

Method of Moments (Classical Method of Moments in Hamilton):

Suppose $\{y_t\}_{t=1}^T$ is an i.i.d. sample of random variable Y from density:

$f_Y(y|\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a $(k \times 1)$ dimensional vector of parameters.

Suppose k population moments can be written as functions of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$:

$$E[Y_t^i] = \mu_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}), i = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$$

The method of moments estimator, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{mm}$, of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the value:

$$\mu_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{mm}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^i, i = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$$

Note that if you need to estimate k parameters, you must specify exactly k moments

Example: Normal

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)'$$

$$k = 2$$

$$E[Y^1] = \mu$$

$$E[Y^2] = \text{Var}(Y) + E[Y]^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu$$

Example: Beta Distribution

Suppose $Y \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$f_Y(y|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1}$$

In this case:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\alpha, \beta)' \text{ (or } k = 2)$$

$$\mu_1 = E[Y^1] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(Y) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)}$$

$$\mu_2 = E[Y^2] = \text{Var}(Y) + E[Y^1]^2 = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} = \frac{\alpha\beta + \alpha^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)}$$

Solve for β using μ_1 :

$$\alpha = \mu_1(\alpha + \beta)$$

$$\implies \alpha = \mu_1\alpha + \mu_1\beta$$

$$\implies \alpha(1 - \mu_1) = \mu_1\beta$$

$$\implies \beta = \frac{\alpha(1 - \mu_1)}{\mu_1}$$

Useful equations:

$$(\alpha + \beta) = \alpha + \alpha \frac{1 - \mu_1}{\mu_1} = \frac{\mu_1\alpha + \alpha(1 - \mu_1)}{\mu_1} = \frac{\alpha}{\mu_1}$$

$$(\alpha + \beta + 1) = \frac{\alpha}{\mu_1} + 1 = \frac{\alpha + \mu_1}{\mu_1}$$

Now substitute for β in μ_2 :

$$\mu_2 = \frac{\alpha^2 \left(\frac{1 - \mu_1}{\mu_1} \right) + \alpha^2 \left(\frac{\alpha + \mu_1}{\mu_1} \right)}{\frac{\alpha^2}{\mu_1} \cdot \frac{\alpha + \mu_1}{\mu_1}} = \frac{1 - \mu_1 + \alpha + \mu_1}{\frac{\alpha + \mu_1}{\mu_1^2}} = \frac{(1 - \alpha)\mu_1^2}{\alpha + \mu_1}$$

$$\implies \alpha\mu_2 + \mu_1\mu_2 = \mu_1^2 + \alpha\mu_1^2$$

$$\alpha(\mu_2 - \mu_1^2) = \mu_1^2 - \mu_1\mu_2$$

$$\implies \alpha = \frac{\mu_1^2 - \mu_1\mu_2}{\underbrace{\mu_2 - \mu_1^2}_{\sigma^2}} = \frac{\mu_1^2 - \mu_1\mu_2 + \mu_1^3 - \mu_1^3}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\mu_1^2(1 - \mu_1) - \mu_1 \overbrace{(\mu_2 - \mu_1^2)}^{\sigma^2}}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\mu_1^2(1 - \mu_1)}{\sigma^2} - \mu_1$$

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha(1 - \mu_1)}{\mu_1} = \frac{\mu_1(1 - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma^2} - (1 - \mu_1)$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{mm} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1^2(1 - \hat{\mu}_1)}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - \hat{\mu}_1$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{mm} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1(1 - \hat{\mu}_1)^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - (1 - \hat{\mu}_1)$$

where:

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t$$

$$\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2$$

Example: t distribution

Suppose $Y \sim t(\nu)$:

$$f_Y(y|\nu) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{(\pi\nu)^{1/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$$

In this case:

$$\theta = \nu \text{ (or } k = 1)$$

$$\text{If } \nu > 2, \mu_2 = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}$$

Thus,

$$\nu = \nu\mu_2 - 2\mu_2$$

$$\implies \nu = \frac{2\mu_2}{\mu_2 - 1}$$

$$\implies \hat{\nu}_{mm} = \frac{2\hat{\mu}_2}{\hat{\mu}_2 - 1}$$

where $\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2$

Generalized Method of Moments

Let \mathbf{Y} be an $(n \times 1)$ vector of random variables and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ a $(k \times 1)$ vector of parameters governing the process \mathbf{y}_t .

Denote the true parameter vector as $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$

Suppose we can specify an $(r \times 1)$ vector valued function $\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}_t) : (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^r$ such that:

$$E[\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{y}_t)] = 0, \text{ where } r \geq k$$

Define:

$$\mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$$

We want to choose $\hat{\theta}_{gmm}$ such that the sample moments $\mathbf{g}_T(\hat{\theta}_{gmm})$ are close to zero.

If $r = k$, we can choose $\hat{\theta}_{gmm}$ such that $\mathbf{g}_T(\hat{\theta}_{gmm}) = 0$ because we have k equations and k unknowns

If $r > k$ we have more equations than unknowns; in general there is no $\hat{\theta}_{gmm}$ such that $\mathbf{g}_T(\hat{\theta}_{gmm}) = 0$

Instead we minimize a quadratic form:

$$\underbrace{Q_T(\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{(1 \times 1)} = \underbrace{\mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta})'}_{(1 \times r)} \underbrace{W_T}_{(r \times r)} \underbrace{\mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{(r \times 1)}$$

The matrix W_T places where weight on some moment conditions and less on others.

We might have to use numerical optimization to minimize $Q_T(\boldsymbol{\theta})$

Example: t-distribution

The method of moments estimator of the t-distribution is a special case of the GMM estimator

$$\mathbf{y}_t$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \nu$$

$$w_T = 1$$

$$\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}_t) = y_t^2 - \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}$$

$$E[y_t^2] = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}$$

$$E[y_t^2 - \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}] = 0$$

$$E[\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}_t)] = 0$$

$$\mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t^2 - \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2})$$

In this case, $r = k = 1$, and

$$Q_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(y_t^2 - \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} \right) \right]^2$$

Since $r = k = 1$, $\hat{\nu}_{gmm}$ can be chosen such that $Q_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$

Example: t-distribution with $r = 2$

Suppose we add a moment condition for the t-distribution

$$\text{If } \nu > 4 \rightarrow \mu_T = E[Y_t^4] = \frac{3\nu^2}{(\nu-2)(\nu-4)}$$

Now $r = 2 > 1 = k$

That is, we now have more moment conditions than parameters.

We map this problem into the GMM form in the following way:

\mathbf{y}_t

$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \nu$

$$w_T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}_t) = \begin{bmatrix} y_t^2 - \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} \\ y_t^4 - \frac{3\nu^2}{(\nu-2)(\nu-4)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}_t)$$

The weighting matrix $w_T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ places equal weight on the two moment conditions.

We could alter this matrix to emphasize one condition more than another.

If \mathbf{y}_t is strictly stationary and \mathbf{h} continuous, a law of large numbers will hold:

$$\mathbf{g}_T(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \xrightarrow{p} E[\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}_t)]$$

$$E[X] = Bob$$

$$x_1, \dots, x_n \sim f_X(x)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \xrightarrow{p} Bob$$

Under certain regularity conditions, it can be shown that

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{gmm} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$$