

Q1Insertion Sort

Algo :-

```

insertionSort (int arr[], size)
{

```

```

    for i → size

```

```

        j = arr[i];

```

```

        k = j - 1;

```

```

        while k >= 0 && arr[k] > j

```

```

            arr[k+1] = arr[k]

```

```

            k--;

```

```

        endwhile

```

```

        arr[k+1] = j

```

```

    endfor

```

Ansh Tyagi

- Since, Insertion Sort is modifying the original array by inserting the lower element at the right place in the original array only. Thus, it does not require any extra space. Hence, it is an "In-Place Sorting" Algorithm

\therefore Space Complexity = $O(1)$

- 2 basic operation takes place in the algo :- i) Comparison ii) Swapping
Considering both these operations cost the same.

In Best Case i.e. the array is already sorted

This algorithm only compares 'n' elements.

\therefore Time Complexity = $O(n)$

A2 → Quick Sort :-

- Divide & Conquer algorithm
- Time Complexity

1) Worst Case,

By master Theorem,

$$T(n) = O(n^2)$$

2) Avg Case,

$$T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

3) Best Case

$$T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

→ Bubble Sort

- Time Complexity

For n elements, $(n-1)$ comparisons are done :-

$$\therefore T(n) = 1 + 2 + \dots + (n-1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{n^2 - n}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow O(n^2)$$

→ Both, Quick sort & Bubble sort algorithms are "In-Place" Algorithm

→ Bubble sort is efficient for small size arrays

- Time complexity for Merge sort → $O(n \log n)$
- Time complexity for Insertion sort → $O(n^2)$