

Teaching Evaluations

Contents

Instructor of Record: CSE190, Spring 2024	2
Instructor of Record: CSE8A, Summer 2025	10
Teaching Assistant: CSE190, Spring 2025	22
Teaching Assistant: CSE11, Fall 2023	29
Teaching Assistant: CSE190, Spring 2023	36
Teaching Assistant: CSE8A, Fall 2022	42

**Instructor of Record: CSE190,
Spring 2024**

**Student Evaluation of Teaching (SET) for Anshul Yatin Shah
UC San Diego**

CSE 190 - Top/Computer Sci & Engineering (Shah, Anshul Yatin)
Spring 2024

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 42
Number of Students Enrolled: 48

1. Class sessions helped me understand the course content.

27 (64.3%): Strongly Agree
15 (35.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. Assignments (reading, homework, projects, etc) helped me understand the course content.

34 (81.0%): Strongly Agree
7 (16.7%): Agree
1 (2.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. Exams and other assessments (essays, projects, etc) were a fair measure of course learning outcomes.

26 (61.9%): Strongly Agree
13 (31.0%): Agree
2 (4.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (2.4%): Not Applicable

4. I received timely and helpful feedback in this course.

30 (71.4%):	Strongly Agree
10 (23.8%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (2.4%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (2.4%):	Not Applicable

5. The course developed my understanding and skills for the subject.

29 (69.0%):	Strongly Agree
12 (28.6%):	Agree
1 (2.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

6. The course was intellectually stimulating and engaging.

26 (61.9%):	Strongly Agree
13 (31.0%):	Agree
2 (4.8%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.4%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

7. The instructor effectively communicated course goals, learning outcomes, and expectations.

32 (76.2%):	Strongly Agree
10 (23.8%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

8. There were sufficient opportunities for me to get help when needed via offered opportunities (e.g. during established office hours, discussion, etc).

27 (64.3%):	Strongly Agree
13 (31.0%):	Agree
2 (4.8%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

9. The instructor incorporated teaching methods that helped me learn.

31 (73.8%):	Strongly Agree
10 (23.8%):	Agree
1 (2.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

10. The instructor arrived on time to class sessions and office hours.

34 (81.0%):	Strongly Agree
8 (19.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

11. The instructor created a welcoming and supportive learning environment that valued and supported equity, diversity, and inclusion.

33 (78.6%):	Strongly Agree
9 (21.4%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

12. The instructor explained what constituted cheating in this course and emphasized the importance of academic integrity.

29 (69.0%):	Strongly Agree
12 (28.6%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (2.4%):	Not Applicable

13. Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment that valued and supported equity, diversity and inclusion.

- Always made time outside class and after class for questions and guidance!
- Anshul created a very interactive and supportive classroom environment. I felt like it was very easy to ask questions and reach out to Anshul and the rest of the course staff.

- Anshul would have us complete in class activities on our own, and then he would go over the answer to the activity together as a class. He encouraged students to raise their hands to share their approach/solution to the activity.
- Bringing a positive energy to class and trying to make lectures as much of hands-on as possible
- Encouraged conversation between students, in the beginning of the quarter very few people knew each other and now people happily converse before class and after class and help each other with in class activities. This feels like a personal, supportive environment where people accept you and want to learn with and from you. The goal is to learn, not to prove ourselves/compete with our peers to get an A in the class.
- Geograph warm-up activities promoted a positive learning environment
- I liked how there is a focus on narrating what the instructor is doing on screen. Since my eyesight is poor, it is helpful to know what is happening on screen.
- Professor Anshul is very kind and welcoming
- The instructor answered everybody on the edstem discussion boards in a timely manner.
- Walked around class during activities answering questions, interacted with students before and after class

14. Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or develop your own critical perspectives on the material.

- final project, diagramming for process journal
- I like how approachable the IA's, TA's, and instructors are. They do help get students towards the goal without just giving out the answer.
- I think in-class activities and individual projects helped a lot. They were fun and actually helped us learn how to navigate the codebase. However, I was hoping that we were able to get more experience with actually working with the codebase. For example, we could pick one of the issues and actually get to implement the feature or fix the bug.
- I think the class projects helped me practice software engineering skills such as code navigation, experimental changes, and iterative development.
- In-class activities and real-life coding were very helpful and provided good insight into actually working with large codebases.
- Many hands on exercises in the class that helped increase understanding of the materials covered.
- projects
- Short exercises during class developed skills. Repeated use of those skills through the quarter
- The in class demos and then following activities were extremely helpful and I think they worked really well with the class format. They were my favorite part of the class besides the projects.
- The individual projects helped me to learn about the different codebase navigation techniques and different things related to working with an existing codebase

- The instructor answered all my questions and demonstrated specific problems I had either for an assignment or during class time during lecture.
- The interactive activities throughout lecture were super helpful and kept me engaged throughout the entire class

15. Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that helped you to feel engaged with the course material or that encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Course content is practical to the industry so there's a clear incentive to do well in the class.
- I think the in-class activities really helped me learn and gave the opportunity to receive immediate feedback, but I think sometimes the activities require a little bit more time to finish. Also, I think having too many forms was a little bit confusing as sometimes it took time to find where the forms were.
- In class activities! maybe more specific instructions/questions for them would be helpful
- Made lectures interactive and went over any confusing topics again if needed.
- Professor Anshul's lecturing style is amazing. His presentation often have live-code demos which help keep the lectures more interesting and easier to understand. The Exploration and the In-class activities helped keep me engaged in lectures and to think critically about the material.
- The activities the instructor had students do during class helped me feel engaged with the course material.
- The class projects helped me feel engaged with the course material. The in-class activities also helped me feel engaged with the course material, but some of the activities were rushed. The assignment grace periods helped me feel that I could succeed in the course.
- The contents taught in class were interesting which naturally made me felt engaged.
- the final profiling activity was pretty cool
- using PRs, github issues to make it feel like working on a large codebase

16. Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that were less helpful for your learning. Optionally you may offer constructive suggestions that might improve their effectiveness.

- Classroom screen was a bit off center so hard to see screen if not in the front and center. There is a bit too much writing regards to both the design document and journal, maybe keep one (since documentation is important) and focus on the writing quality of that one document. Realistic feedback via PR comments is also cool, though it would be better if there's a template that everyone follows so there's consistency in the quality.
- maybe can show more diagrams in lecture when explaining different parts of code (bc it's easy for students to get lost when just the vscode screen is shown and we keep jumping from file to file)
- needs more tutor hours

- Some of the in-class activities were rushed or gave us too little time to complete them.
- sometimes in weeks 4-7 ish lectures that were content heavy were less engaging and it was difficult to stay focused
- The pace of assignment speed up at the end, but overall still manageable.

17. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

18 (31.6%):	Major/Program Requirement
3 (5.3%):	Minor
0 (0.0%):	College General Education
24 (42.1%):	Elective
12 (21.1%):	Interest
0 (0.0%):	American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement

18. How often did you attend class and/or engage with course materials?

30 (75.0%):	Always
10 (25.0%):	Usually
0 (0.0%):	Sometimes
0 (0.0%):	Seldom
0 (0.0%):	Never
2:	[No Response]

19. Hours per week of work outside of class

1 (2.6%):	0-1
5 (12.8%):	2-3
22 (56.4%):	4-5
8 (20.5%):	6-7
3 (7.7%):	8-9
0 (0.0%):	10-11
0 (0.0%):	12-13
0 (0.0%):	14-15
0 (0.0%):	16-17
0 (0.0%):	18-19
0 (0.0%):	20 or more
3:	[No Response]

20. Expected grade in the course

33 (84.6%):	A
5 (12.8%):	B
0 (0.0%):	C
0 (0.0%):	D
1 (2.6%):	F
0 (0.0%):	P
0 (0.0%):	NP
3:	[No Response]

21. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience in the course?

- Anshul really shows that he cares about his students and tries to make the course great and it shows. Hope to see this class flourish in the future!
- Friendly, knowledgeable, patient. Very professional overall. Thank you Anshul :>
- Great course! One of my favorites at UCSD! I wish I could take it again :)
- I liked how the class size was small because we could interact with the instructor (Anshul) more, and he was able to provide help during class. I am concerned that by expanding this class to a large lecture hall size will lose that same interactiveness with the instructor/Professor.
- I think this course should get rid of the group project and be a 2 unit course. Other than that, everything else was great
- it was good!
- One of the most useful and applicable classes I have taken at UCSD. Professor Anshul is one of the best Professor's I have ever had. Highly recommend the course and the Professor.
- Overall, it was a great class
- Thanks Anshul :))))))
- The instructor is really nice and friendly and super helpful and really like the class structure overall
- will share in google form instead

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, UC San Diego, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.

**Instructor of Record: CSE8A,
Summer 2025**



Student Evaluation of Teaching (SET) for Anshul Yatin Shah

CSE 8A - Intro to Programming 1 (Shah, Anshul Yatin)
Summer Session I 2025


Number of Evaluations Submitted: 7

Number of Students Enrolled: 7

Summary Results


Student Learning

■ Comparison course(s) * ■ This section






Course Structure

■ Comparison course(s) * ■ This section



* Comparison courses used: All Computer Science and Engineering Summer Session I 2025 LD courses



Full Results

Student Learning

1. **Class sessions** helped me learn the course content.

7 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

2. **Course readings and other course materials** helped me learn course content.

5 (71.4%):	Strongly Agree
1 (14.3%):	Agree
1 (14.3%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

3. **Assignments** (homework, projects, etc) helped me learn the course content.

7 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

4. The course **developed** my **appreciation** of and **skills** for the subject.

7 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

5. The course was **intellectually stimulating and engaging**.

7 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

Course Structure

6. The instructor effectively communicated **course goals** , learning **outcomes** , and **expectations**.

6 (85.7%):	Strongly Agree
1 (14.3%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

7. **Exams and other assessments** (essays, projects, etc) were an appropriate measure of course learning outcomes.

7 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

8. I received **timely and helpful feedback** in this course.

6 (85.7%):	Strongly Agree
1 (14.3%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

9. The instructor incorporated **teaching methods** that helped me learn.

7 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	No Opinion

Class Environment

10. There were sufficient **opportunities offered for me to get help** when needed (e.g. established office hours, discussion section, discussion board, etc).

7 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): No Opinion

11. The instructor **arrived on time** to class sessions and office hours.

7 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): No Opinion

12. The instructor created a **welcoming and supportive learning environment** that valued and supported students from all backgrounds and identities.

7 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): No Opinion

13. The instructor explained what constituted cheating in this course and emphasized the importance of **academic integrity**.

7 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): No Opinion

Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that...

14. Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that particularly helped you to engage with the material, learn the material, and/or develop your own critical perspectives on the material.

- He explained all this with his own insights, helping me understand.
- Lectures and in-class programming helped a lot with this course. I loved being able to do hands-on work as we learn, and I think every programming class should do this.
- lessons were very interactive and alot of questions were asked
- One way the instructors helped me to understand the material was through an array of practice problems and examples that helped prepare me for the exams.
- PAs, Lab sessions, Tutors, the coding demos in class, and content was explained clearly
- Perhaps because it was a smaller class, I got lots of very helpful attention from the professor.
- The assignments outside of class allowed me to engage with the material in a way that was beneficial to learning and experimenting with it

15. Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that were less helpful for your learning. Optionally you may offer constructive suggestions that might improve their effectiveness.

- I think they are all of great help to me.
- In class explanations were often redundant and overly-explained
- n/a, there was no 'fluff' in this class, everything was useful and productive.
- The only thing that made the class difficult was the speed of the course but understandably could not be controlled because of summer session.
- the practice final didn't have the right answers listed below

16. Please describe any specific aspects of the course and/or teaching practices that your instructor used that created or interfered with a welcoming learning environment that valued and supported students from all backgrounds and identities.

- Everyone was open and encouraged to seek help with the many office hours and tutor sessions offered.
- Practice questions had lots of cultural context and used culture for practice problems
- The inclusion of lots of office hours and an online discussion board was a super useful resource.
- Yes, they did it.

Student Participation

17. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

4 (36.4%):	Major/Program Requirement
1 (9.1%):	Minor
1 (9.1%):	College General Education
1 (9.1%):	Elective
4 (36.4%):	Interest
0 (0.0%):	American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
0 (0.0%):	Graduate Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Other

18. How often did you attend class and/or engage with course materials?

4 (57.1%):	Always
3 (42.9%):	Usually
0 (0.0%):	Sometimes
0 (0.0%):	Seldom
0 (0.0%):	Never

19. Hours per week of work outside of class

0 (0.0%):	0-1
0 (0.0%):	2-3
5 (71.4%):	4-5
1 (14.3%):	6-7
1 (14.3%):	8-9
0 (0.0%):	10-11
0 (0.0%):	12-13
0 (0.0%):	14-15
0 (0.0%):	16-17
0 (0.0%):	18-19
0 (0.0%):	20 or more

20. Expected grade in the course

4 (57.1%):	A
3 (42.9%):	B
0 (0.0%):	C
0 (0.0%):	D
0 (0.0%):	F
0 (0.0%):	P
0 (0.0%):	NP

Additional Feedback

21. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience in the course?

- Fantastic instruction. No question. I definitely feel that my fundamentals were solidified. Also, all the lab work and examples greatly helped.
- good instructor, TAs, tutors
- Great course, great teaching staff, awesome learning environment.
- nothing
- This was a very enjoyable course because of the instructors and the amount of help I was able to receive. Anshul is an amazing instructor that shows his passion for teaching. I felt very accepted in the class and enjoyed being in this course.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

As a reminder, you will have an opportunity to provide additional context and/or commentary for campus reviewers as part of your teaching statement at the time of your academic review (subject to applicable academic review procedures, policies, and labor agreements).

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Teaching Assistant: CSE190,
Spring 2025**

**Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Anshul Yatin Shah
Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

CSE 190 - Top/Computer Sci & Engineering (Soosai Raj, Adalbert Geral)
Spring 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 39
Number of Students Enrolled: 95

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

38 (97.4%): Strongly Agree
1 (2.6%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

36 (92.3%): Strongly Agree
1 (2.6%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (5.1%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

39 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

36 (92.3%):	Strongly Agree
2 (5.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (2.6%):	Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

37 (94.9%):	Strongly Agree
2 (5.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

36 (92.3%):	Strongly Agree
2 (5.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (2.6%):	Not Applicable

7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

- Always made sure that lectures and activities were the right level of challenge without alienating anyone based on prior knowledge or skill level.
- Always walked around the room during in-class exercises to help anyone who was stuck or had technical issues.
- Anshul is so outgoing and is very considerate of everyone's backgrounds!
- Anshul is super awesome. Thank you for your energy and good vibes! You are very helpful and kind.
- Encourage students to think independently and explain the problem-solving process in detail
- Extremely welcoming, and lectured clearly. Also taught in relatable terms
- GOAT.
- good at communicating
- I think everything the TA did was very welcoming and always ready to help in class or piazza

- In class, on piazza, and during office hours, Anshul made it less intimidating to ask questions and would help us through our problems effectively and supportively.
- Open to any question.
- Very engaging lecturer.
- Was always available to help me during and after class and in office hours too!
- when anshul lectures, I feel like he is super enthusiastic and takes the time to explain concepts clearly (doesn't assume prior knowledge)
- yes

8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.

- Anshul makes me feel like I belong and he explains everything in a way that's easy to understand!
- any of the lectures
- Easy to understand the concepts that he was presenting.
- GOAT.
- going to office hours helped me feel less lost, and talking about common errors made me feel like I wasn't offtrack. During class, he made class fun to attend and worthwhile by making class interactive and making it known that everyone has a say and shouldn't be afraid to speak up/ask questions.
- He's a very effective communicator and does a great job at presenting the content in class.
- I really enjoyed the in-class activities + lessons Anshul put together!
- I think if I ever raised my hand either ANshul or the prof would come help, their help was always super helpful and detailed to the point I would learn what we were supposed to do from the activities.
- Strong lecturer
- The lecture, and clear explanation really help me learn.
- The lectures in class were very clear and concise, and I knew exactly what I was supposed to do and the logic of how the code ran.
- very kind and helpful
- yes

9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.

- GOAT.
- I don't have any critiques, I think that Anshul has done a great job teaching this course so far given that it is still relatively new. He has effective teaching skills and is always prepared. If something unexpected comes up, he works around it. He is clear about what is expected of us and is active even on Piazza to answer any questions or concerns students have.
- I had an issue that I posted on Piazza but it was not solved in a timely manner. The suggestions were not particularly helpful and my concerns were mostly ignored.
- I think ANshul did a great job co teaching this class, he was always responsive and super quick on the piazza and always made sure to keep the class informed of any assignment due dates or any office hours he was holding if we needed more help
- Maybe a better plan for presenting the slides so that he doesn't have to swap his laptop with the instructor's when plugging it into the projector each time. This isn't really a big deal though as it creates minimal delay.
- Nothing! He is amazing. Keep being you, Anshul!
- The class material is interesting and engaging, but if the slides and material can release earlier for us that can give us more time to explore more interesting parts.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

- A great teacher. You're gonna be a great professor:)
- Great experience overall, I really enjoyed my time in this class, and it can definitely be attributed to Anshul and the other amazing teaching staff.
- great instructor
- He is doing pretty well on explaining the details
- He is very nice and explains things well during office hours. He doesn't give you the answer but he tries to push you towards it.
- I think Anshul is a great TA. Whenever I had a question he was really kind and ready to help. He also knows the material very well and is able to explain it
- I think Anshul was awesome and so helpful, he helped me out during class and office hours with setting up stuff for the class, overall great helper!
- thanks for a great quarter!
- They were very kind and helpful!

11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

30 (46.9%):	Major/Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Minor
0 (0.0%):	College General Education
18 (28.1%):	Elective
16 (25.0%):	Interest
0 (0.0%):	American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI) Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)
0 (0.0%):	Graduate Program Requirement
0 (0.0%):	Other

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **IN THE SECTION**?

15 (40.5%):	Frequently
14 (37.8%):	Sometimes
6 (16.2%):	Seldom
0 (0.0%):	Never
2 (5.4%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

7 (18.9%):	Frequently
12 (32.4%):	Sometimes
5 (13.5%):	Seldom
11 (29.7%):	Never
2 (5.4%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA EMAIL**?

5 (13.5%):	Frequently
6 (16.2%):	Sometimes
3 (8.1%):	Seldom
18 (48.6%):	Never
5 (13.5%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD?**

7 (18.9%):	Frequently
16 (43.2%):	Sometimes
5 (13.5%):	Seldom
8 (21.6%):	Never
1 (2.7%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

12 (32.4%):	Frequently
15 (40.5%):	Sometimes
2 (5.4%):	Seldom
4 (10.8%):	Never
4 (10.8%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

Teaching Assistant: CSE11, Fall 2023



Student IA Evaluation for Anshul Yatin Shah
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 11 - Accel. Intro to Programming (Soosai Raj, Adalbert Geral)
Fall 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 47
Number of Students Enrolled: 385

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

36 (78.3%): Strongly Agree
9 (19.6%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.2%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

36 (78.3%): Strongly Agree
10 (21.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

35 (76.1%): Strongly Agree
11 (23.9%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

30 (65.2%): Strongly Agree
13 (28.3%): Agree
2 (4.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.2%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

26 (56.5%): Strongly Agree
12 (26.1%): Agree
4 (8.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
4 (8.7%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

31 (67.4%): Strongly Agree
13 (28.3%): Agree
2 (4.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

28 (62.2%):	Strongly Agree
16 (35.6%):	Agree
1 (2.2%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

34 (75.6%):	Strongly Agree
11 (24.4%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

22 (46.8%):	Strongly Agree
12 (25.5%):	Agree
3 (6.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
10 (21.3%):	Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

31 (67.4%):	Strongly Agree
13 (28.3%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
2 (4.3%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

39 (100.0%): No issues
0 (0.0%): Too quiet
0 (0.0%): Too loud
0 (0.0%): Too fast
0 (0.0%): Too slow
0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"
0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

38 (80.9%): Strongly Agree
9 (19.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Anshul answered questions with patience and thoroughness, which made me more comfortable asking questions.
- Anshul is super confident in front of the class and runs everything very smoothly.
- Anshul really shines when it comes to teaching, having him teach in class discussions was great and he was able to reiterate explanations for solutions or how a certain program works to the point that the class could understand. He was also active enough to keep people engaged during discussions with his enthusiasm.
- Anshul was great at answering questions and making students feel validated (that their questions were important). He gave us the opportunities to participate and lead discussions well.
- Anshul was very enthusiastic about the material and always found a way to break things down in simple terms or provided analogies to help me better understand topics.
- Being so descriptive when teaching a topic and explaining how to do things.
- Excelled teaching to students during discussion. Helped clarify issues or problems we may face when coding, while providing tips to help us coders in the future.
- Explanations were clear yet still left room for you to think
- Great teaching style and explanation.
- He has a very confident and happy attitude that makes me want to listen to him.
- He explains topics and assignments clearly, talks fluently and confidently.

- He is clearly very passionate and happy to be here which is always nice to see from a TA!
- He is very approachable with any issues I have. He also has very deep understanding of the subject, so is able to guide me very well
- His ability to explain course contents.
- His explanations were clear and concise.
- I always felt actively engaged while listening to TA Anshul. He provided explanations that weren't complicated to grasp and was supportive of the learning experiences of the students, which was a great strength of his.
- in-depth, articulated, easy to follow along explanations
- Leads students to the right answers but not giving it to them. Also puts a lot of stuff on edstem.
- The discussions he led were great and he explained the topics well.
- Their live coding was easy to follow, as they explained every step very well.
- This instructional assistant clearly has passion in the subject of the class as well as teaching, and is purposeful in including each student in activities.
- Thorough explanations for Discussion Coding Challenges
- Very clear and concise explanations of the material and content
- very clear in explanations
- Very enthusiastic about the course content and had clear explanations.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- *
- Can't think of anything. In my opinion, he did a good job as an instructional assistant.
- I can't really describe any weaknesses he's had as an instructional assistant, it was more based on the lesson plans itself.
- I can't think of any weaknesses, and I think he did a great job as an instructional assistant since I learned a lot during discussions.
- I dont know oops
- If nobody raised their hand when he asked for participation, he dealt with it a bit awkwardly.
- It was hard for him to engage some of the students.
- Overall a good person, sometimes goes a little fast though
- overexplaining the material

- Solely suggested ChatGPT to learn CSE which may not be wrong but some may wish for other resources to learn.
- Sometimes, he is unable to keep the class's attention

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- amazing
- Anshul could definitely be a professor one day, hes already super professional and I enjoyed having him as a TA.
- Anshul was a positive and engaging individual that made the discussion a great time that went by so fast.
- Anshul was very cool during my code review, thanks!
- Anshul would make an amazing teacher if he did it outside of discussions, and it was a pleasure to have him as my TA for my discussion section!
- He was great :)
- No I do not.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

**Teaching Assistant: CSE190,
Spring 2023**



Student IA Evaluation for Anshul Yatin Shah
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 190 - Top/Computer Sci & Engineering (Soosai Raj, Adalbert Geral)
Spring 2023

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 9
Number of Students Enrolled: 48

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

8 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

8 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

8 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

8 (100.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

7 (87.5%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (12.5%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

8 (100.0%): No issues
0 (0.0%): Too quiet
0 (0.0%): Too loud
0 (0.0%): Too fast
0 (0.0%): Too slow
0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"
0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

9 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Anshul is a G.O.A.T.
- Anshul was a great TA - he was always enthusiastic during class and did a great job presenting things and explaining topics during class. The feedback that he gave on assignments was detailed and gave reasons for the grades he gave, with suggestions for improvement or praise for what worked well.
- Very helpful and approachable. Also very knowledgeable.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

[No Responses]

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- ANSHUL IS AMAZING AND SO NICE AND APPROACHABLE AND RELATABLE
- Thank you for an amazing quarter and all your hard work.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

Teaching Assistant: CSE8A, Fall 2022



Student IA Evaluation for Anshul Yatin Shah
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 8A - Intro to Programming 1 (Soosai Raj, Adalbert Geral)
Fall 2022

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 18
Number of Students Enrolled: 176

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

16 (88.9%): Strongly Agree
2 (11.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

14 (77.8%): Strongly Agree
3 (16.7%): Agree
1 (5.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

16 (88.9%): Strongly Agree
2 (11.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

15 (83.3%):	Strongly Agree
3 (16.7%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

14 (77.8%):	Strongly Agree
3 (16.7%):	Agree
1 (5.6%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

15 (88.2%):	Strongly Agree
2 (11.8%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

16 (88.9%):	Strongly Agree
2 (11.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

16 (88.9%):	Strongly Agree
2 (11.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

13 (72.2%):	Strongly Agree
5 (27.8%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

15 (83.3%):	Strongly Agree
3 (16.7%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

13 (92.9%):	No issues
0 (0.0%):	Too quiet
0 (0.0%):	Too loud
1 (7.1%):	Too fast
0 (0.0%):	Too slow
0 (0.0%):	Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%):	Used filler words such as "um"
0 (0.0%):	Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

17 (94.4%):	Strongly Agree
1 (5.6%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Anshul's greatest strength is patience, he takes our pace to teach. There were points where I didn't understand concepts at all and he walked me through all of it. He is a great lecture and it is evident that he is passionate about teaching. He is really good at understanding concepts too, it shows how knowledgeable of the material he is and it makes his explanations even better than the professor's.
- He displayed a genuine interest in helping and teaching students. The videos he created for PAs were incredibly helpful, and helped break down hard tasks into easier and more digestible bits. He was great at explaining concepts that students struggled with.
- He is very collaborative, friendly, and uses day-to-day language and examples to explain complex materials.
- He's very enthusiastic and shows great knowledge of what the content is.
- patient, dedicated, enthusiastic
- Really good at explaining material
- spoke clear, easy to ask questions to
- Super friendly, polite, great teacher.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Sometimes, he can speak a little too fast.
- The greatest weakness would be motivation. When reaching the end of the quarter there was less energy and it is tough because it discouraged us from asking questions since there wasn't the same enthusiasm as before.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Anshul is a very good TA and he would be a really good professor. He is really patient, well-spoken, and knowledgeable. I was able to learn more through him than the actual class lectures. He is also very reachable and had a lot of hours. He is clearly very passionate about computer science and about teaching. I did and would recommend him to other students because he was the best TA and tutor of this course.
- Great TA, incredibly helpful

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.



Student IA Evaluation for Anshul Yatin Shah
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 8A - Intro to Programming 1 (Soosai Raj, Adalbert Geral)
Fall 2022

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 9
Number of Students Enrolled: 182

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

9 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

8 (88.9%): Strongly Agree
1 (11.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

9 (100.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

8 (88.9%): Strongly Agree
1 (11.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

6 (66.7%): Strongly Agree
2 (22.2%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (11.1%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

7 (77.8%): Strongly Agree
2 (22.2%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

7 (77.8%): Strongly Agree
2 (22.2%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

8 (88.9%): Strongly Agree
1 (11.1%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

7 (77.8%):	Strongly Agree
1 (11.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (11.1%):	Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

7 (77.8%):	Strongly Agree
2 (22.2%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

6 (100.0%):	No issues
0 (0.0%):	Too quiet
0 (0.0%):	Too loud
0 (0.0%):	Too fast
0 (0.0%):	Too slow
0 (0.0%):	Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%):	Used filler words such as "um"
0 (0.0%):	Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

8 (88.9%):	Strongly Agree
1 (11.1%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- 1) Understanding - He understands students' struggles and knows how to help a struggling student.
- 2) Accessible - He is very approachable.
- 3) Fun - It is fun to attend his discussion sections and office hours.
- 4) Supportive - He supports students academically and emotionally.
- 5) Knowledgeable - He knows how to ask guiding questions to help students become successful.
- Great, help during tutor hours provided information about the concept clearly.
- Very eloquent and explains abstract concepts in an understandable way. Went to discussions for the sole reason that Anshul always made something clearer to me through his explanations. Half the time I wasn't aware that I didn't fully understand something until he'd bring it up and discuss all elements of a concept thus making me fully comprehend it.
- Very patient and explains python program in such a clear and effective way.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- I cannot think of any at this time.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Anshul Shah is simply amazing!

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. [Click here](#) to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.