

1. The following question gives a criterion for determining if M is a direct sum. Let M be an R -module and L a submodule of M . Let $\pi : M \rightarrow M/L$ be the projection homomorphism ($\pi : m \mapsto m + L$). Suppose that there exists a homomorphism $p : M/L \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi \circ p = \text{id}_{M/L}$ (such a function is called a *section* of π). Prove that $M \cong L \oplus \text{image}(p)$, and conclude that $M \cong L \oplus M/L$. Suppose that $\pi \circ p = \text{id}_{M/L}$. Note that $\text{im}(p)$ and L are both submodules of M . To prove that $M \cong \text{im}(p) \oplus L$, we need only show that (i) $\text{im}(p) \cap L = \{0\}$ and (ii) $\text{im}(p) + L = M$.

- i. Suppose that $l \in L$ and $l \in \text{im}(p)$. Hence, there exists an element $n + L \in M/L$ with $p(n + L) = l$.

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(l) &= \pi(p(n + L)) \\ 0 &= \text{id}_{M/L}(n + L) \\ 0 &= n + L\end{aligned}$$

[here, the first line is simply expressing l as $p(n + L)$, the second is recognizing that $\pi(L) = 0$ and that $\pi \circ p$ is the identity.] Hence, $n \in L$, and so $p(n + L) = 0$. Therefore, $l = 0$.

- ii. Let $m \in M$. Denote by m' the composition $p \circ \pi$ applied to m . I.e., $m' = p(\pi(m))$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(m') &= \pi(p(\pi(m))) \\ \pi(m') &= \text{id}_{M/L} \pi(m) \\ \pi(m') &= \pi(m).m' + L &= m + L\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $m - m' \in L$. Therefore, $m = m' + l$ for some $l \in L$. Thus, $L + \text{im}(p) = M$.

By these two statements, $M \cong \text{im}(p) \oplus L$. Finally, since $\pi \circ p$ is injective (it's equal to the identity), we must have p is injective, so $\text{im}(p)$ is isomorphic to M/L . Thus, $M \cong M/L \oplus L$.

2. Recall that if M and N are R -modules, then

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \{\varphi : M \rightarrow N \mid \varphi \text{ is a homomorphism of } R\text{-modules}\}.$$

Prove the following:

- If R is commutative, $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$ (in particular, you have to show that $\text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ is an R module in this case). Let $G : \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow M$ be the function with $G(\varphi) = \varphi(1)$.
 - $G(\varphi + r\varphi') = \varphi(1) + r\varphi'(1) = G(\varphi) + rG(\varphi')$, so G is indeed a homomorphism.
 - We showed in a previous homework that $\text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ is an R -module (when R is commutative).

- (c) Now assume that $G(\varphi) = 0$. Then $\varphi(1) = 0$, so $\varphi(r) = r\varphi(1) = 0$ for all $r \in R$, so $\varphi = 0$.
- (d) Finally, let $m \in M$. Define the function $\varphi_m(r) = rm$. We've shown previously that this function is a homomorphism, and clearly $G(\varphi_m) = m$, so G is onto.
- (e) Thus, G is an isomorphism.
- $\text{Hom}_R(N \oplus L, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(L, M)$ Define $\Psi : \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N \oplus L, M)$ via $\Psi(f, g)(n, l) = f(n) + g(l)$. We claim this is an isomorphism (of abelian groups, since these aren't necessarily modules).
 - (a) $\Psi((f, g) + (f', g'))(n, l) = \Psi((f + f', g + g'))(n, l)$ and $(f + f')(n) + (g + g')(l) = f(n) + g(l) + f'(n) + g'(l) = \Psi(f, g) + \Psi(f', g')$.
 - (b) $\ker \Psi = \{(f, g) \mid f(n) + g(l) = 0 \forall n \in N, l \in L\}$, but since $l = 0 \in L$, $f(n) + 0 = 0$ for all $n \in N$, so $f = 0$, and similarly, since $n = 0 \in N$, $0 + g(l) = 0$ for all $l \in L$, so $g = 0$.
 - (c) If $H : N \oplus L \rightarrow M$, then we have maps $H_N : N \rightarrow M$ and $H_L : L \rightarrow M$ constituted by composing the inclusion homomorphisms with H . Then $\Psi((H_N, H_L))(n, l) = H(n, l)$.
 - If F is free and R is commutative, then $\text{Hom}_R(F, R) \cong F$. [Note, in the non-commutative case, this is not true! Ask about it in class.] Let F be free with basis $A = \{f_i \mid i \in I\}$. A cute approach is to use the universal property of the free modules (D&F p354): For any element x in F , write $x = \sum x_i f_i$ which is, by definition, a finite sum. This gives rise to a set function $\varphi : A \rightarrow F$ defined by $\varphi(f_i) = x_i$. By the universal property, there is a homomorphism $\Phi : F \rightarrow R$ with the property that $\Phi(\sum b_i f_i) = \sum b_i \varphi(f_i) = \sum b_i x_i$. So this is the function we'll use: For any $x \in F$, let $\Phi(x) : F \rightarrow R$ be the function defined by $\Phi(x)(\sum_i b_i f_i) = \sum_i b_i x_i$ where $x = \sum x_i f_i$.
 - (a) This function is one-to-one: Assume $\Phi(x) = \Phi(y)$. Then $\Phi(x)(f_i) = x_i \cdot 1$ and $\Phi(y)(f_i) = y_i \cdot 1$. By assumption, then $x_i = y_i$ for all i , so $x = y$.
 - (b) To prove that the function is onto, suppose that $\phi : F \rightarrow R$. Let $x_i = \phi(f_i)$ for each i , and $x = \sum_i x_i f_i$. Since F is of finite rank, the index set is finite. Then $\Phi(x)(y) = \sum_i x_i y_i = \sum_i y_i \phi(f_i) = \sum_i \phi(y_i f_i) = \phi(\sum_i y_i f_i) = \phi(y)$. Hence, $\Phi(x) = \phi$.

3. Suppose that M and N are R -modules which are free of finite rank, and let $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. Let $\{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$ be a basis for M and $\{n_1, \dots, n_t\}$ a basis for N . Prove that φ can be encoded as multiplication by a $t \times s$ matrix with entries in R .¹ Let $A \in \text{Mat}_{t,s}(R)$ be the table of elements

¹This is intentionally vague. Think about it for a bit, try to think about linear algebra, and ask me if you have questions after mulling it over.

defined in the following way: for each $j = 1, \dots, s$, express $\varphi(m_j)$ as a linear combination of the basis element of N , i.e., $\varphi(m_j) = \sum_i \alpha_{ij} n_i$. If $x = \sum_j x_j m_j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \varphi\left(\sum_j x_j m_j\right) \\ &= \sum_j x_j \varphi(m_j) \\ &= \sum_j x_j \sum_i \alpha_{ij} n_i \\ &= \sum_i \left(\sum_j \alpha_{ij} x_j \right) n_i \\ &= \sum_i \left(A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_s \end{bmatrix} \right)_i n_i \end{aligned}$$

So the column vector of $\varphi(x)$ is given by $A[x]$ where $[x]$ is the column vector of x in the basis $\{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$.

4. Dummit & Foote §10.3 #12 As everyone pointed out, this was a previous exercise.
5. Dummit & Foote §10.3 #27 (This one is really wild: we showed in linear algebra that the dimension of a vector space is unique. In particular, any two bases have the same cardinality. It would certainly be weird if we could find a 2D vector space that was isomorphic to a 3D vector space. But that exact thing can happen with non-commutative rings.) Let φ_i and ψ_i be as defined in Dummit & Foote. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 \phi_1(a_1, a_2, \dots) + \psi_2 \phi_2(a_1, a_2, \dots) &= (a_1, 0, a_3, 0, \dots) + (0, a_2, 0, a_4, \dots) \\ &= (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \\ &= \text{id}(a_1, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

so $\psi_1 \phi_1 + \psi_2 \phi_2 = \text{id}$. In particular, if $g \in M$, then $g = (g\psi_1)\phi_1 + (g\psi_2)\phi_2$. Hence, $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ span M . Furthermore, suppose that $g_1 \phi_1 + g_2 \phi_2 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 \phi_1 + g_2 \phi_2)(\psi_i) &= 0 \\ g_1 \phi_1 \psi_i + g_2 \phi_2 \psi_i &= 0 \\ g_i &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation that $\phi_i \psi_i = 1$ and $\phi_i \psi_{\neq i} = 0$.