

Preliminary migrate analysis of *M. californianus*

MIGRATION RATE AND POPULATION SIZE ESTIMATION

using the coalescent and maximum likelihood or Bayesian inference

Migrate-n version 3.7.2 [April-12-18]

Program started at Wed Jun 2 17:54:48 2021

Program finished at Wed Jun 2 22:49:56 2021



Options

Datatype:

DNA sequence data

Inheritance scalers in use for Thetas:

All loci use an inheritance scaler of 1.0

[The locus with a scaler of 1.0 used as reference]

Random number seed:

(with internal timer)

2786491815

Start parameters:

Theta values were generated

from guessed values

Theta = 0.01000

M values were generated

from guessed values

M-matrix:

100000.00 [all are the same]

Connection type matrix:

where m = average (average over a group of Thetas or M,

s = symmetric M, S = symmetric 4Nm, 0 = zero, and not estimated,

* = free to vary, Thetas are on diagonal

Population	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1 ElfinCo	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 Bamfiel	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 PortRen	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4 WalkOnB	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 BodegaH	0	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
6 Davenpo	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0	0
7 VistaDe	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0	0
8 HazardR	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	0	0	0
9 Refugio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	0	0
10 Carpint	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	0

11 WhitePo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*	*
12 LaJolla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	*

Order of parameters:

1	Θ_1	<displayed>
2	Θ_2	<displayed>
3	Θ_3	<displayed>
4	Θ_4	<displayed>
5	Θ_5	<displayed>
6	Θ_6	<displayed>
7	Θ_7	<displayed>
8	Θ_8	<displayed>
9	Θ_9	<displayed>
10	Θ_{10}	<displayed>
11	Θ_{11}	<displayed>
12	Θ_{12}	<displayed>
13	$M_{2 \rightarrow 1}$	<displayed>
24	$M_{1 \rightarrow 2}$	<displayed>
25	$M_{3 \rightarrow 2}$	<displayed>
36	$M_{2 \rightarrow 3}$	<displayed>
37	$M_{4 \rightarrow 3}$	<displayed>
48	$M_{3 \rightarrow 4}$	<displayed>
49	$M_{5 \rightarrow 4}$	<displayed>
60	$M_{4 \rightarrow 5}$	<displayed>
61	$M_{6 \rightarrow 5}$	<displayed>
72	$M_{5 \rightarrow 6}$	<displayed>
73	$M_{7 \rightarrow 6}$	<displayed>
84	$M_{6 \rightarrow 7}$	<displayed>
85	$M_{8 \rightarrow 7}$	<displayed>
96	$M_{7 \rightarrow 8}$	<displayed>
97	$M_{9 \rightarrow 8}$	<displayed>
108	$M_{8 \rightarrow 9}$	<displayed>
109	$M_{10 \rightarrow 9}$	<displayed>
120	$M_{9 \rightarrow 10}$	<displayed>
121	$M_{11 \rightarrow 10}$	<displayed>
132	$M_{10 \rightarrow 11}$	<displayed>
133	$M_{12 \rightarrow 11}$	<displayed>
144	$M_{11 \rightarrow 12}$	<displayed>

Mutation rate among loci:

Mutation rate is constant

Analysis strategy:

Bayesian inference

Proposal distributions for parameter

Parameter	Proposal
Theta	Metropolis sampling
M	Slice sampling

Prior distribution for parameter

Parameter	Prior	Minimum	Mean*	Maximum	Delta	Bins
Theta	Exp window	0.000010	0.010000	10.000000	1.000000	500
M	Exp window	0.000100	100000.000000	1000000.000000	100000.000000	500

Markov chain settings:

Long chain

Number of chains	1
Recorded steps [a]	1000
Increment (record every x step [b])	100
Number of concurrent chains (replicates) [c]	3
Visited (sampled) parameter values [a*b*c]	300000
Number of discard trees per chain (burn-in)	1000

Multiple Markov chains:

Static heating scheme

4 chains with temperatures
 100000.00 3.00 1.50 1.00
 Swapping interval is 1

Print options:

Data file: ../../mcalifornianus_210528.mig
 Output file: outfile.txt
 Posterior distribution raw histogram file: bayesfile
 Print data: No
 Print genealogies [only some for some data type]: None

Data summary

Datatype: Sequence data
 Number of loci: 1

Population	Locus	Gene copies
1 ElfinCo	1	19
2 Bamfiel	1	23
3 PortRen	1	15
4 WalkOnB	1	16
5 BodegaH	1	7
6 Davenpo	1	17
7 VistaDe	1	19
8 HazardR	1	23
9 Refugio	1	16
10 Carpint	1	19
11 WhitePo	1	11
12 LaJolla	1	8
Total of all populations	1	193







Bayesian Analysis: Posterior distribution table


Locus	Parameter	2.5%	25.0%	Mode	75.0%	97.5%	Median	Mean
1	Θ_1	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.08001	0.18001	0.09001	0.03254
1	Θ_2	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.18001	0.07001	0.02753
1	Θ_3	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.16001	0.07001	0.01493
1	Θ_4	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.08001	0.18001	0.09001	0.03035
1	Θ_5	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.18001	0.07001	0.02368
1	Θ_6	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.18001	0.07001	0.02555
1	Θ_7	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.16001	0.07001	0.01428
1	Θ_8	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.16001	0.07001	0.01480
1	Θ_9	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.16001	0.07001	0.01352
1	Θ_{10}	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.16001	0.07001	0.01198
1	Θ_{11}	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.16001	0.07001	0.01609
1	Θ_{12}	0.00001	0.00001	0.01001	0.06001	0.16001	0.07001	0.00848
1	$M_{2 \rightarrow 1}$	2000.0	42000.0	55000.0	64000.0	96000.0	51000.0	50264.0
1	$M_{1 \rightarrow 2}$	10000.0	24000.0	37000.0	46000.0	64000.0	39000.0	37543.5
1	$M_{3 \rightarrow 2}$	0.0	4000.0	17000.0	26000.0	74000.0	57000.0	61395.5
1	$M_{2 \rightarrow 3}$	24000.0	32000.0	45000.0	58000.0	68000.0	55000.0	61736.5
1	$M_{4 \rightarrow 3}$	0.0	6000.0	21000.0	34000.0	44000.0	31000.0	40954.1
1	$M_{3 \rightarrow 4}$	0.0	26000.0	35000.0	42000.0	56000.0	31000.0	26138.6
1	$M_{5 \rightarrow 4}$	30000.0	38000.0	51000.0	64000.0	94000.0	61000.0	60768.5
1	$M_{4 \rightarrow 5}$	62000.0	86000.0	107000.0	116000.0	134000.0	103000.0	99551.6
1	$M_{6 \rightarrow 5}$	0.0	0.0	1000.0	18000.0	46000.0	19000.0	46924.9
1	$M_{5 \rightarrow 6}$	0.0	6000.0	15000.0	22000.0	30000.0	17000.0	14100.4
1	$M_{7 \rightarrow 6}$	0.0	24000.0	41000.0	50000.0	60000.0	37000.0	32519.5
1	$M_{6 \rightarrow 7}$	0.0	20000.0	39000.0	46000.0	58000.0	35000.0	30943.5
1	$M_{8 \rightarrow 7}$	52000.0	68000.0	81000.0	92000.0	112000.0	85000.0	82253.1
1	$M_{7 \rightarrow 8}$	14000.0	24000.0	39000.0	54000.0	100000.0	51000.0	53764.0
1	$M_{9 \rightarrow 8}$	44000.0	50000.0	65000.0	78000.0	86000.0	61000.0	51736.1
1	$M_{8 \rightarrow 9}$	52000.0	60000.0	77000.0	90000.0	98000.0	71000.0	56939.8
1	$M_{10 \rightarrow 9}$	78000.0	86000.0	101000.0	112000.0	122000.0	97000.0	69263.0
1	$M_{9 \rightarrow 10}$	30000.0	42000.0	55000.0	66000.0	88000.0	59000.0	57529.7
1	$M_{11 \rightarrow 10}$	62000.0	70000.0	85000.0	100000.0	108000.0	79000.0	62148.8
1	$M_{10 \rightarrow 11}$	6000.0	64000.0	77000.0	92000.0	102000.0	67000.0	58957.8
1	$M_{12 \rightarrow 11}$	42000.0	76000.0	89000.0	102000.0	110000.0	65000.0	59108.5
1	$M_{11 \rightarrow 12}$	68000.0	76000.0	91000.0	104000.0	148000.0	89000.0	76288.6


Citation suggestions:


- Beerli P., 2006. Comparison of Bayesian and maximum-likelihood inference of population genetic parameters. *Bioinformatics* 22:341-345
- Beerli P., 2007. Estimation of the population scaled mutation rate from microsatellite data, *Genetics*, 177:1967-1968.
- Beerli P., 2009. How to use MIGRATE or why are Markov chain Monte Carlo programs difficult to use? In *Population Genetics for Animal Conservation*, G. Bertorelle, M. W. Bruford, H. C. Hauffe, A. Rizzoli, and C. Vernesi, eds., vol. 17 of *Conservation Biology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, pp. 42-79.





Bayesian Analysis: Posterior distribution over all loci











Log-Probability of the data given the model (marginal likelihood)

Use this value for Bayes factor calculations:

$BF = \text{Exp}[\ln(\text{Prob}(D \mid \text{thisModel}) - \ln(\text{Prob}(D \mid \text{otherModel}))]$

or as $LBF = 2 (\ln(\text{Prob}(D \mid \text{thisModel}) - \ln(\text{Prob}(D \mid \text{otherModel})))$

shows the support for thisModel]

Method	$\ln(\text{Prob}(D \mid \text{Model}))$	Notes
Thermodynamic integration	-2214.357007	(1a)
	-2142.468038	(1b)
Harmonic mean	-1882.378459	(2)

(1a, 1b and 2) are approximations to the marginal likelihood, make sure that the program run long enough!

(1a, 1b) and (2) should give similar results, in principle.

But (2) is overestimating the likelihood, it is presented for historical reasons and should not be used

(1a, 1b) needs heating with chains that span a temperature range of 1.0 to at least 100,000.

(1b) is using a Bezier-curve to get better approximations for runs with low number of heated chains

Citation suggestions:

Beerli P. and M. Palczewski, 2010. Unified framework to evaluate panmixia and migration direction among multiple sampling locations, *Genetics*, 185: 313-326.

Acceptance ratios for all parameters and the genealogies

Parameter	Accepted changes	Ratio
Θ_1	1080/4364	0.24748
Θ_2	954/4477	0.21309
Θ_3	868/4361	0.19904
Θ_4	814/4396	0.18517
Θ_5	1656/4524	0.36605
Θ_6	1205/4406	0.27349
Θ_7	1318/4401	0.29948
Θ_8	1157/4470	0.25884
Θ_9	838/4391	0.19084
Θ_{10}	1643/4383	0.37486
Θ_{11}	1809/4461	0.40551
Θ_{12}	1769/4361	0.40564
M _{2→1}	4475/4475	1.00000
M _{1→2}	4358/4358	1.00000
M _{3→2}	4384/4384	1.00000
M _{2→3}	4413/4413	1.00000
M _{4→3}	4320/4320	1.00000
M _{3→4}	4488/4488	1.00000
M _{5→4}	4371/4371	1.00000
M _{4→5}	4472/4472	1.00000
M _{6→5}	4364/4364	1.00000
M _{5→6}	4473/4473	1.00000
M _{7→6}	4462/4462	1.00000
M _{6→7}	4403/4403	1.00000
M _{8→7}	4306/4306	1.00000
M _{7→8}	4314/4314	1.00000
M _{9→8}	4329/4329	1.00000
M _{8→9}	4481/4481	1.00000
M _{10→9}	4464/4464	1.00000
M _{9→10}	4372/4372	1.00000
M _{11→10}	4400/4400	1.00000
M _{10→11}	4448/4448	1.00000
M _{12→11}	4473/4473	1.00000
M _{11→12}	4435/4435	1.00000
Genealogies	35020/150000	0.23347

MCMC-Autocorrelation and Effective MCMC Sample Size

Parameter	Autocorrelation	Effective Sample Size
Θ_1	0.82185	317.59
Θ_2	0.86072	231.87
Θ_3	0.86575	223.08
Θ_4	0.85750	243.59
Θ_5	0.71012	561.06
Θ_6	0.77038	402.92
Θ_7	0.79471	368.93
Θ_8	0.84027	265.31
Θ_9	0.89742	169.28
Θ_{10}	0.78535	378.09
Θ_{11}	0.73894	519.05
Θ_{12}	0.68652	604.53
$M_{2 \rightarrow 1}$	0.76717	395.14
$M_{1 \rightarrow 2}$	0.76845	405.90
$M_{3 \rightarrow 2}$	0.81637	304.79
$M_{2 \rightarrow 3}$	0.75099	433.40
$M_{4 \rightarrow 3}$	0.82494	287.92
$M_{3 \rightarrow 4}$	0.78018	370.89
$M_{5 \rightarrow 4}$	0.73578	459.91
$M_{4 \rightarrow 5}$	0.82732	285.55
$M_{6 \rightarrow 5}$	0.68150	568.99
$M_{5 \rightarrow 6}$	0.70875	523.40
$M_{7 \rightarrow 6}$	0.79163	351.63
$M_{6 \rightarrow 7}$	0.85196	240.72
$M_{8 \rightarrow 7}$	0.76865	396.21
$M_{7 \rightarrow 8}$	0.81893	304.09
$M_{9 \rightarrow 8}$	0.73924	452.43
$M_{8 \rightarrow 9}$	0.83425	271.58
$M_{10 \rightarrow 9}$	0.73127	471.86
$M_{9 \rightarrow 10}$	0.77783	382.94
$M_{11 \rightarrow 10}$	0.72082	495.67
$M_{10 \rightarrow 11}$	0.84061	259.60
$M_{12 \rightarrow 11}$	0.80457	330.49
$M_{11 \rightarrow 12}$	0.83689	270.04
$\text{Ln}[\text{Prob(D G)}]$	0.98640	20.54

Potential Problems

This section reports potential problems with your run, but such reporting is often not very accurate. With many parameters in a multilocus analysis, it is very common that some parameters for some loci will not be very informative, triggering suggestions (for example to increase the prior range) that are not sensible. This suggestion tool will improve with time, therefore do not blindly follow its suggestions. If some parameters are flagged, inspect the tables carefully and judge whether an action is required. For example, if you run a Bayesian inference with sequence data, for macroscopic species there is rarely the need to increase the prior for Theta beyond 0.1; but if you use microsatellites it is rather common that your prior distribution for Theta should have a range from 0.0 to 100 or more. With many populations (>3) it is also very common that some migration routes are estimated poorly because the data contains little or no information for that route. Increasing the range will not help in such situations, reducing number of parameters may help in such situations.

No warning was recorded during the run