

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

VERDICT: FAKE NEWS

Query News Sample



"Congress candidate Kunal Baba Patil was polled 0 votes. 70% of the villagers came out to protest against ECI."

Top Visual Evidence



"Did Congress candidate Kunalbaba Patil get 0 votes in ..."

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The overwhelming evidence points towards the news post being fake. The strongest indicator is **Evidence 3 (Web Search)**, which assigns a low support score of -20.0, indicating a lack of corroboration for the claim across multiple news sources. This immediately raises a significant red flag.

- Arguments FOR FAKE classification:

- **Strong Web Search Result:** The negative score from the web search is the most compelling piece of evidence. It suggests that the claim about Kunal Baba Patil receiving zero votes and the subsequent protest is not supported by credible news reports.

- **Image-Text Inconsistency: Evidence 1 (Image-Text)** highlights a critical mismatch. While the image depicts a crowd with raised hands, potentially indicating a protest, it lacks any specific identifiers linking it to Kunal Baba Patil or the ECI. This generic imagery could be from any protest event, making the pairing suspect.

- **Image-Image Inconsistency: Evidence 2 (Image-Image)** further reinforces the fake classification. The stark contrast between the celebratory crowd image and the location pin logo demonstrates a complete lack of visual coherence and suggests manipulation. The images are unrelated and likely pulled from different contexts.

- Arguments AGAINST FAKE classification (and why they are weaker):

- **Sentiment Alignment (Evidence 1):** The initial sentiment analysis noted alignment between the text and image, both conveying a sense of unrest. However, this is a superficial observation. The lack of entity consistency overshadows this minor alignment. A protest could be happening, but the image doesn't prove it's this specific protest.

Considering the strong negative web search result and the significant inconsistencies between the image and text, and between the two images, the final classification is FAKE with high confidence.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's analyze the image and text provided.

STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

1. Text Sentiment: The text conveys a negative sentiment. The phrase "protest against ECI" clearly indicates disapproval and likely anger or frustration. The mention of a candidate receiving zero votes also contributes to a negative tone.

2. Image Sentiment: The image shows a crowd of people with raised hands, seemingly in a chaotic or agitated state. The blurry quality and the raised arms suggest excitement, protest, or possibly even anger.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned

- Reasoning: Both the text and the image convey a sense of unrest and negativity. The text describes a protest, and the image visually depicts a crowd of people in a potentially agitated state.

STEP 2: Entity Consistency

1. Text Entities:

- Kunal Baba Patil (Person - Congress candidate)
- ECI (Organization - Election Commission of India)

2. Image Entities: The image does not clearly show any specific individuals. It's a crowd of people. It's impossible to identify Kunal Baba Patil or any representatives of the ECI.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Entities Mismatch

- Reasoning: While the text mentions specific entities (Kunal Baba Patil and ECI), the image does not visually represent them. It only shows a generic crowd.

STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

1. Text Event/Action: The main event described is a protest by villagers against the Election Commission of India (ECI) due to the Congress candidate, Kunal Baba Patil, receiving zero votes.

2. Image Depiction: The image depicts a crowd of people with raised hands, suggesting a gathering or demonstration. It could be interpreted as a protest.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned

- Reasoning: The text describes a protest, and the image shows a crowd of people, which is consistent

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

with a protest or demonstration.

STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: FAKE
- Brief Reasoning: While the sentiment and event/action are aligned (both suggesting a protest), the significant entity mismatch raises concerns. The image lacks any identifiable individuals or symbols related to the specific entities mentioned in the text (Kunal Baba Patil or ECI). The generic nature of the image makes it difficult to verify its direct connection to the described event. The image could be from any protest, making it a potentially misleading pairing.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images.

- STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment
 - **Image 1 Sentiment:** The image shows a crowd of people with their hands raised in what appears to be a celebratory or enthusiastic manner. The overall sentiment is positive and energetic.
 - **Image 2 Sentiment:** The image is a simple logo of a blue circle with a white icon resembling a location pin. It conveys a neutral and informational sentiment.
 - **Comparison:** Sentiment Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** The first image evokes a feeling of excitement and celebration, while the second image is purely informational and lacks any emotional tone.

- STEP 2: Entity Consistency
 - **Entities in Image 1:** The image shows a crowd of people, likely in a public space. It's difficult to identify specific individuals.
 - **Entities in Image 2:** The image is a logo, and does not contain any people or places.
 - **Comparison:** Entities Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** The first image depicts people, while the second image is a logo. There is no overlap in entities.

- STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency
 - **Event/Action in Image 1:** The image depicts a crowd celebrating or reacting to something with raised hands. It suggests a public event or gathering.
 - **Event/Action in Image 2:** The image shows a location pin, which represents a location or place. It doesn't depict an event or action.
 - **Comparison:** Event/Action Mismatch
 - **Reasoning:** The first image shows a dynamic event, while the second image represents a static location.

- STEP 4: Final Judgment
 - **Judgment:** FAKE
 - **Brief Reasoning:** All three analyses (Sentiment, Entities, and Event/Action) indicate a significant mismatch between the images. The celebratory scene in Image 1 is completely unrelated to the location pin in Image 2. This pairing is likely misleading or manipulated, as there is no logical connection between the two images.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

Evidence Snippet #1

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a specific event: a Congress candidate receiving 0 votes and villagers protesting the ECI. Sentence B is a question about whether the Congress candidate received 0 votes, indicating an inquiry into the claim but not confirming or denying it. They refer to different facts; Sentence B is an investigation into Sentence A's claim, not a confirmation of it.

Evidence Snippet #2

Factual Score: -1

Rationale: Sentence A claims that Congress candidate Kunal Baba Patil received 0 votes. Sentence B, from the fact-checking website Factly, explicitly states that he received 1,057 votes. Therefore, Sentence B debunks the claim made in Sentence A.

Evidence Snippet #3

Factual Score: -1

Rationale: Sentence B is from BOOM, a fact-checking website, and explicitly states that the claim of the Congress candidate receiving zero votes is false. This directly contradicts the claim made in Sentence A.

Evidence Snippet #4

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes an event related to a Congress candidate receiving zero votes and a protest against the Election Commission of India (ECI). Sentence B describes a completely different event involving an elderly man being crushed by a former Chief Minister's convoy in Andhra Pradesh. These are unrelated events.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #5

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a Congress candidate receiving 0 votes and villagers protesting against the ECI. Sentence B discusses a Congress MLA's speech and the BJP's reaction to it. These are different events and do not share the same factual content.

Evidence Snippet #6

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a local election event (Congress candidate receiving 0 votes and a protest against ECI). Sentence B reports on a traffic jam. These are unrelated events.

Evidence Snippet #7

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A discusses a Congress candidate receiving 0 votes and a protest against the Election Commission of India (ECI). Sentence B discusses a different event: an incident involving Narain Singh Chaura opening fire at Sukhbir Singh Badal at the Golden Temple. These are unrelated events.

Evidence Snippet #8

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a polling result and a protest related to an ECI decision. Sentence B refers to a video from 2019 Anti-CAA protests being shared with a communal spin. These are different events and do not describe the same real-world situation.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 31

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #9

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes an event related to a Congress candidate receiving zero votes and a protest against the Election Commission. Sentence B discusses a judge's remarks and impeachment proceedings. These are distinct events and do not share the same factual content.

Evidence Snippet #10

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A discusses a Congress candidate receiving zero votes and a protest against the Election Commission. Sentence B reports on individuals being arrested for operating a drone near a political meeting. These are unrelated events.