Over Identifying Restrictions

Since

$$\sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \longrightarrow N(0, S)$$

$$(\sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)') S^{-1}(\sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)) = T \mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)' S^{-1} \mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \chi^2(r)$$

where r > k is the number of moment conditions.

It turns out that

$$T\boldsymbol{g}_T(\hat{\theta})'\hat{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{g}_T(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{g}} \chi^2(r)$$

This is because k moment conditions will be set to zero exactly.

Consider r = k. In this case $\mathbf{g}_T(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ exactly and $T\mathbf{g}_T(\hat{\theta})'\hat{S}^{-1}\mathbf{g}_T(\hat{\theta})$

r-k of the moment conditions will be non-zero.

Thus,

$$J_T(\hat{\theta}) = T \boldsymbol{g}_T(\hat{\theta})' \hat{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{g}_T(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(r-k)$$

To test if our moment conditions are close to zero, we compute $J_T(\hat{\theta})$ and compare with a $\chi^2(r-k)$ distribution

If $J_T(\hat{\theta})$ is far in the tail of the $\chi^2(r-k)$ distribution, we might conclude that the model is misspecified.

Asset Pricing with GMM

Suppose an agent derives utility from consumption, c_t , and seeks to maximize the discounted sum of expected utility.

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau} E[u(c_{t+\tau})|\Omega_t]$$

where $u(c_t)$ is the period utility function and satisfies:

$$\frac{\partial u(c_t)}{\partial c_t} > 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial^2 u(c_t)}{\partial c_t^2} < 0$

Suppose that the agent can purchase m assets paying gross returns $(1 + r_{i,t+1})$ between periods t and t+1 for i=1,...m.

The agent's portfolio must satisfy

$$u'(c_t) = \beta E[(1 + r_{i,t+1})u'(c_{t+1})|\Omega_t]$$
 for $i = 1, ...m$

These conditions say that marginal utility of consuming an extra unit today should be equivalent to the expected marginal consumption gained by purchasing a unit of any asset.

1

If these conditions didn't hold, the agent wouldn't be at an optimum.

The portfolio conditions can be rewritten as:

$$E\left[\left(\beta \frac{u^{'}(c_{t+1})}{u^{'}(c_{t})}(1+r_{i,t+1})-1\right) \middle| \Omega_{t}\right] = 0 \text{ for } i=1,...,m.$$

Given a vector $x_t \in \Omega_t$, by the law of iterated expectations

$$E\left[E\left[\left(\beta \frac{u^{'}(c_{t+1})}{u^{'}(c_{t})}(1+r_{i,t+1})-1\right)\boldsymbol{x}_{t}\middle|\Omega_{t}\right]\right]=E\left[\underbrace{\left(\beta \frac{u^{'}(c_{t+1})}{u^{'}(c_{t})}(1+r_{i,t+1})-1\right)\boldsymbol{x}_{t}}_{\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{y}_{t})}\right]=0 \text{ for } i=1,...m$$

Economic theory says that all returns discounted by $\beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)}$ should be identical:

$$E\left[\underbrace{\beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)}(1+r_{i,t+1})}_{m_{t,t+1}}\right] = 1 \implies E[m_{t,t+1}(1+r_{i,t+1})] = 1$$

 $\beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} (1 + r_{i,t+1}) - 1$ is a forecast error and should be uncorrelated with any variable $x_t \in \Omega_t$

This problem maps easily into GMM where

$$\mathbf{y}_t = (r_{1,+1}, ..., r_{m,t+1}, c_t, c_{t+1}, \mathbf{x}_t')'$$

$$\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}_t) = \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 - \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} (1 + r_{i,t+1})\right) \boldsymbol{x}_t \\ \vdots \\ \left(1 - \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} (1 + r_{m,t+1})\right) \boldsymbol{x}_t \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}_t)$$

 $\theta = \beta$ and utility function parameters

Since the forecast errors in $h(\theta, y_t)$ are unpredictable, they exhibit no serial correlation.

Thus, $h(\theta, y_t)$ exhibits no serial correlation.

This means S can be simply be estimated by

$$\hat{S}_{T} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \boldsymbol{h}(\hat{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}_{t}) \boldsymbol{h}(\hat{\theta}, \boldsymbol{y}_{t})^{'}$$

Hansen and Singleton (1982) used GMM to estimate parameters of a model where

$$u(c_t) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} & \text{for } \gamma > 0 \text{ and } \gamma \neq 1\\ log(c_t) & \text{for } \gamma = 1 \end{cases}$$

In this case, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\beta, \gamma)'$.

Since forecast errors are uncorrelated with past returns and consumption, the lagged values of asset returns and aggregate consumption in x_t