# Low Regularity Non- $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Local Solutions to the gMHD- $\alpha$ system

Existence of Solutions with Poorly Behaved Initial Conditions: An Exposition

Lorenzo Riva

Department of Mathematics Creighton University

Mathfest 2019





#### **Outline**

#### Introduction

What are the Magneto-Hydrodynamic equations? The generalized MHD- $\alpha$  (gMHD- $\alpha$ ) equations Goal

#### Setup and overview

Duhamel's principle Contraction mapping theorem Sobolev spaces

#### Algorithm

Summary First approach Auxiliary space and algorithm

# What are the Magneto-Hydrodynamic equations?

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u - (B \cdot \nabla)B - \nu_1 \Delta u = -\nabla p - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \left| B \right|^2, \\ &\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)u - \nu_2 \Delta B = 0, \\ &\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0, \\ &u(0, x) = u_0(x), \qquad B(0, x) = B_0(x). \end{split}$$

- In the above,
  - $u:[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is the fluid velocity,
  - ▶  $B: [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the magnetic field,
  - ▶  $p:[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$  is the pressure, and
  - ▶  $0 < \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  are the fluid viscosity and magnetic diffusivity.
- ► This is hard! We study a modified version:

## The generalized MHD- $\alpha$ (gMHD- $\alpha$ ) equations

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) v + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \nabla u_i - (B \cdot \nabla) B - \nu_1 \mathcal{L}_1 v = -\nabla p - \frac{1}{2} \nabla |B|^2, 
\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) B - (B \cdot \nabla) u - \nu_2 \mathcal{L}_2 B = 0, 
v = (1 - \alpha^2 \mathcal{L}_3) u, 
\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0, 
u(0, x) = u_0(x), \qquad B(0, x) = B_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

# The generalized MHD- $\alpha$ (gMHD- $\alpha$ ) equations

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)v + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \nabla u_i - (B \cdot \nabla)B - \mathcal{L}_1 v = -\nabla p - \frac{1}{2} \nabla |B|^2, 
\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)u - \mathcal{L}_2 B = 0, 
v = (1 - \mathcal{L}_3)u, 
\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0, 
u(0, x) = u_0(x), \qquad B(0, x) = B_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

# The generalized MHD- $\alpha$ (gMHD- $\alpha$ ) equations

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)v + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \nabla u_i - (B \cdot \nabla)B - \mathcal{L}_1 v = -\nabla p - \frac{1}{2} \nabla |B|^2, 
\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)u - \mathcal{L}_2 B = 0, 
v = (1 - \mathcal{L}_3)u, 
\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0, 
u(0, x) = u_0(x), \qquad B(0, x) = B_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + P\left((u \cdot \nabla)v + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \nabla u_i - (B \cdot \nabla)B\right) - \mathcal{L}_1 v = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + P\left((u \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)u\right) - \mathcal{L}_2 B = 0,$$

$$v = (1 - \mathcal{L}_3)u,$$

$$\text{div } u = \text{div } B = 0,$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \qquad B(0, x) = B_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \underbrace{P(1 - \mathcal{L}_3)^{-1} \left( (u \cdot \nabla)v + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \nabla u_i - (B \cdot \nabla)B \right)}_{G_1(u,B)} - \mathcal{L}_1 u = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \underbrace{P\left( (u \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)u \right)}_{G_2(u,B)} - \mathcal{L}_2 B = 0,$$

$$v = (1 - \mathcal{L}_3)u,$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0,$$

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \qquad B(0,x) = B_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let The generalized MHD- $\alpha$  (gMHD- $\alpha$ ) equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_1 u - G_1(u, B),$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_2 B - G_2(u, B),$$

$$v = (1 - \mathcal{L}_3)u,$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0,$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \qquad B(0, x) = B_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

#### Goal

- Guarantee the existence of a local solution with rough initial conditions and arbitrary integrability.
  - Will not be written explicitly
  - ightharpoonup Will exist on some finite interval [0, T]
  - Initial conditions will have very few derivatives
  - Integrability:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \, dx < \infty.$$

#### Duhamel's principle

Here are our equations:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_1 u - G_1(u, B),$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_2 B - G_2(u, B).$$

Apply Duhamel's principle to find formal solutions in terms of integrals:

$$u = e^{t\mathcal{L}_1} u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_1} G_1(u, B) ds,$$
  
$$B = e^{t\mathcal{L}_2} B_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_2} G_2(u, B) ds.$$

## Contraction mapping theorem

Are there any (u, B) that satisfy the integral equations? Maybe. Use the contraction mapping theorem:

#### **Theorem**

Let  $F: X \to X$  be a map on a complete normed space. If there is a  $0 \le k < 1$  such that

$$||F(x_1) - F(x_2)|| \le k ||x_1 - x_2||$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , then F is called a contraction and it has a unique fixed point (F(y) = y).

**Examples:**  $F(x) = \frac{1}{3}x$  or a geographic map.

▶ Define  $F = (F_1, F_2)$  by

$$F_1(u,B) := e^{t\mathcal{L}_1}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_1}G_1(u,B)ds,$$
  
$$F_2(u,B) := e^{t\mathcal{L}_2}B_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_2}G_2(u,B)ds.$$

▶ We want  $(F_1, F_2)$  to have a unique fixed point (u, B).

## Sobolev spaces

$$L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R} : \left\| f \right\|_{p} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| f(x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$

$$H^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \left\{ f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) : D^{r} f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \right\}$$

- $ightharpoonup D^r f$  indicates r derivatives of f in any combination of directions.
- Now  $F: Z \to Z$ , where Z is an interval (for the time variable) and a Sobolev space (for the space variable).

## Summary

- ► To recap:
  - Found an integral formulation of the solutions using Duhamel's principle.
  - ▶ Used those solutions to define an operator  $F: Z \rightarrow Z$ .
  - ▶ Have to show that F is a contraction on Z.
- Previous work:
  - $ightharpoonup \mathcal{L}_3 = \Delta$ ,
  - ightharpoonup integrability: p=2,
  - regularity: high.
- My project:
  - ightharpoonup arbitrary  $\mathcal{L}_3$ ,
  - ▶ integrability:  $p \ge n$ ,
  - regularity: low.

# First approach

▶ Try setting  $Z = X_{T,M} \times Y_{T,M}$ :

$$\begin{split} X_{T,M} &= \bigg\{ f: [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n: \sup_{(0,T)} \big\| f(t,\cdot) - e^{t\mathcal{L}_1} u_0 \big\|_{r_0,p_0} < M \bigg\}, \\ Y_{T,M} &= \bigg\{ f: [0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n: \sup_{(0,T)} \big\| f(t,\cdot) - e^{t\mathcal{L}_2} B_0 \big\|_{r_2,p_2} < M \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

We run into a problem.

$$\left\| P(1 - \mathcal{L}_3)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \nabla u_i \right\|_{r_0, \pi_2} \le \dots \le C \|u\|_{\gamma_3, p'} \|u\|_{1, p''},$$

where  $\pi_2, p', p''$  are intermediate integrability indices that can be ignored.

We could use a Sobolev embedding to obtain

$$\|u\|_{\gamma_3,p'} \leq \|u\|_{r_0,p_0} \ \ \text{and} \ \ \|u\|_{1,p''} \leq \|u\|_{r_0,p_0} \ ,$$

but that would require  $r_0 \ge \gamma_3$  and  $r_0 \ge 1$ .

 $\blacktriangleright$  We want  $r_0$  to be as small as possible, so this does not work.

## Auxiliary space and algorithm

▶ We let u be in two spaces: one of regularity  $r_0$ , and one of regularity  $r_1 \ge r_0$  (which we care less about) that satisfies the following conditions, for a particular  $a_1 > 0$ :

$$\left\|u\right\|_{a_{1};r_{1},p_{1}}:=\sup_{(0,T)}t^{a_{1}}\left\|u(t)\right\|_{r_{1},p_{1}}<\infty\text{ and }\lim_{t\to0^{+}}t^{a_{1}}u(t)=0.$$

Now

$$X_{T,M} = \left\{ f : \sup_{(0,T)} \left\| f(t,\cdot) - e^{t\mathcal{L}_1} u_0 \right\|_{r_0,p_0} + \left\| f \right\|_{a_1;r_1,p_1} < M \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \sup_{(0,T)} t^{a_1} \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}_1} u_0 \right\|_{r_1,p_1} < M/4, \\ I_2 &= \sup_{(0,T)} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_1} G_1(u,B) ds \right\|_{r_0,p_0} < M/4, \\ I_3 &= \sup_{(0,T)} t^{a_1} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_1} G_1(u,B) ds \right\|_{r_1,p_1} < M/4, \\ I_4 &= \sup_{(0,T)} \left\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_2} G_2(u,B) ds \right\|_{r_2,p_2} < M/4. \end{split}$$

Each integral can be further split up into its individual terms, e.g.

$$I_{2} \leq \sup_{(0,T)} \int_{0}^{t} \left( \left\| e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_{1}} P(1-\mathcal{L}_{3})^{-1} (u \cdot \nabla) v \right\|_{r_{0},p_{0}} + \left\| e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_{1}} P(1-\mathcal{L}_{3})^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \nabla u_{i} \right) \right\|_{r_{0},p_{0}} + \left\| e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_{1}} P(1-\mathcal{L}_{3})^{-1} (B \cdot \nabla) B \right\|_{r_{0},p_{0}} \right) ds.$$

$$\left\| P(1 - \mathcal{L}_{3})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \nabla u_{i} \right\|_{r_{0}, \pi_{2}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \nabla u_{i} \right\|_{r_{0} - \gamma_{3}^{-}, \tau}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| v_{i} \nabla u_{i} \right\|_{\pi_{2}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| v_{i} \right\|_{p'} \left\| \nabla u_{i} \right\|_{p''}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| v \right\|_{p'} \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{p''}$$

$$\leq C \left\| u \right\|_{\gamma_{3}^{-}, p'} \left\| u \right\|_{1, p''}$$

$$\leq C \left\| u \right\|_{r_{1}, p_{1}}^{2}$$

provided that  $r_0 < \gamma_3$  and  $\frac{1}{\pi_2} = \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p''} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1-r_1}{n}$ .

- ▶ The rest of the proof consists in bounding appropriately each nonlinear term using various product and Sobolev estimates, always being mindful of the restrictions on  $r_0$ .
- ► Each estimate imposes a condition on the indices. The collection of all these conditions forms the requirements necessary for the existence of a solution and constitutes the statement of our theorem.
- This is a special case of our main result:

#### Theorem (Riva, 2019)

Assume  $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3>0$ ,  $\gamma_3\leq 1$  and  $p,q\geq n$ . Then, for any divergence-free  $u_0\in H^{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $B_0\in H^{0,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exists a unique local solution (u,B) to the generalized MHD- $\alpha$  system provided that

$$\gamma_1 > 6 - \gamma_3,$$
  
$$\gamma_2 > 1 + \frac{n}{p}.$$

Thank you! Questions?

#### Theorem (Riva, 2019)

Let  $g_1,g_2,g_3:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be non-decreasing functions bounded below by 1 satisfying condition (1). Let  $\gamma_3^--1\le r_0\le\gamma_3^-\le r_1$ ,  $r_2-1+\gamma_3^-\le r_0< n/p_0$ , and let  $p_0,p_1,p_2\ge n$  with  $p_0\le p_1$ . Moreover, assume that

$$2r_1 \ge \max\left\{2, 1 + \gamma_3^- - \frac{n}{p_0} + \frac{2n}{p_1}\right\}, 0 \le r_2 < \min\left\{\frac{n}{p_0}, \frac{n}{p_2}, \frac{2n}{p_2} - \frac{n}{p_0}\right\}.$$

Then, for any divergence-free  $u_0 \in H^{r_0,p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $B_0 \in H^{r_2,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exists a unique local solution (u,B) to the generalized MHD- $\alpha$  system provided that

$$\gamma_{1}^{-} > 3r_{1} - 2r_{0} - \gamma_{3}^{-} + \frac{3n}{p_{0}} - \frac{3n}{p_{1}},$$

$$\gamma_{1}^{-} > 1 - 2r_{2} + r_{1} - \gamma_{3}^{-} - \frac{n}{p_{1}} + \frac{2n}{p_{2}},$$

$$\gamma_{2}^{-} > 1 - r_{2} + \frac{n}{p_{0}}.$$

#### A white lie

▶ At the beginning I mentioned that each  $\mathcal{L}_i$  (i=1,2,3) is a Fourier multiplier that contains  $\gamma_i$  derivatives. Formally, this would mean that  $\mathcal{L}_i$  has a symbol

$$m_i(\xi) = -|\xi|^{\gamma_i}.$$

E.g. the Laplacian, another Fourier multiplier, has symbol  $-|\xi|^2$ .

In full generality, however, this project allowed for the  $\mathcal{L}_i$ 's to have a little less than  $\gamma_i$  derivatives: their symbols are

$$m_i(\xi) = -\frac{|\xi|^{\gamma_i}}{g_i(\xi)},$$

where each  $g_i$  satisfies some derivative conditions that render each  $\mathcal{L}_i$  a Mikhlin multiplier:  $g_1, g_2, g_3 : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  are non-decreasing functions bounded below by 1 satisfying

$$g_i^{(k)}(s) \le C s^{-k}.$$
 (1)