

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

VERDICT: TRUE NEWS

Query News Sample



"Tiger terror in UP village, locals warned against going out at night"

Top Visual Evidence



"Tiger terror in UP's Lakhimpur Kheri, 4 deaths in a month"

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

Final Unified Reasoning

- Detailed Reasoning:

The final classification is REAL, with a high confidence level. This determination is primarily driven by the strong support score (20.0) from the web-based claim verification (Evidence 3). This indicates a high degree of factual consistency between the news post's claim ("Tiger terror in UP village, locals warned against going out at night") and multiple real news headlines.

- Arguments FOR the REAL classification:

- Strong Web-Based Verification:** The high support score from Evidence 3 is the most compelling factor. It suggests that similar incidents involving tigers in Uttar Pradesh villages and subsequent warnings to residents have been reported in credible news sources.

- Image-Text Alignment:** Evidence 1 confirms a good alignment between the image and the text.

The image depicts a tiger in a natural setting, consistent with the text's mention of a tiger in a village area. The sentiment alignment (fear/unease) also supports the narrative.

- Plausibility:** Tiger sightings in Uttar Pradesh are not unheard of, and reports of villagers being warned due to such incidents are plausible.

- Arguments AGAINST the REAL classification:

- Image-Image Inconsistency:** Evidence 2 reveals a significant mismatch between the query image (tiger in foliage) and the retrieved evidence image (group of people observing a field). This suggests the images are not directly related and could indicate manipulation or misrepresentation. However, this is a weaker argument because the images don't necessarily need to be directly related to support the claim. The query image could be from a different, but related, incident.

- Lack of Direct Village Depiction:** Neither the image nor the text explicitly shows the village or the warnings being issued. This is a minor point, as the presence of the tiger is the core element of the claim, and the image effectively conveys that.

- Overall:** While the image-image inconsistency (Evidence 2) raises a slight concern, the overwhelming support from the web-based verification (Evidence 3) and the reasonable alignment between the image and text (Evidence 1) strongly suggest that the news post is authentic. The image likely depicts a real tiger sighting in a relevant location, and the text accurately reflects the reported consequences.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

Image vs. Text Analysis (Query)

Okay, let's analyze the image and text according to the provided steps.

STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment

1. Text Sentiment: The text conveys a negative sentiment, specifically fear and concern. Words like "terror" and "warned" indicate a sense of danger and anxiety.

2. Image Sentiment: The image evokes a sense of unease and potential danger. The tiger, partially hidden in dense foliage, creates a feeling of lurking threat. The low lighting and obscured view contribute to a feeling of mystery and apprehension.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Sentiment Aligned
- Reasoning: Both the text and the image convey a negative sentiment related to danger and potential threat. The text explicitly states "terror," while the image visually represents a potentially dangerous animal in a secretive setting.

STEP 2: Entity Consistency

1. Text Entities: The text mentions "Tiger," "UP village" (Uttar Pradesh village).

2. Image Entities: The image clearly depicts a tiger. While the image doesn't explicitly show a village, the surrounding vegetation suggests a natural environment that could be near a village.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Entities Aligned
- Reasoning: The key entity, "Tiger," is clearly present in the image. The setting is consistent with the text's mention of a "UP village," implying a rural or natural area.

STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency

1. Text Event/Action: The text describes a situation where a tiger is causing fear in a village, leading to warnings for residents to avoid going out at night.

2. Image Depiction: The image depicts a tiger in a hidden location, suggesting it is moving or potentially stalking. It doesn't show the village or people, but it visually represents the presence of the tiger in a natural environment.

3. Comparison:

- Classification: Event/Action Aligned
- Reasoning: The image shows the presence of the tiger, which is the core element of the event described in the text. While the image doesn't show the villagers or the warnings, it provides a visual

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

representation of the animal that is causing the concern.

STEP 4: Final Judgment

- Judgment: TRUE
- Brief Reasoning: All three analyses (Sentiment Alignment, Entity Consistency, and Event/Action Consistency) indicate a strong alignment between the image and the text. The image effectively visualizes the situation described in the text, reinforcing the narrative of a tiger posing a potential threat to a village. The sentiment, entities, and the core event are all consistent, suggesting the image is a truthful representation of the news story.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

Query Image vs. Evidence Image Analysis

Okay, let's analyze the two images step-by-step.

- STEP 1: Sentiment Alignment
- **Image 1 Sentiment:** The image depicts a tiger hidden in dense foliage. The sentiment is somewhat tense and potentially fearful, given the animal's hidden state and the implied danger. It could also evoke a sense of wonder or awe at seeing a wild animal.
- **Image 2 Sentiment:** The image shows a group of people, including what appear to be police officers, observing a field. The sentiment is more neutral, with a sense of observation and perhaps concern or investigation.
- **Comparison:** Sentiment Mismatch
- **Reasoning:** Image 1 evokes a sense of tension and potential danger related to a wild animal, while Image 2 presents a more neutral scene of observation and investigation by humans. The emotional tones are quite different.

- STEP 2: Entity Consistency
- **Entities in Image 1:** The primary entity is a tiger. The location is a dense, natural environment (likely a forest or jungle).
- **Entities in Image 2:** The entities are humans, including police officers and potentially local officials. The location is a grassy field.
- **Comparison:** Entities Mismatch
- **Reasoning:** While both images share a natural environment, the key entities (tiger vs. group of people) are different. The tiger is the central focus of Image 1, while humans are the focus of Image 2.

- STEP 3: Event/Action Consistency
- **Event/Action in Image 1:** The event is a tiger hiding or moving through dense vegetation. It suggests a secretive or potentially dangerous situation.
- **Event/Action in Image 2:** The event is a group of people observing a field, possibly searching for something or investigating a situation.
- **Comparison:** Event/Action Mismatch
- **Reasoning:** Image 1 shows a solitary animal in a natural setting, while Image 2 depicts a group of people engaged in what appears to be an investigation or search. The actions and events are distinct.

- STEP 4: Final Judgment
- **Judgment:** FAKE
- **Brief Reasoning:** The combination of Sentiment Mismatch, Entity Mismatch, and Event/Action Mismatch strongly suggests that the images are not directly related or intended to support a truthful claim. The images appear to be presented together to create a misleading narrative. The tiger in the first image and the group of people in the second image are unrelated scenes.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

Text vs. Text Factual Consistency Analysis

Evidence Snippet #1

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same real-world situation: a tiger causing fear in a village in Uttar Pradesh (UP). Sentence A mentions locals being warned against going out at night, while Sentence B specifies the location as Lakhimpur Kheri and mentions four deaths in a month. Both refer to the same core event - a tiger causing distress in a UP village.

Evidence Snippet #2

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same event: a tiger causing fear in a village in Uttar Pradesh (UP), specifically Rehman Khera near Lucknow, and locals being warned against going out at night. The entities (tiger, Rehman Khera village, Lucknow, locals), actions (terrorising, warning), and context (nighttime) are identical.

Evidence Snippet #3

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same situation: a tiger posing a threat to a village, leading to warnings for residents to avoid going out at night. Sentence A specifies 'UP village', while Sentence B mentions 'Mysuru', but both convey the core fact of a tiger threat and subsequent safety warnings.

Evidence Snippet #4

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A reports tiger sightings causing fear in a UP village, warning locals to stay indoors at night. Sentence B reports tiger sightings causing fear in Bardia, following an incident in Chitwan. While both sentences discuss tiger-related fear in local populations, they refer to different locations (UP village vs. Bardia) and different preceding events (no preceding event mentioned in Sentence A vs. an event in Chitwan). Therefore, they describe different facts.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #5

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a situation of tiger sightings and warnings in a UP village. Sentence B reports the arrest of a BJP leader in Beldanga, West Bengal, related to violence. These are distinct events in different locations (UP vs. West Bengal) and involve different subjects (tigers/locals vs. a political figure).

Evidence Snippet #6

Factual Score: 1

Rationale: Both sentences describe the same real-world situation: a tiger is causing fear in villages in Uttar Pradesh (UP), leading to warnings/a curfew for residents to avoid going out at night. Sentence A states 'Tiger terror in UP village, locals warned against going out at night' and Sentence B states 'Fatal Tiger Attacks Spark Curfew Across Dozens of Villages'. Both convey the same core facts about tiger attacks and resulting restrictions.

Evidence Snippet #7

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a tiger sighting and warning to villagers in Uttar Pradesh. Sentence B describes a kidnapping and rescue in Bihar and West Bengal. These are unrelated events in different locations.

Evidence Snippet #8

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a tiger sighting and warning to villagers in Uttar Pradesh. Sentence B describes a murder incident in Delhi. These are unrelated events in different locations.

Fake News Analysis Report

Query ID: 18

Text vs. Text Analysis (cont.)

Evidence Snippet #9

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a situation of tiger-related fear in a UP village, warning locals against nighttime activity. Sentence B describes tension and violence in a city (Sambhal) related to a mosque survey. These are distinct events and locations, referring to different facts.

Evidence Snippet #10

Factual Score: 0

Rationale: Sentence A describes a tiger sighting and warning to locals in a UP village. Sentence B describes an incident of a woman being tied to a pole and beaten in Bihar due to suspicion of an affair. These are completely different events in different locations.