

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

**VERDICT: FAKE NEWS**

## Query News Sample



"Delhiites have decided Kejriwal has to be driven out!"

## Top Visual Evidence



"Why do people of Delhi love the Aam Aadmi Party? - Quora"

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

## Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The overwhelming evidence points towards the news post being fake. The strongest indicator is **Evidence 3 (Web Search)**, which assigns a "LIKELY FAKE" classification with a support score of 0.0. This signifies a complete lack of corroborating evidence from reliable news sources to support the claim that "Delhiites have decided Kejriwal has to be driven out!"

- Arguments FOR FAKE classification:

- **Strong Web Search Result:** The lack of any supporting news articles or reports directly addressing this claim is a significant red flag.

- **Image-Text Mismatch (Evidence 1):** The image of auto-rickshaws in Delhi simply doesn't align with the aggressive sentiment and political action described in the text. There's no visual representation of a protest, demonstration, or any event suggesting Delhiites are actively trying to remove Kejriwal. The text's sentiment is dramatically at odds with the image's neutral tone.

- **Image-Image Mismatch (Evidence 2):** The comparison with a retrieved image of the Taj Mahal further highlights the lack of connection. The two images are completely unrelated in terms of location, subject matter, and emotional tone, reinforcing the idea that the image is being used out of context.

- Arguments AGAINST FAKE classification (Weak):

- **Entity Alignment (Evidence 1):** The text and image do share the entity "Kejriwal," which is visible on the auto-rickshaw signage. However, this single point of alignment is insufficient to outweigh the significant mismatches in sentiment and event/action. The presence of Kejriwal's name doesn't validate the entire claim.

Given the strong negative web search result and the clear inconsistencies between the text and the image, the final classification is FAKE with **High** confidence.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

## Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's analyze the image and text according to the provided steps.

### STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

**1. Text Sentiment:** The text "Delhiites have decided Kejriwal has to be driven out!" expresses a strongly negative sentiment. It conveys anger, disapproval, and a desire for removal.

**2. Image Sentiment:** The image shows a line of auto-rickshaws in Delhi. The scene itself is relatively neutral. The presence of the vehicles and the signage on them doesn't inherently convey a strong emotional tone. It could be interpreted as a depiction of everyday life in Delhi.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Sentiment Mismatch
- Reasoning: The text is highly charged with negative sentiment, while the image presents a neutral scene of transportation in Delhi. There's no visual indication of anger or a desire for someone to be "driven out."

### STEP 2: Entity Consistency

**1. Text Entities:** The text mentions "Delhiites" (people of Delhi) and "Kejriwal" (likely referring to Arvind Kejriwal, a prominent politician).

**2. Image Entities:** The image shows vehicles commonly found in Delhi (auto-rickshaws). The signage on the auto-rickshaws mentions "Kejriwal," which is a direct visual representation of the named entity.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Entities Aligned
- Reasoning: The text mentions "Delhiites" and "Kejriwal," and the image visually depicts vehicles in Delhi and includes the name "Kejriwal" on the signage.

### STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

**1. Text Event/Action:** The text describes a decision by "Delhiites" to "drive out" Kejriwal, implying a political action or demand for his removal.

**2. Image Depiction:** The image shows a static scene of auto-rickshaws parked. There's no visible action or event taking place. It doesn't depict a protest, a political rally, or any action related to the text's claim.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Event/Action Mismatch
- Reasoning: The text describes a political event ("driving out" Kejriwal), while the image shows a

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

---

mundane scene of vehicles. There's no visual representation of the action described in the text.

---

## STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: FAKE

- Brief Reasoning: The most significant factor in this judgment is the Event/Action Mismatch . While the entities are aligned (Kejriwal is mentioned in the text and visible in the image), the image fails to depict the core event described in the text – the action of "driving out" Kejriwal. The sentiment mismatch further reinforces the disconnect between the text and the image. The image is simply a picture of auto-rickshaws in Delhi, and its presence alongside the text is misleading.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

## Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images provided.

- **STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment**
  - **Image 1 Sentiment:** The image of the auto-rickshaws in Delhi conveys a sense of everyday life, perhaps a bit chaotic but generally neutral. There's no strong emotional tone.
  - **Image 2 Sentiment:** The image of the Taj Mahal evokes a sense of awe, beauty, and historical significance. It's generally positive and serene.
  - **Comparison:** Sentiment Mismatch
  - **Reasoning:** The first image depicts a mundane, everyday scene, while the second showcases a majestic and iconic landmark. The emotional tones are quite different.
- **STEP 2: Entity Consistency**
  - **Entities in Image 1:** The primary entities are auto-rickshaws, Delhi (indicated by the text on the vehicle), and potentially the city of Delhi itself.
  - **Entities in Image 2:** The primary entity is the Taj Mahal, located in Agra, India.
  - **Comparison:** Entities Mismatch
  - **Reasoning:** While both images are related to India, the specific entities (auto-rickshaws in Delhi vs. the Taj Mahal in Agra) are different.
- **STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency**
  - **Event/Action in Image 1:** The image depicts a common scene of transportation in Delhi - auto-rickshaws on a road. It suggests movement and urban activity.
  - **Event/Action in Image 2:** The image shows the Taj Mahal, a static architectural monument. It represents historical preservation and tourism.
  - **Comparison:** Event/Action Mismatch
  - **Reasoning:** One image shows a dynamic, ongoing activity (transportation), while the other depicts a static, historical structure.
- **STEP 4: Final Judgment**
  - **Judgment:** FAKE
  - **Brief Reasoning:** The combination of the three analyses (Sentiment Mismatch, Entities Mismatch, and Event/Action Mismatch) strongly suggests that the images are not related in a way that supports a truthful claim. They depict different locations, different activities, and evoke different emotions. Pairing them together is likely misleading.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

## Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

### Evidence Snippet #1

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A expresses an opinion about Delhiites wanting to remove Kejriwal. Sentence B poses a question about why people of Delhi love the Aam Aadmi Party. They address different topics - one about removal and the other about affection - and do not share the same factual information.

### Evidence Snippet #2

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A expresses an opinion about Delhiites wanting Kejriwal to leave, which is a subjective claim. Sentence B simply links to the Aam Aadmi Party's website, which does not confirm or deny this claim. They refer to different facts.

### Evidence Snippet #3

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A expresses an opinion about Delhiites' sentiment towards Kejriwal, suggesting they want him removed. Sentence B refers to a criticism of a decision, calling it a 'betrayal' and 'bonanza.' These are different topics and do not describe the same real-world situation.

### Evidence Snippet #4

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A discusses a political sentiment ('Delhiites have decided Kejriwal has to be driven out!'), while Sentence B describes weather and tourism information for Delhi in 2025. These are unrelated topics.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #5

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A claims Delhi residents want Kejriwal removed. Sentence B states that Lucknow and Patna are following something, but it doesn't specify what or relate to the claim about Kejriwal. They refer to different facts.

### Evidence Snippet #6

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A discusses a sentiment among Delhi residents regarding Arvind Kejriwal, implying a desire for him to leave. Sentence B discusses a policy related to population control in India. These are entirely different topics and do not share any factual overlap.

### Evidence Snippet #7

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A discusses a sentiment among Delhiites regarding Arvind Kejriwal, implying a desire for him to leave. Sentence B describes the veto power of the President of India. These are entirely different topics and do not share any factual overlap.

### Evidence Snippet #8

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A expresses an opinion about Delhi residents wanting to 'drive out' Kejriwal, which is a political statement. Sentence B lists tourist destinations in New Delhi. These are unrelated topics.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 69

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #9

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A expresses an opinion about Delhi residents wanting to 'drive out' Kejriwal, which is a subjective claim. Sentence B describes 'the dystopian winters of Delhi,' referring to a weather phenomenon. These are different topics and do not share the same factual content.

### Evidence Snippet #10

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A expresses an opinion about Delhiites' sentiment towards Kejriwal, suggesting they want him removed. Sentence B simply states that the BJP is responding. These are different facts; one is an assertion of public opinion, and the other is a political action. There is no overlap in the factual claims.