Achieving training system interoperability

Fredrik Antelius – ITEC 2025

Fredrik Antelius

- Head of Products, Pitch Technologies
 - M.Sc. in Computer Science & Engineering
- Over 20 years of experience in the modeling and simulation industry
 - Active member of SISO's Standard Activity Committee (SAC) and multiple standard development groups
 - Trained hundreds of students in distributed simulation and HLA
- Developed COTS products for DIS & HLA
 - Extensive experience with DDS, TENA, ZeroMQ and various other simulation infrastructures



Achieving training system interoperability

- Most training systems are not interoperable by design
 - They often fail to share necessary information with other systems
 - Simulated entities from external systems may have reduced capabilities
 - For example, remote entities lack radar tracks or don't deploy the landing gear
 - It's not the simulation infrastructure!
- A coherent synthetic environment (SE) requires simulators that effectively support both local and remote entities
 - It's the system architecture!
 - Avoid monolithic simulators that attempt to simulate all aspects of the SE
 - Use smaller, modular components that are responsible for simulating a specific aspect

Selecting simulation infrastructure

- Open Standard
 - Simplify collaboration—technically, commercially and politically
- Ecosystem of components, models and tools
- Ease of use for developers, integrators and operators
- Life cycle support
 - Update individual components
- Leverage and reuse legacy systems

- Support critical security needs
- Capabilities
 - Expressive and extensible data model
 - Rich set of standard data models
 - Interest management and scalability
 - Support interoperability by design

High Level Architecture (HLA) Benefits



Secure

Secure authentication
Secure transportation
Cross-domain security



Proven

Viking, the largest CiMiC exercise

UK Royal Air Force

Down-selected by NASA



Scalable

Millions of entities
Hundreds of systems
< 0.1 ms latency