

# DL4CV - Assignment 1

Itai Antebi  
204817498

April 3, 2021

1. \

$$h_{\theta}(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j x_{ij}$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^M (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)^2 = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j x_{ij} - y_i \right)^2$$

**First Derivative:**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_k} &= \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{i=1}^M 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j x_{ij} - y_i \right) x_{ik} \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j x_{ij} - y_i \right) x_{ik} \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (\langle \theta, x_i \rangle - y_i) x_{ik} \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \langle \theta, x_1 \rangle - y_1 \\ \vdots \\ \langle \theta, x_M \rangle - y_M \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ x_{Mk} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \langle X\theta - y, (X^T)_k \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \langle (X^T)_k, X\theta - y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The last equation is correct because the vectors  $(X^T)_k$  and  $X\theta - y$  are  $\in R^M$  (and not  $\in C^M$ ).

$$\nabla L = \frac{1}{M} X^T (X\theta - y)$$

**Second Derivative:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta_{k_1} \partial \theta_{k_2}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k_2}} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{k_1}} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k_2}} \left( \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j x_{ij} - y_i \right) x_{ik_1} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k_2}} \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \theta_j x_{ij} - y_i \right) x_{ik_1} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (x_{ik_2} x_{ik_1})
\end{aligned}$$

In other terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2 L_{i,j} &= \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M (x_{ki} x_{kj}) = \frac{1}{M} \langle (X^T)_i, (X^T)_j \rangle \\
\nabla^2 L &= \frac{1}{M} X^T X
\end{aligned}$$

**Convexity:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall v \neq 0 \quad \langle (\nabla^2 L) v, v \rangle &= \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{M} X^T X \right) v, v \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \langle (X^T X) v, v \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \langle X^T (Xv), v \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{M} \langle Xv, Xv \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{M} |Xv|^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

We have proven that  $\nabla^2 L$  is positive semi-definite, and therefore  $L$  is a convex function of  $\theta$ .

**Main proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta \text{ minimizes } L &\iff \nabla L(\theta) = 0 \\
&\iff \frac{1}{M} X^T (X\theta - y) = 0 \\
&\iff X^T (X\theta - y) = 0 \\
&\iff X^T X\theta = X^T y
\end{aligned}$$

The first  $\iff$  stems from the fact that  $L$  is a convex function.

So, we have proven that  $\theta$  minimizes  $L \iff X^T X \theta = X^T y$ .

Note that the question only required proofing the  $\Rightarrow$  statement, but the converse direction is required for question 2.

2. **Sentence:**  $A \in R^{M \times N} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T A)$ .

Prove:

$$x \in N_A \Rightarrow Ax = 0 \Rightarrow A^T Ax = A^T 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x \in N_{A^T A}$$

$$N_A \subseteq N_{A^T A}$$

$$x \in N_{A^T A} \Rightarrow A^T Ax = 0 \Rightarrow x^T A^T Ax = 0 \Rightarrow (Ax)^T Ax = 0$$

$$v = Ax \Rightarrow v^T v = 0 \Rightarrow \langle v, v \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0 \Rightarrow Ax = 0 \Rightarrow x \in N_A$$

$$N_{A^T A} \subseteq N_A$$

$$N_A = N_{A^T A} \Rightarrow \dim(N_A) = \dim(N_{A^T A})$$

from the conservation of dimensions we get:

$$N = \dim(N_A) + \text{rank}(A) \Rightarrow \text{rank}(A) = N - \dim(N_A)$$

$$N = \dim(N_{A^T A}) + \text{rank}(A^T A) \Rightarrow \text{rank}(A^T A) = N - \dim(N_{A^T A})$$

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T A)$$

**Main proof:**

$X \in R^{M \times N}$  for  $M \geq N$ .

We shall prove both directions:

- (a)  $\Rightarrow$  Let us assume  $X$  has full column rank:  $\text{rank}(X) = N$ .  
Thus, from the sentence we have just proven,  $\text{rank}(X^T X) = N$ .  
Note that  $X^T X \in R^{N \times N}$  and is full rank, therefore  $X^T X$  is invertible.  
From our prove in question 1, any solution must suffice  $X^T X \theta = X^T y$ .  
Since  $X^T X$  is invertible, we know that there could be only is a single unique solution  $\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ .  
So, we have proven that  $X$  has full column rank  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a unique solution to the linear regression.
- (b)  $\Leftarrow$  Let us assume there is a unique solution to the linear regression.  
We shall assume towards contradiction that  $X$  is not full column rank ( $\text{rank}(X) < N$ ).  
Therefore, from the conservation of dimentions we know that  $\dim(N_X) = N - \text{rank}(X) > 0 \Rightarrow \exists v \neq 0$  s.t.  $Xv = 0$ .  
Since we assumed there exists a unique solution - let us denote it  $\theta_1$ .

From question 1 we know that  $X^T X \theta_1 = X^T y$   
 If we were to observe  $\theta_2 = \theta_1 + v$  we can notice that

$$\begin{aligned} X^T X \theta_2 &= X^T X (\theta_1 + v) \\ &= X^T X \theta_1 + X^T X v \\ &= X^T X \theta_1 + 0 \\ &= X^T X \theta_1 = X^T y \end{aligned}$$

Again, from question 1, we know that  $\theta_2$  is also a solution because it satisfies  $X^T X \theta_2 = X^T y$ .

Since  $v \neq 0$  we know that  $\theta_2 \neq \theta_1$  and this is contradiction to the fact that there is a unique solution to the linear regression.

Thus, we know that our assumption is incorrect and  $X$  is indeed full column rank ( $\text{rank}(X) = N$ ).

So, we have proven that if there exists a unique solution to the linear regression  $\Rightarrow X$  has full column rank.

So, we have proven that  $X$  has full column rank  $\iff$  there exists a unique solution to the linear regression, as requested.