

Adaptive Bayesian Nonparametric Estimation of Mixed Discrete-Continuous Distributions under Smoothness and Sparsity

Andriy Norets and Justinas Pelenis

November, 2017

Motivation and Questions

- ▶ Bayesian models based on mixtures:
 - ▶ convenient computationally
 - ▶ posterior contracts at optimal minimax rate (up to log) for smooth true densities (Shen, Tokdar, and Ghosal (2013), STG)
 - ▶ can be used for modeling discrete data through continuous latent variables
- ▶ Is it a good idea to use mixture models for discrete data?
- ▶ Will posterior contract at an optimal rate?
- ▶ Appropriate settings for asymptotics? Optimal rates?

Summary of Results

- ▶ Data Generating Process
 - ▶ support of discrete variables can become finer with n (sparse multinomials as in Hall and Titterington (1987))
 - ▶ probability mass function is “smooth”
- ▶ We establish lower bounds on estimation rates for multivariate discrete-continuous anisotropic distributions
- ▶ For mixture models, posterior contraction rates are equal to the derived lower bounds up to a log factor
- ▶ Excellent finite sample performance in simulations

DGP

- ▶ Continuous $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$
- ▶ Discrete $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{d_y})$, $y_k \in \left\{ \frac{1-1/2}{N_k}, \frac{2-1/2}{N_k}, \dots, \frac{N_k-1/2}{N_k} \right\}$.
- ▶ A_y - rectangle with center y and side lengths $(\frac{1}{N_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{N_{d_y}})$,
 $[0, 1]^{d_y} = \bigcup_y A_y$
- ▶ DGP density-probability mass function

$$p_0(x, y) = \int_{A_y} f_0(x, \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y},$$

where f_0 is a density on $\mathbb{R}^{d_x} \times [0, 1]^{d_y}$

- ▶ So far, without loss of generality.

Anisotropic $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ -Holder Class $C^{L, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d}$

$f \in C^{L, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d}$ if for any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$, $\sum_{i=1}^d k_i / \beta_i < 1$,

$$|D^k f(z + \Delta z) - D^k f(z)| \leq L \sum_{j=1}^d |\Delta z_j|^{\beta_j(1 - \sum k_i / \beta_i)}$$

where $\Delta z_j = 0$ when $\sum_{i=1}^d k_i / \beta_i + 1 / \beta_j < 1$.

- ▶ Is this definition standard?
- ▶ Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1984) did not restrict mixed derivatives
- ▶ When $\beta_j = \beta$, $\forall j$, $\beta_j(1 - \sum k_i / \beta_i) = \beta - \lfloor \beta \rfloor$, get standard definition for isotropic case.

Anisotropic $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ -Holder Class $C^{L, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d}$

$f \in C^{L, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d}$ if for any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$, $\sum_{i=1}^d k_i / \beta_i < 1$,

$$|D^k f(z + \Delta z) - D^k f(z)| \leq L \sum_{j=1}^d |\Delta z_j|^{\beta_j(1 - \sum k_i / \beta_i)}$$

where $\Delta z_j = 0$ when $\sum_{i=1}^d k_i / \beta_i + 1 / \beta_j < 1$.

- ▶ STG use $|\Delta z_j|^{\min(\beta_j - k_j, 1)}$ instead of $|\Delta z_j|^{\beta_j(1 - \sum k_i / \beta_i)}$
- ▶ It would not work in our proof of lower bounds
- ▶ Anisotropic Taylor expansion used in the proof of upper bounds can be obtained under our assumption

Theorem 1: Lower Bound on Estimation Rate

- ▶ \mathcal{A} - collection of all subsets of $\{d_x + 1, \dots, d\}$, $d = d_x + d_y$
- ▶ For $J \in \mathcal{A}$, define $J^c = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus J$,

$$N_J = \prod_{k \in J} N_k, \quad \beta_{J^c} = \left[\sum_{k \in J^c} \beta_k^{-1} \right]^{-1},$$

$\beta_\emptyset = \infty$, and $N_\emptyset = 1$.

- ▶ Lower bound in TVD and Hellinger is

$$\min_{J \in \mathcal{A}} \left[\frac{N_J}{n} \right]^{\frac{\beta_{J^c}}{2\beta_{J^c} + 1}} = \left[\frac{N_{J_*}}{n} \right]^{\frac{\beta_{J_*^c}}{2\beta_{J_*^c} + 1}}$$

Special Case of Lower Bound: $d_x = 0, d_y = 1$

$$\min \left\{ [N_1/n]^{1/2}, n^{-\frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_1+1}} \right\}$$

- ▶ Parametric rate if N_1 is constant
- ▶ If N_1 is sufficiently fast then standard rate for estimation of β_1 -smooth functions
- ▶ Hall and Titterton (1987) obtained this lower bound for mean summed square error under slightly different smoothness definition

Special Case of Lower Bound: $d_x = 1, d_y = 1$

$$\min \left\{ [N_1/n]^{\frac{\beta_2}{2\beta_2+1}}, n^{-\frac{\beta_{1,2}}{2\beta_{1,2}+1}} \right\}$$

- ▶ Approximately, n/N_1 observations should be available for estimating β_2 -smooth conditional densities of $x|y$.
- ▶ If N_1 is sufficiently fast then we can exploit smoothness in y

Frequentist Literature on Smoothing Sparse Discrete Data

- ▶ N_k 's are constant: Aitchison and Aitken (1976), Hall, Racine, and Li (2004) (cross-validation)
- ▶ Burman (1987): lower bounds and discrete kernels for $d_y = 1$, $d_x = 0$, $\beta_1 = 2$.
- ▶ Hall and Titterton (1987): lower bounds and discrete kernels for $d_y = 1$, $d_x = 0$, general β_1 ; cross-validated bandwidth selection for $\beta_1 = 2$.
- ▶ Dong and Simonoff (1995): upper bounds for $d_x = 0$, $d_y \leq 4$, $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_{d_y} = 4$, fast N_k 's
- ▶ Aerts et al. (1997): local polynomial smoothers for $d_x = 0$, general d_y , $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_{d_y}$, fast N_k 's.

Proof Sketch for Lower Bound

Th. 2.5 in Tsybakov (2008) (Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1977)):

$$\inf_{\hat{p}} \sup_{p_0 \in \mathcal{P}} P(d(\hat{p}, p_0) \geq \Gamma_n) \geq \text{const} > 0, \quad \text{if}$$

- ▶ $\exists q_j, q_k \in \mathcal{P}, 0 \leq j < k \leq M$
- ▶ $d(q_j, q_k) \geq 2\Gamma_n,$
- ▶ $\sum_{j=1}^M KL(q_j, q_0)/M < \log(M)/8$

Proof Sketch for Lower Bound

$$q_j(x, y) = \int_{A_y} \left[1 + \Gamma_n \cdot \sum_i w_i^j \prod_{r=1}^d g(m_r(x_r - c_r^i)) \right] dx_{d_x+1:d}$$

- ▶ $w_i^0 = 0$, $w_i^j \in \{0, 1\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, \prod_{r=1}^d m_r\}$,
- ▶ c_i - center of rectangle with sides $(1/m_1, \dots, 1/m_d)$
- ▶ g is infinitely smooth on $[-1/2, 1/2]$, 0 elsewhere, $\int g = 0$
- ▶ $m_r = s^{-1/\beta_r^*}$
- ▶ $\beta_r^* = \beta_r$ for $r \notin J_*$ (x_r - (treated as) continuous)
- ▶ $\beta_r^* = -\log(s)/\log N_r$ for $r \in J_*$ (smoothness at which we would be indifferent to treating x_r as continuous, $\beta_r^* \geq \beta_r$)
- ▶ The rest of the proof is similar to the continuous case.

Model

For $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$,

$$p(x, y | \theta, m) = \int_{A_y} \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \phi(x, \tilde{y}; \mu_j, \sigma) d\tilde{y},$$

where $\theta = (\alpha_1, \mu_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \mu_m, \sigma)$, $\mu_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma^2 = (\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_d^2)$ and ϕ - normal density with diagonal covariance.

- ▶ $\Pi(\theta | m)$
- ▶ $\Pi(m)$
- ▶ (Dirichlet process mixture should also work)

MCMC Estimation through Data Augmentation

- ▶ $X^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $Y^n = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$
- ▶ Explicitly use latent variables $\tilde{y} = \{\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_n\}$
- ▶ Introduce mixture allocation latent variables: $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$,

$$x_i, \tilde{y}_i | s_i = j, \theta, m \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma)$$

- ▶ Gibbs sampler for $\theta, \tilde{y}, s | m, X^n, Y^n$
- ▶ $\theta | m, \tilde{y}, s, X^n, Y^n$, same as in simple Normal model.
- ▶ $\tilde{y}_i | \dots \sim N(\mu_{s_i}, \sigma) \cdot 1_{A_y}$
- ▶ $P(s_i = j | \dots) \propto \alpha_j \phi(x_i, \tilde{y}_i; \mu_j, \sigma)$
- ▶ Reversible jump for m (or Dirichlet process mixture)

Assumptions on DGP for Upper Bound

For $J = \{d' + 1, \dots, d_y\}$, $y = (y_{J^c}, y_J)$, define marginal pmf

$$\pi_0(y_J) = \int \int_{A_{y_J}} f_0(x, \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} dx$$

and conditional pdf

$$f_{0|J}(x, \tilde{y}_{J^c} | y_J) = \int_{A_{y_J}} f_0(x, \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} / \pi_0(y_J)$$

Assume that for any y_J

- ▶ $0 < \frac{\pi}{N_J} \leq \pi_0(y_J) \leq \frac{\bar{\pi}}{N_J} < \infty$
- ▶ $f_{0|J}(\cdot | y_J) \in C^{L, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_x + d'}} \quad (\Leftarrow f_0 \in C^{L, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d})$

Upper Bound on Posterior Contraction Rate

ϵ_n is an upper bound on the posterior contraction rate if

$$\Pi \left(p : d(p_0, p) > \text{const} \cdot \epsilon_n \middle| Y^n, X^n \right) \xrightarrow{Pr} 0.$$

Theorem 2: under standard assumptions on priors and smoothness assumptions on f_0 from the previous slide

$$\epsilon_n = \left[\frac{N_J}{n} \right]^{\frac{\beta_{J^c}}{2\beta_{J^c} + 1}} \cdot (\log n)^t,$$

which coincides with the lower bound up to $(\log n)^t$ when $J = J_*$.

(x can have unbounded support but with sub-exponential tails and envelope function L that behaves like f_0 in the tails)

Previous Posterior Asymptotics Results for Constant N_k 's

- ▶ Norets and Pelenis (2012) - weak consistency for mixtures with a variable number of components
- ▶ DeYoreo and Kottas (2017) - weak consistency for Dirichlet process mixtures
- ▶ Canale and Dunson (2015) - contraction rates for Dirichlet process mixtures (dimension in their rate is $d_y + d_x$, which is non-optimal for constant N_k 's)

Assumptions on Prior

- ▶ $\Pi(m = i) \propto \exp(-b_1 i (\log i)^{\tau_1})$
- ▶ $\Pi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m | m)$ is Dirichlet($a/m, \dots, a/m$), $a > 0$
- ▶ Prior density for locations μ_{jr}^x is bounded below by

$$\exp(-b_2 \mu^{\tau_2})$$

and

$$1 - \Pi(\mu_j^x \in [-x, x]^{d_x}) \leq \exp(-b_3 x^{\tau_3})$$

- ▶ Prior density for locations μ_j^y is bounded away from zero on $[0, 1]^{d_y}$.
- ▶ Prior for σ_r is inverse Gamma (not a standard conditionally conjugate prior).

Proof: Sufficient Conditions

Ghosal, Ghosh, and Vaart (2000): posterior contracts at rate ϵ_n if

- ▶ $Z_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p_0$, $Z^n = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$
- ▶ $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ - space of densities w.r.t. a σ -finite measure
- ▶ d - Hellinger or total variation distance
- ▶ \mathcal{P}_n is a sieve satisfying

$$\log J(\epsilon_n, \mathcal{P}_n, d) \leq c_1 n \epsilon_n^2 \quad (J - \text{metric entropy})$$

$$\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n^c) \leq c_3 \exp\{-(c_2 + 4)n\epsilon_n^2\}$$

- ▶ Prior thickness condition for Kullback-Leibler neighborhoods

$$\mathcal{K}(p_0, \epsilon_n) = \left\{ p : \int p_0 \log(p_0/p) < \epsilon_n^2, \int p_0 [\log(p_0/p)]^2 < \epsilon_n^2 \right\}$$

$$\Pi(\mathcal{K}(p_0, \epsilon_n)) \geq c_4 \exp\{-c_2 n \epsilon_n^2\}$$

Proof: Approximation Idea

Approximation results are key, e.g., need to find (θ^*, m) s.t.
 $KL(p_0(x, y), p(x, y|\theta^*, m)) \leq \epsilon_n^2$.

Consider first $J = \{d_x + 1, \dots, d\}$.

- ▶ $p_0(x, y) = \pi_0(y)p_0(x|y)$
- ▶ For $\sigma^y \rightarrow 0$, $\int_{A_y} \phi(\tilde{y}, y', \sigma^y) \approx 1$ when $y = y'$, 0 otherwise.

$$\pi_0(y) \approx \int_{A_y} \sum_{y'} \pi_0(y') \phi(\tilde{y}, y', \sigma^y) d\tilde{y}$$

- ▶ From STG: $\forall y'$,

$$p_0(x|y') \approx \sum_{j=1}^{m_x} \alpha_{j|y'} \phi(x; \mu_{j|y'}, \sigma^x)$$

Proof: Approximation Idea

Combine the approximations from the previous slide into

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(x, y) &\approx \int_{A_y} \sum_{y'} \sum_{j=1}^{m_x} \alpha_{j|y'} \pi_0(y') \phi(x; \mu_{j|y'}, \sigma^x) \phi(\tilde{y}, y', \sigma^y) d\tilde{y} \\ &= p(x, y | \theta^*, m) \end{aligned}$$

Next, need to find a neighborhood of θ^* , S_{θ^*} , for which approximation error is still below ϵ_n and its prior probability $\geq \exp\{-c_2 n \epsilon_n^2\}$.

Proof: Prior Probability of KL neighborhoods

For example, consider m (isotropic case, $\beta_j = \beta$).

- ▶ If we need approximation error $\Gamma_n \cdot \log(n)^t$ for the conditionals, where $\Gamma_n = [N_J/n]^{\frac{\beta}{2\beta+d_x}}$, from STG:

$$m_x = c_1 \Gamma_n^{-d_x/\beta} (\log n)^{c_2}$$

- ▶ Total # of mixture components: $m = N_J \cdot c_1 \Gamma_n^{-d_x/\beta} (\log n)^{c_2}$
- ▶ $\Pi(m) = \exp(-m) \geq \exp(-n[\Gamma_n \log(n)^t]^2) \Leftrightarrow$

$$c_1 N_J \Gamma_n^{-d_x/\beta} (\log n)^{c_2} \leq n[\Gamma_n \log(n)^t]^2 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$c_1 N_J / n (\log n)^{c_2 - 2t} \leq \Gamma_n^{2 + d_x/\beta} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$t > c_2/2$$

Proof: $J \neq \{d_x + 1, \dots, d\}$

- ▶ Approximation argument above is easy to adapt
- ▶ (Hellinger, TVD, KL) distances and ratios for mixed discrete-continuous distributions are bounded by distances and ratios for the corresponding latent variable densities.
- ▶ Bounds on entropy for mixture of multivariate normals from previous literature also apply for the same reason (to $J = \{d_x + 1, \dots, d\}$ case as well).

Evaluating Model Quality

- ▶ Cross Validated Log Scoring Rule

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log p(z_i | Z^{n/i}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K p(z_i | Z^{n/i}, \theta^k)$$

- ▶ We use: Modified Cross Validated Log Scoring Rule:
Randomly order sample observations and use the first n_1 observations for inference and the rest for evaluation. Repeat this process several times and compare means or medians.

$$\sum_{i=n_1+1}^n \log p(z_i | Z^{n_1})$$

Labor Market Participation

- ▶ Source: Norets and Pelenis (2012)
- ▶ Gerfin (1996) cross-section dataset. Compare probit, kernel (Hall et al. (2004)) and FMMN.
- ▶ Binary dependent variable - Labor force participation dummy.
- ▶ Independent variables: Log of non-labor income, Age, Education, Number of young children, Number of old children, Foreign dummy.
- ▶ Number of observations: $T = 872$. Split into two samples of $T_1 = 650$ and $T_2 = 222$ observations. Use T_1 as an estimation sample, and T_2 as a prediction sample for 50 different random splits.

Comparison of Different Models

Table: Modified cross-validated log scores and classification rates

Model	Log Score		% Correct pred-ns	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
Probit	-137.23	-136.69	66.08%	66.37%
Kernel	-138.21	-135.99	65.91%	65.77%
FMMN(m=1)	-137.27	-136.81	66.02%	65.77%
FMMN(m=2)	-132.30	-131.86	67.95%	68.02%
FMMN(m=3)	-133.32	-132.60	67.76%	67.57%
FMMN(m=4)	-133.13	-131.86	68.21%	68.02%

Future Work

- ▶ Check that results go through for Dirichlet process mixtures
- ▶ Simulations/applications for variable m or Dirichlet process mixtures
- ▶ Extend results from Norets and Pati (2017) for continuous conditional densities to mixed discrete-continuous case.
- ▶ Implement MCMC for direct estimation of conditional distributions (extend Norets (2017))

Power of log in the rate for continuous case

$$\epsilon_n = n^{-\beta/(2\beta+d)}(\log n)^t$$

$$t > \frac{d(1 + 1/\beta + 1/\tau) + \max\{\tau_1, 1, \tau_2/\tau\}}{2 + d/\beta} + \max\left\{0, \frac{1 - \tau_1}{2}\right\}$$

- ▶ β - smoothness level
- ▶ d - dimension of (y, x)
- ▶ τ : $f_0(z) \leq c \exp(-b\|z\|^\tau)$
- ▶ τ_1 : $\Pi(m = i) \propto \exp(-b_1 i (\log i)^{\tau_1})$
- ▶ τ_2 : $\exp(-b_2 \mu^{\tau_2}) \leq$ prior density for μ_{jk}^y .

References I

- AERTS, M., I. AUGUSTYNS, AND P. JANSSEN (1997): "Local Polynomial Estimation of Contingency Table Cell Probabilities," *Statistics*, 30, 127–148.
- AITCHISON, J. AND C. G. G. AITKEN (1976): "Multivariate binary discrimination by the kernel method," *Biometrika*, 63, 413–420.
- BURMAN, P. (1987): "Smoothing Sparse Contingency Tables," *Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A (1961-2002)*, 49, 24–36.
- CANALE, A. AND D. B. DUNSON (2015): "Bayesian multivariate mixed-scale density estimation," *Statistics and its Interface*, 8, 195–201.
- DEYOREO, M. AND A. KOTTAS (2017): "Bayesian Nonparametric Modeling for Multivariate Ordinal Regression," *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 0, 1–14.
- DONG, J. AND J. S. SIMONOFF (1995): "A Geometric Combination Estimator for d -Dimensional Ordinal Sparse Contingency Tables," *Ann. Statist.*, 23, 1143–1159.
- GERFIN, M. (1996): "Parametric and Semi-Parametric Estimation of the Binary Response Model of Labour Market Participation," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 11, 321–339.
- GHOSAL, S., J. K. GHOSH, AND A. W. V. D. VAART (2000): "Convergence Rates of Posterior Distributions," *The Annals of Statistics*, 28, 500–531.
- HALL, P., J. RACINE, AND Q. LI (2004): "Cross-Validation and the Estimation of Conditional Probability Densities," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 99, 1015–1026.
- HALL, P. AND D. M. TITTERINGTON (1987): "On Smoothing Sparse Multinomial Data," *Australian Journal of Statistics*, 29, 19–37.
- IBRAGIMOV, I. AND R. HASMINSKII (1977): "Estimation of infinite-dimensional parameter in Gaussian white noise," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 236, 1053–1055.
- IBRAGIMOV, I. A. AND R. Z. HASMINSKII (1984): "More on the estimation of distribution densities," *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, 25, 1155–1165.
- NORETS, A. (2017): "Optimal Auxiliary Priors and Reversible Jump Proposals for a Class of Variable Dimension Models," Unpublished manuscript, Brown University.

References II

- NORETS, A. AND D. PATI (2017): "Adaptive Bayesian Estimation of Conditional Densities," *Econometric Theory*, 33, 9801012.
- NORETS, A. AND J. PELENIS (2012): "Bayesian modeling of joint and conditional distributions," *Journal of Econometrics*, 168, 332–346.
- SHEN, W., S. T. TOKDAR, AND S. GHOSAL (2013): "Adaptive Bayesian multivariate density estimation with Dirichlet mixtures," *Biometrika*, 100, 623–640.
- TSYBAKOV, A. B. (2008): *Introduction to Nonparametric Estimation (Springer Series in Statistics)*, Springer, New York, USA.