# University of Waterloo School of Computer Science CS 341 Algorithms, Winter, 2017 2 Hour Sample Midterm Exam March 2nd, 2017

Instructors: Semih Salihoglu, Doug Stinson, Yaoliang Yu

| Name:       | <br> |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Student ID: |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

| Question | Marks | Total |
|----------|-------|-------|
| 1        |       | 10    |
| 2        |       | 15    |
| 3        |       | 15    |
| 4        |       | 18    |
| 5        |       | 22    |
| 5        |       | 20    |
| Total    |       | 100   |
| Verified |       | 100   |

# Instructions

- NO CALCULATORS OR OTHER AIDS ARE ALLOWED.
- You should have 10 pages in total.
- Make sure your name and student ID is recorded on the first page.
- Solutions will be marked for clarity, conciseness and correctness.
- If you need more space to complete an answer, you may continue on the two blank pages at the end.
- The backs of pages can be used for rough work, and will not be marked unless you specifically indicate you wish them considered.

### Useful Facts and Formulas

1. Master Theorem (simplified version) Suppose that  $a \ge 1$  and b > 1. Consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta(n^y)$$

in sloppy or exact form. Denote  $x = \log_b a$ . Then

$$T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^x) & \text{if } y < x \\ \Theta(n^x \log n) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta(n^y) & \text{if } y > x. \end{cases}$$

2. Master Theorem (general version) Suppose that  $a \ge 1$  and b > 1. Consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

in sloppy or exact form. Denote  $x = \log_b a$ . Then

$$T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^x) & \text{if } f(n) \in O(n^{x-\epsilon}) \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0 \\ \Theta(n^x \log n) & \text{if } f(n) \in \Theta(n^x) \\ \Theta(f(n)) & \text{if } f(n)/n^{x+\epsilon} \text{ is an increasing function of } n \\ & \text{for some } \epsilon > 0. \end{cases}$$

3. 
$$a^{\log_b c} = c^{\log_b a}$$

4. 
$$\sqrt{5} \approx 2.23$$
,  $\log_2 3 \approx 1.58$ ,  $\pi \approx 3.14$ 

- 1. [10 marks total] For each question below, give your answer together with a brief explanation. Show computations if it is appropriate to do so.
  - (a) [4 marks] True or false: the closest pair problem in one dimension (i.e., for a set of points all on a line) can be solved in  $O(n \log n)$  time without using the divide-and-conquer method from class. Briefly explain your answer.

Answer: True. The points can be sorted with respect to their x-coordinates (or y-coordinates, if the line is vertical). This takes time  $O(n \log n)$ . Then scan through the points in order, determining the maximum distnace between consecutive points. This takes time O(n). The total time of the algorithm is  $O(n \log n)$ .

(b) [3 marks] Give a simplified  $\Theta$ -bound for the expression  $57n^{\sqrt{5}} + 39\sqrt{n} \, 3^{\log_2 n}$ .

Answer:  $57n^{\sqrt{5}} \in \Theta(n^{\sqrt{5}}) \approx \Theta(n^{2.23})$ .  $39\sqrt{n}\,3^{\log_2 n} = 39n^{0.5}n^{\log_2 3} \in \Theta(n^{0.5 + \log_2 3}) \approx \Theta(n^{0.5 + 1.58}) = \Theta(n^{2.08})$ . Because 2.08 < 2.23, it follows that  $57n^{\sqrt{5}} + 39\sqrt{n}\,3^{\log_2 n} \in \Theta(n^{\sqrt{5}})$ .

(c) [3 marks] Which of the following two functions has the higher growth rate:  $2^{\pi \log_2 n}$  or  $n^3(\log_2 n)^{20}$ ?

Answer:  $2^{\pi \log_2 n} = (2^{\log_2 n})^{\pi} = n^{\pi} \approx n^{3.14}$ .  $n^3 (\log_2 n)^{20} \in O(n^{3+\epsilon})$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Therefore  $2^{\pi \log_2 n}$  has the higher growth rate.

# 2. [15 marks] Recurrences.

Solve the following recurrence by using the recursion-tree method (you may assume that n is a power of 8):

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 4T(n/8) + n^2 & \text{if } n > 1\\ 2 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Express the answer exactly as a sum, and then determine the growth rate of T(n).

### Answer:

Let  $n = 8^{j}$ . The costs of the nodes in the recursion tree are summarized as follows:

| size of subproblem | # nodes   | $\cos t/node$   | total cost                              |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|---|
| $n = 8^j$          | 1         | $n^2$           | $n^2$                                   |
| $n/8 = 8^{j-1}$    | 4         | $(n/8)^2$       | $4(n/8)^2 = n^2/16$                     |
| $n/8^2 = 8^{j-2}$  | $4^2$     | $(n/8^2)^2$     | $4^2 \left( n/8^2 \right)^2 = n^2/16^2$ |
| ÷                  | :         | :               | ÷                                       |
| $n/8^{j-1} = 8$    | $4^{j-1}$ | $(n/8^{j-1})^2$ | $4^{j-1} (n/8^{j-1})^2 = n^2/16^{j-1}$  |
| $n/8^{j} = 1$      | $4^{j}$   | 2               | $2 \times 4^{j}$ .                      |

Summing the costs of all levels of the recursion tree, we have that

$$T(n) = 2 \times 4^{j} + n^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{i}.$$

 $j = \log_8 n$ , so  $4^j = 4^{\log_8 n} = n^{\log_8 4} = n^{2/3}$ . The geometric sequence has ratio 1/16 < 1, so  $\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (1/16)^i \in \Theta(1)$ . Therefore,  $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{2/3} + n^2) = \Theta(n^2)$ .

# 3. [15 marks] Pseudocode analysis.

Give a detailed analysis of the complexity of the procedure f(n) in terms of the input parameter n. You can assume that n is a power of 2 in order to simplify the analysis.

```
Procedure f(n)
1.
        i \leftarrow 1
2.
        S \leftarrow 0
        for j \leftarrow 1 to n do
3.
              S \leftarrow S + j^3
4.
5.
        m \leftarrow n
6.
        while m \ge 1 do
7.
               for j \leftarrow 1 to m do
                      S \leftarrow S + (i - j)^2
8.
              m \leftarrow \lfloor m/2 \rfloor
9.
               i \leftarrow i + 1
10.
       print(S)
11.
```

Answer: Step 1 takes time  $\Theta(1)$ . Step 2 takes time  $\Theta(1)$ . Steps 3–4 take time  $\Theta(n)$ . Step 5 takes time  $\Theta(1)$ . Step 11 takes time  $\Theta(1)$ .

Steps 7–8 take time  $\Theta(m)$  (for a given value of m). Steps 9–10 take time  $\Theta(1)$ . Steps 7–10 take time  $\Theta(m)$  (for a given value of m).

In steps 6–10, m takes on the values  $n, n/2, n/4, \ldots, 1$  (assuming n is a power of 2). Therefore, steps 6–10 take time  $\Theta(n+n/2+n/4+\cdots+1)=\Theta(2n-1)=\Theta(n)$ .

Finally, steps 1–11 take time  $\Theta(1+1+n+1+n+1) = \Theta(n)$ .

4. [22 marks total] Greedy algorithms.

In the *Interval covering* problem, we are given a set X of n distinct real numbers  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , and an *interval length* L. We are required to find the minimum possible number of closed intervals, each of length L, such that every  $x_i$  is contained in at least one of the intervals. That is, we wish to find m intervals, say  $I_1 = [a_1, a_1 + L], \ldots, I_m = [a_m, a_m + L]$ , whose union contains all the  $x_i$ 's, with m as small as possible.

In this question, we consider two possible greedy strategies for this problem.

Strategy 1: Choose an interval that covers the maximum number of elements in X; remove all elements covered by this interval; and repeat.

Strategy 2: Let x be the smallest element in X; choose the interval [x, x + L]; remove all elements covered by this interval; and repeat.

(a) [10 marks] By considering the problem instance n = 6,  $X = \{2, 9, 12, 14, 17, 24\}$ , and L = 10, prove that one of the two given strategies does not always find the optimal solution to the Interval covering problem.

Answer: We carry out the two strategies on the given problem instance.

Strategy 1: Choose the interval [9, 19], which covers 9, 12, 14, 17.

Then choose the interval [2, 12], which covers 2.

Finally, choose the interval [24, 34], which covers 24.

This strategy requires three intervals.

Strategy 2: Choose the interval [2, 12], which covers 2, 9, 12.

Then choose the interval [14, 24], which covers 14, 17, 24.

This strategy requires two intervals.

Since Strategy 2 uses fewer intervals than Strategy 1 on the given problem instance, we conclude that Strategy 1 is not always an optimal strategy.

(b) [12 marks] Give a complete proof that the other strategy always finds an optimal solution to the *Interval covering* problem.

Answer: We prove that the second strategy always yields an optimal solution. Assume that the elements in X are  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in increasing order. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any optimal solution for the set X. There must be an interval  $[a, a + L] \in \mathcal{O}$  that covers  $x_1$ ; hence,  $a \leq x_1$ .

Suppose that  $a < x_1$ . Consider the modified solution  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O} \cup \{[x_1, x_1 + L]\} \setminus \{[a, a + L]\}$  (i.e., replace the interval [a, a + L] by  $[x_1, x_1 + L]$ ). It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{O}'$  is also an optimal solution. Therefore we have shown that the interval  $[x_1, x_1 + L]$  is contained in an optimal solution.

Let X' be the elements of X that are not covered by  $[x_1, x_1 + L]$ . By what we have shown above, it follows that an optimal solution for X consists of the interval  $[x_1, x_1 + L]$  together with an optimal solution for the set X'.

By similar reasoning, an optimal solution for X' contains the interval  $[x_i, x_i + L]$ , where  $x_i$  is the smallest element in X'. Repeating this argument until no elements of the set X remain, we see that Strategy 2 is optimal.

Remark: This proof can be expressed more formally as a proof by induction on |X|, but the informal argument we have given is sufficient to receive full marks.

5. [22 marks] Divide-and-conquer. Define the following sequence of numbers:  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ , and

$$F_{2n} = (F_n + F_{n-1})^2 - F_{n-1}^2$$
  
$$F_{2n+1} = (F_n + F_{n-1})^2 + F_n^2$$

(This is in fact the Fibonacci number sequence.)

(a) [10 marks] Give a pseudocode description of an efficient recursive algorithm to compute  $F_n$  for a given integer  $n \geq 0$ , based on the above definition.

Answer:

Algorithm 0.1: F(n)

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{local} \ \ g, n_1, n_2, g_1, g_2 \\ & \textbf{if} \ \ n = 0 \\ & \textbf{then} \ \ g \leftarrow 0 \\ & \textbf{else} \ \ \textbf{if} \ \ n = 1 \\ & \textbf{then} \ \ g \leftarrow 1 \\ & \left\{ \begin{matrix} n_1 \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \\ n_2 \leftarrow n_1 - 1 \\ g_1 \leftarrow \mathsf{F}(n_1) \\ g_2 \leftarrow \mathsf{F}(n_2) \\ & \textbf{if} \ \ (n \bmod 2) = 0 \\ & \textbf{then} \ \ g \leftarrow (g_1 + g_2)^2 - g_2^2 \\ & \textbf{else} \ \ g \leftarrow (g_1 + g_2)^2 + g_1^2 \\ \end{matrix} \end{aligned}   \textbf{return} \ \ (g)
```

(b) [12 marks] Determine (using O notation) the running time of your algorithm by writing a recurrence and solving it with the master method. Here, running time is measured in terms of the number of bit operations. Assume that the multiplication of two k-bit numbers requires  $O(k^{1.59})$  time by Karatsuba and Ofman's algorithm. You may use the fact that  $F_n \leq 2^n$ , so the number of bits in  $F_n$  is at most n (but mention where this is used in your analysis).

#### Answer:

Let T(n) denote the amount of time required to compute F(n) using the algorithm above.

The operations performed on n (chopping off the last bit, subtracting 1 and extracting the last bit) take time O(1),  $O(\log n)$  and O(1) respectively, because n is an integer having  $O(\log n)$  bits.

When we compute g after the two recursive calls, we are performing arithmetic operations (additions, subtractions and multiplications) on the integers  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . Because

$$F_{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1} < F_{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \le 2^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} < 2^{n/2},$$

these integers consist of at most n/2 bits. The time to compute g, given  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , is therefore  $O(n/2 + (n/2)^{1.59}) = O(n^{1.59})$ , because additions and subtractions take time O(n/2) and multiplications take time  $O((n/2)^{1.59})$ .

Therefore, the recurrence relation for T(n) is as follows:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } n \in \{0, 1\} \\ T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + O(n^{1.59}) & \text{if } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Ignoring floors, and noting that T(n) is an increasing function, we obtain the following simplified recurrence:

$$T(n) \le \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } n \in \{0, 1\} \\ 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n^{1.59}) & \text{if } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

We can determine a O-bound on the growth rate of T(n) using either version of the Master Theorem. Using the simplified version, we have a=2, b=2,  $x=\log_2 2=1$  and y=1.59. We are in case 3 and therefore  $T(n) \in O(n^{1.59})$ .