



**University of  
Zurich<sup>UZH</sup>**

## **Institute of Computational Linguistics**

Andreasstrasse 15  
CH-8050 Zürich-Oerlikon  
Switzerland

**Prof. Dr. Martin Volk**  
Institute of  
Computational Linguistics  
Phone +41 44 635 43 17  
volk@cl.uzh.ch  
<https://www.cl.uzh.ch>

Andreas Schaufelbühl  
Binzmühlestrasse 41  
8050 Zürich

Matrikel-Nr. 12-918-843  
[andreas.schaufelbuehl@uzh.com](mailto:andreas.schaufelbuehl@uzh.com)

March 5, 2019

### **Master's Thesis Specification**

#### **Titel**

#### **Introduction**



## The goals of this master's thesis

We argue that complement topic extraction from reviews with the capability of cluster similar reviews (assigning them to a well defined task of maintenance/evolution) and determining the corresponding parts of source code that need to be maintained/changed (in according to the suggested change tasks), will concretely help developers planning app improvements and meeting market requirements.

## Task description

The main tasks of this thesis are:

1. Read up on the current state of the art in industry and research in the areas relevant to the thesis, including AR-Miner.
2. Write a software program able to gather user reviews and save them into a database.
3. Extend the program, so it is capable to link clustered reviews with the corresponding source code.
4. Writing an academic report summarizing the results from the work on items 1 to 3.

## Deliverables

The major milestones of the project are as follows:

When	What
1 <sup>st</sup> month	State of the Art review is finished. Tools are picked and learned.
2 <sup>nd</sup> month	Database is set up and collecting user reviews.
4 <sup>rd</sup> month	Implementation of the Linking is finished.
5 <sup>th</sup> month	The proof-of-concept implementation is finished.
6 <sup>th</sup> month	Thesis is written, proof-of-concept is fully functional and delivered.



## **Provided resources**

There is no need of special provided resources to realise this thesis

## **General thesis guidelines**

The typical rules of academic work must be followed. In [1] Bernstein describes a number of guidelines which must be followed. At the end of the thesis, a final report has to be written. The report should clearly be organized, follow the usual academic report structure, and has to be written in English. As implementing software is also part of this thesis, state-of-the-art design, coding, and documentation standards for the software have to be obeyed.

## **Advisors:**

### **Professor:**

Prof. Dr. Martin Volk

### **Responsible assistant:**

Samuel Läubli

## **Signatures:**

Andreas Schaufelbühl

Prof. Dr. Martin Volk

## References

- [1] A. Bernstein. So what is a (diploma) thesis? a few thoughts for first-timers. Technical report, Dynamic and Distribution Information System Group, University of Zurich, 2005.
- [2] N. Chen, J. Lin, S. C. H. Hoi, X. Xiao, and B. Zhang. Ar-miner: Mining informative reviews for developers from mobile app marketplace. In *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Software Engineering, ICSE 2014*, pages 767–778, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM.
- [3] B. Fu, J. Lin, L. Li, C. Faloutsos, J. Hong, and N. Sadeh. Why people hate your app: Making sense of user feedback in a mobile app store. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '13*, pages 1276–1284, New York, NY, USA, 2013. ACM.
- [4] L. V. Galvis Carreño and K. Winbladh. Analysis of user comments: An approach for software requirements evolution. In *Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Software Engineering, ICSE '13*, pages 582–591, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2013. IEEE Press.
- [5] X. Gu and S. Kim. What parts of your apps are loved by users? (T). In *30th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering, ASE 2015, Lincoln, NE, USA, November 9-13, 2015*, pages 760–770, 2015.
- [6] E. Guzman and W. Maalej. How do users like this feature? a fine grained sentiment analysis of app reviews. In *Requirements Engineering Conference (RE), 2014 IEEE 22nd International*, pages 153–162, Aug 2014.
- [7] E. Ha and D. Wagner. Do android users write about electric sheep? examining consumer reviews in google play. In *Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC), 2013 IEEE*, pages 149–157, Jan 2013.
- [8] C. Iacob and R. Harrison. Retrieving and analyzing mobile apps feature requests from online reviews. In *Proceedings of the 10th Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories, MSR '13*, pages 41–44, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2013. IEEE Press.
- [9] C. Iacob, R. Harrison, and S. Faily. Online reviews as first class artifacts in mobile app development. In G. Memmi and U. Blanke, editors, *Mobile Computing, Applications, and Services*, volume 130 of *Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering*, pages 47–53. Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- [10] S. Krusche and B. Bruegge. User feedback in mobile development. In *Proceedings of the 2Nd International Workshop on Mobile Development Lifecycle, MobileDeLi '14*, pages 25–26, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM.
- [11] S. A. Licorish, A. Tehir, M. F. Bosu, and S. G. MacDonell. On satisfying the android os community: User feedback still central to developers' portfolios. In *2015 24th Australasian Software Engineering Conference (ASWEC)*, pages 78–87, 2015.
- [12] W. Maalej and H. Nabil. Bug report, feature request, or simply praise? on automatically classifying app reviews. In *Requirements Engineering Conference (RE), 2015 IEEE 23rd International*, pages 116–125, Aug 2015.
- [13] J. Oh, D. Kim, U. Lee, J.-G. Lee, and J. Song. Facilitating developer-user interactions with mobile app review digests. In *CHI '13 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI EA '13*, pages 1809–1814, New York, NY, USA, 2013. ACM.
- [14] D. Pagano and W. Maalej. User feedback in the appstore: An empirical study. In *Proceedings of the 21st IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE 2013)*, pages 125–134. IEEE Computer Society, 2013.
- [15] F. Palomba, M. Linares-Vasquez, G. Bavota, R. Oliveto, M. Di Penta, D. Poshyvanyk, and A. De Lucia. User reviews matter! tracking crowdsourced reviews to support evolution of successful apps. In *Software Maintenance and Evolution (ICSME), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 291–300, Sept 2015.



- [16] S. Panichella, A. Di Sorbo, E. Guzman, C. Visaggio, G. Canfora, and H. Gall. How can i improve my app? classifying user reviews for software maintenance and evolution. In *Software Maintenance and Evolution (ICSME), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 281–290, Sept 2015.
- [17] T. Vithani. Modeling the mobile application development lifecycle. In *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2014, Vol. I, IMECS 2014*, pages 596–600, 2014.
- [18] P. M. Vu, T. T. Nguyen, H. V. Pham, and T. T. Nguyen. Mining user opinions in mobile app reviews: A keyword-based approach. *CoRR*, abs/1505.04657, 2015.