

CMPT-413

Computational Linguistics

Anoop Sarkar
<http://www.cs.sfu.ca/~anoop>

February 24, 2011

Quick Guide to Probability Theory

Log Probability

Basics of Information Theory


Quick guide to probability theory

- ▶ $P(X)$ means probability that X is true
 - ▶ $P(\text{baby is a girl}) = 0.5$
percentage of total number of babies that are girls
 - ▶ $P(\text{baby girl is named Kiki}) = 0.001$
percentage of total number of babies that are named Kiki



Joint probability

- ▶ $P(X,Y)$ means probability that X and Y are both true
 - ▶ $P(\text{baby girl, blue eyes})$ percentage of total number of babies that are girls and have blue eyes



Conditional probability

- ▶ $P(X \mid Y)$ means probability that X is true when we already know that Y is true
 - ▶ $P(\text{baby is named Kiki} \mid \text{baby is a girl}) = 0.002$
 - ▶ $P(\text{baby is a girl} \mid \text{baby is named Kiki}) = 1$




Conditional probability

- ▶ Conditional and joint probabilities are related:

$$P(X | Y) = \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(Y)}$$

- ▶ $P(\text{baby is named Kiki} | \text{baby is a girl}) = \frac{P(\text{baby is a girl, baby is named Kiki})}{P(\text{baby is a girl})} = \frac{0.001}{0.5} = 0.002$



Bayes rule

- ▶ Conditional probability re-written as likelihood times prior:

$$P(X | Y) = \frac{P(Y | X) \times P(X)}{P(Y)}$$

- ▶ $P(\text{named Kiki} | \text{girl}) = \frac{P(\text{girl} | \text{named Kiki}) \times P(\text{named Kiki})}{P(\text{girl})} = \frac{1.0 \times 0.001}{0.5} = 0.002$



Bayes Rule

$$P(X | Y) = \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(Y)} \quad (1)$$

$$P(Y | X) = \frac{P(Y, X)}{P(X)} \quad (2)$$

$$P(X, Y) = P(Y, X) \quad (3)$$

$$P(X | Y) \times P(Y) = P(Y | X) \times P(X) \quad (4)$$

$$P(X | Y) = \frac{P(Y | X) \times P(X)}{P(Y)} \quad (5)$$

$$P(X | Y) = P(Y | X) \times P(X) \quad (6)$$

Basic Terms

- ▶ $P(e)$ – *a priori* probability or just *prior*
- ▶ $P(f \mid e)$ – *conditional* probability. The chance of f given e
- ▶ $P(e, f)$ – *joint* probability. The chance of e and f both happening.
- ▶ If e and f are *independent* then we can write
$$P(e, f) = P(e) \times P(f)$$
- ▶ If e and f are not *independent* then we can write
$$P(e, f) = P(e) \times P(f \mid e)$$
$$P(e, f) = P(f) \times ?$$

Basic Terms

- ▶ Addition of integers:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n$$

- ▶ Product of integers:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n i = 1 \times 2 \times 3 \times \dots \times n$$

- ▶ Factoring:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i \times k = k + 2k + 3k + \dots + nk = k \sum_{i=1}^n i$$

- ▶ Product with constant:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n i \times k = 1k \times 2k \dots \times nk = k^n \times \prod_{i=1}^n i$$

Probability: Axioms

- ▶ P measures total probability of a set of events
- ▶ $P(\emptyset) = 0$
- ▶ $P(\text{all events}) = 1$
- ▶ $P(X) \leq P(Y)$ for any $X \subseteq Y$
- ▶ $P(X) + P(Y) = P(X \cup Y)$ provided that $X \cap Y = \emptyset$
 - ▶ $P(\text{Kiki is a girl}) + P(\text{Kiki is fictional}) =$
 $P(\text{Kiki is a fictional girl}),$
provided there are no real girls called Kiki or persons/objects
that are fictional Kiki's.

Probability Axioms

- ▶ All events sum to 1:

$$\sum_e P(e) = 1$$

- ▶ Marginal probability $P(f)$:

$$P(f) = \sum_e P(e, f)$$

- ▶ Conditional probability:

$$\sum_e P(e | f) = \sum_e \frac{P(e, f)}{P(f)} = \frac{1}{P(f)} \sum_e P(e, f) = 1$$

- ▶ Computing $P(f)$ from axioms:

$$P(f) = \sum_e P(e) \times P(f | e)$$

Probability: The Chain Rule

- ▶ $P(a, b, c, d \mid e)$
- ▶ We cannot simply remove items from the left of $|$ (verify that it violates the definitions we have given based on sets)
- ▶ In this case we can use the chain rule of probability to rescue us
- ▶ $P(a, b, c, d \mid e) = P(d \mid e) \cdot P(c \mid d, e) \cdot P(b \mid c, d, e) \cdot P(a \mid b, c, d, e)$
- ▶ To see why this is possible, recall that $P(X \mid Y) = \frac{p(X, Y)}{p(Y)}$
 - ▶ $\frac{p(a, b, c, d, e)}{p(e)} = \frac{p(d, e)}{p(e)} \cdot \frac{p(c, d, e)}{p(d, e)} \cdot \frac{p(b, c, d, e)}{p(c, d, e)} \cdot \frac{p(a, b, c, d, e)}{p(b, c, d, e)}$
- ▶ Use chain rule and simplify:

$$P(a, b, c, d \mid e) = P(d \mid e) \cdot P(c \mid d, e) \cdot P(b \mid c, e) \cdot P(a \mid b, e)$$

Probability: The Chain Rule

► $P(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) = P(e_1) \times P(e_2 \mid e_1) \times P(e_3 \mid e_1, e_2) \dots$

$$P(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(e_i \mid e_{i-1}, e_{i-2}, \dots, e_1)$$

Probability: Random Variables and Events

- ▶ What is y in $P(y)$?
- ▶ Shorthand for value assigned to a random variable Y , e.g.
 $Y = y$
- ▶ y is an element of some implicit **event space**: \mathcal{E}

Probability: Random Variables and Events

- ▶ The *marginal probability* $P(y)$ can be computed from $P(x, y)$ as follows:

$$P(y) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} P(x, y)$$

- ▶ Finding the value that maximizes the probability value:

$$\hat{x} = \arg \max_{x \in \mathcal{E}} P(x)$$

Quick Guide to Probability Theory

Log Probability

Basics of Information Theory

Log Probability Arithmetic

- ▶ Practical problem with tiny $P(e)$ numbers: underflow
- ▶ One solution is to use log probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}\log(P(e)) &= \log(p_1 \times p_2 \times \dots \times p_n) \\ &= \log(p_1) + \log(p_2) + \dots + \log(p_n)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Note that:

$$x = \exp(\log(x))$$

- ▶ Also more efficient: addition instead of multiplication

Log Probability Arithmetic

p	$\log(p)$
0.0	$-\infty$
0.1	-3.32
0.2	-2.32
0.3	-1.74
0.4	-1.32
0.5	-1.00
0.6	-0.74
0.7	-0.51
0.8	-0.32
0.9	-0.15
1.0	0.00

Log Probability Arithmetic

- ▶ So: $(0.5 \times 0.5 \times \dots 0.5) = (0.5)^n$ might get too small but $(-1 - 1 - 1 - 1) = -n$ is manageable
- ▶ Another useful fact when writing code (\log_2 is *log to the base 2*):

$$\log_2(x) = \frac{\log_{10}(x)}{\log_{10}(2)}$$

Log Probability Arithmetic

- ▶ Adding probabilities is expensive to compute:
 $\text{logadd}(x, y) = \log(\exp(x) + \exp(y))$
- ▶ A more efficient soln, let *big* be a large constant e.g. 10^{30} :

```
function logadd(x, y) : # returns  $\log(\exp(x) + \exp(y))$   
if (y - x) > log(big) return y  
elseif (x - y) > log(big) return x  
else return  
    min(x, y) + log(exp(x - min(x, y)) + exp(y - min(x, y)))  
endif
```

- ▶ There is a more efficient way of computing
 $\log(\exp(x - \min(x, y)) + \exp(y - \min(x, y)))$

Log Probability Arithmetic

```
function logadd(x, y) :  
  if (y - x) > log(big) return y  
  elif (x - y) > log(big) return x  
  elif (x ≥ y) return x + log(1 + exp(y - x))  
    # note that max(x, y) = x and y - x ≤ 0  
  else return y + log(exp(x - y) + 1)  
    # note that max(x, y) = y and x - y ≤ 0  
endif
```

Also, in ANSI C, log1p efficiently computes $\log(1 + x)$

<http://www.ling.ohio-state.edu/~jansche/src/logadd.c>

Quick Guide to Probability Theory

Log Probability

Basics of Information Theory

Information Theory

- ▶ Information theory is the use of probability theory to quantify and measure “information”.
- ▶ Consider the task of efficiently sending a message. Sender Alice wants to send several messages to Receiver Bob. Alice wants to do this as efficiently as possible.
- ▶ Let's say that Alice is sending a message where the entire message is just one character a , e.g. $aaaa \dots$. In this case we can save space by simply sending the length of the message and the single character.

Information Theory

- ▶ Now let's say that Alice is sending a completely random signal to Bob. If it is random then we cannot exploit anything in the message to compress it any further.
- ▶ The *upper bound* on the number of bits it takes to transmit some infinite set of messages is what is called entropy.
- ▶ This formulation of entropy by Claude Shannon was adapted from thermodynamics, converting information into a quantity that can be measured.
- ▶ Information theory is built around this notion of message compression as a way to evaluate the amount of information.

Entropy

- ▶ Consider a probability distribution p
- ▶ Entropy of p is:

$$H(p) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$

- ▶ Any base can be used for the log, but base 2 means that entropy is measured in bits.
- ▶ Entropy answers the question: What is the upper bound on the number of bits needed to transmit messages from event space \mathcal{E} , where $p(x)$ defines the probability of observing x .

Entropy

- ▶ Alice wants to bet on a horse race. She has to send a message to her bookie Bob to tell him which horse to bet on.
- ▶ There are 8 horses. One encoding scheme for the messages is to use a number for each horse. So in bits this would be 001, 010, ...
(lower bound on message length = 3 bits in this encoding scheme)
- ▶ Can we do better?

Entropy

Horse 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	Horse 5	$\frac{1}{64}$
Horse 2	$\frac{1}{4}$	Horse 6	$\frac{1}{64}$
Horse 3	$\frac{1}{8}$	Horse 7	$\frac{1}{64}$
Horse 4	$\frac{1}{16}$	Horse 8	$\frac{1}{64}$

- ▶ If we know how likely we are to bet on each horse, say based on the horse's probability of winning, then we can do better.
- ▶ Let p be the probability distribution given in the table above. The entropy of p is $H(p)$

Entropy

$$H(p) =$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^8 p(i) \log_2 p(i)$$

$$= - \left(\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} \log_2 \frac{1}{16} + 4 \left(\frac{1}{64} \log_2 \frac{1}{64} \right) \right)$$

$$= - \left(\frac{1}{2} \times -1 + \frac{1}{4} \times -2 + \frac{1}{8} \times -3 + \frac{1}{16} \times -4 + 4 \left(\frac{1}{64} \times -6 \right) \right)$$

$$= - \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{8} \right)$$

$$= 2 \text{ bits}$$

- What is the entropy when the horses are equally likely to win?

$$H(\text{uniform distribution}) = -8 \left(\frac{1}{8} \times -3 \right) = 3 \text{ bits}$$

Entropy

- ▶ e.g., most likely horse gets code 0, next most likely gets 10, and then 110, 1110, ...
many possible coding schemes, this is a simple code to illustrate number of bits needed for a large number of messages ...
- ▶ Assume there are 320 messages (one for each race):
code 0 occurs 160 times, code 10 occurs 80 times, code 110 occurs 40 times, code 1110 occurs 20 times, code 11110 occurs 5 times.
- ▶ Total number of bits for all messages: $160 \cdot \text{len}(0) + 80 \cdot \text{len}(10) + 40 \cdot \text{len}(110) + 20 \cdot \text{len}(1110) + 5 \cdot \text{len}(11110)$
- ▶ Number of bits: $160 \cdot 1 + 80 \cdot 2 + 40 \cdot 3 + 20 \cdot 4 + 5 \cdot 5 = 545$
- ▶ Total number of bits per message (per race): $\frac{545}{320} \approx 1.7$ bits
(always less than 2 bits)

Perplexity

- ▶ The value $2^{H(p)}$ is called the **perplexity** of a distribution p
- ▶ Perplexity is the weighted average number of choices a random variable has to make.
- ▶ Choosing between 8 equally likely horses ($H=3$) is $2^3 = 8$.
- ▶ Choosing between the biased horses from before ($H=2$) is $2^2 = 4$.

Relative Entropy

- ▶ In real life, we cannot know for sure the exact winning probability for each horse.
- ▶ Let's say q is the estimate and p is the true probability (say we got q by observing previous races with these horses)
- ▶ We define the *distance* between q and p as the **relative entropy**: written as $D(q\|p)$

$$D(q\|p) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} q(x) \log_2 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$

- ▶ Note that

$$D(q\|p) = E_{q(x)} \left[\log_2 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right]$$

- ▶ The relative entropy is also called the *Kullback-Leibler divergence*.

Cross Entropy and Relative Entropy

- ▶ The **relative entropy** can be written as the sum of two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} D(q\|p) &= - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} q(x) \log_2 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \\ &= - \sum_x q(x) \log_2 p(x) + \sum_x q(x) \log_2 q(x) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We know that $H(q) = - \sum_x q(x) \log_2 q(x)$
- ▶ Similarly define $H_q(p) = - \sum_x q(x) \log_2 p(x)$

$$D(q\|p) = H_q(p) - H(q)$$

- ▶ The term $H_q(p)$ is called the **cross entropy**.

Cross Entropy and Relative Entropy

- ▶ The **relative entropy** between p and q can be written as the sum of two terms:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{relative entropy}(q, p) & = & \text{cross entropy}(q, p) - \text{entropy}(q) \\ D(q\|p) & = & H_q(p) - H(q) \end{array}$$

- ▶ $H_q(p) \geq H(q)$ always.
- ▶ $D(q\|p) \geq 0$ always, and $D(q\|p) = 0$ iff $q = p$
- ▶ $D(q\|p)$ is not a true distance:
 - ▶ It is asymmetric: $D(q\|p) \neq D(p\|q)$,
 - ▶ It does not obey the triangle inequality:
 $D(p\|r) \not\leq D(p\|q) + D(q\|r)$
- ▶ Pinsker's inequality (sup is the lowest upper bound):

$$\sqrt{\frac{D(q\|p)}{2}} \geq \sup\{|q(x) - p(x)|\}$$

Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information

- ▶ *Entropy* of a random variable X :

$$H(X) = - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$

- ▶ *Conditional Entropy* between two random variables X and Y :

$$H(X | Y) = - \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{E}} p(x, y) \log_2 p(x | y)$$

- ▶ *Mutual Information* between two random variables X and Y :

$$I(X; Y) = D(p(x, y) \| p(x)p(y)) = \sum_x \sum_y p(x, y) \log_2 \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$