

1) Selection Sort: Time complexity - Big $O(n^2)$ Min element comes to the first and we iterate leaving the sorted elements at first positions

index = 0 1 2 3 4

13 9 0 1 3 \rightarrow 0 was the min element, 0 went to 13th index and 13 went to 0th index

0 9 13 1 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 9

0 1 13 9 3 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 13

0 1 3 9 13 \rightarrow No need to swap as 9 is at the min-index.

Pseudo code:

for ($i=0$; $i < n-1$; $i++$) // i will run from 0 to 3 because when $j=i$, j will compare the second last element with the last element
 min-index = i // min-index will be the first i th value i.e. if $i=0$, min-index = 0 with last element
 for ($j=i$; $j < n$; $j++$) // j will run from 0 to 4
 if ($arr[j] < arr[min-index]$)

min-index = j // j will check for the min element from i to $j < n$ and update the min-index value

temp = $arr[i]$

$arr[i] = arr[min-index]$

$arr[min-index] = temp$ // Ex: temp = $arr[0] = 13$

$arr[0] = arr[2] \Rightarrow [0 \ 9 \ 0 \ 1 \ 3]$

$arr[2] = temp \Rightarrow [0 \ 9 \ 13 \ 1 \ 3]$

return arr // \rightarrow return the updated array

2) Bubble sort - Pushes the max element at the last position using adjacent swaps

index = 0 1 2 3 4

10 0 3 9 2 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 0

0 10 3 9 2 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 3

0 3 10 9 2 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 9

0 3 9 10 2 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 10 got shifted to the last position

0 3 9 2 10 No swap

0 3 2 9 10 3 \rightarrow 2

0 2 3 9 10 No swap

0 2 3 9 10 No swap

0 2 3 9 10 \Rightarrow Elements are sorted

Pseudo code:

for ($i=n$; $i > 1$; $i--$)

for ($j=0$; $j < i-1$; $j++$)

if ($arr[j] > arr[j+1]$)

int temp = $arr[j]$

$arr[j] = arr[j+1]$

$arr[j+1] = temp$

return arr ;

Worst complexity case:

Outer loop:

n
 $n-1$
 $n-2$
 \vdots
 $n > 1 \Rightarrow 2$

Inner loop:

$0 - n-1$
 $0 - n-2$
 $0 - n-3$
 \vdots

$j < i-1 \Rightarrow j < 2-1 \Rightarrow j < 1$

$$n + n-1 + n-2 + \dots + 2$$

$$\Rightarrow n(1 + 1 + 2 + \dots + 2)$$

$$\Rightarrow n \frac{(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n^2 + n}{2}$$

$O(n^2) \Rightarrow$ upper limit bound

Optimization:

```
for (i = n; i > 1; i--)
```

```
    int didSwap = 0
```

```
    for (j = 0; j < i-1; j++)
```

```
        if (arr[j] > arr[j+1])
```

```
            int temp = arr[j]
```

```
            arr[j] = arr[j+1]
```

```
            arr[j+1] = temp
```

```
            didSwap = 1 // if swap happened
```

```
        if (didSwap == 0)
```

```
            break;
```

// else break from the loop if didn't happen

```
    return arr;
```

Best case is $O(n)$.

3.) Insertion sort

index	0	1	2	3	4
	5	3	9	2	1
	3	5	9	2	1
	3	5	2	9	1
	3	2	5	9	1
	2	3	5	9	1
	2	3	5	1	9
	2	3	1	5	9
	2	1	3	5	9
	1	2	3	5	9

$5 \ 3 \ 9 \ 2 \ 1 \Rightarrow 3 < 5$ swap

$3 \ 5 \ 9 \ 2 \ 1 \Rightarrow 9 < 5$ No, $5 < 3$

$3 \ 5 \ 2 \ 9 \ 1 \Rightarrow 9 < 2$ No, $2 < 5$ swap, $2 < 3$ swap

$3 \ 2 \ 5 \ 9 \ 1 \Rightarrow$

$2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 9 \ 1 \Rightarrow$

$2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 1 \ 9 \Rightarrow 9 < 1$ No, $1 < 5$ swap, $1 < 3$ swap, $1 < 2$ swap

$2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 5 \ 9 \Rightarrow$

$2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 5 \ 9 \Rightarrow$

$1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 9 \leftarrow$

Pseudocode :

worst case complexity : $O(n^2)$

Best case : $O(n)$

for ($i=1$; $i < n$; $i++$)

for ($j=i$; $j > 0$ and $arr[j+1] > arr[j]$; $j--$)

int temp = arr[j]

arr[j] = arr[j+1]

arr[j+1] = temp;

return arr;