

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

## VERDICT: TRUE NEWS

### Query News Sample



"Delhi blast was terror incident, Indian government says"

### Top Visual Evidence



"Delhi blast: The night that shook India's capital city"

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

## Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The evidence strongly suggests the news post is real. Here's a breakdown of the reasoning, prioritizing the provided instructions:

- FOR REAL:

**Strong Web-Based Verification (Evidence 3):** The "Summary Score" of 20.0 indicates "High Support," meaning web searches strongly support the claim that a blast occurred in Delhi and that the Indian government has classified it as a terror incident. This is the most significant piece of evidence.

**Image-Image Consistency (Evidence 2):** The image-image comparison reveals a high degree of consistency between the query image and the retrieved evidence image. Both depict similar scenes of damage, police presence, and investigation, reinforcing the likelihood that they are related to the same event.

**Image-Text Alignment (Evidence 1):** The image and text align well in terms of sentiment, entities, and event depiction. The image shows a scene consistent with a blast aftermath, and the text accurately describes it as a "terror incident" involving the Indian government. The presence of police in the image corroborates the text's mention of the government's involvement.

- AGAINST REAL:

There are no significant arguments against the claim being real. While the image alone doesn't definitively prove it's a "terror incident," the combination of the image's depiction of a destructive event, the police presence, and the strong web-based verification provides compelling evidence. The lack of any contradictory information in the provided evidence makes it difficult to argue against the claim's authenticity.

**Confidence Level:** High. The strong support from web-based verification (Evidence 3) is the most decisive factor, and the consistency across all three evidence types further strengthens the conclusion that the news post is likely real.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

## Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's analyze the image and text according to the provided steps.

### STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

**1. Text Sentiment:** The text "Delhi blast was terror incident, Indian government says" carries a negative and serious sentiment. It implies a tragic event and potential threat.

**2. Image Sentiment:** The image depicts a scene of destruction – a damaged vehicle, police presence, and what appears to be debris. The overall atmosphere is somber, chaotic, and potentially dangerous. The presence of police and emergency personnel suggests a serious incident.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned
- Reasoning: Both the text and the image convey a negative and serious sentiment, consistent with a "terror incident."

### STEP 2: Entity Consistency

**1. Text Entities:** The text mentions "Delhi" (location) and "Indian government" (organization).

**2. Image Entities:** The image shows a scene that appears to be in Delhi (based on signage and architectural style). Police officers are visible, representing the Indian government's presence and response.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Entities Aligned
- Reasoning: Both Delhi and the presence of government officials (police) are visually represented in the image, aligning with the text's entities.

### STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

**1. Text Event/Action:** The text describes a "blast" categorized as a "terror incident."

**2. Image Depiction:** The image depicts the aftermath of a destructive event. The damaged vehicle and debris strongly suggest an explosion or impact. The police presence indicates an investigation and response to an incident.

**3. Comparison:**

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned
- Reasoning: The image visually represents the aftermath of a destructive event, consistent with the text's description of a "blast."

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

---

## STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: TRUE
- Brief Reasoning: All three analyses (Sentiment Alignment, Entity Consistency, and Event/Action Consistency) indicate a strong alignment between the text and the image. The image visually supports the text's claim of a serious incident in Delhi involving the Indian government. The scene depicted is consistent with the description of a "terror incident" and the aftermath of a blast.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

## Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images step-by-step.

- STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

**1. Image 1 Sentiment:** The image conveys a negative sentiment. The scene depicts a damaged vehicle, police presence, and a person seemingly in distress or contemplation amidst the chaos. The overall atmosphere is somber and potentially unsettling.

**2. Image 2 Sentiment:** The image also conveys a negative sentiment. It shows a similar scene of a damaged area with police barricades and a person in what appears to be a forensic or investigative role. The presence of the barricades and the person's focused expression contribute to a serious and potentially somber mood.

### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned  
- Reasoning: Both images depict scenes of damage and investigation, resulting in a similar negative and serious emotional tone.

- STEP 2: Entity Consistency

**1. Entities in Image 1:** The image shows police officers, a damaged vehicle, barricades, and a person in civilian clothing. Signage is visible, but the text is difficult to read.

**2. Entities in Image 2:** The image shows barricades, a damaged area, and a person in what appears to be a forensic or investigative role. Police vehicles are also visible.

### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Entities Aligned  
- Reasoning: Both images share key entities like barricades and a damaged area, suggesting a consistent scene. While the specific individuals differ, the presence of law enforcement and a person involved in investigation is consistent across both images.

- STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

**1. Event/Action in Image 1:** The image depicts the aftermath of an incident, likely a crash or explosion, with police investigating the scene and a person observing the damage.

**2. Event/Action in Image 2:** The image shows a similar aftermath scene, with a person seemingly examining the area, likely as part of an investigation.

### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned  
- Reasoning: Both images document the same event - the aftermath of a significant incident requiring investigation and security measures. The actions of the individuals in the images (police presence, investigation) are consistent.

- STEP 4: Final Judgment

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

---

- Judgment: TRUE
- Brief Reasoning: All three analyses (Sentiment, Entities, and Event/Action) indicate a high degree of consistency between the two images. The images appear to depict the same event from slightly different perspectives, supporting a truthful claim. The aligned sentiment, consistent entities, and similar event/action strongly suggest the images are related and not misleading.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

## Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

### Evidence Snippet #1

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A states that the Delhi blast was a terror incident according to the Indian government. Sentence B describes the event as 'the night that shook India's capital city.' While both refer to the same event (the Delhi blast), Sentence A provides a specific characterization (terror incident) that is not present in Sentence B. Therefore, they do not convey the same factual information.

### Evidence Snippet #2

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

### Evidence Snippet #3

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

### Evidence Snippet #4

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #5

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

### Evidence Snippet #6

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: the Delhi blast is being treated as a terror incident by the Indian government. Sentence B, from The Guardian, corroborates this claim.

### Evidence Snippet #7

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences refer to the same event: a Delhi blast. Sentence A states the government identifies it as a terror incident, and Sentence B reports that police invoked terrorism laws following the blast, which killed nine people. The core factual claim – the Delhi blast and its classification as a terror incident – is consistent between the two.

### Evidence Snippet #8

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences refer to the same event: a Delhi blast. Sentence A states the government identifies it as a terror incident, and Sentence B reports that police invoked terrorism laws following the blast, which killed nine people. The core factual claim – the Delhi blast and its classification as a terror incident – is consistent between the two.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: query\_0911d292

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #9

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences refer to the same event: a Delhi blast. Sentence A states the government identifies it as a terror incident, and Sentence B reports that police invoked terrorism laws following the blast, which killed nine people. The core factual claim – the Delhi blast and its classification as a terror incident – is consistent between the two.

### Evidence Snippet #10

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences state the same fact: that a blast in Delhi was a terror incident, according to the Indian government. The entities (Delhi, Indian government) and the action (terror incident) are identical in both sentences.