

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

**VERDICT: TRUE NEWS**

## Query News Sample



"Delhi blast was terror incident, Indian government says"

## Top Visual Evidence



"Delhi blast: The night that shook India's capital city"

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

## Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The evidence strongly suggests the news post is real. Here's a breakdown of the reasoning, prioritizing the provided instructions:

- FOR (Supporting REAL classification):

- **Strong Web-Based Verification (Evidence 3):** The "High Support" score of 20.0 from web search verification is the most significant factor. This indicates that multiple credible news sources corroborate the claim that a blast occurred in Delhi and that the Indian government has acknowledged it as a potential terror incident. This provides a strong factual basis for the news post.

- **Consistent Image-Image Analysis (Evidence 2):** The image-image comparison reveals a high degree of consistency. Both images depict a similar scene of damage, police presence, and investigation, reinforcing the likelihood that they are related to the same event. This visual corroboration supports the web-based verification.

- **Aligned Image-Text Analysis (Evidence 1):** The image and text are sentimentally and entity-wise aligned. The image shows a scene of destruction consistent with a blast, and the text accurately describes it as a "blast" and mentions the "Indian government." The event/action alignment also holds, with the image depicting the aftermath of an incident that the text describes.

- AGAINST (Arguments against REAL classification - but weaker):

- While the image doesn't explicitly show the blast itself, the aftermath depicted is consistent with an explosion. However, this is not a definitive proof, and it's possible the image could be from a different incident. This is a minor point, as the web-based verification provides strong support.

- The signage in the image is difficult to read, which limits the ability to definitively confirm the location as Delhi based solely on the image. However, the presence of police officers and the overall architectural style are suggestive of Delhi.

- **Confidence Level:** High. The strong web-based verification (Evidence 3) provides a solid foundation for the claim, and the image-image and image-text analyses offer consistent visual and contextual support. While there are minor limitations, the overall evidence strongly points towards the authenticity of the news post.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

## Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's analyze the image and text according to the provided steps.

### STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

**1. Text Sentiment:** The text "Delhi blast was terror incident, Indian government says" carries a negative and serious sentiment. It implies a tragic event and potential threat.

**2. Image Sentiment:** The image depicts a scene of destruction – a damaged vehicle, police presence, and what appears to be debris. The overall atmosphere is somber, chaotic, and potentially dangerous. The presence of police and emergency personnel suggests a serious incident.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned
- Reasoning: Both the text and the image convey a negative and serious sentiment, consistent with a "terror incident."

### STEP 2: Entity Consistency

**1. Text Entities:** The text mentions "Delhi" (location) and "Indian government" (organization).

**2. Image Entities:** The image shows a scene that appears to be in Delhi (based on signage and architectural style). Police officers are visible, representing the Indian government's presence and response.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Entities Aligned
- Reasoning: Both Delhi and the presence of authorities (representing the Indian government) are visually present in the image, aligning with the text's entities.

### STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

**1. Text Event/Action:** The text describes a "blast" categorized as a "terror incident."

**2. Image Depiction:** The image depicts the aftermath of an incident involving a damaged vehicle and debris, suggesting an explosion or forceful impact. The police presence indicates an investigation and response to an event.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned
- Reasoning: The image shows the aftermath of a destructive event, which aligns with the text's description of a "blast." While the image doesn't show the blast itself, it depicts the consequences consistent with a blast or explosion.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

---

## STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: TRUE
- Brief Reasoning: All three analyses (Sentiment Alignment, Entity Consistency, and Event/Action Consistency) indicate a strong alignment between the image and the text. The image visually supports the text's claim of a serious incident in Delhi involving the Indian government and consistent with a blast. The negative sentiment, the presence of key entities, and the depiction of a destructive event all reinforce the truthfulness of the pairing.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

## Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images step-by-step.

- STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

**1. Image 1 Sentiment:** The image conveys a negative sentiment. The scene depicts a damaged vehicle, police presence, and a person seemingly in distress or contemplation amidst the chaos. The overall atmosphere is somber and potentially unsettling.

**2. Image 2 Sentiment:** The image also conveys a negative sentiment. It shows a similar scene of a damaged area with police barricades and a person in what appears to be a forensic or investigative role. The presence of the barricades and the person's focused expression contribute to a serious and potentially distressing atmosphere.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned

- Reasoning: Both images depict scenes of damage and potential distress, resulting in a similar negative emotional tone.

- STEP 2: Entity Consistency

**1. Entities in Image 1:** The image shows police officers, a damaged vehicle, barricades, and a person in civilian clothing. Signage is visible, but the text is difficult to read.

**2. Entities in Image 2:** The image shows barricades, a damaged area, and a person in what appears to be a forensic or investigative role. Police vehicles are also visible.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Entities Aligned

- Reasoning: Both images share key entities like barricades and a damaged area, suggesting a consistent scene. While the specific individuals differ, the presence of law enforcement and a person involved in investigation/assessment is consistent.

- STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

**1. Event/Action in Image 1:** The image depicts the aftermath of an incident, likely a crash or explosion, with police investigating the scene and a person observing the damage.

**2. Event/Action in Image 2:** The image shows a similar aftermath, with a person seemingly examining the scene, likely as part of an investigation or assessment.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned

- Reasoning: Both images document the same event - the aftermath of a significant incident requiring investigation and assessment. The actions of the individuals in the images (police presence, investigation) are consistent.

- STEP 4: Final Judgment

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

---

- Judgment: TRUE

- Brief Reasoning: All three analyses (Sentiment, Entities, and Event/Action) indicate a high degree of consistency between the two images. The images appear to depict the same event from slightly different perspectives, supporting the claim that they are related and truthful. The consistent sentiment, shared entities, and aligned event/action descriptions strongly suggest the images are not misleading or manipulated.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

## Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

### Evidence Snippet #1

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A states that the Delhi blast was a terror incident according to the Indian government. Sentence B describes the event as 'the night that shook India's capital city.' While both refer to the same event (the Delhi blast), Sentence A provides a specific characterization (terror incident) that is not present in Sentence B. Therefore, they do not convey the same factual information.

### Evidence Snippet #2

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

### Evidence Snippet #3

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

### Evidence Snippet #4

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #5

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

### Evidence Snippet #6

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences refer to the same event: a Delhi blast. Sentence A states the government identifies it as a terror incident, and Sentence B reports that police invoked terrorism laws following the blast, which killed nine people. The core factual claim – the Delhi blast and its classification as a terror incident – is consistent between the two.

### Evidence Snippet #7

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences refer to the same event: a Delhi blast. Sentence A states the government identifies it as a terror incident, and Sentence B reports that police invoked terrorism laws following the blast, which killed nine people. The core factual claim – the Delhi blast and its classification as a terror incident – is consistent between the two.

### Evidence Snippet #8

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences refer to the same event: a Delhi blast. Sentence A states the government identifies it as a terror incident, and Sentence B reports that police invoked terrorism laws following the blast, which killed nine people. The core factual claim – the Delhi blast and its classification as a terror incident – is consistent between the two.



# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_37adb4ad

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #9

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: that a blast in Delhi was a terror incident, according to the Indian government. The entities (Delhi, Indian government) and the action (terror incident) are identical in both sentences.

### Evidence Snippet #10

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: that a blast in Delhi was a terror incident, according to the Indian government. The entities (Delhi, Indian government) and the action (terror incident) are identical in both sentences.