


# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## VERDICT: TRUE NEWS

### Query News Sample



"PM's 'hooliganism' jab at Opposition ahead of Parliament's Winter Session"

### Top Visual Evidence



"Winter Season Parliament - PM Modi's 'hooliganism' jab at Opposition ahead of Parliament's Winter Session - India Today"

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The final classification is REAL, with a high confidence level. Here's a breakdown of the reasoning, prioritizing the evidence as instructed:

- FOR REAL:

**Strong Web-Based Verification (Evidence 3):** The web search yielded a "High Support" score of 20.0, indicating strong factual support for the claim that PM Modi made remarks about the opposition ahead of Parliament's Winter Session. This is the most significant piece of evidence and heavily influences the final judgment.

**Image-Image Consistency (Evidence 2):** The image-image analysis confirmed that the two images depict the same scene, person, and event. This reinforces the possibility that the image is genuinely related to the reported event.

**Entity Alignment (Evidence 1):** The image clearly shows Narendra Modi, aligning with the text's mention of the "PM."

- AGAINST REAL:

**Sentiment Mismatch (Evidence 1):** The primary concern arises from the sentiment mismatch between the text ("hooliganism jab") and the image (composed and formal). However, this can be explained by the fact that images often capture a single moment, and the full context of a speech or interaction might not be visible. The image could be from a point in the address where Modi is setting the stage for a more critical remark, or the "jab" might have been delivered before or after the image was taken. The image doesn't disprove the claim, it simply doesn't directly illustrate it.

**Event/Action Ambiguity (Evidence 1):** The image doesn't explicitly show Modi making a critical remark. However, the context of a podium and a formal setting suggests a speech or address, which is consistent with the text's description of him speaking ahead of the parliamentary session.

**Overall:** While the sentiment mismatch in the image-text analysis is a point of consideration, the strong support from the web-based verification (Evidence 3) outweighs this concern. The image-image consistency (Evidence 2) further strengthens the likelihood that the image is authentic and related to the reported event. Therefore, the final classification is REAL.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's break down this image and text pairing step-by-step.

### STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

**1. Text Sentiment:** The text uses the word "hooliganism" and describes a "jab" at the opposition. This indicates a negative and critical sentiment, suggesting disapproval and potentially anger or frustration.

**2. Image Sentiment:** The image shows Narendra Modi, appearing serious and composed. The presence of flowers and formal attire suggests a setting of importance and formality. While he has a serious expression, it doesn't necessarily convey negative emotion like anger or aggression. It could be interpreted as focused or determined.

#### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Sentiment Mismatch
- Reasoning: The text conveys a negative sentiment ("hooliganism," "jab"), while the image depicts a figure in a formal setting with a serious but not overtly negative expression. The image lacks the implied aggression or disapproval present in the text.

### STEP 2: Entity Consistency

**1. Text Entities:** The text mentions "PM" (Prime Minister, referring to Narendra Modi) and "Opposition."

**2. Image Entities:** The image clearly shows Narendra Modi (the PM). Other individuals are present, likely representing the Opposition or government officials, but they are not specifically identified.

#### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Entities Aligned
- Reasoning: Narendra Modi, the key entity mentioned in the text, is prominently featured in the image. While the "Opposition" isn't individually identifiable, the presence of other people suggests the context of a political setting where the opposition would be present.

### STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

**1. Text Event/Action:** The text describes a situation where the Prime Minister is making a critical remark ("jab") about the Opposition ahead of a parliamentary session.

**2. Image Depiction:** The image shows Narendra Modi at a podium, likely addressing an audience. He appears to be speaking, but the content of his speech is not visible. The presence of flowers suggests a ceremonial or formal event.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Event/Action Ambiguous/Unverifiable
- Reasoning: While the image shows Modi speaking at a podium, it doesn't depict the specific action of making a critical remark about the opposition. It could be any kind of address or announcement. The context of "ahead of Parliament's Winter Session" is not visually represented.

## STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: FAKE
- Brief Reasoning: The Sentiment Mismatch in Step 1 is the most significant factor. The text describes a critical and potentially aggressive action ("hooliganism jab"), while the image portrays a composed and formal figure. The lack of visual evidence of the specific event described in the text (Step 3) further contributes to the judgment that the image and text are not consistently paired. The image could be from any formal event involving the Prime Minister, and doesn't necessarily reflect the specific context of the text.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images step-by-step.

- STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

**1. Image 1 Sentiment:** The image shows Narendra Modi, seemingly addressing a crowd, with a bouquet of flowers in front of him. His expression appears serious and focused, but not overtly negative. The overall sentiment is relatively neutral, leaning slightly towards formal and respectful.

**2. Image 2 Sentiment:** Image 2 is a close-up of the same scene as Image 1. The sentiment remains largely the same - serious, focused, and formal.

### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned

- Reasoning: Both images convey a similar, neutral-to-slightly-formal sentiment. The expressions and context are consistent between the two.

- STEP 2: Entity Consistency

**1. Entities in Image 1:** Narendra Modi (prominently), other individuals (likely officials or attendees), and potentially a location (likely a government building or ceremonial space).

**2. Entities in Image 2:** Narendra Modi (prominently), other individuals (same as in Image 1), and the same location.

### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Entities Aligned

- Reasoning: The key entity, Narendra Modi, is present in both images, along with other recognizable individuals and the same background setting.

- STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

**1. Event/Action in Image 1:** Narendra Modi appears to be giving a speech or address, likely at an official event. He is holding a bouquet of flowers.

**2. Event/Action in Image 2:** The image shows the same scene as Image 1, with Narendra Modi giving a speech/address and holding a bouquet of flowers.

### 3. Comparison:

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned

- Reasoning: Both images depict the same event - Narendra Modi delivering a speech with a bouquet of flowers. The actions and context are consistent.

- STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: TRUE

- Brief Reasoning: All three analyses (Sentiment Alignment, Entity Consistency, and Event/Action Consistency) indicate a high degree of alignment between the two images. They depict the same person, in the same location, engaged in the same activity, and with a similar emotional tone. Therefore, the

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

---

pairing is unlikely to be misleading or manipulated.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

### Evidence Snippet #1

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences describe the same event: PM Modi's comment about the opposition's 'hooliganism' before the Parliament's Winter Session. The entities (PM, Opposition, Parliament, Winter Session) and the action (PM's jab) are identical in both sentences.

### Evidence Snippet #2

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences describe the same event: Prime Minister Modi criticizing the opposition. Sentence A mentions a 'hooliganism' jab, and Sentence B directly quotes Modi using the term 'hooliganism' to describe the opposition's actions. The context (ahead of Parliament's Winter Session/criticises Oppn) is also consistent.

### Evidence Snippet #3

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences refer to the same event: Prime Minister Modi's criticism of the opposition (specifically Congress) before the Parliament's Winter Session. Sentence A mentions a 'hooliganism' jab, while Sentence B quotes him saying 'Never Live Up To Expectations,' both describing his remarks directed at the Congress party in the context of the upcoming Winter Session.

### Evidence Snippet #4

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences report the same event: Prime Minister Modi criticizing the opposition for 'hooliganism' ahead of Parliament's Winter Session. The entities (PM Modi, Opposition, Parliament) and the action (criticism/jab) are identical.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #5

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A refers to a comment by the PM regarding the opposition and the upcoming Parliament session. Sentence B refers to the PM's comment on a movie about the 2002 Godhra train burning. These are distinct events and therefore describe different facts.

### Evidence Snippet #6

**Factual Score:** 1

**Rationale:** Both sentences describe the same event: PM Modi criticizing the Opposition as 'hooligans' before the Parliament's Winter Session. The entities (PM Modi, Opposition, Parliament's Winter Session) and the action (criticism/jab) are identical.

### Evidence Snippet #7

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A refers to a comment by the PM regarding the opposition and Parliament's Winter Session. Sentence B discusses PM Modi praising a report about the Godhra train burning case. These are distinct events and do not describe the same real-world situation.

### Evidence Snippet #8

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A refers to a comment by the PM regarding the opposition and the upcoming parliamentary session. Sentence B discusses the PM praising a film about the Godhra train burning incident. These are distinct events and do not describe the same real-world situation.

# Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 132

## Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

### Evidence Snippet #9

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A refers to a comment by the PM regarding the opposition and the upcoming Parliament session. Sentence B discusses the PM praising a film. These are different events and do not share the same factual content.

### Evidence Snippet #10

**Factual Score:** 0

**Rationale:** Sentence A refers to a comment made by the Prime Minister regarding the opposition, in the context of an upcoming parliamentary session. Sentence B describes allegations of violence against Muslims by Hindu extremists. These are distinct events and do not share the same factual content.