# CMPT-413 Computational Linguistics

Anoop Sarkar http://www.cs.sfu.ca/~anoop

March 19, 2012

1/28

### Part of Speech Tagging

Tagsets in Part of Speech Tagging A Model for Part of Speech Tagging History of Part of Speech Tagging Applications of Part of Speech Tagging

Finding Phrases aka Chunking Noun Phrase Chunking General Purpose Chunking

Named-Entity Recognition

Cascaded Chunking

Summary

### Human Supervision in Part of Speech Tagging

- In unseen data, we wish to find the part of speech tags: Input: In 1994, Hartnett said Output: In\_IN 1994\_CD ,\_, Hartnett\_NNP said\_VBD
- ► The set of part of speech tags are decided by experts
- ► The experts also have to provide adequate amounts of data in which the part of speech tags have been listed for each word in context.
- ► This general approach is called **supervised learning** since the training data is provided by humans.

3 / 28

### Trigram Models for Part of Speech Tagging

THE/DT BONEYARD/NNP Northrop/NNP Grumman/NNP 's/POS modest/JJ flight/NN museum/NN occupies/VBZ a/DT corner/NN of/IN one/CD of/IN its/PRP\$ power-seat/NN adjusters/NNS ,/, door/NN trim/JJ now/RB made/VBN in/IN South/NNP Korea/NNP 's/POS antiquated/JJ coal-fired/JJ power/NN plant/NN in/IN Canada/NNP ,/, to/TO a/DT 11.9/CD million/CD mark/NN investment/NN in/IN Samsung/NNP 's/POS Sachon/NNP plant/NN in/IN Taiwan/NNP as/IN part/NN of/IN a/DT steam/NN turbine/NN ,/, a/DT new/JJ high-yielding/JJ rice/NN plant/NN was/VBD reorganized/VBN into/IN a/DT big/JJ expansion/NN of/IN a/DT fuel-fabrication/NN plant/NN near/IN Nagoya/NNP in/IN Aichi/NNP Prefecture/NNP

### Borges on Tagsets

Borges gives a vague reference to some work by Franz Kuhn allegedly commenting on the classification of animals by a Chinese encyclopedia called the \_Celestial Emporium of Benevolent Knowledge\_.

- >> ... animals are divided into:
- (a) those that belong to the Emperor,
- (b) embalmed ones,
- (c) those that are trained,
- (d) suckling pigs,
- (e) mermaids,
- (f) fabulous ones,
- (g) stray dogs,
- (h) those that are included in this classification,
- (i) those that tremble as if they were mad,
- (j) innumerable ones,
- (k) those drawn with a very fine camel brush,
- (1) others,
- (m) those that have just broken a flower vase,
- (n) those that resemble flies from a distance. <<</p>
- -- Jorge Luis Borges, "Other Inquisitions"

5 / 28

# Part of Speech Tagging using Trigram Models

- Let the input sentence (word sequence) be  $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_n$
- Let the most likely tag sequence be  $T^* = t_0^*, t_1^*, \dots, t_n^*$
- ▶ In order to compare all possible tag sequences we build a probability model:

$$P(t_0,t_1,\ldots,t_n\mid w_0,w_1,\ldots,w_n)$$

# Part of Speech Tagging using Trigram Models

► The best (or most likely) tag sequence is:

$$T^* =$$
  $\frac{\text{arg max}}{t_0, \ldots, t_n} P(t_0, \ldots, t_n \mid w_0, \ldots, w_n)$ 

$$P(t_0, \dots, t_n \mid w_0, \dots, w_n)$$

$$= \frac{P(w_0, \dots, w_n \mid t_0, \dots, t_n) \times P(t_0, \dots, t_n)}{P(w_0, \dots, w_n)} (Bayes Rule)$$

$$= P(w_0, \dots, w_n \mid t_0, \dots, t_n) \times P(t_0, \dots, t_n)$$

7 / 28

# Part of Speech Tagging using Trigram Models

$$P(w_0, \ldots, w_n \mid t_0, \ldots, t_n)$$

$$= P(w_0 \mid t_0) \times P(w_1 \mid t_1) \times \ldots \times P(w_n \mid t_n)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^n P(w_i \mid t_i)$$

$$P(t_0, ..., t_n)$$
=  $P(t_0) \times P(t_1 \mid t_0) \times P(t_2 \mid t_0, t_1) \times ... \times P(t_n \mid t_{n-2}, t_{n-1})$   
=  $P(t_0) \times P(t_1 \mid t_0) \times \prod_{i=2}^{n} P(t_i \mid t_{i-2}, t_{i-1})$ 

### Part of Speech Tagging using Trigram Models

$$P(t_{0},...,t_{n} \mid w_{0},...,w_{n})$$

$$= P(w_{0},...,w_{n} \mid t_{0},...,t_{n}) \times P(t_{0},...,t_{n})$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n} P(w_{i} \mid t_{i})\right) \times \left(P(t_{0}) \times P(t_{1} \mid t_{0}) \times \prod_{i=2}^{n} P(t_{i} \mid t_{i-2},t_{i-1})\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n} P(w_{i} \mid t_{i}) \times P(t_{i} \mid t_{i-2},t_{i-1})$$

9 / 28

### Part of Speech Tagging using Bigram Models

$$P(t_0,...,t_n \mid w_0,...,w_n) = \prod_{i=0}^n P(w_i \mid t_i) \times P(t_i \mid t_{i-1})$$

- ► This allows us to represent tagging as a Hidden Markov Model (hmm).
- ▶ Each state in the hmm is a tag  $t_i$
- ► The advantage is that we can reuse efficient *hmm* algorithms like Viterbi to find the most likely tag sequence for a given word sequence.
- ▶ However, instead of using Forward-Backward to find the values of  $P(w_i \mid t_i)$  and  $P(t_i \mid t_{i-1})$  we directly use frequencies from human labelled training data

# Part of Speech Tagging using Trigram Models

- ▶ **Evaluation**: *train* your model on the training data, *test* on unseen test data to obtain best tag sequence for each word sequence.
- ► **Accuracy** is measured as the percentage of correct tags for words in the test data.

11 / 28

# Brief History of Part of Speech Tagging

- ► Corpus building: English
  - ▶ Brown Corpus: 1979 (87 tags)
  - ▶ Penn Treebank Corpus: 1993 (45 tags)
  - ▶ British National Corpus (BNC): 1997
  - ► LOB corpus
- ▶ Other languages: Chinese, Czech, German, Korean, Turkish,

. . .

# Brief History of Part of Speech Tagging

- ► Models and Algorithms:
  - ngram models for tagging: Church 1988
  - extension of ngram model using HMMs: Xerox (Cutting et al) 1992
  - ► Transformation-Based Learning: Brill 1995
  - Maximum Entropy Models: Ratnaparkhi 1997
  - Reranking with Voted Perceptron: Collins 2002
  - Conditional Random Fields: Sha and Pereira, 2003
  - ▶ Improved MaxEnt Models: Toutanova et. al. 2003
  - ► Remove strict left to right sequence for tagging: Shen et. al. 2007
    - ► Current best error rate for English is 2.67% per tag

13 / 28

# Applications of Part of Speech Tagging

- ► Other applications in NLP can be represented as POS tagging:
  - Chunking
  - Named-entity recognition (name-finding)
  - Cascaded Chunking
  - Word segmentation

# Standard Part of Speech Tagging

- ► Part of speech tagging: finding the best sequence of POS tags for an input sentence (word sequence)
  - ▶ Representation: what does each POS tag represent?
  - ► Tagset: standard POS tags (NN=noun, VB=verb, etc.)
  - ► Training: word sequences with corresponding tag sequences
  - ► Input: word sequences (sentence)
  - Output: tag sequence

15 / 28

#### Part of Speech Tagging

Tagsets in Part of Speech Tagging
A Model for Part of Speech Tagging
History of Part of Speech Tagging
Applications of Part of Speech Tagging

### Finding Phrases aka Chunking

Noun Phrase Chunking General Purpose Chunking

Named-Entity Recognition

Cascaded Chunking

Summary

### Noun Phrase Chunking

- ▶ Noun phrase chunking: e.g. input: *Brunetti gave the widow the news* .
  - output: [Brunetti] gave [the widow] [the news].
    - ▶ Representation: is each word inside an NP or not?
    - ► Tagset: 3 tags: I (inside NP), O (outside NP), B (boundary of 2 NPs) e.g. Brunetti/I gave/O the/I widow/I the/B news/I.
    - ► Training: word sequences with chunk tag sequences
    - Input: word sequences (sentence)
    - ► Output: chunk sequence
- ► A major limitation: chunking only permits non-recursive phrases.
- ► Finite set of tags do not permit recursive noun phrases, e.g. [ [ the police officer ] from Venice ] gave [ the widow ] [ the news ]
- ► There is no finite tagset that can capture arbitrary opening or closing brackets.
  - e.g.  $[1_{2}...]_{n}$  assigned to tag  $B_{n}$  not a finite tagset

17 / 28

# Noun Phrase Chunking

- ► Noun phrase chunking: Brunetti/I gave/O the/I widow/I the/B news/I.
  - ► Tagset: Different options for the tags, as long as they correspond to the bracketing: [ Brunetti ] gave [ the widow ] [ the news ] .
  - For example, another representation could be: I (inside NP), O (outside NP), E (end of NP) e.g. Brunetti/E gave/O the/I widow/E the/I news/E.
  - ▶ If training data is in one representation, then we can transform from one tagset to another
- What about other kinds of phrases?

### General Chunking

► Intuition for Noun Phrase chunking: In the sentence

The company with the highest gain yesterday collapsed in today's market

The relationship between the verb *collapsed* is to the entire phrase *The company with the highest gain yesterday* 

► Similar intuition about other phrases, like prepositional phrases: *in today's market* 

19 / 28

### General Chunking

- ▶ General chunking is non-overlapping:
   e.g. input: The company with the highest gain yesterday collapsed in today's market,
   output: [B-NP The company] [B-PP with] [B-NP the highest gain] [B-NP yesterday] [B-VP collapsed] [B-PP in] [B-NP today's market]
  - ▶ Representation: is each word inside a chunk or not?
  - ► Tagset: O tag for outside chunk, B- or E- prefix to the types of chunks we want, for instance NP, VP, PP e.g. The/B-NP company/E-NP with/B-PP the/B-NP highest/B-NP gain/E-NP yesterday/B-NP collapsed/B-VP in/B-PP today's/B-NP market/B-NP

## **General Chunking**

- General chunking is non-overlapping
  - Representation: is each word inside a chunk or not?
  - ► Tagset: O tag for outside chunk, B- or E- prefix to the types of chunks we want, NP, VP, PP
  - ► Training: word sequences with corresponding chunk tag sequences
  - ► Input: word sequences (sentence)
  - Output: chunk sequence

21 / 28

#### Part of Speech Tagging

Tagsets in Part of Speech Tagging
A Model for Part of Speech Tagging
History of Part of Speech Tagging
Applications of Part of Speech Tagging

Finding Phrases aka Chunking
Noun Phrase Chunking
General Purpose Chunking

### Named-Entity Recognition

Cascaded Chunking

Summary

### Named Entity Recognition

▶ In the sentence

Mr. Vinken is chairman of Elsevier N. V., a publishing group based in the Netherlands.

► We want to find names, such as person names, corporation names of locations:

[PER Mr. Vinken] is chairman of [ORG Elsevier N. V.], a publishing group based in the [LOC Netherlands].

23 / 28

## Named Entity Recognition

- ► A *named entity* is a chunk that contains only names of persons, organizations or locations
  - ▶ Representation: a word or group of words as a named entity
  - ► Tagset: O tag for outside any named entity, B- or E- prefix to the types of named entities we want: PER = person, LOC = location, ORG = organization
  - ► Training: word sequences with corresponding named-entity tag sequences
  - Input: word sequences (sentence)
    Output: named-entity tag sequence

#### Part of Speech Tagging

Tagsets in Part of Speech Tagging
A Model for Part of Speech Tagging
History of Part of Speech Tagging
Applications of Part of Speech Tagging

Finding Phrases aka Chunking Noun Phrase Chunking General Purpose Chunking

Named-Entity Recognition

Cascaded Chunking

Summary

25 / 28

# **Cascaded Chunking**

Input:	Mr.	Vinken	is	chairman	of	Elsevier	N.	V.
POS:	NNP	NNP	VBZ	NN	IN	NNP	NNP	NNP
NP:	I-NP	E-NP		I-NP		I-NP	I-NP	I-NP
PP:					I-PP	I-PP	I-PP	I-PP
VP:			I-VP	I-VP	I-VP	I-VP	I-VP	I-VP
S:	I-S	I-S	I-S	I-S	I-S	I-S	I-S	I-S

### **Cascaded Chunking**

- A sequence of tagging steps
- ► Each step adds some more information
- ► Chunking had the disadvantage of not having overlapping chunks, cascaded chunking does not have this problem However, later steps cannot fix errors in earlier steps. For instance, a part of speech tagging error can cause errors in every successive step of cascaded chunking
- ► Later we will look at trees which generalize cascaded chunking in a principled way.

27 / 28

# Summary: Part of Speech (POS) Tagging

- ▶ POS tagging is very similar to Hidden Markov Models
- ► POS tagging models are different from HMMs in the following ways:
  - ► The state sequences correspond to a particular representation (e.g. for trigram tagging each state in the *hmm* is a pair of tags)
  - ► The training data always has to contain the right tag for each word in the word (or observation) sequence (for supervised learning)
- Viterbi algorithm provides the best sequence of tags for a given input
  - Part of speech tagging can be applied to many applications like chunking, name finding, among others