermediate code from the parse tree
- This process is called syntax directed translation because we are using a CFG
- Parser output is a *concrete syntax tree*

10/27/10

2

Intermediate Representations

- A parse tree is an example of a very high level intermediate representation
- We can reconstruct the original source code from the concrete syntax tree
- Typically we want to check some semantic rules on the parse tree and report any errors
- The next step: semantic processing and code generation

10/27/10

3

Abstract Syntax Trees

- Take the concrete syntax tree and simplify it to the essential nodes
- For example, if the parser used an LL(1) grammar then the concrete syntax tree will have extra non-terminals
- Elimination of left-recursion, changing the grammar to remove shift/reduce conflicts

10/27/10

4

Abstract Syntax Trees

- Assume we have a top-down parser, e.g. an LL (1) parser.
- We have to eliminate left-recursion to use the parser
 $E \rightarrow E + T \mid T$
Becomes
 $E \rightarrow T E_1$ and $E_1 \rightarrow + T E_1 \mid \varepsilon$
- For future steps, the AST might convert back into a tree that is compatible with the original grammar (before left-recursion elimination)

10/27/10

5

Abstract Syntax Trees

- Another example is the use of built-in functions, user-defined functions and operators
- In each case we have to call some code with a number of parameters
- Each case might have a separate syntax with different punctuation marks, e.g. ();
- Punctuation marks are useful in language design but not useful when presenting a uniform tree for future analysis and code generation
- In an AST, all of these cases can be converted to a single tree format

10/27/10

6

Abstract Syntax Trees

- Other examples include lists of various kinds that involves recursion in CFGs:
Program \rightarrow Function-List
Function-List \rightarrow Function-Defn Function_List
 | Function-Defn
- The extra nodes created due to these grammar changes are not useful
- The extra nodes might make things non-local (inconvenient) for the semantic processing and code generation

10/27/10

7

Abstract Syntax Trees

- Process the concrete syntax tree and convert into a tree that is useful for semantic processing and code generation
- Note that ambiguity is no longer a problem: we already have the parse tree
- Abstract syntax trees will typically have pointers to children *and* pointers to parent nodes

10/27/10

8

Example

- Consider the following fragment of a programming language grammar:
Program \rightarrow Function-List
Function-List \rightarrow Function-Defn Function-List
 | Function-Defn
Function-Defn \rightarrow **fun id** (Param-List) Body
Body \rightarrow '{' Statement-List '}'

10/27/10

9


Example (cont'd)

- Consider an example program:
fun main ()
{
 statement
}
fun foo (int n)
{
 n = n + 1
}


10/27/10

10

Concrete Parse Tree



Abstract Parse Tree




Code generation as Translation

- Code generation can be viewed as translation from the parse tree
- In other words, an alignment between the source code and the assembly code
- Typically we go to an intermediate representation and then to assembly
- Let's consider a simple case where the IR step can be skipped

10/27/10

13


Expr concrete syntax tree



10/27/10

14

Expr abstract parse tree



10/27/10

15

Code generation

- `GenerateCode(tree t, int resultRegister)`
- Recursively traverse the abstract syntax tree
- At each node produce the code needed for that binary operation based on the results from the recursive call results

10/27/10

16

Trace of code generation

```
GenerateCode(+, 0)
  GenerateCode(a, 0)
    Write "LOAD a, R0"
  GenerateCode(*, 1)
    GenerateCode(b, 1)
      Write "LOAD b, R1"
    GenerateCode(c, 2)
      Write "LOAD c, R2"
    Write "MUL R1, R2"
  Write "ADD R0, R1"
```

10/27/10

17

Result of code generation

- The resulting assembly code:
LOAD a, R0
LOAD b, R1
LOAD c, R2
MUL R1, R2
ADD R0, R1
- Note that using the tree structure means that the registers do not conflict
- Later we will consider the optimal assignment of values to registers

10/27/10

18


Case Study: Lisp

- The term abstract syntax was coined by John McCarthy
- McCarthy designed Lisp which directly used an abstract syntax bypassing the concrete syntax step
- Structure of Lisp: (*function arg-list*)
- Directly represents the parse tree in syntax
- Lisp: Lots of Irritating Silly Parentheses

10/27/10

19


Directed Acyclic Graphs



10/27/10

20

Directed Acyclic Graphs



10/27/10

21

Summary

- The parser produces concrete syntax trees
- Abstract syntax trees: abstract away from any grammar transformations or remove unnecessary punctuation
- Tree is input for code generation
- Ad-hoc code generation from ASTs
- As before, we would like to formally specify translation from AST to assembly/machine code
- ASTs can also be the basis for semantic analysis

10/27/10

22