

Table 1. Explaining Dynamics in State-Level Democracy

<i>Outcome: State Democracy Score</i>							
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7
Competition	0.1989 (0.047)			0.1693 (0.046)	0.1924 (0.047)	0.1684 (0.046)	0.1334 (0.052)
Polarization		0.0145 (0.074)		0.0210 (0.071)	0.0344 (0.071)	0.0229 (0.073)	0.0251 (0.071)
Republican			-0.4587** (0.060)	-0.4408** (0.060)	-0.4311** (0.060)	-0.4401** (0.060)	-0.4711** (0.063)
Competition x Polarization					0.0808 (0.041)		
Polarization x Republican						-0.0085 (0.071)	
Competition x Republican							0.1106 (0.074)
Constant	-0.7057*** (0.149)	-0.6832*** (0.158)	-0.5321*** (0.147)	-0.5365*** (0.153)	-0.5460*** (0.153)	-0.5357*** (0.153)	-0.5337*** (0.153)
State Fes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
N	833	833	833	833	833	833	833
R2	0.684	0.676	0.699	0.705	0.706	0.705	0.706
Adj. R2	0.657	0.649	0.674	0.679	0.68	0.679	0.679

Note *p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

Table 2. Racial Demographic Change and State Democracy*Outcome: State Democracy Score*

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
% Black	-0.0030 (0.133)	-0.0948 (0.134)	0.0666 (0.168)	0.0800 (0.140)
% Latino	-0.0161 (0.067)	0.0223 (0.072)	-0.0077 (0.070)	-0.1688 (0.069)
Competition		0.3149 (0.075)		
Polarization			0.0059 (0.090)	
Republican				-0.7168** (0.108)
% Black x Competition		0.0102 (0.122)		
% Latino x Competition		-0.1373 (0.061)		
% Black x Polarization			0.0935 (0.132)	
% Latino x Polarization			-0.0306 (0.052)	
% Black x Republican				-0.1389 (0.200)
% Latino x Republican				0.3180* (0.091)
Constant	-0.6773*** (0.165)	-0.6773*** (0.163)	-0.6991*** (0.173)	-0.3656* (0.163)
State Fes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
N	833	833	833	833
R2	0.676	0.686	0.677	0.705
Adj. R2	0.648	0.658	0.647	0.678

Note *p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.