

الله المحمر الرحي



WEEKLY PROGRESS TOTAL CASES

Gwa	Wzd	High Court Writs	Total	Remarks
23	8	11	42	



WEEKLY PROGRESS STATE OF CASES CATS

Cat - A

11

Cat - B

09

Cat - C

22

Total:-

42



- Last Week Progress Gujranwala
- > Muhammad Iqbal vs Revenue Details of

Hearing

- On 31 Dec 2019 Law Offr Mohsin Munir appeared in the court of Mr Zeeshan Hanif ADC (R) Gwa on behalf of DHA Gwa
- Summoning for the Parties
- Next date of hearing is 29 Jan 2020





- Last Week Progress Gujranwala
- Faroog Ahmed vs Revenue etc Details of

Hearing

- On 01 Jan 2020 Law Offr Mohsin Munir appeared in the court of Mr Zeeshan Hanif ADC (R) Gwa on behalf of DHA Gwa
- Summoning for the Parties
- Next date of hearing is 22 Jan 2020





- >Last Week Progress Gujranwala
- ► Ehsan Ullah Cheema vs Zafar Ullah Cheema

etc Details of Hearing

- On 04 Jan 2020 Adv Ishaq Aulak and Law Offr Mohsin Munir appeared in the court of M. Tasneem Ejaz CJ Gwa on behalf of DHA Gwa
- Arguments for Other Defendants
- Next date of hearing is 25 Jan 2020





- > Last Week Progress Wazirabad
- > Ilyas vs DHA Details of Hearing
- > Ilyas vs DHA Details of Hearing
- On 04 Jan 2020 Adv Zaman Cheema appeared in the court of Mr. Zaheer Abbas CJ Wazirabad on behalf of DHA Gwa
- Evidence by Plaintiff
- Next date of hearing is 15 Feb 2020





- >Last Week Progress Wazirabad
- Pervaiz Ahmad etc vs Project Dir and Others

 Details of Hearing
- On 04 Jan 2020 Adv Zaman Cheema appeared in the court of Mrs Qudsia Bano Civil Judge Wazirabad on behalf of DHA Gwa
- Arguments on application Order-1 Rule-10
- Next date of hearing is 27 Jan 2020





WEEKLY PROGRESS FORTHCOMING PROGRESS CASES

GUJRANWALA

Ser	Case Titled	Date of	Nature of	Name of	Court	Cat	Next	Remarks
Jei	Case Titleu		Case		Court	Val		Nemai No
		institution	Case	Panel .			Date of	
				Lawyers			Hearing	
1.	Amtul Aziz	01 Aug	Suit for	Adv Mian	Mr Tasneem	Α	20 Jan	Evidence by
	vs Naib	2015	Declaration	Jamil	Ejaz CJ Gwa		2020	Revenue Offr
	Tasildar &			Akhtar				
	DHA Gwa							
2.	Islam vs	18 Jan	Suit for	Adv Irfan	Mr. Asim	С	25 Jan	Reply of ex party
	Hafeez	2016	Specific	Rafique	Murtaza CJ		2020	application by
	Begum etc		Performance		Gwa			plaintiff
	Ü							
3.	DHA vs	21 Jun	Appeal	Adv	Addl	С	20 Jan	Summoning for
	Usman	2018		Zaman	Commissioner		2020	the parities
	Shahbaz			Cheema	Revenue Gwa			
					Division			
4.	DHA vs	21 Jun	Appeal	Adv	Addl	С	20 Jan	Summoning for
	Muhammad	2018		Zaman	Commissioner		2020	the parities
	Shahbaz			Cheema	Revenue Gwa			
					Division			



WEEKLY PROGRESS FORTHCOMING PROGRESS CASES

GUJRANWALA

Ser	Case Titled	Date of institution	Nature of Case	Name of Panel	Court	Cat	Next Date of Hearing	Remarks
5.	Muhammad Zaman Vs Province of Punjab etc	26 Sep 2019	Suit for Declaration and Permanent Injunction	Adv Zaman Cheema	Mr. M. Tasneem Ejaz CJ Gwa	С	7 Jan 2020	For written statement
6.	Zanib Bibi vs Revenue etc	13 Jun 2017	Revenue Case	Adv Zaman Cheema	Mr Zeeshan Hanif ADC (R) Gwa	С	8 Jan 2020	Arguments on Halqa Patwari Report
7.	Shamshad Ahmed etc vs Province of Punjab etc	7 Jun 2018	Cancellation for documents and Mutations	Adv Irfan Rafique	Mr Ibrar Ali Khan CJ Gwa	С	8 Jan 2020	For Order application Order-7 Rule-11
8.	Muhammad Amin etc vs DHA Gwa	01 Oct 2018	Suit for Declaration and permeant Injunction	Adv Zaman Cheema	Mst Samia Pervaiz Butt CJ Gwa	С	9 Jan 2020	Arguments



WEEKLY PROGRESS FORTHCOMING PROGRESS CASES

WAZIRABAD

Ser	Case Titled	Date of institution	Nature of Case	Name of Panel Lawyers	Court	Cat	Next Date of Hearing	Remarks
1.	Muneeba Kauser vs Baber Shahzad Chatha etc	27 May 2017	Suit for Cancellation of Registry	Adv Zaman Cheema	Mr. Muhammad Faisal CJ Wazirabad	С	7 Jan 2019	Evidence for Plaintiff
2.	Mumtaz Ahmed vs Proj Dir	14 Mar 2018	Suit for Declaration and permeant Injunction	Adv Zaman Cheema	Mrs Saira Batool CJ Wazirabad	С	7 Jan 2020	Arguments by Plaintiff
3.	Syed Ehsan Iftikhar vs Nasira Perveen etc	1 Jun 2019	Suit for Declaration	Adv Zaman Cheema	Mrs Saira Batool CJ Wazirabad	С	9 Jan 2020	Arguments



DC RATES / CONSOLIDATION

▶DC Rates

 Legal Advisor submitted application about DC Rates on 6 Jan 2020 for implementation orders of ADC (R) Gwa Div DC Rates in the office of ADC (R) Gwa

> Consolidation

- The order of Additional Commissioner (Rev) Gwa Div about consolidation is pending before ADLR Office Wazirabad for further nec action
- Note: All docus / registered sale deeds be handed over to Dir Land DHA Gwa



THANK YOU





<u>Case No – 10</u> <u>Muhammad Iqbal vs Revenue</u>

Cat -B

Gist of the Case

- Mr. Muhammad Iqbal filed an application in the Court of ADC (R) Gwa.
- Muhammad Iqbal claimed that Halqa Patwari transferred land to DHA Gwa without registering his mutations in revenue record (Registered Haqdran 1996-97).

Stance of DHA

- DHA got this land in question (9 K-15M) through registered sale deed after completion of Legal formalities
- DHA Gwa got possession on 1 Jan 2015 of suit land.

Effects If case against DHA

- The effected land is (9 K- 15 M)
- If plaintiff proved their cases against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



<u>Case No – 10</u> <u>Muhammad Iqbal vs Revenue</u>

Cat -B

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
14 Mar 2019	12	29 Jan 2020	Adv M. Zaman Cheema

Deal No - 260

Total Land
 (9K-15M) Khawat No. 26 (8 K- 10 M),

Khawat 27 (1 K-5M)

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken on 1 Jan 2015 by DHA

Land Provider / Investor - Shahid Iqbal & Muhammad Abid



Case No -15 Farooq Ahmad vs Revenue etc

Cat - C

- Gist of the Case
- The plaintiff claimed through application in the Court of ADC (R) Gwa that Halqa Patwari
- Land in question has transferred to DHA Gwa without registry of their family inheritance
- Stance of DHA
- DHA purchased land in question (42K-18M) through registered sale deed after completion of Legal formalities
- DHA Gwa got possession on 25 Feb 2015 of suit land
- Effects If case against DHA
 - The effected land is (42K 18M)
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



<u>Case No – 15</u> Farooq Ahmad vs Revenue etc

Cat - C

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
30 Jan 2019	20	22 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema

Deal No
 413, 225 & 318.

• Total Land - (42K-18M)

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken on 25 Feb 2015 by DHA.

Land Provider / Investor - Babar Shahzad Chattha



Case No - 12

Ehsan Ullah Cheema vs Zafar Ullah Cheema etc

Cat - C

- Gist of the Case
- Plaintiff claimed that he is legitimate owner of the suit land
- The plaintiff claimed to the court for cancellation of Registry no 2240 Mutation no 2718, 2729
- Which were registered in favour of DHA Gwa
- Stance of DHA
- DHA Gwa purchased suit land (4K) through registered sale deed after completion of Legal formalities
- DHA Gwa got possession on 6 Aug 2014
- Dispute between real brothers
- Effects If case against DHA
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



<u>Case No – 12</u>

Ehsan Ullah Cheema vs Zafar Ullah Cheema etc

<u>Cat - C</u>

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
18 Sep 2018	16	25 Jan 2020	Adv Ishaq Aulak

Deal No
 149

Total Land - 04 K

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken on 6 Aug 2014 by DHA

Land ProviderInvestorRustam ChathaRustam Chatha



Case No – 4 & 5 Ilyas vs DHA

Cat - C

Gist of the Case

- The plaintiff has filed pre-emption suit (Haq Shuffa) against DHA Gwa
- Plaintiff wants to get possession of the suit land (5K-57S) through pre-emption
- Plaintiff is ready to pay the same consideration according to pre-emption Law

Stance of DHA

- DHA Gwa purchased suit land through registered sale deed after completion of Legal formalities
- DHA Gwa got possession on 4 Aug 2015
- The plaintiff suit is not maintainable

Effects If case against DHA

- The effected land is (5K- 57S)
- If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



Case No – 4 & 5 Ilyas vs DHA

Cat - C

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
19 Jun 2017	48	15 Feb 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema

Deal No
 512

Total Land - 5 k 57 Sq Ft

Mouza - Kalowali

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken on 4 Aug 2015 by DHA

Land Provider - Muzafir Cheema

Investor - Baber Muzafir Cheema



<u>Case No – 13 & 14</u> <u>Muhammad Amin etc vs DHA Gwa</u>

✓ Pervaiz Ahmad etc vs Project Dir and others

<u> Cat - C</u>

Gist of the Case

- The plaintiffs claimed that they are legitimate owner and in possession of the suit Land
- 2x civil suits of the same land in question (33 M)
- The plaintiffs blamed that defendants are trying to get possession forcefully
- Stance of DHA
- DHA has not taken possession of suit land forcefully
- Effects If case against DHA
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start
- Way Forward
 - The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
 - DHA Gwa is on strong footing in this case

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
01 Oct 2018	39	9 Jan 2020, ✓ 27 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema



<u>Case No – 1</u> Amtal Aziz vs Naib Tehsildar etc

Gist of the Case

- Mst Amtal Aziz claimed that they are the legitimate owner of this land in question (29 K 10M).
- DHA purchased the said land (29 K 10 M) from Mr. Shahbaz through registered sale deed on 6 Mar 2015, mutation on 9 Mar 2015 and got possession on 7 Nov 2017.
- On 6 Mar 2019 eviction order (Rep of Tehsildar Wzd) Bailiff and Police party of PS Gwa
 Cantt went on spot at Lodhi's Derra.
- After completion of legal formalities the Lodhi's dera possession was given to DHA Gwa on
 6 Mar 2019

Stance of DHA

- The Lodhi family could not prove their ownership of land in question (29 K 10M) so far.
- 6 x civ suits and 3x applications filed by Lodhi family have been dismissed in favour of DHA
 Gwa.

Effects If case against DHA

- The effected land is (29k-10M)
- If plaintiffs proved their case against DHA a new series of litigations will start



<u>Case No – 1</u> <u>Amtal Aziz vs Naib Tehsildar etc</u>

Cat -A

Way Forward

- The effected land is (29 K 10 M)
- DHA Gwa is on strong footing in this case
- 1 x Civ suit is pending in the Court of Mr. Tasneem Ejaz CJ Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
01 Aug 2015	117	20 Jan 2020	Adv Mian Jamil Akhtar

Deal No
 474

Total Land
 29K-10M

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian

Sale deed registered and possession taken on 7 Nov 2017 by DHA

Land Provider - Rana Shahbaz

Investor - Muzaffar Cheema



Mst Amtal Aziz and Lodhi's Family

Ser	Court	Cases	Date
1	Ombudsman Punjab	Application dismissed	6 Jul 2005
2	DOR Gujranwala	Application dismissed	22 Aug 2005
3	Civ Judge Wazirabad	Case dismissed	16 Jan 2008
4	District Judge Gwa	Appeal dismissed	8 Aug 2009
5	Civ Judge Wazirabad	Case dismissed	3 Apr 2013
6	ASJ Wazirabad	Appeal dismissed	16 Oct 2017
7	ASJ Gwa	Appeal dismissed	31 Jan 2019
8	ASJ Gwa	Revision dismissed	1 Jun 2016
9	CJ Gwa	Application dismissed	25 Jun 2019





<u>Case No – 6</u> <u>Islam vs Hafeez Begum etc</u>

<u>Cat - C</u>

Gist of the Case

- The plaintiff claimed to the court that Hafeez Begum prepared false bogus un lawful affidavit
- Affidavit submitted in to DHA Gwa
- DHA Gwa purchased land in question (4K) to DHA Gwa through a/m affidavit

Stance of DHA

- DHA Gwa purchased suit land (4K) through registered sale deed after completion of Legal formalities
- DHA Gwa got possession on 3 Oct 2016.

Effects If case against DHA

- The effected land is (4K)
- If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



<u>Case No – 6</u> <u>Islam vs Hafeez Begum etc</u>

Cat - C

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
28 Jan 2016	49	25 Jan 2020	Adv Irfan Rafique

Deal No
 317

Total Land - 04 K

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken on 3 Oct 2016 by DHA

Land Provider - Hafeez Begum

Investor - Muzafir Cheema



Case No - 10 & 11 DHA vs Usman Shahbaz etc DHA vs Muhammad Shahbaz etc

Gist of the Case

- DHA filed appeal in the court of Addl Commissioner Revenue Gwa Division against the order of Addl Deputy Commissioner Revenue Gwa.
- Change of the Garadawari in revenue record
- Stance of DHA
- The ADC (R) Gwa pass order without knowing facts and revenue record.
- Correction of Garadawari in revenue record is required
- **Effects If case against DHA**
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
21 Jun 2018	30	20 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema



Case No - 10 & 11 DHA vs Usman Shahbaz etc DHA vs Muhammad Shahbaz etc

Gist of the Case

- DHA filed appeal in the court of Addl Commissioner Revenue Gwa Division against the order of Addl Deputy Commissioner Revenue Gwa.
- Change of the Garadawari in revenue record
- Stance of DHA
- The ADC (R) Gwa pass order without knowing facts and revenue record.
- Correction of Garadawari in revenue record is required
- **Effects If case against DHA**
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
21 Jun 2018	30	20 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema

OHA GUJRANNA P

Case No - 21

Muhammad Zaman Vs Province of Punjab

Cat -C

Gist of the Case

- He stated that Eid Gah Constructed in 8 Kanals (Kharsa No. 777) eastern side of Mauza Baddoki Gosaian adjacent to DHA lands and there is only one way from Eid Gah to Baddoki Gusaian for performing Eid Prayers etc
- DHA Gwa is a registered Housing Society and trying to demolish the boundary wall and way of Eid Gah forcefully

Stance of DHA

- The existing Eid Gahs Land is the property of locals
- Old Eid Gah is not demolish in anyway
- The new Eid Gah land is close to (Qabaristan of Baddoki Gosaian) is the DHA owned land
- Before starting the const of New Eid Gah the willingness of Locals was sought willingness cert
- The exchange of Land for new Eid Gah in place of old Eid Gah, ADC (R) Gwa has been approached vide DHA Gwa for the needful please
- Mr. Muhammad Zaman and others just want to polatize the issue for hindering the const process
- The several Presetting have been done with locals of Baddoki Gosaian to resolve the issue
- The plaintiff has got stay from the Court of CJ Mr. Tasneem Ejaz on 30 Sep 2019
- The case being pursed vigoursly

OHY GUJRANYA P

<u>Case No – 21</u>

Muhammad Zaman Vs Province of Punjab

Cat -C

- Effects If case against DHA
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start
- Way Forward. The case is being pursued on regular basis.

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
26 Sep 2019	6	7 Jan 2020	Adv Zaman Cheema

Total Land - 14 K

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian



<u>Case No – 7</u> <u>Zanib Bibi vs Revenue etc</u>

Cat - C

- Gist of the Case
- The plaintiff claimed to the court that for correction of Mutation in revenue record office
- Land transferred to DHA by her predecessor is more than their actual share
- Stance of DHA
- DHA Gwa purchased suit land (3K-18M) through registered sale deed after completion of Legal formalities
- DHA got possession on 24 Jun 2016
- **Effects If case against DHA**
 - The effected land is (3K 18M)
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



<u>Case No – 7</u> Zanib Bibi vs Revenue etc

Cat - C

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
13 Mar 2018	21	8 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema

Deal No
 649, 745 G

Total Land - 3 K 18 M

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken on 24 Jun 2016 by DHA

Land Provider - Muhammad Yaseen and Zafar Iqbal

Investor - Muhammad Farooq , Muzaffir Cheema



Case No - 9

Shamshad Ahmed etc vs Province of Punjab etc

Cat - C

Gist of the Case

- Plaintiff claimed that they are legitimate owner of the land (20K-16M)
- DHA Gwa purchased land in question through registry No. 1045 Mutation no 723, 2119, 2297, 2046,
 2366,2194,2162 registered are not correct

Stance of DHA

- DHA Gwa purchased suit land (51K) through registered sale deed after completion of Legal formalities
- DHA Gwa got possession 29 Sep 2017

Effects If case against DHA

If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



Case No – 9

Shamshad Ahmed etc vs Province of Punjab etc

Cat - C

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
7 Jun 2018	32	8 Jan 2020	Adv Irfan Rafique

• Deal No - 948,366

• Total Land - 51 K

Mouza - Kot Noora

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken on 29 Sep 2017 by DHA

Land Provider - Sohail Cheema

Investor - Baber Shahzad Chatha



Case No - 13 & 14

✓ <u>Muhammad Amin etc vs DHA Gwa</u>

Pervaiz Ahmad etc vs Project Dir and others

<u>Cat - C</u>

Gist of the Case

- The plaintiffs claimed that they are legitimate owner and in possession of the suit Land
- 2x civil suits of the same land in question (33 M)
- The plaintiffs blamed that defendants are trying to get possession forcefully
- Stance of DHA
- DHA has not taken possession of suit land forcefully

Effects If case against DHA

If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- DHA Gwa is on strong footing in this case

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
01 Oct 2018	38	✓ 9 Jan 2020,4 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema



Case No - 2

Muneeba Kousar vs Babar Shahzad Chattha etc

Cat - C

Gist of the Case

- The plaintiff claimed to the court that cancelled the agreement dated 7 Nov 2014
- Defendant could not fulfill agreement to sell
- Cancellation of said agreement

Stance of DHA

- DHA Gwa purchased suit land (31K-2M) through registered sale deed
- DHA Gwa got possession on 18 Dec 2014
- The plaintiff had mala fide intensions

Effects If case against DHA

- The effected land is (31 K- 2 M)
- If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start



<u>Case No – 2</u> <u>Muneeba Kousar vs Babar Shahzad Chattha etc</u>

Cat - C

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- Disposed of the Cases through Court in favour of DHA Gwa

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
27 May 2017	90	7 Jan 2020	Adv M. Zaman Cheema

Deal No
 248

Total Land - 31 K 02 M

Mouza - Baddoki Gosaian

Sale deed Registered and Possession taken 18 Dec 2014 by DHA

Land Provider - Zafar Ullah Sohe

Investor - Baber Shahzad Chatha

Cat - C

<u>Case No – 8</u> <u>Mumtaz Ahmad vs Proj Dir</u>

Gist of the Case

- The plaintiff claimed to the court that DHA Gwa stopped the irrigation department
 Canal water
- Illegally and forcefully
- Stance of DHA
- DHA Gwa did not stop Canal Watter
- This suit is not maintainable
- **Effects If case against DHA**
 - If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start with irrigation department

Way Forward

- The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer
- DHA Gwa is on strong footing in this case

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
14 Mar 2018	29	7 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema



Case No - 19

Syed Ehsan Iftikhar vs Nasira Perveen etc

Cat - C

Gist of the Case

- The Plaintiffs claimed that he is a legitimate owner of a Land measuring (2K 5 M)
- Which is in possession of DHA Gwa.
- The plaintiffs claimed to the court that suit Land is given to DHA by an other owner of the adjacent Land by fraudently.

Stance of DHA

 DHA has got the said Land through registered sale deed / mutation after completion of Legal formalities

Effects If case against DHA

- The effected land is (2K 5M)
- If plaintiff proved their case against DHA Gwa, new series of litigations will start

Way Forward

The case is being pursued on regular basis by Panel Lawyer

Date of Institution	No of Hearings	Next Hearing	Lawyer
1 Jun 2019	5	9 Jan 2020	Adv M.Zaman Cheema



