Medicare, Medicaid, and Suicide Risk Among LGBT+ Individuals in California

Anthony McBroom and Philippe R. Nguendang

June 10, 2025

Why Study LGBT Suicide and Public Spending?

 LGBT individuals face 3–4x higher suicide rates than the general population (CDC)

Why Study LGBT Suicide and Public Spending?

- LGBT individuals face 3–4x higher suicide rates than the general population (CDC)
- Behind these statistics are real lives affected by isolation, stigma, and limited access to support

Why Study LGBT Suicide and Public Spending?

- LGBT individuals face 3–4x higher suicide rates than the general population (CDC)
- Behind these statistics are real lives affected by isolation, stigma, and limited access to support
- Programs like Medicare and Medicaid reaches about 140 million people—but are they reaching those who need help the most?

Research Question and Lit. Review

 Our Question: How does Medicare/Medicaid Spending Affect Suicide Rates Among LGBT+ Individuals in California?

Research Question and Lit. Review

- Our Question: How does Medicare/Medicaid Spending Affect Suicide Rates Among LGBT+ Individuals in California?
- Research shows that proactive spending on mental health programs for LGBTQ communities can reduce costly emergency visits, hospitalizations, and rehabilitation expenses

Research Question and Lit. Review

- Our Question: How does Medicare/Medicaid Spending Affect Suicide Rates Among LGBT+ Individuals in California?
- Research shows that proactive spending on mental health programs for LGBTQ communities can reduce costly emergency visits, hospitalizations, and rehabilitation expenses
- Such early investments yield significant fiscal savings and broader macroeconomic benefits

SuicideRate_{it} =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1$$
MedicaidSpending_{it} + β_2 LGBTShare_{it} + β_3 (MedicaidSpending × LGBTShare)_{it} + $\gamma X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

SuicideRate_{it} =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1$$
MedicaidSpending_{it} + β_2 LGBTShare_{it} + β_3 (MedicaidSpending × LGBTShare)_{it} + $\gamma X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

 Estimate whether the effect of Medicaid/Medicare spending on suicide rates varies by LGBT population share

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SuicideRate}_{\textit{it}} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{MedicaidSpending}_{\textit{it}} + \beta_2 \text{LGBTShare}_{\textit{it}} \\ &+ \beta_3 (\text{MedicaidSpending} \times \text{LGBTShare})_{\textit{it}} + \gamma X_{\textit{it}} + \epsilon_{\textit{it}} \end{aligned}$$

- Estimate whether the effect of Medicaid/Medicare spending on suicide rates varies by LGBT population share
- Include controls (X_{it}) like poverty, unemployment, and other demographics to isolate effects

SuicideRate_{it} =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1$$
MedicaidSpending_{it} + β_2 LGBTShare_{it}
+ β_3 (MedicaidSpending × LGBTShare)_{it} + $\gamma X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

- Estimate whether the effect of Medicaid/Medicare spending on suicide rates varies by LGBT population share
- Include controls (X_{it}) like poverty, unemployment, and other demographics to isolate effects
- Supplement with regression trees to explore non-linear and interactive relationships

SuicideRate_{it} =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1$$
MedicaidSpending_{it} + β_2 LGBTShare_{it} + β_3 (MedicaidSpending × LGBTShare)_{it} + $\gamma X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$

- Estimate whether the effect of Medicaid/Medicare spending on suicide rates varies by LGBT population share
- Include controls (X_{it}) like poverty, unemployment, and other demographics to isolate effects
- Supplement with regression trees to explore non-linear and interactive relationships
- Potential limitations include time constraints, research literacy, and data sources

• Targeted funding works: If we find a link between public spending and lower suicide rates, it supports expanding mental health coverage—especially for vulnerable groups like LGBT youth.

- Targeted funding works: If we find a link between public spending and lower suicide rates, it supports expanding mental health coverage—especially for vulnerable groups like LGBT youth.
- **Gaps still exist**: Even with public programs, structural barriers (e.g., stigma, lack of affirming providers) can prevent access to care.

- Targeted funding works: If we find a link between public spending and lower suicide rates, it supports expanding mental health coverage—especially for vulnerable groups like LGBT youth.
- **Gaps still exist**: Even with public programs, structural barriers (e.g., stigma, lack of affirming providers) can prevent access to care.
- **Policy must be intersectional**: Mental health spending should be paired with inclusive training, outreach, and community-level support.

- Targeted funding works: If we find a link between public spending and lower suicide rates, it supports expanding mental health coverage—especially for vulnerable groups like LGBT youth.
- **Gaps still exist**: Even with public programs, structural barriers (e.g., stigma, lack of affirming providers) can prevent access to care.
- **Policy must be intersectional**: Mental health spending should be paired with inclusive training, outreach, and community-level support.
- More data, better policy: States should improve LGBT-specific reporting to inform more equitable and evidence-based decisions.