

CS480/680

Lecture 8: June 3, 2019

Classification by Logistic Regression,
Generalized linear models

[RN] Sec 18.6.4, [B] Sec. 4.3, [M] Chapt.
8, [HTF] Sec. 4.4

Beyond Mixtures of Gaussians

- Mixture of Gaussians:
 - Restrictive assumption: each class is Gaussian
 - Picture:
- Can we consider other distributions than Gaussians?

Exponential Family

- More generally, when $\Pr(\mathbf{x}|c_k)$ are members of the exponential family (e.g., Gaussian, exponential, Bernoulli, categorical, Poisson, Beta, Dirichlet, Gamma, etc.)

$$\Pr(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_k) = \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^T T(\mathbf{x}) - A(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k) + B(\mathbf{x}))$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k$: parameters of class k

$T(\mathbf{x}), A(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k), B(\mathbf{x})$: arbitrary fns of the inputs and params

- the posterior is a sigmoid logistic linear function in \mathbf{x}

$$\Pr(c_k|\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0)$$

Probabilistic Discriminative Models

- Instead of learning $\Pr(c_k)$ and $\Pr(\mathbf{x}|c_k)$ by maximum likelihood and finding $\Pr(c_k|\mathbf{x})$ by Bayesian inference, why not learn $\Pr(c_k|\mathbf{x})$ directly by maximum likelihood?
- We know the general form of $\Pr(c_k|\mathbf{x})$:
 - **Logistic sigmoid** (binary classification)
 - **Softmax** (general classification)

Logistic Regression

- Consider a single data point (\mathbf{x}, y) :

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}})^y (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}))^{1-y}$$

- Similarly, for an entire dataset (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) :

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_n \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)^{y_n} (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n))^{1-y_n}$$

Objective: negative log likelihood (minimization)

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = -\sum_n y_n \ln \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) + (1 - y_n) \ln(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n))$$

$$\text{Tip: } \frac{\partial \sigma(a)}{\partial a} = \sigma(a)(1 - \sigma(a))$$

Logistic Regression

- NB: Despite the name, logistic regression is a form of classification.
- However, it can be viewed as regression where the goal is to estimate the posterior $\Pr(c_k | \mathbf{x})$, which is a continuous function

Maximum likelihood

- Convex loss: set derivative to 0

$$0 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = - \sum_n y_n \frac{\cancel{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)} (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)) \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n}{\cancel{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)}} \\ - \sum_n (1 - y_n) \frac{(1 - \cancel{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)}) \cancel{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)} (-\bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)}{1 - \cancel{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = - \sum_n y_n \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n - \cancel{\sum_n y_n \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n} \\ + \sum_n \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n + \cancel{\sum_n y_n \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \sum_n [\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) - y_n] \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n$$

- Sigmoid prevents us from isolating \mathbf{w} , so we use an iterative method instead

Newton's method

- Iterative reweighted least square:

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{H}^{-1} \nabla L(\mathbf{w})$$

where ∇L is the gradient (column vector)

and H is the Hessian (matrix)

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial^2 w_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_0 \partial w_m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_m \partial w_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L}{(\partial w_m)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Hessian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H} &= \nabla(\nabla L(\mathbf{w})) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)) \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \\ &= \bar{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{R} \bar{\mathbf{X}}^T\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(1 - \sigma_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_N(1 - \sigma_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \sigma_1 = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1), \quad \sigma_N = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_N)$$

Case study

- Applications: recommender systems, ad placement
- Used by all major companies
- Advantages: logistic regression is **simple, flexible and efficient**

App Recommendation

- Flexibility: millions of features (binary & numerical)
 - Examples:

- Efficiency: classification by dot products

Multiple classes:

$$c^* = \operatorname{argmax}_k \frac{\mathbf{w}_k^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}}{\sum_{k'} \mathbf{w}_{k'}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}}$$
$$= \operatorname{argmax}_k \mathbf{w}_k^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$

- Sparsity:
- Parallelization:

Two classes:

$$c^* = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \geq 0.5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$c^* = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Numerical Issues

- Logistic Regression is subject to overfitting
 - Without enough data, logistic regression can classify each data point arbitrarily well (i.e., $\Pr(\textit{correct class}) \rightarrow 1$)
- Problems: $\textit{weights} \rightarrow \pm\infty$
Hessian \rightarrow singular
- Picture

Regularization

- Solution: penalize large weights

- Objective: $\min_{\mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$
 $= \min_{\mathbf{w}} - \sum_n y_n \ln \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) + (1 - y_n) \ln(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$

- Hessian

$$\mathbf{H} = \bar{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{R} \bar{\mathbf{X}}^T + \lambda \mathbf{I}$$

where $R_{nn} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n))$

the term $\lambda \mathbf{I}$ ensures that \mathbf{H} is not singular (eigenvalues $\geq \lambda$)

Generalized Linear Models

- How can we do non-linear regression and classification while using the same machinery?
- Idea: map inputs to a different space and do linear regression/classification in that space

Example

- Suppose the underlying function is quadratic

Basis functions

- Use non-linear basis functions:
 - Let ϕ_i denote a basis function
$$\begin{aligned}\phi_0(x) &= 1 \\ \phi_1(x) &= x \\ \phi_2(x) &= x^2\end{aligned}$$
 - Let the hypothesis space H be
$$H = \{x \rightarrow w_0\phi_0(x) + w_1\phi_1(x) + w_2\phi_2(x) \mid w_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
- If the basis functions are non-linear in x , then a non-linear hypothesis can still be found by linear regression

Common basis functions

- Polynomial: $\phi_j(x) = x^j$
- Gaussian: $\phi_j(x) = e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_j)^2}{2s^2}}$
- Sigmoid: $\phi_j(x) = \sigma\left(\frac{x-\mu_j}{s}\right)$
where $\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}$
- Also Fourier basis functions, wavelets, etc.

Generalized Linear Models

- Linear regression:

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

- Generalized linear regression:

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^T \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_n))^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

- Linear separator (classification):

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} - \sum_n y_n \ln \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n) + (1 - y_n) \ln(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

- Generalized linear separator (classification):

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}} - \sum_n y_n \ln \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_n)) + (1 - y_n) \ln(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_n))) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$