CMPT 755
Compilers

Anoop Sarkar

http://www.cs.sfu.ca/~anoop

Lexical Analysis

- Also called *scanning*, take input program *string* and convert into tokens
- Example:

```
double f = sqrt(-1);
```

```
T_DOUBLE        ("double")
T_IDENT         ("f")
T_OP            ("=")
T_IDENT         ("sqrt")
T_LPAREN        ("(")
T_OP            ("-")
T_INTCONSTANT   ("1")
T_RPAREN        (")")
T_SEP           (";")
```

Token Attributes

- Some tokens have attributes
  - T_IDENT “sqrt”
  - T_INTCONSTANT 1
- Other tokens do not
  - T_WHILE
- *Token*=T_IDENT, *Lexeme*=“sqrt”, *Pattern*
- Source code location for error reports

Lexical errors

- What if user omits the space in “doublef”?
  - No lexical error, single token T_IDENT(“doublef”) is produced instead of sequence T_DOUBLE, T_IDENT(“f”)!
- Typically few lexical error types
  - E.g., illegal chars, opened string constants or comments that are not closed

Implementing Lexers: Loop and switch scanners

- Ad hoc scanners
- Big nested switch/case statements
- Lots of getc()/ungetc() calls
  - Buffering
- Can be error-prone, use only if
  - Your language's lexical structure is simple
  - Tools don't do what you want
- Changing or adding a keyword is problematic
- Key idea: separate the defn from the implementation

Formal Languages: Recap

- Symbols: a, b, c
- Alphabet := finite set of symbols $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$
- String: sequence of symbols bab
- Empty string: ε
- Set of all strings: Σ^*

Regular Expressions: Definition

- Every symbol of $\Sigma \cup \{ \varepsilon \}$ is a regular expression
- If r_1 and r_2 are regular expressions, so are
  - Concatenation: $r_1 r_2$
  - Alternation: $r_1 | r_2$
  - Repetition: r_1^*
- Nothing else is.
  - Grouping re's: e.g. aalbc vs. ((aa)lb)c

Regular Expressions: Examples

- Alphabet { 0, 1 }
- All strings that represent binary numbers divisible by 4 (but accept 0) ((0|1)*00)|0
- All strings that do not contain “01” as a substring 1*0*

Regular Expressions

- To describe all lexemes that form a token as a *pattern*
  - (0|1|2|3|4|5|6|7|8|9)+
- Need decision procedure: to which token does a given sequence of characters belong (if any)?
  - Finite State Automata

Finite Automata: Recap

- A set of states S
  - One start state q_0, zero or more final states F
- An alphabet $\sum$ of input symbols
- A transition function:
  - δ: S x $\Sigma \Rightarrow$ S
- Example: $\delta(1, a) = 2$

Finite Automata: Example

- What regular expression does this automaton accept?



Answer: (0|1)*00

FA: Pascal Example



Building a Lexical Analyzer

- Token $\Rightarrow$ Pattern
- Pattern $\Rightarrow$ Regular Expression
- Regular Expression $\Rightarrow$ NFA
- NFA $\Rightarrow$ DFA
- DFA $\Rightarrow$ Lexical Analyzer

NFAs

- NFA: like a DFA, except
  - A transition can lead to more than one state, that is, $\delta: S \times \Sigma \Rightarrow 2^S$
  - One state is chosen non-deterministically
  - Transitions can be labeled with ε, meaning states can be reached without reading any input, that is,

$$\delta: S \times \Sigma \cup \{ \varepsilon \} \Rightarrow 2^S$$

Thompson's construction

- Converts regexps to NFA
- Five simple rules
  - Symbols
  - Empty String
  - Alternation (r_1 or r_2)
  - Concatenation (r_1 followed by r_2)
  - Repetition (r_1*)

Thompson Rule 1

- For each symbol *x* of the alphabet, there is a NFA that accepts it (include a *sinkhole* state)



Thompson Rule 2

- There is an NFA that accepts only ε



Thompson Rule 3

- Given two NFAs for r_1, r_2, there is a NFA that accepts $r_1|r_2$



Thompson Rule 4

- Given two NFAs for r_1, r_2, there is a NFA that accepts $r_1 r_2$



Thompson Rule 5

- Given a NFA for r_1, there is an NFA that accepts r_1*



Example

- Set of all binary strings that are divisible by four (include 0 in this set)
- Defined by the regexp: ((0|1)*00) | 0
- Apply Thompson's Rules to create an NFA

Basic Blocks 0 and 1

- 0



- 1



(this version does not report errors: no *sinkholes*)



0|1



(0|1)*



(0|1)*00



((0|1)*00)|0

Simulating NFAs

- Similar to DFA simulation
- But have to deal with ε transitions and multiple transitions on the same input
- Instead of one state, we have to consider *sets* of states
- Simulating NFAs is a problem that is closely linked to converting a given NFA to a DFA

NFA to DFA Conversion

- Subset construction
- Idea: subsets of set of all NFA states are *equivalent* and become one DFA state
- Algorithm simulates movement through NFA
- Key problem: how to treat ε-transitions?

ε-Closure

- Start state: q_0
- ε-closure(S): S is a set of states

initialize: $S \leftarrow \{q_0\}$
$T \leftarrow S$
repeat $T' \leftarrow T$
$T \leftarrow T' \cup [\cup_{s \in T'} \mathbf{move}(s, \epsilon)]$
until $T = T'$

ε-Closure (T: set of states)

```
push all states in T onto stack
 initialize ε-closure(T) to T
 while stack is not empty do begin
       pop t off stack
       for each state u with u ∈ move(t, ε) do
            if u ∉ ε-closure(T) do begin
                 add u to ε-closure(T)
                 push u onto stack
            end
 end
```

NFA Simulation

- After computing the ε-*closure* move, we get a set of states
- On some input extend all these states to get a new set of states

$$\mathbf{DFAedge}(T, c) = \epsilon\textbf{-closure}\left(\cup_{q \in T} \mathbf{move}(q, c)\right)$$

NFA Simulation

- Start state: q_0
- Input: $c_1, \ldots, c_k$

$T \leftarrow \epsilon\textbf{-closure}(\{q_0\})$

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** k

  $T \leftarrow \textbf{DFAedge}(T, c_i)$

Conversion from NFA to DFA

- Conversion method closely follows the NFA simulation algorithm
- Instead of simulating, we can collect those NFA states that behave identically on the same input
- Group this set of states to form one state in the DFA

Subset Construction

add ε-*closure*(q_0) to *Dstates* unmarked
while ∃ unmarked T ∈ *Dstates* **do begin**
    mark T;
    for each symbol *c* **do begin**
        U := **ε**-*closure*(**move**(T, *c*));
        if U ∉ *Dstates* **then**
            add U to *Dstates* unmarked
        Dtrans[T, *c*] := U;
    end
end

Subset Construction

states[0] = ε-**closure**($\{q_0\}$)
p = j = 0
while j ≤ p **do begin**
    for each symbol *c* **do begin**
        e = **DFAedge**(states[j], c)
        if e = states[i] for some i ≤ p
        then Dtrans[j, c] = i
        else p = p+1
            states[p] = e
            Dtrans[j, c] = p
    j = j + 1
    end
end

Example: subset construction



ε-*closure*(q_0)



move(ε-*closure*(q_0), 0)



ε-*closure*(move(ε-*closure*(q_0), 0))



move(ε-*closure*(q_0), 1)



ε-*closure*(move(ε-*closure*(q_0), 1))



DFA (partial)



DFA for ((0|1)*00)|0



Minimization (I)



Minimization (II)



Regexp to DFA: `(ab|ba)*#`



firstpos = {}
lastpos = ()

Regexp to DFA: *followpos*

- *followpos* tells us which positions can follow a position *k*
- There are two rules that use the *firstpos* {} and *lastpos* () information



followpos(i)+=k,l
followpos(j)+=k,l



followpos(i)+=k,l
followpos(j)+=k,l

Regexp to DFA: `(ab|ba)*#`



root={1,3,5}
fp(1)=2
fp(3)=4
fp(2)=1,3,5
fp(4)=1,3,5

Regexp to DFA: `(ab|ba)*#`

root={1,3,5}
fp(1)=2
fp(3)=4
fp(2)=1,3,5
fp(4)=1,3,5

1:a
2:b
3:b
4:a
5:#



Regexp to DFA: `(ab|ba)*#`

root={1,3,5}
fp(1)=2
fp(3)=4
fp(2)=1,3,5
fp(4)=1,3,5

{1,3,5} A

A: *fp*(1),a {2},a B,a

A: *fp*(3),b {4},b C,b

A: *fp*(5),# {},# E,#

B: *fp*(2),b {1,3,5},b A,b

C: *fp*(4),a {1,3,5},a A,a

1:a
2:b
3:b
4:a
5:#



Equivalence of Regexps

- (R|S)|T == R|(S|T) == R|S|T
- (RS)T == R(ST)
- (R|S) == (S|R)
- R*R* == (R*)* == R* == RR*| ε
- R** == R*
- (R|S)T = RT|ST
- R(S|T) == RS | RT
- (R|S)* == (R*S*)* == (R*S)*R* == (R*|S*)*
- RR* == R*R
- (RS)*R == R(SR)*
- R = R|R = R|ε

Equivalence of Regexps

- 0(10)*1|(01)*
- (01)(01)*|(01)*
- (01)(01)*|(01)(01)*|ε
- (01)(01)*|ε
- (01)*

- (RS)*R == R(SR)*
- RS == (RS)
- R* == RR*|ε
- R == R|R
- R* == RR*| ε

NFA vs. DFA in the wild

Engine Type	**Programs**
DFA	*awk* (most versions), *egrep* (most versions), *flex*, *lex*, MySQL, Procmail
Traditional NFA	GNU *Emacs*, Java, *grep* (most versions), *less*, *more*, .NET languages, PCRE library, Perl, PHP (pcre routines), Python, Ruby, *sed* (most versions), vi
POSIX NFA	*mawk*, MKS utilities, GNU *Emacs* (when requested)
Hybrid NFA/DFA	GNU *awk*, GNU *grep*/*egrep*, Tcl

Lexical Analyzer using DFAs

- Each token is defined using a regexp r_i
- Merge all regexps into one big regexp
  - $R = (r_1 \mid r_2 \mid \ldots \mid r_n)$
- Convert R to an NFA, then DFA, then minimize
  - remember orig NFA final states with each DFA state

Lexical Analyzer using DFAs

- The DFA recognizer has to find the *longest match* for a token
  - e.g. <*print*> and not <*pr*>, <*int*>
- If two patterns match the same token, pick the one that was listed earlier in R
  - e.g. prefer final state (in the original NFA) of r_2 over r_3

Lexical Analyzer using DFAs

- Alternative method:
  - Organize all the DFAs for each token in an ordered list
  - For input i_1, i_2, …, i_n run all DFAs until some reach a final state (pick the longest match for each DFA)
  - Pick the token for which some DFA could read the longest match in the input,
    - e.g. prefer DFA #8 over all others because it read the input until i_{30} and none of the other DFAs reached i_{30}
  - If two DFAs reach the same input character then pick the one that is listed first in the ordered list

Implementing DFAs

- 2D array storing the transition table
- Adjacency list, more space efficient but slower
- Merge two ideas: array structures used for sparse tables like DFA transition tables
  - base & next arrays: Tarjan and Yao, 1979
  - Dragon book (default+base & next+check)

Implementing DFAs



	a	b	c	d
0	-	1	-	2
1	1	-	1	-
2	1	2	1	-

Implementing DFAs

	a	b	c	d
0	-	1	-	2
1	1	-	1	-
2	1	2	1	-

		-	1	-	2		
				1	-	1	-
1	2	1	-				
1	2	1	1	1	2	1	-
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

next

2	2	2	0	1	0	1	-

check

base

0	2
1	4
2	0

nextstate(*s*, *x*) :

L := base[*s*] + *x*

return next[L] **if** check[L] **eq** *s*

Implementing DFAs

	a	b	c	d
0	-	1	-	2
1	1	-	1	-
2	1	2	1	-

	-	1	-	2		
			1	-	1	-
-	2	-	-			
-	2	1	1	2	1	-
0	1	2	3	4	5	6

next

-	2	0	1	0	1	-

check

base

0	2
1	3
2	0

-
-
1

default

nextstate(*s*, *x*) :

L := base[*s*] + *x*

return next[L] **if** check[L] **eq** *s*

else return *nextstate*(default[*s*], *x*)

Summary

- Token ⇒ Pattern
- Pattern ⇒ Regular Expression
- Regular Expression ⇒ NFA
  - Thompson's Rules
- NFA ⇒ DFA
  - Subset constructions
- DFA ⇒ minimal DFA
  - Minimization

⇒ Lexical Analyzer (multiple patterns)