ICPSR | INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH

ICPSR 37630

Health Reform Monitoring Survey, United States, First Quarter 2019

John Holahan
Urban Institute

Sharon K. Long
Urban Institute

ICPSR Codebook for Public-Use Data

Terms of Use

Information about Copyrighted Content

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #37630
Health Reform Monitoring Survey, United States, First Quarter 2019
DS 1: Public-Use Data

1. **Related Data Collections:** This collection is a part of the Health Reform Monitoring Survey (HRMS) series, ICPSR series 547. For similar study information and characteristics, please refer to other datasets in the series.

2. **Confidentiality/Disclosure Risk:** In order to protect respondent anonymity and prevent disclosure risk, ICPSR recoded the following variables in the data:
PPREG4: All values were recoded to missing
Q15A: Values were top-coded to 15,000
Q16A: Values were top-coded to 20,000
TQ142, **TQ142A**, **TQ142B**, **TQ142C**: All values were masked

3. **Variable Differences:** The following variables are referenced in the questionnaire but are not included in the data set released by ICPSR: **Q7_F**, **Q8B**, **Q23A**, **Q23B**, **TQ57A**, **TQ57H**, **TQ57H_2**, **TQ57H_3**, **TQ57K**, **TQ57L**, **TQ57M**, **TQ57O_1** through **TQ57O_20**, **TQ143A** through **TQ143E**, **TQ144A** through **TQ144C**, **TQ145A** through **TQ145H**, and **TQ146A** through **TQ146H**.

4. **Stats Package Limitations:** Since a variable name cannot begin with an @ symbol or underscore in some stats packages, ICPSR prepended the letter V to the variable names beginning with "_" in STATA and "@_" in SPSS.

5. **Additional Information:** For additional information on the Health Reform Monitoring Survey, First Quarter 2019, please visit the Health Reform Monitoring Survey website.

ICPSR 37630

Health Reform Monitoring Survey, United States, First Quarter 2019

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, arithmetic mean, median, mode, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Public-Use Data

RID: Random, unique ID number created by Urban Institute

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 29880.05
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 47572.00
- Standard Deviation: 14941.92

Location: 1-5 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

WEIGHT1_NEW: Post stratification weights - adult, post-January 2017 reweighting

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.2521
- Maximum: 5.1768
- Standard Deviation: 0.7157

Location: 6-11 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

Q1: In general, would you say your health is:

In general, would you say your health is:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent	1083	11.3 %
2	Very good	3811	39.7 %
3	Good	3351	34.9 %
4	Fair	1056	11.0 %
5	Poor	246	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	0.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,547 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 12-13 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q2: For how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?

Now thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	4982	51.9 %
1	-	614	6.4 %
2	-	813	8.5 %
3	-	545	5.7 %
4	-	250	2.6 %
5	-	528	5.5 %
6	-	72	0.8 %
7	-	171	1.8 %
8	-	55	0.6 %
9	-	22	0.2 %
10	-	318	3.3 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	49	0.5 %
13	-	5	0.1 %
14	-	62	0.6 %
15	-	168	1.8 %
16	-	6	0.1 %
17	-	3	0.0 %
18	-	8	0.1 %
19	-	2	0.0 %
20	-	139	1.4 %
21	-	12	0.1 %
22	-	9	0.1 %
23	-	9	0.1 %
24	-	6	0.1 %
25	-	80	0.8 %
26	-	5	0.1 %
27	-	9	0.1 %
28	-	26	0.3 %
29	-	12	0.1 %
30	-	528	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	87	0.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,509 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Mean: 4.23

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 7.99

Location: 14-15 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q3: For how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?

Now thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression, and problems with emotions, for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	5355	55.8 %
1	-	474	4.9 %
2	-	686	7.1 %
3	-	389	4.1 %
4	-	148	1.5 %
5	-	542	5.6 %
6	-	67	0.7 %
7	-	116	1.2 %
8	-	44	0.5 %
9	-	10	0.1 %
10	-	390	4.1 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	38	0.4 %
13	-	6	0.1 %
14	-	29	0.3 %
15	-	262	2.7 %
16	-	6	0.1 %
17	-	8	0.1 %
18	-	5	0.1 %
19	-	4	0.0 %
20	-	240	2.5 %
21	-	5	0.1 %
22	-	8	0.1 %
23	-	6	0.1 %
24	-	7	0.1 %
25	-	117	1.2 %
26	-	9	0.1 %
27	-	7	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
28	-	26	0.3 %
29	-	11	0.1 %
30	-	448	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	132	1.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,464 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 4.27
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 30.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.00

Location: 16-17 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q3A: Physical or mental condition, impairment, or disability that affects your daily activities OR that requires you to use special equipment or devices.

Do you have a physical or mental condition, impairment, or disability that affects your daily activities OR that requires you to use special equipment or devices, such as a wheelchair, telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), or communication device?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1006	10.5 %
2	No	8551	89.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	39	0.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,557 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 18-19 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q3B: Do you currently have a health condition that has lasted for a year or more or is expected to last for a year or more?

Do you currently have a health condition that has lasted for a year or more or is expected to last for a year or more?

This could be a physical health condition (such as arthritis, asthma, cancer, dementia, diabetes, heart disease, high cholesterol, hypertension, or stroke), a behavioral health or mental health condition, or a developmental disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, one condition	2444	25.5 %
2	Yes, more than one condition	2290	23.9 %
3	No	4811	50.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	51	0.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,545 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 20-21 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q3C: In the past 12 months, did you receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or Social Security Disability Income (SSDI)?

In the past 12 months, since March 2018, did you receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI), which provides cash assistance payments to low-income aged, blind, and disabled persons, or Social Security Disability Income (SSDI), which provides cash assistance payments to disabled adults with longer work histories? If you're not sure, please make your best guess. Do not include benefits received by a family member.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, I received Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	197	2.1 %
2	Yes, I received Social Security Disability Income (SSDI)	486	5.1 %
3	Yes, I received both SSI and SSDI	83	0.9 %
4	No	8758	91.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	72	0.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,524 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 22-23 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q4: Is there a place that you usually go to when you are sick or need advice about your health?

Is there a place that you usually go to when you are sick or need advice about your health?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	I have one place I usually go	5670	59.1 %
2	I have more than one place I usually go	1999	20.8 %
3	I do NOT have a place I usually go	1875	19.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	52	0.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,544 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 24-25 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q5: About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor or other health care provider for a routine checkup?

About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor or other health care provider for a routine checkup? A routine checkup is a general physical exam, not an exam for a specific injury, illness, or condition.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Within the past year	6657	69.4 %
2	One or more years ago	2593	27.0 %
3	Never	289	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,539 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 26-27 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q6_A: Did you have trouble finding a doctor or other health care provider who would see you?

In answering the following questions, please think about your experiences obtaining health care in the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018:

Did you have trouble finding a doctor or other health care provider who would see you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	535	5.6 %
2	No	7841	81.7 %
3	Did not need care	1206	12.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	14	0.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,582 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 28-29 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q6_B: Were you told by a doctor's office or clinic that they would not accept you as a new patient?

In answering the following questions, please think about your experiences obtaining health care in the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018:

Were you told by a doctor's office or clinic that they would not accept you as a new patient?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	514	5.4 %
2	No	7722	80.5 %
3	Did not need care	1337	13.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	23	0.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,573 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 30-31 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q6_C: Were you told by a doctor's office or clinic that they do not accept your health care coverage?

In answering the following questions, please think about your experiences obtaining health care in the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018:

Were you told by a doctor's office or clinic that they do not accept your health care coverage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	688	7.2 %
2	No	7590	79.1 %
3	Did not need care	1279	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	39	0.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,557 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 32-33 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q6_D: Did you have trouble getting an appointment at a doctor's office or clinic as soon as you thought you needed one?

In answering the following questions, please think about your experiences obtaining health care in the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018:

Did you have trouble getting an appointment at a doctor's office or clinic as soon as you thought you needed one?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1218	12.7 %
2	No	7040	73.4 %
3	Did not need care	1311	13.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	27	0.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,569 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 34-35 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q6F_1: Did you have trouble finding a general doctor?

[IF Q6A=1]

Which of the following types of providers did you have trouble finding?

A general doctor.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	159	1.7 %
1	Yes	376	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9061	94.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 535 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 36-36 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q6F_2: Did you have trouble finding a specialist?

[IF Q6A=1]

Which of the following types of providers did you have trouble finding?

A specialist. A specialist is a medical doctor who focuses on a particular class of patients (such as women), specializes in a particular medical disease or problem (such as heart disease), or focuses on a particular technique (such as surgery).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	297	3.1 %
1	Yes	238	2.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9061	94.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 535 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 37-37 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q6F_REFUSED: Refused: which of the following types of providers did you have trouble finding?

[IF Q6A=1]

Which of the following types of providers did you have trouble finding?

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	520	5.4 %
1	Yes	15	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9061	94.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 535 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q7_A: Insurance through a current or former employer or union (of yours or another family member's).

The next question asks about your health insurance or health coverage plans. In answering this question, please exclude plans that pay for only one type of service (such as nursing home care, accidents, family planning, or dental care) and plans that only provide extra cash when hospitalized.

Are you currently covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans?

Insurance through a current or former employer or union (of yours or another family member's). This would include COBRA coverage.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Covered	6103	63.6 %
2	Not Covered	3043	31.7 %
3	Not Sure	341	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	109	1.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,487 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 39-40 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q7_B: Insurance purchased directly from an insurance company (by you or another family member).

The next question asks about your health insurance or health coverage plans. In answering this question, please exclude plans that pay for only one type of service (such as nursing home care, accidents, family planning, or dental care) and plans that only provide extra cash when hospitalized.

Are you currently covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans?

Insurance purchased directly from an insurance company (by you or another family member). This would include coverage purchased through an exchange or marketplace, such as Healthcare.gov [IF THE RESPONDENT IS IN A STATE WITH STATE-SPECIFIC NAMES, INSERT [or (INSERT PROGRAM NAME)]].

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Covered	1229	12.8 %
2	Not Covered	7547	78.6 %
3	Not Sure	430	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	390	4.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,206 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 41-42 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q7_C: Medicare, for people 65 and older, or people with certain disabilities.

The next question asks about your health insurance or health coverage plans. In answering this question, please exclude plans that pay for only one type of service (such as nursing home care, accidents, family planning, or dental care) and plans that only provide extra cash when hospitalized.

Are you currently covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans?

Medicare, for people 65 and older, or people with certain disabilities.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Covered	682	7.1 %
2	Not Covered	8127	84.7 %
3	Not Sure	354	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	433	4.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,163 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 43-44 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q7_D: Medicaid, Medical Assistance (MA), the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) or any kind of state or government-sponsored assistance plan.

The next question asks about your health insurance or health coverage plans. In answering this question, please exclude plans that pay for only one type of service (such as nursing home care, accidents, family planning, or dental care) and plans that only provide extra cash when hospitalized.

Are you currently covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans?

Medicaid, Medical Assistance (MA), the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), or any kind of state or government-sponsored assistance plan based on income or a disability. You may know this type of coverage as [IF THE RESPONDENT IS IN A STATE WITH STATE-SPECIFIC NAMES INSERT PROGRAM NAME].

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Covered	1200	12.5 %
2	Not Covered	7579	79.0 %
3	Not Sure	379	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	438	4.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,158 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 45-46 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q7_E: TRICARE or other military health care, including Veterans Administration (VA) health care.

The next question asks about your health insurance or health coverage plans. In answering this question, please exclude plans that pay for only one type of service (such as nursing home care, accidents, family planning, or dental care) and plans that only provide extra cash when hospitalized.

Are you currently covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans?

TRICARE or other military health care, including VA health care.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Covered	515	5.4 %
2	Not Covered	8288	86.4 %
3	Not Sure	382	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	411	4.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,185 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 47-48 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q7_G: Any other type of health insurance coverage or health coverage plan.

The next question asks about your health insurance or health coverage plans. In answering this question, please exclude plans that pay for only one type of service (such as nursing home care, accidents, family planning, or dental care) and plans that only provide extra cash when hospitalized.

Are you currently covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans?

Any other type of health insurance coverage or health coverage plan.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Covered	565	5.9 %
2	Not Covered	8122	84.6 %
3	Not Sure	466	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	443	4.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,153 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 49-50 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q8: Does this mean you currently have no health insurance or health coverage plan?

[IF "COVERED" NOT SELECTED FOR ANY ITEMS IN Q7]

Does this mean you currently have no health insurance or health coverage plan?

In answering this question, please exclude plans that pay for only one type of service (such as, nursing home care, accidents, family planning, or dental care) and plans that only provide extra cash when hospitalized.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	I do NOT have health insurance	718	7.5 %
2	I HAVE some kind of health insurance	251	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	13	0.1 %
.	-	8614	89.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 969 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 51-52 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q8B_REFUSED: Refused: what type of health insurance do you have?

[IF Q7G=1 OR Q8=2]

What type of health insurance do you have?

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	76	0.8 %
.	-	9520	99.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

Location: 53-54 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q8C: Is your current coverage a health insurance plan through the marketplace?

[IF AT LEAST ONE ITEM IN GRID FOR (Q7A - Q7G = 1("COVERED") OR Q8=2)]

As you may know, state and federal health insurance marketplaces can be used to shop for health insurance and compare prices and benefits. These marketplaces can also be used to enroll in Medicaid, Medical Assistance, or the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). You may know the marketplace as Healthcare.gov [IF THE RESPONDENT IS IN A STATE WITH STATE-SPECIFIC NAMES, INSERT [or (INSERT PROGRAM NAME)]. You may have seen a website or materials with the following marketplace logo[s].

[INSERT HEALTHCARE.GOV LOGO AND RELEVANT STATE MARKETPLACE LOGO, IF ANY]

Is your current coverage a health insurance plan through the marketplace? You may have completed the enrollment process yourself or had someone else do it for you.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, I am enrolled in a health insurance plan through the marketplace	1160	12.1 %
2	No, I am not enrolled in a health insurance plan through the marketplace	7630	79.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	75	0.8 %
.	-	731	7.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 8,790 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 55-56 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q8E: Is your health insurance plan through the marketplace a private health insurance plan?

[IF Q8C=1]

Is your health insurance plan through the marketplace a private health insurance plan? If it is a private plan, it would be a plan in one the following categories: bronze; silver; gold; platinum; or catastrophic (this is only available for those under

30 years old or those with a "hardship exemption").

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, it is a private plan	527	5.5 %
2	No, it is not a private plan	359	3.7 %
3	Don't know	261	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	13	0.1 %
.	-	8436	87.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,147 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 57-58 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q8H: Is your current coverage a health insurance plan through a state or government-sponsored program?

[IF (Q7B=1 OR Q7C=1 OR Q7D=1 OR Q7G=1 OR Q8=2) AND Q7A NE 1 AND Q7E NE 1 AND Q8E NE 1]

We know that it can sometimes be difficult to answer questions on type of health insurance coverage in surveys. It might help to see the program logo[s] for some coverage options in your state.

[INSERT MEDICAID, CHIP, OTHER PUBLIC PROGRAM LOGOS]

Is your current coverage a health insurance plan through one of these programs? You may have completed the enrollment process yourself or had someone else do it for you.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, I am enrolled in a health insurance plan through one of those programs	719	7.5 %
2	No, I am not enrolled in a health insurance plan through one of those programs	1289	13.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	41	0.4 %
.	-	7547	78.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,008 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 59-60 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q10: How many months were you insured since March 2018?

Thinking about your health insurance coverage over the past 12 months, how many months were you insured since March 2018? Your best estimate is fine.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	I was insured all 12 months	8371	87.2 %
2	I was insured 6 to 11 months	327	3.4 %
3	I was insured 1 to 5 months	170	1.8 %
4	I did not have health insurance at all over the past 12 months	653	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	75	0.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,521 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 61-62 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q10B: Have you had the same type of health insurance or health coverage plan for all of the past 12 months?

[IF Q10=1]

Have you had the same type of health insurance or health coverage plan for all of the past 12 months? That is, since March 2018?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7646	79.7 %
2	No	676	7.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	0.5 %
.	-	1225	12.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 8,322 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 63-64 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Q10H: How confident are you that you will be able to keep your current health insurance coverage in the coming year?

[IF "COVERED" SELECTED FOR ANY ITEM IN Q7 OR Q8=2]

Thinking about the future, how confident are you that you will be able to keep your current health insurance coverage in the coming year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very confident	6293	65.6 %
2	Somewhat confident	1908	19.9 %
3	Not too confident	403	4.2 %
4	Not at all confident	218	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	43	0.4 %
.	-	731	7.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 8,822 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Q10F_1: Reason you do not have health insurance: I do not want health insurance.

[IF NO ITEMS IN GRID FOR Q7A-Q7G=1("COVERED") AND Q8=1]

Which of these are reasons that you do not have health insurance?

I do not want health insurance.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	610	6.4 %
1	Yes	108	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8878	92.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 718 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q10F_2: Reason you do not have health insurance: the cost of health insurance is too high / I cannot afford health insurance.

[IF NO ITEMS IN GRID FOR Q7A-Q7G=1("COVERED") AND Q8=1]

Which of these are reasons that you do not have health insurance?

The cost of health insurance is too high / I cannot afford health insurance.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	185	1.9 %
1	Yes	533	5.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8878	92.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 718 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q10F_3_NEW: Reason you do not have health insurance: I do not know how to find information on available health insurance options.

[IF NO ITEMS IN GRID FOR Q7A-Q7G=1("COVERED") AND Q8=1]

Which of these are reasons that you do not have health insurance?

I do not know how to find information on available health insurance options.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	677	7.1 %
1	Yes	41	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8878	92.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 718 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q10F_4_NEW: Reason you do not have health insurance: I had trouble finding information on available health insurance options.

[IF NO ITEMS IN GRID FOR Q7A-Q7G=1("COVERED") AND Q8=1]

Which of these are reasons that you do not have health insurance?

I had trouble finding information on available health insurance options.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	682	7.1 %
1	Yes	36	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8878	92.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 718 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q10F_5: Reason you do not have health insurance: I am in the process of enrolling in a health insurance plan or waiting for my health insurance coverage to start.

[IF NO ITEMS IN GRID FOR Q7A-Q7G=1("COVERED") AND Q8=1]

Which of these are reasons that you do not have health insurance?

I am in the process of enrolling in a health insurance plan or waiting for my health insurance coverage to start.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	637	6.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	81	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8878	92.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 718 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q10F_REFUSED: Refused: which of these are reasons that you do not have health insurance?

[IF NO ITEMS IN GRID FOR Q7A-Q7G=1("COVERED") AND Q8=1]

Which of these are reasons that you do not have health insurance?

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	707	7.4 %
1	Yes	11	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8878	92.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 718 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q11: If you wanted to, could you be covered by health insurance through your job or through a family member's job?

[IF Q7A =2,3 OR REFUSED]

Earlier you reported that you do not currently have health insurance coverage through an employer (either yours or a family member's). If you wanted to, could you be covered by health insurance through your job or through a family member's job? That is, does your employer or a family member's employer offer health insurance that could cover you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Employer (either yours or family member's) offers health insurance	859	9.0 %
2	Employer (either yours or family member's) does NOT offer health insurance	886	9.2 %
3	Not employed	1697	17.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	51	0.5 %
.	-	6103	63.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 3,442 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 73-74 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q12_1: Needed but didn't get prescription drugs.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

Prescription drugs.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1237	12.9 %
2	No	8314	86.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	45	0.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,551 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 75-76 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q12_2: Needed but didn't get medical care.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

Medical care.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1079	11.2 %
2	No	8432	87.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	85	0.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,511 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 77-78 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q12_3: Needed to but didn't see a general doctor.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

To see a general doctor.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	997	10.4 %
2	No	8492	88.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	107	1.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,489 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 79-80 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q12_4: Needed to but didn't see a specialist.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

To see a specialist-- A specialist is a doctor who focuses on a particular class of patients (such as children) or on a specific disease (such as heart disease) or on a particular technique (such as surgery).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1032	10.8 %
2	No	8510	88.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	54	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,542 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 81-82 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q12_5: Needed to but didn't get medical tests, treatment, or follow-up care.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

To get medical tests, treatment, or follow-up care.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1124	11.7 %
2	No	8417	87.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	55	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,541 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 83-84 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q12_6: Needed to but didn't get dental care.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

Dental care.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1897	19.8 %
2	No	7621	79.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	78	0.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,518 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 85-86 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q12_7: Needed to but didn't get mental health care or counseling.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

Mental health care or counseling.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	729	7.6 %
2	No	8764	91.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	103	1.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,493 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 87-88 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q12_8: Needed to but didn't get treatment or counseling for alcohol or drug use.

Thinking about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you needed any of the following but didn't get it because you couldn't afford it?

Treatment or counseling for alcohol or drug use.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	299	3.1 %
2	No	9196	95.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	101	1.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,495 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 89-90 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q13: Did you or anyone in your family have problems paying or were unable to pay any medical bills?

For this question, think about your health care experiences over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018. Did you or anyone in your family have problems paying or were unable to pay any medical bills? Include bills for doctors, dentists, hospitals, therapists, medication, equipment, nursing home, or home care. For this study, we're interested in your immediate family, which would include you, your spouse (if applicable), and any children or stepchildren under 19 who are living with you.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1595	16.6 %
2	No	7932	82.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	69	0.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,527 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 91-92 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q13A: Do you or anyone in your family currently have any medical bills that are being paid off over time?

Do you or anyone in your family currently have any medical bills that are being paid off over time? This could include medical bills being paid off with a credit card, through personal loans, or bill paying arrangements with hospitals, physicians, or other health care providers. The bills can be from earlier years as well as this year.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2189	22.8 %
2	No	7337	76.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	70	0.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,526 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 93-94 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q14A: Your family size (including you) is...

To better understand the affordability of health care, we're interested in your family's income, which would include your income plus the income of your spouse (if applicable) and any children or stepchildren under 19 who are living with you.

Your family size (including you) is...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	One person	2197	22.9 %
2	Two people	3395	35.4 %
3	Three people	1463	15.2 %
4	Four people	1460	15.2 %
5	Five people	644	6.7 %
6	Six people	252	2.6 %
7	Seven people	78	0.8 %
8	Eight or more people	59	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	48	0.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,548 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 95-96 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q14B: Please mark the category that best describes your family's total income over the last year before taxes and other deductions.

[IF Q14A=1-10]

[See questionnaire for response item chart]

Please mark the category that best describes your family's total income over the last year before taxes and other deductions. Your best estimate is fine.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Response item 1	1747	18.2 %
2	Response item 2	2037	21.2 %
3	Response item 3	1428	14.9 %
4	Response item 4	4172	43.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	164	1.7 %
.	-	48	0.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,384 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 97-98 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q14C: Was your family's total income over the last year at or below...

[IF Q14B=1]

Was your family's total income over the last year at or below [FILL]? Your best estimate is fine.

[IF Q14A=1]: $12,500
[IF Q14A=2]: $17,000
[IF Q14A=3]: $21,400
[IF Q14A=4]: $25,800
[IF Q14A=5]: $30,200
[IF Q14A=6]: $34,600
[IF Q14A=7]: $39,100
[IF Q14A=8]: $43,500
[IF Q14A=9]: $47,900
[IF Q14A=10]: $52,300

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1139	11.9 %
2	No	588	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	20	0.2 %
.	-	7849	81.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,727 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 99-100 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q13B: Were any of those medical bills for your own health care?

[IF (Q14A=2-10 OR REFUSED) AND Q13=1]

Earlier you reported that you or someone in your family had problems paying or was unable to pay medical bills in the past 12 months. Were any of those medical bills for your own health care?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	763	8.0 %
2	No	471	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	14	0.1 %
.	-	8348	87.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,234 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 101-102 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q15A: What is the annual deductible per person under your health insurance or health coverage plan?

[IF ANY ITEM IN Q7A-G=1 OR Q8=2]

A deductible is the amount you have to pay before your health insurance or health coverage plan will start paying your medical bills. What is the annual deductible per person under your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

$ _____ for the year

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	35	0.4 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	4	0.0 %
15	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.1 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	3	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	7	0.1 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	2	0.0 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	2	0.0 %
100	-	30	0.3 %
120	-	1	0.0 %
126	-	2	0.0 %
140	-	1	0.0 %
147	-	1	0.0 %
150	-	25	0.3 %
155	-	1	0.0 %
168	-	1	0.0 %
175	-	2	0.0 %
180	-	3	0.0 %
183	-	3	0.0 %
184	-	2	0.0 %
185	-	7	0.1 %
187	-	2	0.0 %
188	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
189	-	1	0.0 %
190	-	2	0.0 %
200	-	45	0.5 %
245	-	1	0.0 %
250	-	84	0.9 %
292	-	1	0.0 %
300	-	57	0.6 %
325	-	2	0.0 %
330	-	1	0.0 %
340	-	1	0.0 %
350	-	39	0.4 %
385	-	1	0.0 %
396	-	1	0.0 %
400	-	45	0.5 %
445	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	5557	57.9 %
Total		**9,596**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,039 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 2678.17
- Median: 2000.00
- Mode: 1500.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 15000.00
- Standard Deviation: 2441.18

Location: 103-107 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q15A_1: I do not have a deductible.

[IF ANY ITEM IN Q7A-G=1 OR Q8=2]

A deductible is the amount you have to pay before your health insurance or health coverage plan will start paying your medical bills. What is the annual deductible per person under your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

I do not have a deductible.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7868	82.0 %
1	Yes	1728	18.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 108-108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q15A_2: Not sure of amount of deductible.

[IF ANY ITEM IN Q7A-G=1 OR Q8=2]

A deductible is the amount you have to pay before your health insurance or health coverage plan will start paying your medical bills. What is the annual deductible per person under your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

Not sure of amount.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6560	68.4 %
1	Yes	3036	31.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 109-109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q15A_REFUSED: Refused: what is the annual deductible per person under your health insurance or health coverage plan?

[IF ANY ITEM IN Q7A-G=1 OR Q8=2]

A deductible is the amount you have to pay before your health insurance or health coverage plan will start paying your medical bills. What is the annual deductible per person under your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	9534	99.4 %
1	Yes	62	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 110-110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q15B: It would be helpful to have a rough estimate of your deductible. Was it:

[IF Q15A="NOT SURE OF AMOUNT" OR REFUSED]

It would be helpful to have a rough estimate of your deductible. Was it:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than $1,350	1411	14.7 %
2	$1,350 to less than $2,700	1052	11.0 %
3	$2,700 or more	480	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	155	1.6 %
.	-	6498	67.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,943 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 111-112 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ102E: How confident are you that you could pay for medical care up to the annual deductible of your current health plan in the event of a major medical expense?

[IF (Q15A>=1,350 OR Q15B=2 OR 3]

How confident are you that you could pay for medical care up to the annual deductible of your current health plan in the event of a major medical expense?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very confident	1807	18.8 %
2	Somewhat confident	1358	14.2 %
3	Not too confident	661	6.9 %
4	Not at all confident	325	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	24	0.3 %
.	-	5421	56.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 4,151 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 113-114 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q16A: In the past 12 months, about how much have you and your family spent out-of-pocket for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plan?

In the past 12 months, about how much have you and your family spent out-of-pocket for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

This would not include any premiums you pay for your health insurance or any health care costs that you will be reimbursed for.

$ _____ for the year

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	153	1.6 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	3	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	9	0.1 %
12	-	3	0.0 %
15	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	13	0.1 %
25	-	12	0.1 %
30	-	13	0.1 %
35	-	6	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
36	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	13	0.1 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	7	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	36	0.4 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	8	0.1 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	2	0.0 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	1	0.0 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	8	0.1 %
80	-	7	0.1 %
84	-	3	0.0 %
90	-	2	0.0 %
95	-	1	0.0 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	194	2.0 %
110	-	2	0.0 %
112	-	2	0.0 %
120	-	17	0.2 %
122	-	1	0.0 %
125	-	8	0.1 %
134	-	1	0.0 %
136	-	1	0.0 %
140	-	2	0.0 %
143	-	1	0.0 %
145	-	1	0.0 %
150	-	58	0.6 %
155	-	1	0.0 %
160	-	3	0.0 %
168	-	2	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	4780	49.8 %
Total		**9,596**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 4,816 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 2244.55
- Median: 1000.00
- Mode: 500.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20000.00
- Standard Deviation: 3070.03

Location: 115-119 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q16A_1: No out-of-pocket costs for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plans.

In the past 12 months, about how much have you and your family spent out-of-pocket for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

This would not include any premiums you pay for your health insurance or any health care costs that you will be reimbursed for.

No out-of-pocket costs.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7880	82.1 %
1	Yes	1716	17.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 120-120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q16A_2: Not sure of amount for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plans.

In the past 12 months, about how much have you and your family spent out-of-pocket for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

This would not include any premiums you pay for your health insurance or any health care costs that you will be reimbursed for.

Not sure of amount.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6589	68.7 %
1	Yes	3007	31.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 121-121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q16A_REFUSED: Refused amount for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plans.

In the past 12 months, about how much have you and your family spent out-of-pocket for health care costs that were not covered by your health insurance or health coverage plan? Your best estimate is fine.

This would not include any premiums you pay for your health insurance or any health care costs that you will be reimbursed for.

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	9539	99.4 %
1	Yes	57	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 122-122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q16B: Would you say the out-of-pocket health care costs for you and your family were:

[IF Q16A="NOT SURE OF AMOUNT" OR REFUSED]

Would you say the out-of-pocket health care costs for you and your family were:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than $500	1050	10.9 %
2	$500 to $999	580	6.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	$1,000 to $1,499	365	3.8 %
4	$1,500 to $1,999	237	2.5 %
5	$2,000 to $2,999	212	2.2 %
6	$3,000 to $3,999	159	1.7 %
7	$4,000 to $4,999	75	0.8 %
8	$5,000 to $5,999	96	1.0 %
9	$6,000 to $6,999	36	0.4 %
10	$7,000 to $7,999	27	0.3 %
11	$8,000 to $8,999	19	0.2 %
12	$9,000 to $9,999	5	0.1 %
13	$10,000 or more	50	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	153	1.6 %
.	-	6532	68.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,911 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 13.00

Location: 123-124 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ67: Was there any time when you or anyone in your family had problems paying or were unable to pay the premium for a health insurance plan?

Over the past 12 months, that is, since March 2018, was there any time when you or anyone in your family had problems paying or were unable to pay the premium for a health insurance plan? A premium is a fixed amount of money people pay to have health coverage. It is often a monthly payment. It does not include copays or other expenses such as prescription costs.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, had problems paying the premium	457	4.8 %
2	Yes, unable to pay the premium	335	3.5 %
3	No	8670	90.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	134	1.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,462 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 125-126 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

TQ67A: Was that your health insurance plan or a health insurance plan that would have covered you?

[IF (Q14A=2-10 OR REFUSED) AND (TQ67=1 OR 2)]

Was that your health insurance plan or a health insurance plan that would have covered you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	380	4.0 %
2	No	214	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	7	0.1 %
.	-	8995	93.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 594 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 127-128 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q17: Are you currently working for pay or self-employed?

Are you currently working for pay or self-employed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, working for pay	6041	63.0 %
2	Yes, self-employed	768	8.0 %
3	No, not working	2733	28.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	54	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,542 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 129-130 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

Q17A: How many hours per week do you usually work at your current job?

[IF Q17=1 OR 2]

How many hours per week do you usually work at your current job? (If you have more than one job, please answer for the job in which you usually work the most hours.)

_____ hours per week

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	12	0.1 %
1	-	9	0.1 %
2	-	11	0.1 %
3	-	8	0.1 %
4	-	22	0.2 %
5	-	28	0.3 %
6	-	16	0.2 %
7	-	8	0.1 %
8	-	34	0.4 %
9	-	10	0.1 %
10	-	71	0.7 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	36	0.4 %
13	-	8	0.1 %
14	-	12	0.1 %
15	-	80	0.8 %
16	-	29	0.3 %
17	-	4	0.0 %
18	-	16	0.2 %
19	-	7	0.1 %
20	-	242	2.5 %
21	-	9	0.1 %
22	-	11	0.1 %
23	-	10	0.1 %
24	-	71	0.7 %
25	-	111	1.2 %
26	-	10	0.1 %
27	-	9	0.1 %
28	-	34	0.4 %
29	-	10	0.1 %
30	-	215	2.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
31	-	6	0.1 %
32	-	90	0.9 %
33	-	10	0.1 %
34	-	11	0.1 %
35	-	223	2.3 %
36	-	78	0.8 %
37	-	50	0.5 %
38	-	97	1.0 %
39	-	20	0.2 %
40	-	3248	33.8 %
41	-	16	0.2 %
42	-	95	1.0 %
43	-	28	0.3 %
44	-	41	0.4 %
45	-	473	4.9 %
46	-	18	0.2 %
47	-	6	0.1 %
48	-	61	0.6 %
49	-	7	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	50	0.5 %
.	-	2787	29.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 6,759 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 38.97
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 40.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 168.00
- Standard Deviation: 11.81

Location: 131-133 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q17B: Are there fewer than 50 people or 50 people or more working for your employer?

[IF Q17=1]

Counting all the locations where your employer operates, are there fewer than 50 people or 50 people or more working for your employer? (If you have more than one job, please answer for the job in which you usually work the most hours). Your best guess is fine.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Fewer than 50 workers	1385	14.4 %
2	50 workers or more	4609	48.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	47	0.5 %
.	-	3555	37.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 5,994 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 134-135 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

DOV_WORK: Randomly assigned to work experience questions

[RANDOMLY ASSIGN 75% OF SAMPLE TO DOV_WORK=1 AND 25% OF SAMPLE TO DOV_WORK=0]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2404	25.1 %
1	Yes	7192	74.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 136-136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17C: When did you last work, even for a few days?

[IF Q17=3 OR REFUSED AND DOV_WORK=1]

When did you last work, even for a few days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Within the past 12 months	460	4.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	1 to 5 years ago	548	5.7 %
3	Over 5 years ago	833	8.7 %
4	Never worked	249	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	18	0.2 %
.	-	7488	78.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,090 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 137-138 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q17D: How many weeks did you work, even for a few hours, including paid vacation, paid sick leave, and military service?

[IF (Q17=1 OR 2 OR Q17C=1) AND DOV_WORK=1]

During the past 12 months (52 weeks), how many weeks did you work, even for a few hours, including paid vacation, paid sick leave, and military service?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All or nearly all weeks	4218	44.0 %
2	Most weeks	804	8.4 %
3	Some weeks	473	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	0.5 %
.	-	4052	42.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 5,495 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 139-140 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q17E_1: Reason for not working: could not find work.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Could not find work.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1898	19.8 %
1	Yes	392	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 141-141 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_2: Reason for not working: was attending school.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Was attending school.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2096	21.8 %
1	Yes	194	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 142-142 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_3: Reason for not working: had a health problem or disability.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Had a health problem or disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1926	20.1 %
1	Yes	364	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 143-143 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_4: Reason for not working: was caring for a child under age 6.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Was caring for a child under age 6.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2003	20.9 %
1	Yes	287	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 144-144 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_5: Reason for not working: was caring for a child with a health problem or disability.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Was caring for a child with a health problem or disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2252	23.5 %
1	Yes	38	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 145-145 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_6: Reason for not working: was caring for an adult family member with a health problem or disability.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Was caring for an adult family member with a health problem or disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2162	22.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	128	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 146-146 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_7: Reason for not working: lacked transportation.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Lacked transportation.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2177	22.7 %
1	Yes	113	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 147-147 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_8: Reason for not working: did not want to work.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why

you did not work during those weeks?

Did not want to work.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2001	20.9 %
1	Yes	289	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 148-148 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_9: Reason for not working: was retired.

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Was retired.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1871	19.5 %
1	Yes	419	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 149-149 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_10: Reason for not working: other reason (please specify).

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Other reason (please specify):

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1776	18.5 %
1	Yes	514	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 150-150 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17E_REFUSED: Refused: which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND (Q17C=2-4 OR Q17D=2 OR 3)]

[IF Q17C=2-4]: Which of the following are reasons why you did not work during the past 12 months?

[IF Q17D=2 OR 3]: Thinking about the weeks you did not work during the past 12 months, which of the following are reasons why you did not work during those weeks?

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2221	23.1 %
1	Yes	69	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	7306	76.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,290 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 151-151 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17F: How many hours did you usually work each week across all jobs?

[IF (Q17=1 OR 2 OR Q17C=1) AND DOV_WORK=1]

In the weeks you worked during the past 12 months, how many hours did you usually work each week across all jobs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1 to 9 hours per week	252	2.6 %
2	10 to 19 hours per week	284	3.0 %
3	20 to 29 hours per week	415	4.3 %
4	30 to 39 hours per week	699	7.3 %
5	40 hours or more per week	3640	37.9 %
6	Number of hours worked varied from week to week	209	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	45	0.5 %
.	-	4052	42.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 5,499 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 152-153 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q17G: Did you ever work less than 20 hours a week?

[IF Q17F=3,4,5,6 OR REFUSED]

In the weeks you worked during the past 12 months, did you ever work less than 20 hours a week? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	670	7.0 %
2	No	4303	44.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	35	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	4588	47.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 4,973 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 154-155 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

Q17H_1: Reason for working less than 20 hours: employer restrictions on my work schedule.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Employer restrictions on my work schedule.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	884	9.2 %
1	Yes	221	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 156-156 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_2: Reason for working less than 20 hours: could not find a job offering more work hours.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Could not find a job offering more work hours.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	972	10.1 %
1	Yes	133	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 157-157 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_3: Reason for working less than 20 hours: was attending school.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Was attending school.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	997	10.4 %
1	Yes	108	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 158-158 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_4: Reason for working less than 20 hours: had a health problem or disability.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Had a health problem or disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	978	10.2 %
1	Yes	127	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 159-159 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_5: Reason for working less than 20 hours: was caring for a child under age 6.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Was caring for a child under age 6.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1020	10.6 %
1	Yes	85	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 160-160 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_6: Reason for working less than 20 hours: was caring for a child with a health problem or disability.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Was caring for a child with a health problem or disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1092	11.4 %
1	Yes	13	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 161-161 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_7: Reason for working less than 20 hours: was caring for an adult family member with a health problem or disability.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Was caring for an adult family member with a health problem or disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1055	11.0 %
1	Yes	50	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 162-162 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_8: Reason for working less than 20 hours: lacked transportation.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Lacked transportation.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1071	11.2 %
1	Yes	34	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 163-163 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_9: Reason for working less than 20 hours: did not want to work more hours.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Did not want to work more hours.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	822	8.6 %
1	Yes	283	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 164-164 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_10: Reason for working less than 20 hours: other reason (please specify).

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Other reason (please specify):

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	850	8.9 %
1	Yes	255	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 165-165 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Q17H_REFUSED: Refused: when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours?

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1 AND (Q17F=1 OR 2 OR Q17G=1)]

Thinking about the weeks during the past 12 months when you worked less than 20 hours, which of the following are reasons why you did not work more hours? Do not include weeks that involved paid vacation, paid sick leave, or military service.

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1058	11.0 %
1	Yes	47	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	8491	88.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,105 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 166-166 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ117: Are you the primary caregiver of a dependent child age 17 or younger who is currently living with you?

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND DOV_WORK=1]

Are you the primary caregiver of a dependent child age 17 or younger who is currently living with you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2034	21.2 %
2	No	4400	45.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	0.5 %
.	-	3113	32.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 6,434 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 167-168 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ117A: Are you the primary caregiver of a dependent child age 5 or younger who is currently living with you?

[IF TQ117=1]

Are you the primary caregiver of a dependent child age 5 or younger who is currently living with you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	848	8.8 %
2	No	1169	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	17	0.2 %
.	-	7562	78.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 2,017 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 169-170 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ118: Are you the primary caregiver for an adult family member who is currently living with you?

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND DOV_WORK=1]

Are you the primary caregiver for an adult family member who is currently living with you? By caregiver we mean someone who helps with daily activities (like bathing or dressing) or household activities (like shopping, cooking, or doing errands) because the adult is in poor health or has difficulty doing them on their own.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	414	4.3 %
2	No	6030	62.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	39	0.4 %
.	-	3113	32.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 6,444 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 171-172 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ119: At any time in the last 12 months, since March 2018, were you enrolled in a high school, college, or university as a full time or part time student?

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND DOV_WORK=1]

At any time in the last 12 months, since March 2018, were you enrolled in a high school, college, or university as a full time or part time student?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, full time	344	3.6 %
2	Yes, part time	239	2.5 %
3	No	5867	61.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	33	0.3 %
.	-	3113	32.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 6,450 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 173-174 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ117B: Were you pregnant at any time since March 2018?

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND FEMALE AND AGE = 18-49 AND DOV_WORK=1]

Were you pregnant at any time since March 2018?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	137	1.4 %
2	No	1631	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	18	0.2 %
.	-	7810	81.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,768 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 175-176 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ120: Are there any motorized vehicles kept at home for use by members of your household?

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND DOV_WORK=1]

Are there any motorized vehicles kept at home for use by members of your household? These could include automobiles, vans, trucks, motorcycles, or scooters.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5372	56.0 %
2	No	1055	11.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	56	0.6 %
.	-	3113	32.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 6,427 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 177-178 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ120B: How would you rate your ability to get around without driving in your neighborhood?

[IF TQ120=2]

How would you rate your ability to get around without driving in your neighborhood? This would include your ability to get around by walking, bicycling, or using public transportation.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent	302	3.1 %
2	Very good	232	2.4 %
3	Good	280	2.9 %
4	Fair	134	1.4 %
5	Poor	104	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	8541	89.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,052 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 179-180 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ114B: How much have you heard about work requirements to get and keep health insurance through Medicaid?

[IF Q7A NE 1 AND Q7C NE 1 AND Q7E NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND ((Q7D=1 OR Q7G=1 OR Q8=2 OR Q8E=2 OR Q8H=1) OR (Q8C=1 AND Q14B=1))]

Several states are considering requiring adults without disabilities to work, to be looking for work, or to be participating in a work-related activity (such as school, job training, or volunteering) in order to get and keep their health insurance through Medicaid. How much have you heard about these work requirements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	82	0.9 %
2	Some	247	2.6 %
3	Only a little	254	2.6 %
4	Not at all	640	6.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	20	0.2 %
.	-	8353	87.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,223 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 181-182 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ114C: Would you be very worried, somewhat worried, not too worried, or not at all worried that these requirements would make it harder for you to keep your health insurance coverage?

[IF Q7A NE 1 AND Q7C NE 1 AND Q7E NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND ((Q7D=1 OR Q7G=1 OR Q8=2 OR Q8E=2 OR Q8H=1) OR (Q8C=1 AND Q14B=1))]

If work requirements were put in place as a condition for keeping your current health insurance, would you be very worried, somewhat worried, not too worried, or not at all worried that these requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very worried	209	2.2 %
2	Somewhat worried	311	3.2 %
3	Not too worried	349	3.6 %
4	Not at all worried	351	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	23	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	8353	87.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,220 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 183-184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ114D_1: Reason for worrying: I have a health problem or disability.

[IF TQ114C = 1 OR 2]

[ORDER OF CATEGORIES 1-5 IS RANDOMIZED]

Which of the following are reasons you would be [IF TQ114C=1: very] [IF TQ114C=2: somewhat] worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

I have a health problem or disability that makes it difficult to work or participate in work-related activities.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	343	3.6 %
1	Yes	177	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9076	94.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 185-185 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ114D_2: Reason for worrying: I have family responsibilities.

[IF TQ114C = 1 OR 2]

[ORDER OF CATEGORIES 1-5 IS RANDOMIZED]

Which of the following are reasons you would be [IF TQ114C=1: very] [IF TQ114C=2: somewhat] worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

I have family responsibilities that make it difficult to work or participate in work-related activities.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	393	4.1 %
1	Yes	127	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9076	94.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 186-186 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ114D_3: Reason for worrying: I would have trouble finding work offering enough hours.

[IF TQ114C = 1 OR 2]

[ORDER OF CATEGORIES 1-5 IS RANDOMIZED]

Which of the following are reasons you would be [IF TQ114C=1: very] [IF TQ114C=2: somewhat] worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

I am worried I would have trouble finding work or work-related activities offering enough hours.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	356	3.7 %
1	Yes	164	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9076	94.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 187-187 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ114D_4: Reason for worrying: I would not always meet the requirement because my work schedule is unpredictable.

[IF TQ114C = 1 OR 2]

[ORDER OF CATEGORIES 1-5 IS RANDOMIZED]

Which of the following are reasons you would be [IF TQ114C=1: very] [IF TQ114C=2: somewhat] worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

I am worried I would not always meet the requirement because my work schedule is unpredictable.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	378	3.9 %
1	Yes	142	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9076	94.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 188-188 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ114D_5: Reason for worrying: I would not have transportation.

[IF TQ114C = 1 OR 2]

[ORDER OF CATEGORIES 1-5 IS RANDOMIZED]

Which of the following are reasons you would be [IF TQ114C=1: very] [IF TQ114C=2: somewhat] worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

I am worried I would not have transportation to get to work or work-related activities.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	423	4.4 %
1	Yes	97	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9076	94.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

TQ114D_6: Reason for worrying: other (please specify):

[IF TQ114C = 1 OR 2]

[ORDER OF CATEGORIES 1-5 IS RANDOMIZED]

Which of the following are reasons you would be [IF TQ114C=1: very] [IF TQ114C=2: somewhat] worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

Other (please specify):

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	480	5.0 %
1	Yes	40	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9076	94.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 190-190 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ114D_REFUSED: Refused: reasons you would be worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your health insurance coverage.

[IF TQ114C = 1 OR 2]

[ORDER OF CATEGORIES 1-5 IS RANDOMIZED]

Which of the following are reasons you would be [IF TQ114C=1: very] [IF TQ114C=2: somewhat] worried that work requirements would make it harder for you to keep your current health insurance coverage?

Refused.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	499	5.2 %
1	Yes	21	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9076	94.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 191-191 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

DOV_TQ147: Randomly assigned to TQ147-TQ153

[RANDOMLY ASSIGN HALF OF SAMPLE TO DOV_TQ143=1 AND DOV_TQ147=0]

[RANDOMLY ASSIGN HALF OF SAMPLE TO DOV_TQ147=1 AND DOV_TQ143=0]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	4803	50.1 %
1	Yes	4793	49.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 192-192 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ147A: Support or oppose: increasing the amount of subsidies to lower the premiums and out-of-pocket costs.

[IF DOV_TQ147=1]

[RANDOMIZE A-D; RANDOMIZE ORDER OF SUPPORT AND OPPOSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS ACROSS INDIVIDUALS BUT KEEP ORDER THE SAME ACROSS A-D FOR THE SAME INDIVIDUAL]

Some members of Congress are considering new ways to expand health insurance coverage. The next few questions ask whether you would [support] or [oppose] some of the approaches that are being considered.

One approach would make health insurance coverage more affordable by increasing the amount of subsidies to lower the premiums and out-of-pocket costs (e.g., deductibles, copayments, coinsurance) for some health insurance plans. These subsidies are sometimes referred to as a premium tax credit and a cost-sharing reduction. Would you [support] or [oppose] that approach?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	1460	15.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Neither support nor oppose	2374	24.7 %
3	Oppose	898	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	0.6 %
.	-	4803	50.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 4,732 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 193-194 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ147B: Support or oppose: giving all Americans the option of enrolling in a government-run health insurance plan that would be similar to Medicare.

[IF DOV_TQ147=1]

[RANDOMIZE A-D; RANDOMIZE ORDER OF SUPPORT AND OPPOSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS ACROSS INDIVIDUALS BUT KEEP ORDER THE SAME ACROSS A-D FOR THE SAME INDIVIDUAL]

Some members of Congress are considering new ways to expand health insurance coverage. The next few questions ask whether you would [support] or [oppose] some of the approaches that are being considered.

One approach would give all Americans the option of enrolling in a government-run health insurance plan that would be similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called a "public option." People would pay a premium based on their income to buy into the government-run plan. This new government-run plan would be in addition to the health insurance options that are available in the current health insurance system. Would you [support] or [oppose] that approach?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	1598	16.7 %
2	Neither support nor oppose	2057	21.4 %
3	Oppose	1084	11.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	54	0.6 %
.	-	4803	50.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 4,739 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 195-196 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

TQ147C: Support or oppose: enrolling all Americans in a single government-run health insurance plan that would be similar to Medicare.

[IF DOV_TQ147=1]

[RANDOMIZE A-D; RANDOMIZE ORDER OF SUPPORT AND OPPOSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS ACROSS INDIVIDUALS BUT KEEP ORDER THE SAME ACROSS A-D FOR THE SAME INDIVIDUAL]

Some members of Congress are considering new ways to expand health insurance coverage. The next few questions ask whether you would [support] or [oppose] some of the approaches that are being considered.

One approach would enroll all Americans in a single government-run health insurance plan that would be similar to Medicare as part of a new national health insurance program. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." Instead of paying premiums, taxpayers would cover the costs of this national health insurance program. This new program would replace the current health insurance system with a single health plan. Would you [support] or [oppose] that approach?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	1441	15.0 %
2	Neither support nor oppose	1775	18.5 %
3	Oppose	1517	15.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	0.6 %
.	-	4803	50.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 4,733 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 197-198 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ147D: Support or oppose: enrolling all Americans in either a government-run plan that would be similar to Medicare OR a private health insurance plan.

[IF DOV_TQ147=1]

[RANDOMIZE A-D; RANDOMIZE ORDER OF SUPPORT AND OPPOSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS ACROSS INDIVIDUALS BUT KEEP ORDER THE SAME ACROSS A-D FOR THE SAME INDIVIDUAL]

Some members of Congress are considering new ways to expand health insurance coverage. The next few questions ask whether you would [support] or [oppose] some of the approaches that are being considered.

One approach would enroll all Americans in either a government-run plan that would be similar to Medicare OR a private health

insurance plan as part of a new national health insurance program. People would pay a premium based on their income to enroll in this national health insurance program and would choose either the government-run plan or a private health plan. This new program would replace the current health insurance system. Would you [support] or [oppose] that approach?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Support	1379	14.4 %
2	Neither support nor oppose	2076	21.6 %
3	Oppose	1293	13.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	45	0.5 %
.	-	4803	50.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 4,748 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 199-200 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ148: Should new subsidy funding be used to help low-income people, to help people regardless of their income, or to help some other group or groups?

[IF TQ147A=1]

You reported support for increasing the amount of subsidies to lower the premiums and out-of-pocket costs (e.g., deductibles, copayments, and coinsurance) for some health insurance plans. If the federal government were to provide new funding to make coverage more affordable, do you think the new funding should be used to help low-income people, to help people regardless of their income, or to help some other group or groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Help low-income people	534	5.6 %
2	Help people regardless of their income	875	9.1 %
3	Help some other group or groups (specify:)	38	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	13	0.1 %
.	-	8136	84.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,447 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 201-202 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

TQ149: Would you support an increase in federal taxes to be used to make coverage more affordable by lowering health insurance premiums and out-of-pocket costs?

[IF TQ147A=1]

Would you support an increase in federal taxes to be used to make coverage more affordable by lowering health insurance premiums and out-of-pocket costs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	901	9.4 %
2	No	546	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	13	0.1 %
.	-	8136	84.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,447 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 203-204 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

DOV_150153: Random assignment for TQ150-TQ153

[IF DOV_TQ147=1]

[RANDOMLY ASSIGN TO ONE QUESTION IN TQ150-153]

[IF DOV_150153=1, SEE TQ150]

[IF DOV_150153=2, SEE TQ151]

[IF DOV_150153=3, SEE TQ152]

[IF DOV_150153=4, SEE TQ153]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TQ150	1224	12.8 %
2	TQ151	1163	12.1 %
3	TQ152	1153	12.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	TQ153	1253	13.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	4803	50.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 4,793 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 205-205 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ150A: Better or worse: the amount of time you would need to wait to see doctors and other providers.

[IF DOV_150153=1]

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF BETTER AND WORSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the following would be [better than, about the same as, or worse than] they are now?

The amount of time you would need to wait to see doctors and other providers.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better	63	0.7 %
2	About the same	583	6.1 %
3	Worse	570	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.1 %
.	-	8372	87.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,216 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 206-207 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ150B: Better or worse: your choice of doctors and other providers.

[IF DOV_150153=1]

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF BETTER AND WORSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the following would be [better than, about the same as, or worse than] they are now?

Your choice of doctors and other providers.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better	144	1.5 %
2	About the same	598	6.2 %
3	Worse	470	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	12	0.1 %
.	-	8372	87.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,212 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 208-209 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ150C: Better or worse: the quality of your health care.

[IF DOV_150153=1]

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF BETTER AND WORSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the following would be [better than, about the same as, or worse than] they are now?

The quality of your health care.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better	117	1.2 %
2	About the same	668	7.0 %
3	Worse	424	4.4 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	15	0.2 %
.	-	8372	87.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,209 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 210-211 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ150D: Better or worse: your ability to get the care that you need.

[IF DOV_150153=1]

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF BETTER AND WORSE IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the following would be [better than, about the same as, or worse than] they are now?

Your ability to get the care that you need.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better	168	1.8 %
2	About the same	607	6.3 %
3	Worse	436	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	13	0.1 %
.	-	8372	87.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,211 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 212-213 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ151A: Higher or lower: your out-of-pocket costs for health care.

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF HIGHER AND LOWER IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the following would be [higher than, about the same as, or lower than] they are now?

Your out-of-pocket costs for health care.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Higher	437	4.6 %
2	About the same	418	4.4 %
3	Lower	287	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	21	0.2 %
.	-	8433	87.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,142 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 214-215 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ151B: Higher or lower: the premiums you would pay for health insurance.

[IF DOV_150153=2]

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF HIGHER AND LOWER IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the following would be [higher than, about the same as, or lower than] they are now?

The premiums you would pay for health insurance.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Higher	447	4.7 %
2	About the same	409	4.3 %
3	Lower	284	3.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	23	0.2 %
.	-	8433	87.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,140 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 216-217 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ151C: Higher or lower: the federal taxes you would pay.

[IF DOV_150153=2]

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF HIGHER AND LOWER IN QUESTION STEM AND COLUMNS]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the following would be [higher than, about the same as, or lower than] they are now?

The federal taxes you would pay.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Higher	742	7.7 %
2	About the same	312	3.3 %
3	Lower	89	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	20	0.2 %
.	-	8433	87.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,143 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 218-219 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152A: Reason for supporting or opposing: most people would not be able to keep their current insurance coverage.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

Most people would not be able to keep their current insurance coverage.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	775	8.1 %
2	Not important to me	350	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	28	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,125 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 220-221 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152B: Reason for supporting or opposing: everyone would have health insurance coverage.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

Everyone would have health insurance coverage.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	816	8.5 %
2	Not important to me	307	3.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	30	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,123 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 222-223 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152C: Reason for supporting or opposing: people would pay little or no out-of-pocket costs when they use health care services.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

People would pay little or no out-of-pocket costs when they use health care services.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	859	9.0 %
2	Not important to me	263	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	31	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,122 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 224-225 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152D: Reason for supporting or opposing: most people would pay lower premiums.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

Most people would pay lower premiums.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	887	9.2 %
2	Not important to me	237	2.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	29	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,124 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 226-227 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152E: Reason for supporting or opposing: higher federal taxes would be needed to finance a national health insurance program.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

Higher federal taxes would be needed to finance a national health insurance program.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	821	8.6 %
2	Not important to me	307	3.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	25	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,128 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 228-229 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152F: Reason for supporting or opposing: the health care system would be simpler.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

The health care system would be simpler.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	858	8.9 %
2	Not important to me	265	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	30	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,123 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 230-231 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152G: Reason for supporting or opposing: the government would have more control over which health care benefits are covered by insurance.

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

The government would have more control over which health care benefits are covered by insurance.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	778	8.1 %
2	Not important to me	350	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	25	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,128 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 232-233 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152H: Reason for supporting or opposing: the government would have a greater ability to reduce health care costs.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

The government would have a greater ability to reduce health care costs.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	869	9.1 %
2	Not important to me	257	2.7 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	27	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,126 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 234-235 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152I: Reason for supporting or opposing: hospitals, doctors, and other providers might be paid less.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

Hospitals, doctors, and other providers might be paid less.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	547	5.7 %
2	Not important to me	573	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	33	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,120 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 236-237 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152J: Reason for supporting or opposing: it might be harder to get an appointment with a provider for a health care visit.

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

It might be harder to get an appointment with a provider for a health care visit.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	868	9.0 %
2	Not important to me	257	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	28	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,125 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 238-239 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152K: Reason for supporting or opposing: administrative spending on health care would be reduced.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

Administrative spending on health care would be reduced.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	779	8.1 %
2	Not important to me	341	3.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	33	0.3 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,120 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 240-241 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ152L: Reason for supporting or opposing: there might be less medical innovation.

[IF DOV_150153=3]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED]

Earlier we asked you whether you would support or oppose a proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." The following is a list of some of the changes that might occur if this national health insurance program were put into place. Which, if any, of the following were important to you in deciding whether to support or oppose a proposal for a national health insurance program?

There might be less medical innovation.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Important to me	797	8.3 %
2	Not important to me	320	3.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	36	0.4 %
.	-	8443	88.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,117 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 242-243 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ153: Do you think the amount you would save from lower premiums and lower out-of-pocket costs would be more, less, or about the same as the additional federal taxes you would pay?

[IF DOV_150153=4]

[RANDOMIZE ORDER OF MORE AND LESS IN QUESTION STEM AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES]

For this question, think about the proposal to replace the current health insurance system with a national health insurance program that would cover all Americans in a single government-run plan that was similar to Medicare. This is sometimes called "Medicare-for-All." If this national health insurance program were put into place, do you think the amount you would save from lower premiums and lower out-of-pocket costs would be [more, less, or about the same] as the additional federal taxes you would pay?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More	377	3.9 %
2	About the same	521	5.4 %
3	Less	329	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	26	0.3 %
.	-	8343	86.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 1,227 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 244-245 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ154: Did you consider purchasing a short-term plan in the last 12 months?

As you may know, some companies sell "short-term" health insurance plans that last for 12 months or less. When someone tries to purchase a short-term plan they are asked about their health and any health conditions they have. People can be turned down for coverage based on their health and health conditions. The premiums for short-term plans are much less than traditional insurance coverage for some people, but the short-term plans provide fewer benefits and do not generally pay for care for pre-existing conditions. Government subsidies cannot be used to buy short-term plans.

Did you consider purchasing a short-term plan in the last 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	362	3.8 %
2	No	9135	95.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	99	1.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,497 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 246-247 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

TQ155: Did you try to purchase a short-term plan in the last 12 months?

[IF TQ154=1]

Did you try to purchase a short-term plan in the last 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	135	1.4 %
2	No	224	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	9234	96.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 359 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 248-249 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ156: Did you purchase a short-term plan in the last 12 months?

[IF TQ155=1]

Did you purchase a short-term plan in the last 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	92	1.0 %
2	No	40	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	9461	98.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 132 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 250-251 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ157A: Reason you purchased a short-term plan: I only needed coverage for a short period of time.

[IF TQ156=1]

Which of the following are reasons you chose to purchase a short-term plan?

I only needed coverage for a short period of time.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	50	0.5 %
2	No	39	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	9504	99.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 89 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 252-253 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ157B: Reason you purchased a short-term plan: I didn't know traditional coverage was available.

[IF TQ156=1]

Which of the following are reasons you chose to purchase a short-term plan?

I didn't know traditional coverage was available.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	29	0.3 %
2	No	59	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	4	0.0 %
.	-	9504	99.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 88 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 254-255 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ157C: Reason you purchased a short-term plan: I am healthy and do not need traditional coverage.

[IF TQ156=1]

Which of the following are reasons you chose to purchase a short-term plan?

I am healthy and do not need traditional coverage.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	37	0.4 %
2	No	52	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	9504	99.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 89 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 256-257 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ157D: Reason you purchased a short-term plan: I could not afford traditional coverage.

[IF TQ156=1]

Which of the following are reasons you chose to purchase a short-term plan?

I could not afford traditional coverage.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	42	0.4 %
2	No	45	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	5	0.1 %
.	-	9504	99.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 258-259 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ157E: Reason you purchased a short-term plan: I could use it to go to the doctors/hospitals I want to use while traditional coverage limited my choice of doctors/hospitals.

[IF TQ156=1]

Which of the following are reasons you chose to purchase a short-term plan?

I could use it to go to the doctors/hospitals I want to use while traditional coverage limited my choice of doctors/hospitals.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	43	0.4 %
2	No	46	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.0 %
.	-	9504	99.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 89 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 260-261 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ157F: Reason you purchased a short-term plan: other (specify):

[IF TQ156=1]

Which of the following are reasons you chose to purchase a short-term plan?

Other (specify):

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	17	0.2 %
2	No	41	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	34	0.4 %
.	-	9504	99.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 58 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 262-263 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ158A: Reason you did not purchase a short-term plan: the company declined my application.

[IF TQ156=2]

Which of the following are reasons you did not purchase a short-term plan?

The company declined my application.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	13	0.1 %
2	No	26	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	9556	99.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 39 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 264-265 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ158B: Reason you did not purchase a short-term plan: the policies wouldn't cover care that I needed (e.g., for pre-existing conditions, maternity care, etc.).

[IF TQ156=2]

Which of the following are reasons you did not purchase a short-term plan?

The policies wouldn't cover care that I needed (e.g., for pre-existing conditions, maternity care, etc.).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	15	0.2 %
2	No	23	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	2	0.0 %
.	-	9556	99.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 38 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 266-267 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ158C: Reason you did not purchase a short-term plan: the coverage was not a good value for the price.

[IF TQ156=2]

Which of the following are reasons you did not purchase a short-term plan?

The coverage was not a good value for the price.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	20	0.2 %
2	No	18	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	2	0.0 %
.	-	9556	99.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 38 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 268-269 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ158D: Reason you did not purchase a short-term plan: the price was too high.

[IF TQ156=2]

Which of the following are reasons you did not purchase a short-term plan?

The price was too high.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	25	0.3 %
2	No	13	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	2	0.0 %
.	-	9556	99.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 38 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 270-271 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ158E: Reason you did not purchase a short-term plan: I couldn't use my subsidy/tax credit to help purchase it.

[IF TQ156=2]

Which of the following are reasons you did not purchase a short-term plan?

I couldn't use my subsidy/tax credit to help purchase it.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	12	0.1 %
2	No	26	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	2	0.0 %
.	-	9556	99.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 38 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 272-273 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ158F: Reason you did not purchase a short-term plan: other (specify):

[IF TQ156=2]

Which of the following are reasons you did not purchase a short-term plan?

Other (specify):

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1	0.0 %
2	No	23	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	16	0.2 %
.	-	9556	99.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 24 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 274-275 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86: Over the last 12 months, have you ever felt that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people?

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

Over the last 12 months, have you ever felt that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	626	6.5 %
2	No	8872	92.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	98	1.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,498 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 276-277 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

TQ86A_1: Opinion why unfair treatment: the type of health insurance coverage you had.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

The type of health insurance coverage you had.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	206	2.1 %
2	No	411	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 617 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 278-279 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_2: Opinion why unfair treatment: a lack of health insurance coverage.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

A lack of health insurance coverage.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	152	1.6 %
2	No	466	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 618 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 280-281 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_3: Opinion why unfair treatment: your race or ethnicity.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your race or ethnicity.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	140	1.5 %
2	No	478	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 618 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 282-283 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_4: Opinion why unfair treatment: your nationality or ancestry.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your nationality or ancestry.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	108	1.1 %
2	No	503	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	15	0.2 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 611 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 284-285 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_5: Opinion why unfair treatment: your age.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your age.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	192	2.0 %
2	No	423	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	11	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 615 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 286-287 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_6: Opinion why unfair treatment: your gender.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your gender.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	124	1.3 %
2	No	491	5.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	11	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 615 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 288-289 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_7: Opinion why unfair treatment: your sexual orientation or gender identity.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your sexual orientation or gender identity.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	60	0.6 %
2	No	558	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	8	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 618 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 290-291 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_8: Opinion why unfair treatment: your personal or religious beliefs.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your personal or religious beliefs.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	77	0.8 %
2	No	536	5.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	13	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 613 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 292-293 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_9: Opinion why unfair treatment: your appearance.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your appearance.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	225	2.3 %
2	No	396	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	5	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 621 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 294-295 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_10: Opinion why unfair treatment: your accent or the way you speak English.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Your accent or the way you speak English.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	56	0.6 %
2	No	560	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	10	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 616 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 296-297 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_11: Opinion why unfair treatment: a disability.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

A disability.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	147	1.5 %
2	No	473	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	6	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 620 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 298-299 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_12: Opinion why unfair treatment: a health condition.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

A health condition.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	249	2.6 %
2	No	365	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	12	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 614 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 300-301 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86A_13: Opinion why unfair treatment: other (specify):

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS 1-12 IS RANDOMIZED]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

In your opinion, were any of the times that a doctor, other health care provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people because of any of the following?

Other (specify):

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	95	1.0 %
2	No	316	3.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	215	2.2 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 411 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 302-303 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86B_1: Reaction to unfair treatment: changed providers.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED BUT "DELAYED" ALWAYS APPEARS BEFORE "DIDN'T GET"]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

Did you do any of the following because a doctor, other provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people?

Changed providers.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	235	2.4 %
2	No	381	4.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	10	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 616 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 304-305 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86B_2: Reaction to unfair treatment: delayed getting care you needed.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED BUT "DELAYED" ALWAYS APPEARS BEFORE "DIDN'T GET"]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

Did you do any of the following because a doctor, other provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people?

Delayed getting care you needed.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	277	2.9 %
2	No	338	3.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	11	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 615 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 306-307 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86B_3: Reaction to unfair treatment: didn't get care you needed.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED BUT "DELAYED" ALWAYS APPEARS BEFORE "DIDN'T GET"]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

Did you do any of the following because a doctor, other provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people?

Didn't get care you needed.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	282	2.9 %
2	No	333	3.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	11	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 615 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 308-309 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86B_4: Reaction to unfair treatment: filed a complaint.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED BUT "DELAYED" ALWAYS APPEARS BEFORE "DIDN'T GET"]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

Did you do any of the following because a doctor, other provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people?

Filed a complaint.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	113	1.2 %
2	No	509	5.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	4	0.0 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 622 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 310-311 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86B_5: Reaction to unfair treatment: didn't follow the doctor or provider's recommendations.

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED BUT "DELAYED" ALWAYS APPEARS BEFORE "DIDN'T GET"]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

Did you do any of the following because a doctor, other provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less respect than other people?

Didn't follow the doctor or provider's recommendations.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	205	2.1 %
2	No	411	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	10	0.1 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 616 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 312-313 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ86B_6: Reaction to unfair treatment: other (specify):

[IF TQ86=1]

[ORDER OF ITEMS IS RANDOMIZED BUT "DELAYED" ALWAYS APPEARS BEFORE "DIDN'T GET"]

For the next questions think about the health care you've received over the last 12 months, that is, since March 2018.

Did you do any of the following because a doctor, other provider, or their staff judged you unfairly or treated you with less

respect than other people?

Other (specify):

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	43	0.4 %
2	No	355	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	228	2.4 %
.	-	8970	93.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 398 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 314-315 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

TQ142: Have you ever been arrested by the police or taken into custody for an illegal or delinquent offense?

The next few questions are related to involvement in the criminal justice system. We know that this information is personal, and your responses to these questions are completely voluntary. Please remember your answers will be kept confidential. The answers that people give us about involvement in the criminal justice system are important to our understanding of potential barriers to employment.

[IF Q7C NE 1 AND Q3C NE 1-3 AND DOV_WORK=1]

Have you ever been arrested by the police or taken into custody for an illegal or delinquent offense (do not include arrests for minor traffic violations)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
1	MASKED BY ICPSR	6483	67.6 %
.	-	3113	32.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

Location: 316-316 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 1 , .

TQ142A: In the last seven years, have you been arrested by the police or taken into custody for an illegal or delinquent offense?

The next few questions are related to involvement in the criminal justice system. We know that this information is personal, and your responses to these questions are completely voluntary. Please remember your answers will be kept confidential. The answers that people give us about involvement in the criminal justice system are important to our understanding of potential barriers to employment.

[IF TQ142=1]

Since you were [CURRENT AGE MINUS 7] years old, have you been arrested by the police or taken into custody for an illegal or delinquent offense (do not include arrests for minor traffic violations)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
1	MASKED BY ICPSR	582	6.1 %
.	-	9014	93.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

Location: 317-317 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 1 , .

TQ142B: Have you ever been convicted of, or found delinquent of any charges, or have you ever pleaded guilty to any charges?

The next few questions are related to involvement in the criminal justice system. We know that this information is personal, and your responses to these questions are completely voluntary. Please remember your answers will be kept confidential. The answers that people give us about involvement in the criminal justice system are important to our understanding of potential barriers to employment.

[IF TQ142=1]

Have you ever been convicted of, or found delinquent (adjudicated delinquent) of any charges, or have you ever pleaded guilty to any charges?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
1	MASKED BY ICPSR	582	6.1 %
.	-	9014	93.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

Location: 318-318 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

TQ142C: Were you ever sentenced to spend time in a jail, prison, or juvenile detention center?

The next few questions are related to involvement in the criminal justice system. We know that this information is personal, and your responses to these questions are completely voluntary. Please remember your answers will be kept confidential. The answers that people give us about involvement in the criminal justice system are important to our understanding of potential barriers to employment.

[IF TQ142B=1]

Were you ever sentenced to spend time in a jail, prison, or juvenile detention center?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
1	MASKED BY ICPSR	329	3.4 %
.	-	9267	96.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

Location: 319-319 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 1 , .

PPAGE: Age

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	32	0.3 %
19	-	54	0.6 %
20	-	54	0.6 %
21	-	62	0.6 %
22	-	54	0.6 %
23	-	90	0.9 %
24	-	93	1.0 %
25	-	124	1.3 %
26	-	138	1.4 %
27	-	159	1.7 %
28	-	226	2.4 %
29	-	239	2.5 %
30	-	172	1.8 %
31	-	150	1.6 %
32	-	173	1.8 %
33	-	188	2.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	162	1.7 %
35	-	199	2.1 %
36	-	205	2.1 %
37	-	218	2.3 %
38	-	204	2.1 %
39	-	185	1.9 %
40	-	217	2.3 %
41	-	212	2.2 %
42	-	197	2.1 %
43	-	215	2.2 %
44	-	191	2.0 %
45	-	170	1.8 %
46	-	184	1.9 %
47	-	148	1.5 %
48	-	224	2.3 %
49	-	209	2.2 %
50	-	228	2.4 %
51	-	255	2.7 %
52	-	215	2.2 %
53	-	265	2.8 %
54	-	302	3.1 %
55	-	303	3.2 %
56	-	308	3.2 %
57	-	325	3.4 %
58	-	359	3.7 %
59	-	340	3.5 %
60	-	283	2.9 %
61	-	315	3.3 %
62	-	318	3.3 %
63	-	342	3.6 %
64	-	290	3.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 45.93
- Median: 48.00
- Mode: 58.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 64.00
- Standard Deviation: 12.51

PPAGECAT: Age - 7 categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-24	439	4.6 %
2	25-34	1731	18.0 %
3	35-44	2043	21.3 %
4	45-54	2200	22.9 %
5	55-64	3183	33.2 %
6	65-74	0	0.0 %
7	75+	0	0.0 %
99	Under 18	0	0.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

PPAGECT4: Age - 4 categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-29	1325	13.8 %
2	30-44	2888	30.1 %
3	45-59	3835	40.0 %
4	60+	1548	16.1 %
99	Under 18	0	0.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

PPEDUC: Education (highest degree received)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No formal education	20	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	13	0.1 %
3	5th or 6th grade	41	0.4 %
4	7th or 8th grade	75	0.8 %
5	9th grade	101	1.1 %
6	10th grade	104	1.1 %
7	11th grade	120	1.3 %
8	12th grade, no diploma	145	1.5 %
9	High school graduate - high school diploma or the equivalent (GED)	2159	22.5 %
10	Some college, no degree	1775	18.5 %
11	Associate's degree	1032	10.8 %
12	Bachelor's degree	2409	25.1 %
13	Master's degree	1170	12.2 %
14	Professional or doctorate degree	432	4.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 14.00

Location: 326-327 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPEDUCAT: Education (categorical)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than high school	619	6.5 %
2	High school	2159	22.5 %
3	Some college	2807	29.3 %
4	Bachelor's degree or higher	4011	41.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 328-329 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPETHM: Race / ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White, non-Hispanic	6618	69.0 %
2	Black, non-Hispanic	843	8.8 %
3	Other, non-Hispanic	417	4.3 %
4	Hispanic	1455	15.2 %
5	2+ races, non-Hispanic	263	2.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 330-331 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPGENDER: Gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	4890	51.0 %
2	Female	4706	49.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 332-333 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPHHHEAD: Household head

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1847	19.2 %
1	Yes	7749	80.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 334-335 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPHHSIZE: Household size

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	1802	18.8 %
2	2	3156	32.9 %
3	3	1786	18.6 %
4	4	1619	16.9 %
5	5	715	7.5 %
6	6	282	2.9 %
7	7	105	1.1 %
8	8 or more	131	1.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 336-336 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPHOUSE: Housing type

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A one-family house detached from any other house	6779	70.6 %
2	A one-family house attached to one or more houses	908	9.5 %
3	A building with 2 or more apartments	1534	16.0 %
4	A mobile home or boat, RV, van, etc.	375	3.9 %
5	Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 337-338 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPINCIMP: Household income

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than $5,000	342	3.6 %
2	$5,000 to $7,499	114	1.2 %
3	$7,500 to $9,999	126	1.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	$10,000 to $12,499	219	2.3 %
5	$12,500 to $14,999	158	1.6 %
6	$15,000 to $19,999	266	2.8 %
7	$20,000 to $24,999	348	3.6 %
8	$25,000 to $29,999	342	3.6 %
9	$30,000 to $34,999	364	3.8 %
10	$35,000 to $39,999	328	3.4 %
11	$40,000 to $49,999	574	6.0 %
12	$50,000 to $59,999	634	6.6 %
13	$60,000 to $74,999	870	9.1 %
14	$75,000 to $84,999	662	6.9 %
15	$85,000 to $99,999	715	7.5 %
16	$100,000 to $124,999	1124	11.7 %
17	$125,000 to $149,999	635	6.6 %
18	$150,000 to $174,999	620	6.5 %
19	$175,000 to $199,999	392	4.1 %
20	$200,000 to $249,999	374	3.9 %
21	$250,000 or more	389	4.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 21.00

Location: 339-340 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPMARIT: Marital status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Married	5693	59.3 %
2	Widowed	149	1.6 %
3	Divorced	1038	10.8 %
4	Separated	168	1.8 %
5	Never married	1937	20.2 %
6	Living with partner	611	6.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 341-342 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPMSACAT: Metropolitan statistical area (MSA) status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Non-metro	1204	12.5 %
1	Metro	8392	87.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 343-344 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPREG4: Region 4 - based on state of residence

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Northeast	0	0.0 %
2	Midwest	0	0.0 %
3	South	0	0.0 %
4	West	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	9596	100.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

Location: 345-346 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

PPRENT: Ownership status of living quarters

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	6858	71.5 %
2	Rented for cash	2578	26.9 %
3	Occupied without payment of cash rent	160	1.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 347-348 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPWORK: Current employment status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working - as a paid employee	6393	66.6 %
2	Working - self-employed	775	8.1 %
3	Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	34	0.4 %
4	Not working - looking for work	443	4.6 %
5	Not working - retired	724	7.5 %
6	Not working - disabled	529	5.5 %
7	Not working - other	698	7.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 349-350 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

XACSNET: Internet access

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	294	3.1 %
1	Yes	9302	96.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 351-351 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPP20197: QEG22: Are you a citizen of the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	8707	90.7 %
2	No	476	5.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	16	0.2 %
.	-	397	4.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,183 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 352-353 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

LGBT_NEW: Gay or lesbian, bisexual, or transgender (updated demographic profile questions)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8324	86.7 %
1	Yes	651	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	621	6.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 8,975 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 354-354 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

FPL_LT138: Family income less than or equal to 138% federal poverty level (FPL)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7637	79.6 %
1	Yes	1727	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,364 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 355-355 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

FPL138_249: Family income greater than 138 to 249% federal poverty level (FPL)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7327	76.4 %
1	Yes	2037	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,364 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 356-356 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

FPL250_399: Family income greater than 250 to 399% federal poverty level (FPL)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7936	82.7 %
1	Yes	1428	14.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,364 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 357-357 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

FPL138_399: Family income greater than 138 to less than 400% federal poverty level (FPL)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	5899	61.5 %
1	Yes	3465	36.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,364 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

FPL_400PL: Family income 400% federal poverty level (FPL) and greater

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	5192	54.1 %
1	Yes	4172	43.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,364 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

FPL_LT100: Family income less than or equal to 100% federal poverty level (FPL)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8225	85.7 %
1	Yes	1139	11.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,364 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

FPL100_138: Family income greater than 100% federal poverty level (FPL) to 138% federal poverty level (FPL)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8776	91.5 %
1	Yes	588	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,364 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 361-361 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

INSURED: Insured at time of survey

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	766	8.0 %
1	Yes	8830	92.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 362-362 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

UNINSURED: Uninsured at time of survey

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8830	92.0 %
1	Yes	766	8.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 363-363 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

FAMSIZE: Family size

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	2197	22.9 %
2	2	3395	35.4 %
3	3	1463	15.2 %
4	4	1460	15.2 %
5	5	644	6.7 %
6	6	252	2.6 %
7	7	78	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	8 or more	59	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	48	0.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,548 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 364-364 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_MI_MISS: Missing data on family income in Q14B

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	9364	97.6 %
1	Yes	232	2.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 365-365 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FPL_LT100: Family income less than or equal to 100% federal poverty level (FPL) - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8406	87.6 %
1	Yes	1190	12.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 366-366 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FPL_LT100: Family income less than or equal to 100% federal poverty level (FPL) - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8411	87.7 %
1	Yes	1185	12.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 367-367 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_3_FPL_LT100: Family income less than or equal to 100% federal poverty level (FPL) - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8414	87.7 %
1	Yes	1182	12.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 368-368 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FPL_LT100: Family income less than or equal to 100% federal poverty level (FPL) - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8411	87.7 %
1	Yes	1185	12.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 369-369 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FPL_LT100: Family income less than or equal to 100% federal poverty level (FPL) - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8411	87.7 %
1	Yes	1185	12.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 370-370 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FPL100_138: Family income greater than 100 to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8985	93.6 %
1	Yes	611	6.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 371-371 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FPL100_138: Family income greater than 100 to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8989	93.7 %
1	Yes	607	6.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 372-372 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_3_FPL100_138: Family income greater than 100 to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8989	93.7 %
1	Yes	607	6.3 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 373-373 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FPL100_138: Family income greater than 100 to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8978	93.6 %
1	Yes	618	6.4 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 374-374 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FPL100_138: Family income greater than 100 to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8988	93.7 %
1	Yes	608	6.3 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 375-375 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FPL_LT138: Family income less than or equal to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7795	81.2 %
1	Yes	1801	18.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 376-376 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FPL_LT138: Family income less than or equal to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7804	81.3 %
1	Yes	1792	18.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 377-377 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_3_FPL_LT138: Family income less than or equal to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7807	81.4 %
1	Yes	1789	18.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 378-378 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FPL_LT138: Family income less than or equal to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7793	81.2 %
1	Yes	1803	18.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 379-379 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FPL_LT138: Family income less than or equal to 138% federal poverty level (FPL) - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7803	81.3 %
1	Yes	1793	18.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 380-380 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FPL138_249: Family income greater than 138 to 249% federal poverty level (FPL) - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7508	78.2 %
1	Yes	2088	21.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 381-381 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FPL138_249: Family income greater than 138 to 249% federal poverty level (FPL) - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7510	78.3 %
1	Yes	2086	21.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 382-382 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_3_FPL138_249: Family income greater than 138 to 249% federal poverty level (FPL) - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7503	78.2 %
1	Yes	2093	21.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 383-383 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FPL138_249: Family income greater than 138 to 249% federal poverty level (FPL) - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7511	78.3 %
1	Yes	2085	21.7 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 384-384 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FPL138_249: Family income greater than 138 to 249% federal poverty level (FPL) - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7500	78.2 %
1	Yes	2096	21.8 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 385-385 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FPL250_399: Family income greater than 250 to 399% federal poverty level (FPL) - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8140	84.8 %
1	Yes	1456	15.2 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 386-386 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FPL250_399: Family income greater than 250 to 399% federal poverty level (FPL) - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8136	84.8 %
1	Yes	1460	15.2 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 387-387 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_3_FPL250_399: Family income greater than 250 to 399% federal poverty level (FPL) - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8139	84.8 %
1	Yes	1457	15.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 388-388 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FPL250_399: Family income greater than 250 to 399% federal poverty level (FPL) - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8142	84.8 %
1	Yes	1454	15.2 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 389-389 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FPL250_399: Family income greater than 250 to 399% federal poverty level (FPL) - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8144	84.9 %
1	Yes	1452	15.1 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 390-390 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FPL138_399: Family income greater than 138 to less than 400% federal poverty level (FPL) - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6052	63.1 %
1	Yes	3544	36.9 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 391-391 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FPL138_399: Family income greater than 138 to less than 400% federal poverty level (FPL) - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6050	63.0 %
1	Yes	3546	37.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 392-392 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_3_FPL138_399: Family income greater than 138 to less than 400% federal poverty level (FPL) - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6046	63.0 %
1	Yes	3550	37.0 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 393-393 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FPL138_399: Family income greater than 138 to less than 400% federal poverty level (FPL) - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6057	63.1 %
1	Yes	3539	36.9 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 394-394 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FPL138_399: Family income greater than 138 to less than 400% federal poverty level (FPL) - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6048	63.0 %
1	Yes	3548	37.0 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 395-395 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FPL_400PL: Family income 400% federal poverty level (FPL) and greater - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	5345	55.7 %
1	Yes	4251	44.3 %
	Total	9,596	100%

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 396-396 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FPL_400PL: Family income 400% federal poverty level (FPL) and greater - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	5338	55.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4258	44.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 397-397 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_3_FPL_400PL: Family income 400% federal poverty level (FPL) and greater - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	5339	55.6 %
1	Yes	4257	44.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 398-398 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FPL_400PL: Family income 400% federal poverty level (FPL) and greater - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	5342	55.7 %
1	Yes	4254	44.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 399-399 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FPL_400PL: Family income 400% federal poverty level (FPL) and greater - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	5341	55.7 %
1	Yes	4255	44.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 400-400 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_1_FAMSIZE: Family size - 1st imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	2211	23.0 %
2	2	3403	35.5 %
3	3	1473	15.4 %
4	4	1470	15.3 %
5	5	647	6.7 %
6	6	254	2.6 %
7	7	78	0.8 %
8	8 or more	60	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 401-401 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_2_FAMSIZE: Family size - 2nd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	2210	23.0 %
2	2	3410	35.5 %
3	3	1473	15.4 %
4	4	1465	15.3 %
5	5	645	6.7 %
6	6	254	2.6 %
7	7	79	0.8 %
8	8 or more	60	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 402-402 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

V_3_FAMSIZE: Family size - 3rd imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	2208	23.0 %
2	2	3414	35.6 %
3	3	1474	15.4 %
4	4	1465	15.3 %
5	5	645	6.7 %
6	6	252	2.6 %
7	7	78	0.8 %
8	8 or more	60	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 403-403 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_4_FAMSIZE: Family size - 4th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	2208	23.0 %
2	2	3418	35.6 %
3	3	1463	15.2 %
4	4	1472	15.3 %
5	5	645	6.7 %
6	6	253	2.6 %
7	7	78	0.8 %
8	8 or more	59	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 404-404 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

V_5_FAMSIZE: Family size - 5th imputation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1	2211	23.0 %
2	2	3409	35.5 %
3	3	1474	15.4 %
4	4	1464	15.3 %
5	5	645	6.7 %
6	6	253	2.6 %
7	7	80	0.8 %
8	8 or more	60	0.6 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 405-405 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

CHILD0_1: One or more children ages 0-1 in household

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	9071	94.5 %
1	Yes	525	5.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 406-406 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

CHILD2_5: One or more children ages 2-5 in household

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8591	89.5 %
1	Yes	1005	10.5 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 407-407 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

CHILD6_12: One or more children ages 6-12 in household

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	7934	82.7 %
1	Yes	1662	17.3 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 408-408 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

CHILD13_17: One or more children ages 13-17 in household

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	8079	84.2 %
1	Yes	1517	15.8 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 409-409 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

VARUNIT: Cluster grouping for variance estimation

.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	573	6.0 %
2	-	464	4.8 %
3	-	405	4.2 %
4	-	388	4.0 %
5	-	335	3.5 %
6	-	230	2.4 %
7	-	182	1.9 %
8	-	297	3.1 %
9	-	285	3.0 %
10	-	558	5.8 %
11	-	117	1.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	778	8.1 %
13	-	158	1.6 %
14	-	123	1.3 %
15	-	1224	12.8 %
16	-	273	2.8 %
17	-	208	2.2 %
18	-	282	2.9 %
19	-	275	2.9 %
20	-	247	2.6 %
21	-	238	2.5 %
22	-	80	0.8 %
23	-	191	2.0 %
24	-	210	2.2 %
25	-	205	2.1 %
26	-	154	1.6 %
27	-	181	1.9 %
28	-	144	1.5 %
29	-	147	1.5 %
30	-	151	1.6 %
31	-	201	2.1 %
32	-	158	1.6 %
33	-	134	1.4 %
	Total	**9,596**	**100%**

Based upon 9,596 valid cases out of 9,596 total cases.

- Mean: 13.94
- Median: 14.00
- Mode: 15.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 33.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.82

Location: 410-411 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric