

Far-Field Evaluation to Compute the Linking Number with a Two-Tree Barnes–Hut

Ante Qu

June 3, 2021

This is a supplemental document for our paper, Fast Linking Numbers for Topology Verification of Loopy Structures.[2] This document should guide the reader in implementing the two-tree Barnes–Hut far-field evaluation for accumulating the linking number integral between two polylines.

1 Set up and notation

Here is the Barnes–Hut far-field expansion from our paper [2], expanded about $(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2)$:

$$\lambda = - \int ds dt (\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \cdot \nabla G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2). \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = - \int ds dt & \left[(\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \cdot \nabla G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2) \right. \\ & + ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes (-(\mathbf{r}_1 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1) + (\mathbf{r}_2 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2))) \cdot \nabla^2 G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes (-(\mathbf{r}_1 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1) + (\mathbf{r}_2 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2)) \otimes (-(\mathbf{r}_1 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1) + (\mathbf{r}_2 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2))) \cdot \nabla^3 G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2) \\ & \left. + O(|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2|^{-5}) \right]. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Here the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the two different curves, and ds and dt parameterize the curves, respectively, for the double line integral. That is, $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1(s)$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}_2(t)$, $\mathbf{r}'_1 = d\mathbf{r}_1/ds$, and $\mathbf{r}'_2 = d\mathbf{r}_2/dt$. We use Cartesian coordinates in this derivation and in our implementation.

Here are some helpful definitions. The \otimes symbol denotes an outer product, and the dot product (\cdot) denotes an inner product over all dimensions in order, e.g., if A and B are 2-tensors, then

$$A \cdot B = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 A_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

Furthermore, any two tensors written adjacent to each other indicates contracting the last index of the left tensor with the first index of the right tensor (e.g. matrix multiplication); for example, if A is a 2-tensor and B is a 3-tensor, then AB can be defined by

$$(AB)_{ijk} = \sum_{l=1}^3 A_{il} B_{ljk}.$$

Copyright © 2021 Ante Qu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

2 Derivatives of $G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2)$

Here are the derivatives of $G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2)$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} = \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1$. Then

$$\nabla G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{r}}}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3}; \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla^2 G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2) = \frac{I_{3 \times 3}|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 - 3\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{r}}}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5}; \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla^3 G(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2) = \frac{-3 \sum_{i=1}^3 (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{r}})}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} + \frac{15\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{r}}}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^7}, \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{e}_i is the i -th basis element. Note that these are identical to the basis functions in Appendix A of [1], except the third derivative there (Eq. 24 in [1]) has a misprint.

These expressions can be expanded and rearranged so that for each term, the s and t integrals can be separated; this allows us to use the multipole moments directly.

3 Computing the Multipole Moment Tree

Let's discuss how to build the moment tree. Here are the moments:

$$\mathbf{c}_M = \int \mathbf{r}' ds; \quad (6)$$

$$C_D = \int \mathbf{r}'(\mathbf{r} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}})^T ds; \quad (7)$$

$$C_Q = \int \mathbf{r}' \otimes (\mathbf{r} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \otimes (\mathbf{r} - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) ds. \quad (8)$$

For a line-segment element, we set $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ to the midpoint, and, evaluating the integrals over a line segment, we get that \mathbf{c}_M is the displacement between the endpoints, $C_D = 0$, and $C_Q = \frac{1}{12}\mathbf{c}_M \otimes \mathbf{c}_M \otimes \mathbf{c}_M$.

When we combine bounding boxes into their parents, we need to sum and shift moments. We append the subscript p or c to \mathbf{c}_M , C_D , C_Q to denote whether it's the moment for the parent or child node, respectively. Let \mathbf{r}_c be the displacement $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_c - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_p$ (from the parent node, indexed by p , to the child node, c), and simply use

$$\mathbf{c}_{Mp} = \sum_c \mathbf{c}_{Mc}; \quad (9)$$

$$C_{Dp} = \sum_c C_{Dc} + \mathbf{c}_{Mc}\mathbf{r}_c^T; \quad (10)$$

$$C_{Qpijk} = \sum_c C_{Qcij}r_{ck} + C_{Dcij}r_{ck} + C_{Dcik}r_{cj} + c_{Mci}r_{cj}r_{ck}, \quad (11)$$

to compute the parent moments (\mathbf{c}_{Mp} , C_{Dp} , and C_{Qp}).

4 Evaluating a Far-Field Expansion Using Moments Between Two Nodes at $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2$

We can now rewrite the Barnes–Hut far-field expansion (Eq. (2)) using the moments we just built. The final results in §4.4 are what we use in our implementation.

Append the subscript 1 or 2 to \mathbf{c}_M , C_D , C_Q to denote whether it's the moment for the node at $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1$ or $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2$, respectively. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1$, $\mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{r}_2 - \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2$. We will look at the different orders of the Barnes–Hut far-field expansion separately: the monopole term t_M (which contains ∇G), the dipole term t_D (which contains $\nabla^2 G$), and the quadrupole term t_Q (which contains $\nabla^3 G$). The dipole implementation on the GPU uses $\tilde{\lambda} = t_M + t_D$, and the quadrupole implementation on the CPU uses $\tilde{\lambda} = t_M + t_D + t_Q$, to approximate the linking integral over these two nodes.

4.1 Monopole:

Let's call the monopole term (which contains ∇G in the integrand) t_M .

$$\begin{aligned}
t_M &= - \int ds dt (\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \cdot \nabla G, \\
&= \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3} \left(\int ds \mathbf{r}'_1 \right) \times \left(\int dt \mathbf{r}'_2 \right) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}}, \\
&= \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3} \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M1} \times \mathbf{c}_{M2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

4.2 Dipole:

Let's call the dipole terms (which contain $\nabla^2 G$ in the integrand) t_D .

$$t_D = - \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes (-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)) \cdot \nabla^2 G; \tag{13}$$

$$4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5 \nabla^2 G = |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 I_{3 \times 3} - 3\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{14}$$

Let t_{Da} be the contribution from the first term in the RHS of (14), and t_{Db} be the contribution from the second term. Then $t_D = t_{Da} + t_{Db}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{Da} &= \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes (-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)) \cdot I_{3 \times 3}, \\
&= \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \cdot (-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)), \\
&= \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3} \left(\left(\int ds (\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{p}_1) \right) \cdot \left(\int dt \mathbf{r}'_2 \right) + \left(\int dt (\mathbf{r}'_2 \times \mathbf{p}_2) \right) \cdot \left(\int ds \mathbf{r}'_1 \right) \right), \\
&= \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3} \epsilon_{ijk} (C_{D1ij} c_{M2k} + C_{D2ij} c_{M1k}),
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Cevita symbol, and terms with repeated indices ($\{i, j, k, l, m\}$) are summed across the three dimensions (1, 2, 3) for each index (Einstein notation).

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{Db} &= \frac{+3}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes (-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)) \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{r}}), \\
&= \frac{+3}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} \int ds dt (((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}})((-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}})), \\
&= \frac{-3}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} \int ds dt ((\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}'_1 \cdot (\mathbf{r}'_2 \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}})) + (\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}'_2 \cdot (\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}}))), \\
&= \frac{-3}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^T \left(\int ds \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{r}'_1{}^T \right) \left(\left(\int dt \mathbf{r}'_2 \right) \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \right) + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}^T \left(\int dt \mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{r}'_2{}^T \right) \left(\left(\int ds \mathbf{r}'_1 \right) \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \right) \right), \\
&= \frac{-3}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} ((C_{D1}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M2} \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) + (C_{D2}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M1} \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}})).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Therefore

$$t_D = \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} (|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \epsilon_{ijk} (C_{D1ij} c_{M2k} + C_{D2ij} c_{M1k}) + 3((C_{D1}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M2} \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) + (C_{D2}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M1} \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}}))). \tag{17}$$

4.3 Quadrupole:

Let's call the quadrupole terms (which contain $\nabla^3 G$ in the integrand) t_Q , and index any subterms by t_{Qa} , t_{Qb} , t_{Qc} , and t_{Qd} , such that they sum to t_Q .

Let's first expand the $(-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \otimes (-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)$ in t_Q :

$$t_Q = -\frac{1}{2} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes (-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \otimes (-\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)) \cdot \nabla^3 G. \quad (18)$$

$$t_{Qa} = -\frac{1}{2} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes \mathbf{p}_1 \otimes \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \nabla^3 G, \quad (19)$$

$$t_{Qb} = \frac{1}{2} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes \mathbf{p}_1 \otimes \mathbf{p}_2) \cdot \nabla^3 G, \quad (20)$$

$$t_{Qc} = \frac{1}{2} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes \mathbf{p}_2 \otimes \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \nabla^3 G, \text{ and} \quad (21)$$

$$t_{Qd} = -\frac{1}{2} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes \mathbf{p}_2 \otimes \mathbf{p}_2) \cdot \nabla^3 G. \quad (22)$$

In Einstein notation,

$$(\nabla^3 G)_{ijk} = \frac{3}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^7} (5\tilde{r}_i \tilde{r}_j \tilde{r}_k - |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 (\tilde{r}_i \delta_{jk} + \tilde{r}_j \delta_{ik} + \tilde{r}_k \delta_{ij})). \quad (23)$$

where δ_{ij} is the identity matrix $I_{3 \times 3}$.

Let's simplify t_{Qa} . Also note that C_Q is symmetric in its last two dimensions.

$$\begin{aligned} t_{Qa} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes \mathbf{p}_1 \otimes \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \nabla^3 G, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\int dt [\mathbf{r}'_2]_{\times} \right) \left(\int ds \mathbf{r}'_1 \otimes \mathbf{p}_1 \otimes \mathbf{p}_1 \right) \right) \cdot \nabla^3 G, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} c_{M2i} C_{Q1jlm} (\nabla^3 G)_{klm}, \\ &= \frac{3\epsilon_{ijk}}{8\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^7} (5c_{M2i} C_{Q1jlm} \tilde{r}_k \tilde{r}_l \tilde{r}_m - |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 (c_{M2i} C_{Q1jll} \tilde{r}_k + 2c_{M2i} C_{Q1jkl} \tilde{r}_l)). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Similarly, let's simplify t_{Qb} :

$$\begin{aligned} t_{Qb} &= \frac{1}{2} \int ds dt ((\mathbf{r}'_1 \times \mathbf{r}'_2) \otimes \mathbf{p}_1 \otimes \mathbf{p}_2) \cdot \nabla^3 G, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} C_{D1il} C_{D2jm} (\nabla^3 G)_{klm}, \\ &= \frac{3\epsilon_{ijk}}{8\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^7} (5C_{D1il} C_{D2jm} \tilde{r}_k \tilde{r}_l \tilde{r}_m + |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 (C_{D1ij} C_{D2kl} \tilde{r}_l - C_{D1il} C_{D2jk} \tilde{r}_l - C_{D1il} C_{D2jl} \tilde{r}_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Because $\nabla^3 G$ is symmetric in its last two dimensions, $t_{Qc} = t_{Qb}$.

By symmetry to t_{Qa} ,

$$t_{Qd} = \frac{-3\epsilon_{ijk}}{8\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^7} (5c_{M1i} C_{Q2jlm} \tilde{r}_k \tilde{r}_l \tilde{r}_m - |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 (c_{M1i} C_{Q2jll} \tilde{r}_k + 2c_{M1i} C_{Q2jkl} \tilde{r}_l)). \quad (26)$$

Summing and sanitizing each term by rearranging indices alphabetically,

$$\begin{aligned} t_Q &= \frac{3\epsilon_{ijk}}{8\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^7} (5\tilde{r}_i \tilde{r}_l \tilde{r}_m (c_{M2j} C_{Q1klm} - c_{M1j} C_{Q2klm} + 2C_{D1jl} C_{D2km}) \\ &\quad + |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \tilde{r}_i (c_{M1j} C_{Q2kll} - c_{M2j} C_{Q1kll} - 2C_{D1jl} C_{D2kl}) \\ &\quad + 2|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \tilde{r}_l (c_{M1i} C_{Q2jkl} - c_{M2i} C_{Q1jkl} + C_{D1ij} C_{D2kl} - C_{D1il} C_{D2jk})). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

4.4 The Three Terms:

Putting them together:

$$t_M = \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^3} \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M1} \times \mathbf{c}_{M2}); \quad (28)$$

$$t_D = \frac{-1}{4\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^5} (|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \epsilon_{ijk} (C_{D1ij} c_{M2k} + C_{D2ij} c_{M1k}) + 3((C_{D1}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M2} \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) + (C_{D2}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot (\mathbf{c}_{M1} \times \tilde{\mathbf{r}}))); \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_Q = \frac{3\epsilon_{ijk}}{8\pi|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^7} & (5\tilde{r}_i \tilde{r}_l \tilde{r}_m (c_{M2j} C_{Q1klm} - c_{M1j} C_{Q2klm} + 2C_{D1jl} C_{D2km}) \\ & + |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \tilde{r}_i (c_{M1j} C_{Q2kll} - c_{M2j} C_{Q1kll} - 2C_{D1jl} C_{D2kl}) \\ & + 2|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|^2 \tilde{r}_l (c_{M1i} C_{Q2jkl} - c_{M2i} C_{Q1jkl} + C_{D1ij} C_{D2kl} - C_{D1il} C_{D2jk})). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

As stated earlier, we use the dipole approximation, $\tilde{\lambda} = t_M + t_D$, on the GPU, and the quadrupole approximation, $\tilde{\lambda} = t_M + t_D + t_Q$, on the CPU, to compute the contribution to the Gauss linking integral from a pair of nodes.

References

- [1] Gavin Barill, Neil G. Dickson, Ryan Schmidt, David I. W. Levin, and Alec Jacobson. Fast winding numbers for soups and clouds. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 37(4):43:1–43:12, August 2018.
- [2] Ante Qu and Doug L. James. Fast linking numbers for topology verification of loopy structures. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 40(4):106:1–106:19, August 2021.