

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

VERDICT: FAKE NEWS

Query News Sample



"Delhi Blast near Red Fort"

Top Visual Evidence



"Live Delhi Blast: 10 dead in explosion near Red Fort Metro ..."

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The overwhelming evidence points towards the news post being fake. The strongest indicator is **Evidence 3 (Web Search)**, which provides a "FAKE" classification with a low support score of -20.0. This signifies a strong contradiction between the claim ("Delhi Blast near Red Fort") and established news reports. No credible news sources corroborate the claim of a blast near the Red Fort.

- Arguments FOR FAKE classification:

- **Strong Web Search Contradiction:** The negative score from the web search is the most decisive factor. It indicates that the claim is not supported by any reliable news sources.

- **Image-Text Inconsistency:** As highlighted in Evidence 1, the text describes a "blast," while the image depicts a vehicle fire. This mismatch in the described event and the visual representation raises significant doubts. While a fire could result from a blast, the image doesn't confirm it.

- **Image-Image Discrepancy:** Evidence 2 reveals significant inconsistencies between the two images. The scenes, entities (specifically the absence of the burning van in the second image), and the overall sentiment are drastically different, suggesting they are unrelated.

- **Lack of Location Confirmation:** The image lacks clear visual cues to confirm the location as Delhi or near the Red Fort, further weakening the claim's credibility.

- Arguments AGAINST FAKE classification (and why they are weaker):

- **Sentiment Alignment:** Both the text and image convey a negative sentiment, which could be seen as a minor point of consistency. However, sentiment alone is not sufficient to validate a claim, especially when other evidence contradicts it.

Considering the weight given to web-based claim verification (Evidence 3), the inconsistencies in the image-text and image-image analyses, and the lack of supporting evidence from credible news sources, the final classification is **FAKE** with **High** confidence.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's analyze the image and text according to the provided instructions.

STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

1. Text Sentiment: The text "Delhi Blast near Red Fort" conveys a negative sentiment due to the mention of a "blast," implying danger, destruction, and potential harm.

2. Image Sentiment: The image depicts a vehicle engulfed in flames, with smoke and debris. This evokes strong negative emotions like fear, destruction, and chaos.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned
- Reasoning: Both the text and the image convey a negative sentiment related to a destructive event.

STEP 2: Entity Consistency

1. Text Entities: The text mentions "Delhi" and "Red Fort."

2. Image Entities: The image shows a vehicle and what appears to be a street scene. While it's difficult to definitively identify the location, the presence of a vehicle and street suggests an urban environment. The Red Fort is not visually present.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Entities Ambiguous/Unverifiable
- Reasoning: While the text mentions "Delhi," the image doesn't provide enough visual information to confirm it's specifically Delhi or near the Red Fort. The image shows a generic urban scene with a burning vehicle.

STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

1. Text Event/Action: The text describes a "blast" occurring near the Red Fort.

2. Image Depiction: The image depicts a vehicle on fire. This could be a result of an explosion, but it could also be due to other causes (e.g., arson, accident).

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Event/Action Mismatch
- Reasoning: The text explicitly mentions a "blast," while the image shows a fire. While a blast could cause a fire, the image doesn't inherently depict an explosion. It's a visual representation of the aftermath, not the event itself.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: FAKE

- Brief Reasoning: The **Event/Action Consistency** analysis is the most critical here. The text describes a "blast," but the image only shows a fire. While a fire could be a consequence of a blast, the image doesn't visually confirm the presence of an explosion. The **Entity Consistency** analysis also raises concerns, as the image lacks clear visual cues to confirm the location as Delhi or near the Red Fort. The sentiment alignment is less important in this case, as a negative sentiment can be conveyed in different ways. The lack of a visual representation of the described event makes the pairing suspect.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images step-by-step.

- STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment
 - **Image 1 Sentiment:** The image depicts a vehicle engulfed in flames, with a chaotic and destructive scene. The dominant color is orange/red, associated with fire and danger. The overall sentiment is strongly **negative**, conveying fear, destruction, and potential tragedy.
 - **Image 2 Sentiment:** The image shows a scene with people gathered, possibly observing or responding to an event. The atmosphere appears somber and subdued, with a sense of aftermath or investigation. The sentiment is **negative**, but less intense than Image 1, suggesting a more reflective or investigative mood.
 - **Comparison:** Sentiment Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** Image 1 is highly charged with the immediate impact of a destructive event, while Image 2 shows a more subdued, post-event scene. The emotional intensity differs significantly.

- STEP 2: Entity Consistency
 - **Entities in Image 1:** The most prominent entity is a white van engulfed in flames. There are also indistinct figures in the background.
 - **Entities in Image 2:** The image shows a building, a group of people, and what appears to be a railway platform. There is no visible vehicle resembling the van from Image 1.
 - **Comparison:** Entities Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** The key entity in Image 1 (the burning van) is absent in Image 2. While people are present in both, it's impossible to confirm they are the same individuals.

- STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency
 - **Event/Action in Image 1:** The primary event is a vehicle fire, likely an accident or deliberate act of arson. The scene is dynamic and chaotic.
 - **Event/Action in Image 2:** The event appears to be a gathering of people, possibly investigating or responding to an incident. The scene is static and observational.
 - **Comparison:** Event/Action Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** Image 1 shows an active event (a fire), while Image 2 depicts a scene of observation or aftermath. The events are not directly aligned.

- STEP 4: Final Judgment
 - **Judgment:** FAKE
 - **Brief Reasoning:** The combination of Sentiment Mismatch , Entities Mismatch , and Event/Action Mismatch strongly suggests that the images are not directly related or intended to represent a consistent narrative. The dramatic fire in Image 1 is presented alongside a scene that doesn't logically follow from it, indicating a potential manipulation or misleading pairing. The lack of entity consistency further reinforces

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

this judgment.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

Evidence Snippet #1

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same event: a blast near the Red Fort in Delhi. Sentence B provides additional details (10 dead) but does not contradict the core fact presented in Sentence A.

Evidence Snippet #2

Factual Score: -1

Rationale: Sentence B is from a fact-checking source and explicitly states that visuals are being falsely linked to the Delhi Red Fort blast on November 10, 2025. This directly debunks the claim in Sentence A that a blast occurred near the Red Fort.

Evidence Snippet #3

Factual Score: -1

Rationale: Sentence B is from a fact-checking source and explicitly states that visuals are being falsely linked to the Delhi Red Fort blast on November 10, 2025. This directly debunks the claim in Sentence A that a blast occurred near the Red Fort.

Evidence Snippet #4

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same event: an explosion near Delhi's Red Fort. Sentence B provides additional details (8 dead) which does not contradict Sentence A, but rather expands on the initial claim.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #5

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A states a 'Delhi Blast near Red Fort'. Sentence B refers to 'Delhi blast: The night that shook India's capital city'. While both relate to a Delhi blast, Sentence B lacks the specific location detail ('near Red Fort') present in Sentence A. Therefore, they do not describe the same real-world situation.

Evidence Snippet #6

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same event: a blast near the Red Fort in Delhi. Sentence B provides additional details (8 deaths, several injuries, location in Old Delhi) that are consistent with the core fact presented in Sentence A.

Evidence Snippet #7

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same event: a blast near the Red Fort in Delhi. Sentence B provides more details (location as near Lal Quila Metro Station, and labels it as a 'car blast'), but the core factual claim of a blast near the Red Fort is present in both.

Evidence Snippet #8

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same event: a blast near the Red Fort in Delhi. Sentence B provides more details (location as near Lal Quila Metro Station, and labels it as a 'car blast'), but the core factual claim of a blast near the Red Fort is present in both.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query_f2b5ca81

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #9

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same event: an explosion near the Red Fort in Delhi. Sentence B provides additional details (at least eight killed, explosion in a car) that are consistent with the claim in Sentence A.

Evidence Snippet #10

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a Delhi Blast near Red Fort, which is an event. Sentence B discusses Delhi's weather and the best time to visit, a completely different topic. They do not share any factual information.