

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

VERDICT: FAKE NEWS

Query News Sample



"Singh is King while Modi is missing !"

Top Visual Evidence



"Singh is King | SikhNet"

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The overwhelming evidence points towards the news post being fake. The primary driver of this conclusion is **Evidence 3 (Web Search)**, which indicates a "LIKELY FAKE" classification with a low support score of 0.0. This signifies that web searches do not corroborate the claim that Modi was missing from the BRICS summit or that there's a significant narrative around Manmohan Singh's perceived prominence. This is the most crucial piece of evidence, carrying the highest weightage.

- Evidence 2 (Image-Image Consistency) further reinforces this judgment. The analysis reveals sentiment mismatches, entity mismatches, and event/action mismatches between the two images. The query image focuses on a single individual (Manmohan Singh) with a positive sentiment, while the evidence image presents a comparison of group photos highlighting Modi's absence and introducing a potentially critical tone. These inconsistencies suggest the images are being used to construct a misleading narrative.

- Evidence 1 (Image-Text Consistency) also supports the "FAKE" classification. The text's playful and slightly critical sentiment ("Singh is King, Modi is missing") doesn't align with the neutral and formal tone of the images depicting a diplomatic meeting. This mismatch in sentiment further indicates an attempt to create a narrative not directly supported by the visuals.

- Arguments FOR the classification (FAKE):

- Strong Web Search Result:** The low support score from web searches directly contradicts the claim's veracity.

- Visual Inconsistencies:** The image-to-image analysis highlights significant differences in sentiment, entities, and event focus, suggesting manipulation.

- Sentiment Mismatch:** The text's tone clashes with the neutral nature of the images.

- Arguments AGAINST the classification (REAL):

- There are no strong arguments to support the claim being real. The entities (Singh and Modi) are present in the images, and the event (BRICS meeting) is depicted, but the framing and sentiment are misleading and unsupported by external verification.

- Confidence Level: High

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's break down this news sample with the requested 4-step analysis.

STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

1. Text Sentiment: The text has a mixed sentiment. "Singh is King" conveys a positive, celebratory tone, while "Modi is missing" introduces a slightly negative or critical undertone (implying absence or lack of participation). Overall, it leans towards playful and potentially sarcastic.

2. Image Sentiment: The images show two group photos of BRICS leaders. The 2012 image has a more relaxed, informal feel. The 2024 image is more formal and serious. Neither image inherently conveys a strong emotional tone; they are primarily documentary in nature.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Sentiment Mismatch
- Reasoning: The text's playful and slightly critical sentiment ("Singh is King, Modi is missing") doesn't align with the neutral and formal tone of the images depicting a diplomatic meeting. The text attempts to inject a narrative of importance and absence, which isn't reflected in the visual representation.

STEP 2: Entity Consistency

1. Text Entities: The text mentions "Singh" and "Modi."

2. Image Entities: Both images clearly show Narendra Modi (in the 2024 image) and Manmohan Singh (in the 2012 image). The images also depict other BRICS leaders, but the text only references Singh and Modi.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Entities Aligned
- Reasoning: The text explicitly names Singh and Modi, and both are visually present in the corresponding images.

STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

1. Text Event/Action: The text refers to a BRICS meeting and highlights the presence of Singh and the absence of Modi. It implies a comparison between their roles or participation.

2. Image Depiction: The images depict BRICS leader meetings in 2012 and 2024. They visually represent the event of the BRICS summit.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned
- Reasoning: Both the text and the images relate to the BRICS summit. The images show the event taking

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

place, and the text references it, albeit with a specific commentary on the leaders' participation.

STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: FAKE
- Brief Reasoning: While the entities (Singh and Modi) are present in the images, and the event (BRICS meeting) is depicted, the overall pairing is misleading. The text's sentiment and framing ("Singh is King, Modi is missing") are not supported by the neutral and formal nature of the images. The text attempts to create a narrative that isn't visually evident, suggesting a potentially deceptive intent. The sentiment analysis (Output 1) is the most significant factor in this judgment.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images step-by-step.

- STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment
 - **Image 1 Sentiment:** The query image, featuring Manmohan Singh with the text "Singh is King," conveys a sense of respect, perhaps even admiration or nostalgia. The image of Singh is presented in a positive light.
 - **Image 2 Sentiment:** The evidence image, showing a group photo of BRICS leaders in 2012 and 2024, has a more formal and neutral tone. The text "Modi is Missing" introduces a slightly negative or critical element, implying a change or absence.
 - **Comparison:** Sentiment Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** The query image expresses a positive sentiment towards Manmohan Singh, while the evidence image, combined with the text, suggests a contrast or criticism related to Narendra Modi's absence.

- STEP 2: Entity Consistency
 - **Entities in Image 1:** Manmohan Singh.
 - **Entities in Image 2:** Narendra Modi, Manmohan Singh (in the 2012 image), and other BRICS leaders (Russia, Brazil, China, South Africa).
 - **Comparison:** Entities Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** While Manmohan Singh appears in both images, the primary focus of the evidence image is on Narendra Modi's absence, which is not present in the query image. The presence of other BRICS leaders also creates a difference in the entities represented.

- STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency
 - **Event/Action in Image 1:** A portrait of Manmohan Singh, likely intended to highlight his leadership or significance.
 - **Event/Action in Image 2:** A comparison of BRICS leader group photos from 2012 and 2024, with a focus on Narendra Modi's absence.
 - **Comparison:** Event/Action Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** The query image focuses on an individual (Manmohan Singh), while the evidence image depicts a group event (BRICS summit) and highlights a change in participation (Modi's absence).

- STEP 4: Final Judgment
 - **Judgment:** FAKE
 - **Brief Reasoning:** The combination of the images and text is misleading. The query image's positive sentiment towards Manmohan Singh is juxtaposed with the evidence image's implication of criticism regarding Narendra Modi's absence. The images do not logically support each other and appear to be used to create a narrative that is not directly verifiable from the images themselves. The sentiment

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

mismatch and event/action mismatch are the most significant factors in this judgment.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

Evidence Snippet #1

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment. Sentence B is a title of a webpage on SikhNet. They do not describe the same real-world situation or facts.

Evidence Snippet #2

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment about Singh, Modi, and their roles. Sentence B discusses the history of the Shiromani Akali Dal in Punjab. They are related to politics but refer to different facts and events. Sentence B does not debunk or confirm the claim in Sentence A.

Evidence Snippet #3

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment about Singh and Modi. Sentence B describes the veto power of the Indian President, a distinct topic. They do not share any factual information.

Evidence Snippet #4

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement with a rhyming structure, expressing a sentiment about Singh and Modi. Sentence B states that Lucknow and Patna are following something, but it does not relate to the claim in Sentence A. They refer to different topics.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #5

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment. Sentence B is a link to the Aam Aadmi Party's website. They refer to different facts and are not related.

Evidence Snippet #6

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement and does not convey any factual information. Sentence B discusses population control in India, which is a different topic. Therefore, they refer to different facts.

Evidence Snippet #7

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment. Sentence B reports that the BJP (presumably responding to the sentiment in Sentence A) 'hit back.' They refer to different facts; Sentence A is an opinion/statement, and Sentence B is a report of a reaction to that statement. There is no factual alignment.

Evidence Snippet #8

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment about Singh and Modi. Sentence B describes an event where Trump embarrassed Modi. These are different events and do not convey the same factual information.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 38

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #9

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment about Singh, Modi, and an election. Sentence B asks a question about a specific candidate (Kunalbaba Patil) receiving zero votes in an unspecified election. They are unrelated topics.

Evidence Snippet #10

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A is a political statement expressing a sentiment about Singh, Modi, and their positions. Sentence B discusses the weather and best time to visit Delhi in 2025. These are completely unrelated topics and do not share any factual information.