

Proactive and Adaptive Energy-Aware Programming with Hybrid Typing — Proofs

1. Proofs

⟨⟨⟨ Internal vs External issue regarding : wft, abody, preservation. -Anthony ⟩⟩⟩

Lemma 1 (Weakening).

- (1) If $K \vdash_{\text{wft}} \tau$ and $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'\}$ then $K, \eta \leq \eta' \vdash_{\text{wft}} \tau$.
- (2) If $K \vdash \tau <: \tau'$ and $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'\}$ then $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \eta' \vdash \tau <: \tau'$.
- (3) If $\Gamma; K \vdash e : \tau$, and $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'\}$, then $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \eta' \vdash e : \tau$.
- (4) If $\Gamma; K \vdash e : \tau$, and $\Gamma \vdash y : \tau'$, then $\Gamma, y : \tau'; K \vdash e : \tau$.

Proof. Each is proved by straightforward induction on the derivations of $K \vdash_{\text{wft}} \tau$, $K \vdash \tau <: \tau'$, and $\Gamma; K \vdash e : \tau$. □

Lemma 2. If $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \models \{\eta_2 \leq \eta'_2\}$, $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'', \eta'' \leq \eta'\}$, and $\text{mt} \notin K$, then $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \models \{\eta_2\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \leq \eta'_2\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}\}$.

Proof. Trivial. □

Lemma 3 (Mode Substitution Perserves Type Well-Formedness). If $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\text{wft}} \tau$, $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'', \eta'' \leq \eta'\}$, and $\text{mt} \notin K$, then $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash_{\text{wft}} \tau\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\text{wft}} \tau$.

Case WF-Top $T = \text{Object}\langle\eta\rangle$
Trivial.

Case WF-MCase $T = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T_1 \rangle$

$$K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\text{wft}} T_1$$

By the induction hypothesis, $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash_{\text{wft}} T_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$. Then, by WF-MCase, $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash_{\text{wft}} \mathbf{mcase}\langle T_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \rangle$.

Case WF-Class $T = c\langle\bar{\eta}\rangle$

$$\mathbf{class} \ c \ \Delta \ \mathbf{extends} \ c' \ \dots \in P \quad \text{eparam}(\Delta) = \iota' \quad \text{cons}(\Delta) = K'$$

$$K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \models K'\{\bar{\eta}/\iota'\} \quad K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\text{wft}} c'\langle\bar{\eta}\rangle$$

By the induction hypothesis, $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash_{\text{wft}} c'\langle\bar{\eta}\rangle\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$. Lemma 2 gives us $K_1, K_2\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \models K'\{\iota/\iota'\}\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.

Then, by WF-Class, $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash_{\text{wft}} c\langle\bar{\eta}\rangle\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.

Case WF-ClassDyn $T = c\langle?, \bar{\eta}\rangle$

$$\mathbf{class} \ c \ ? \rightarrow \omega, \Omega \ \mathbf{extends} \ c' \ \dots \in P \quad \text{eparam}(? \rightarrow \omega, \Omega) = \iota' \quad \text{cons}(? \rightarrow \omega, \Omega) = K'$$

$$K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \models K'\{\bar{\eta}/\iota'\} \quad K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\text{wft}} c'\langle?, \bar{\eta}\rangle$$

Similar.

□

Lemma 4 (Mode Substitution Perserves Subtyping). *If $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash \tau <: \tau', K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'', \eta'' \leq \eta'\}$, and $\text{mt} \notin K$, then $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash \tau\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} <: \tau'\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.*

Proof. Induction on the derivation of $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash \tau <: \tau'$.

Case (S-Dynamic) $\tau = c\langle\mu, \bar{\eta}\rangle \quad \tau' = c\langle?, \bar{\eta}\rangle$

If $\mu = \text{mt}$, then we have $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash c\langle\eta'', \bar{\eta}\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}\rangle <: c\langle?, \bar{\eta}\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}\rangle$. If $\mu \neq \text{mt}$, then we have $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash c\langle\mu, \bar{\eta}\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}\rangle <: c\langle?, \bar{\eta}\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}\rangle$. Both cases are exactly what is needed.

Case (S-Mcase) $\tau = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T_1 \rangle \quad \tau' = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T'_1 \rangle$
 $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash T_1 <: T'_1$

By the induction hypothesis, $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash T_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} <: T'_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$. Then, by S-MCase, $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash \mathbf{mcase}\langle T_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \rangle <: \mathbf{mcase}\langle T'_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \rangle$.

Case (S-Exists) $\tau = \exists\omega. \tau_1 \quad \tau' = \tau_1$
 $\omega = \eta_1 \leq \text{mt}_1 \leq \eta_2 \quad K_1, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta', K_2 \models \{\eta_1 \leq \text{mt}_1, \text{mt}_1 \leq \eta_2\} \cup K' \quad \text{mt}_1 \notin K'$

⟨⟨⟨ **Come back to prove. -Anthony** ⟩⟩⟩

Case (S-Class) $\tau = c\langle\iota\rangle \quad \tau' = c'\langle\iota\rangle$
 $\mathbf{class} \ c \ \Delta \ \mathbf{extends} \ c' \ \dots \in P \quad \mathbf{eparam}(\Delta) = \iota'$
 $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' = \mathbf{cons}(\Delta)$
 $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\mathbf{wft}} c'\langle\iota\rangle$

By Lemma 3 we have $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash_{\mathbf{wft}} c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$ and $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash_{\mathbf{wft}} c'\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$. Lemma 2 we have $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \models \mathbf{cons}(\Delta)\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.

Then, by S-Class, $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash c\langle\iota\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}\rangle <: c'\langle\iota\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}\rangle$.

□

Lemma 5. *If $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\mathbf{wft}} c\langle\iota\rangle$, $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'', \eta'' \leq \eta'\}$, $\text{mt} \notin K$, and $\mathbf{mtype}(\mathbf{md}, c\langle\iota\rangle) = \overline{T} \rightarrow T$ then $\mathbf{mtype}(\mathbf{md}, c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}) = \overline{T}\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \rightarrow T'\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.*

Proof. Induction on the derivation of $\mathbf{mtype}(\mathbf{md}, c\langle\iota\rangle) = \overline{T} \rightarrow T$.

Case MT-Class

Case MT-Super

□

Lemma 6. *If $K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\mathbf{wft}} c\langle\iota\rangle$, $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'', \eta'' \leq \eta'\}$, $\text{mt} \notin K$ and $\mathbf{fields}(T) = \overline{T} \ \mathbf{fd}$ then $\mathbf{fields}(c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}) = \overline{T}\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \ \mathbf{fd}$.*

Proof. Induction on the derivation of $\mathbf{fields}(\mathbf{md}, c\langle\iota\rangle) = \overline{T} \ \mathbf{fd}$.

Case FD-Class

Case FD-Object

□

Lemma 7 (Mode Substitution Preserves Typing). *If $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e : \tau$, $K \models \{\eta \leq \eta'', \eta'' \leq \eta'\}$, and $\text{mt} \notin K$, then $\Gamma\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash e\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} : \tau\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.*

Proof. Induction on the derivation of $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e : \tau$.

Case T-Var $e = x \quad \tau = \Gamma(x)$

⟨⟨⟨ **Our substitution does not effect types directly; it acts on thier parameteres. I think I need a subcase analysis here. -Anthony** ⟩⟩⟩

Case T-New $e = \mathbf{new} \ c\langle\iota\rangle \quad \tau = c\langle\iota\rangle$
 $\iota = ?, \iota' \text{ iff } \mathbf{class} \ c \ \Delta \cdots \in P \text{ and } \mathbf{ethis}(\Delta) = ?$
 $\iota \neq ?, \iota' \text{ iff } \mathbf{class} \ c \ \Delta \cdots \in P \text{ and } \mathbf{ethis}(\Delta) \neq ?$
 $K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \models \mathbf{cons}(\Delta) \quad K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash_{\mathbf{wft}} c\langle\iota\rangle$

Using Lemmas 2 and 3 gives us $K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \models \mathbf{cons}(\Delta)\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}$ and $K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \vdash c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}$. Then, by T-New, we have $\Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \vdash \mathbf{new} \ c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} : c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}$.

Case T-Cast $e = (T)e_1 \quad \tau = T$
 $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e_1 : T'$

Easy.

Case T-Msg $e = e_1.\mathbf{md}(\overline{e_1}) \quad \tau = T$
 $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e_1 : c\langle\iota\rangle \quad \Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash \overline{e_1} : \overline{T}$
 $\mathbf{mtype}(\mathbf{md}, c\langle\iota\rangle) = \overline{T} \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash \mathbf{this} : T_{this}$
 $K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \models \{\mathbf{mode}(c\langle\iota\rangle) \leq \mathbf{mode}(T_{this})\} \quad \mathbf{mode}(c\langle\iota\rangle) \neq ?$

By the induction hypothesis we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} &\vdash \overline{e_1\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}} : c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \\ \Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} &\vdash e_1\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} : T\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \\ \Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} &\vdash \mathbf{this}\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} : T_{this}\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 5 we have $\mathbf{mtype}(\mathbf{md}, c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}) = \overline{T\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}} \rightarrow T\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}$.

Using Lemma 2 gives us $K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \models \{\mathbf{mode}(c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}) \leq \mathbf{mode}(T_{this}\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\})\}$.

Then, by T-Msg, we have $\Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \vdash e_1\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}.\mathbf{md}(\overline{e_1\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}}) : T\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}$.

Case T-Field $e = e_1.\mathbf{fd}_i \quad \tau = T_i$
 $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e_1 : c\langle\iota\rangle \quad \mathbf{fields}(c\langle\iota\rangle) = \overline{T} \ \overline{\mathbf{fd}}$
 $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash \mathbf{this} : T_{this} \quad K\eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \models \{\mathbf{mode}(c\langle\iota\rangle) \leq \mathbf{mode}(T_{this})\}$
 $\mathbf{mode}(c\langle\iota\rangle) \neq ?$

By the induction hypothesis we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} &\vdash e_1\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} : c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \\ \Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} &\vdash \mathbf{this} : T_{this}\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 6 we have $\mathbf{fields}(c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}) = \overline{T\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}} \ \overline{\mathbf{fd}}$.

Using Lemma 2 gives us $K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \models \{\mathbf{mode}(c\langle\iota\rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}) \leq \mathbf{mode}(T_{this}\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\})\}$.

Then, by T-Field, we have $\Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \vdash e_1\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}.\mathbf{fd}_i : T_i\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}$.

Case T-Snapshot $e = \mathbf{snapshot} \ e_1 \ [\eta_1, \eta_2] \quad \tau = \exists \omega. c\langle \mathbf{mt}_1, \iota \rangle$
 $\Gamma; K, \eta \leq \mathbf{mt}, \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e_1 : c\langle ?, \iota \rangle \quad \omega = \eta_1 \leq \mathbf{mt}_1 \leq \eta_2$

By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} \vdash e_1\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\} : c\langle ?, \iota \rangle\{\eta''/\mathbf{mt}\}$.

Now, consider $\omega = \eta_1 \leq \text{mt}_1 \leq \eta_2$: mt_1 must be unique; hence, $(\eta_1 \leq \text{mt}_1 \leq \eta_2\{\eta''/\text{mt}\})$ is $\eta_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \leq \text{mt}_1 \leq \eta_2\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$ by Lemma ??.

Then, by T-Snapshot,

$$\Gamma\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash \mathbf{snapshot} \ e_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \ [\eta_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}, \eta_2\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}] : \exists \omega\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}. c\langle \text{mt}_1, \iota\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \rangle$$

⟨⟨⟨ **Come back to prove. -Anthony** ⟩⟩⟩

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Case T-MCase} & e = \{\overline{m} : e_1\}^T \quad \tau = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle \\ & \Gamma; K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e_{1_i} : T \text{ for all } i \quad \overline{m} = \mathbf{modes}(P) \end{array}$$

Easy.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Case T-ElimCase} & e = e_1 \triangleright \eta_1 \quad \tau = T \\ & \Gamma; K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle \quad \eta_1 \in \mathbf{modes}(P) \text{ or } \eta_1 \text{ appears in } K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \end{array}$$

Easy.

$$\text{Case T-Mode} \quad e = m \quad \tau = \mathbf{modev}$$

Trivial.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Case T-Sub} & e = e_1 \quad \tau = \tau'_1 \\ & \Gamma; K, \eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad K\eta \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \eta' \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau'_1 \end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash e_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} : \tau_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$. Using Lemma 4 gives us $K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash \tau_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} <: \tau'_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$

Then, by T-Sub, we have $\Gamma\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}; K\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} \vdash e_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\} : \tau'_1\{\eta''/\text{mt}\}$.

⟨⟨⟨ **Finish the proof. -Anthony** ⟩⟩⟩

□

Lemma 8 (Term Substitution Perserves Typing). *If $\Gamma, y : \tau_0; K \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma; K \vdash s : \tau_0$ then $\Gamma\{s/y\}; K \vdash e\{s/y\} : \tau$.*

Proof. Easy induction on the derivation of $\Gamma, y : \tau_0; K \vdash e : \tau$.

□

Lemma 9. *If $K \vdash_{\text{wft}} c\langle \iota \rangle$, $\text{mtype}(\text{md}, c\langle \iota \rangle) = \overline{T} \rightarrow T$ and $\text{mbody}(\text{md}, c\langle \iota \rangle) = \overline{x}.e$ then $\overline{x} : \overline{T}; \mathbf{this} : T; K \vdash e : T$.*

Proof. Induction on the derivation of $\text{mbody}(\text{md}, c\langle \iota \rangle) = \overline{x}.e$ using Lemmas 4 and 7.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Case MB-Class} & \overline{x}.e = \overline{y}.e_0\{\iota/\iota'\} \\ & \mathbf{class} \ c \ \Delta \ \mathbf{extends} \ c'\{\overline{F} \ \overline{M} \ A\} \quad \text{eparam}(\Delta) = \iota' \\ & T_0 \ \text{md}(\overline{T_0} \ \overline{y})\{e_0\} \in \overline{M} \end{array}$$

From T-Class and T-Method we have $\overline{y} : \overline{T_0}; \mathbf{this} : c\langle \iota \rangle; K' \vdash e_0 : T_0$. Since $K \vdash_{\text{wft}} c\langle \iota \rangle$ we have $K \models K'\{\iota/\iota'\}$ and $K' = \text{cons}(\Delta)$ from WF-Class. Using Lemmas 1 and 7 we have $\overline{y} : \overline{T_0}\{\iota/\iota'\}; \mathbf{this} : c\langle \iota \rangle; K \vdash e_0\{\iota/\iota'\} : T_0\{\iota/\iota'\}$.

Then, by MT-Class we have $\overline{T_0}\{\iota/\iota'\} = \overline{T}$ and $T_0\{\iota/\iota'\} = T$, from which $\overline{x} : \overline{T}, \mathbf{this} : c\langle \iota \rangle; K \vdash e : T$ is immediate.

Case MB-Super $\bar{x}.e = \text{mbody}(\text{md}, c'\langle\iota\rangle)$
 $\text{class } c \Delta \text{ extends } c'\{\bar{F} \bar{M} A\} \quad \text{md} \notin \bar{M}$

Immediate from the inductive hypothesis and the fact that $K \vdash c\langle\iota\rangle <: c'\langle\iota\rangle$.

□

Lemma 10. *If $\Gamma; K \vdash \text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle\iota\rangle, \bar{v})$ and $\text{fields}(c\langle\iota\rangle) = \bar{T} \bar{\text{fd}} = \bar{e}$ then $\Gamma; K \vdash v_i : T'_i$.*

Proof. Induction on the derivation of $\text{fields}(c\langle\iota\rangle) = \bar{\tau} \bar{\text{fd}}$.

Case FD-Class $\text{class } c \Delta \text{ extends } c'\{\bar{T}_0 \bar{\text{fd}} = \bar{e}_0 \dots\} \quad \text{eparam}(\Delta) = \iota'$
 $\text{fields}(c'\langle\iota\rangle) = \bar{T}_1 \bar{\text{fd}}_1 = \bar{e}_1$

From T-Class we have $\emptyset; K' \vdash \bar{e}_0 : \bar{T}_0$. Since $K \vdash_{\text{wft}} c\langle\iota\rangle$ we have $K \models K'\{\iota/\iota'\}$ and $K' = \text{cons}(\Delta)$ from WF-Class. Using Lemmas 1 and 7 we have $\Gamma; K \vdash \bar{e}_0\{\iota/\iota'\} : \bar{T}_0\{\iota/\iota'\}$

Now, from T-Object we have $\bar{T}_1, \bar{T}_0\{\iota/\iota'\} = \bar{T}$ and $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_0\{\iota/\iota'\} = \bar{v}$. Choosing $\Gamma; K \vdash v_i : T_i$ finishes the case.

Case FD-Object Trivial.

□

Lemma 11 (Preservation). *If $\Gamma; K \vdash e : \tau$, $e \xRightarrow{m} e'$, then $\Gamma; K \vdash e' : \tau$.*

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $\Gamma, K \vdash e : \tau$, with a case analysis on the last rule used.

Case T-Var $e = x \quad \tau = \Gamma(x)$

Trivial: Cannot occur.

Case T-New $e = \text{new } c\langle\iota\rangle \quad \tau = c\langle\iota\rangle$
 $\iota = ?, \iota' \text{ iff } \text{class } c \Delta \dots \in P \text{ and } \text{ethis}(\Delta) = ?$
 $\iota \neq ?, \iota' \text{ iff } \text{class } c \Delta \dots \in P \text{ and } \text{ethis}(\Delta) \neq ?$
 $K \models \text{cons}(\Delta)$

Trivial.

Case T-Cast $e = (T)e_1 \quad \tau = T$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : T_1$

Subcase $e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1 \quad e' = (T)e'_1$

Easy.

Subcase $e_1 = \text{obj}(\alpha, T_1, \bar{v})$
 $(T)\text{obj}(\alpha, T_1, \bar{v}) \xRightarrow{m} \text{obj}(\alpha, T_1, \bar{v}) \quad T_1 <: T$
 $e' = \text{obj}(\alpha, T_1, \bar{v})$

Trivial. We have $\Gamma; K \vdash \text{obj}(\alpha, T_1, \bar{v}) : T_1$ from T-Cast and T-Obj. Then, by T-Sub we have $\Gamma; K \vdash \text{obj}(\alpha, T_1, \bar{v})$.

Case T-Msg $e = e_1.\text{md}(\bar{e}_1) \quad \tau = T'$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : T \quad \Gamma; K \vdash \bar{e}_1 : \bar{T} \quad \text{mtype}(\text{md}, T) = \bar{T} \rightarrow T'$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash \text{this} : T_{\text{this}} \quad K \models \{\text{mode}(T) \leq \text{mode}(T_{\text{this}})\} \quad \text{mode}(T) \neq ?$

Subcase $e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1 \quad e' = e'_1.\bar{e}_1$

Easy.

Subcase $e_1 = o \quad e_{1_i} \xRightarrow{m} e'_{1_i} \quad e' = o.(v_{1_i}, \dots, e'_{1_i}, \dots, e_n)$

Easy.

Subcase R-Msg $e_1 = o$ $o = \text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle\mu, \iota\rangle, \bar{v})$
 $o.\text{md}(\bar{v}') \xRightarrow{\text{m}} \mathbf{E}_{\text{m}'}[e_b\{\bar{v}'/\bar{x}\}\{o/\mathbf{this}\}]$ $\text{mbody}(\text{md}, c\langle\mu, \iota\rangle) = \bar{x}.e_b \quad \mu \leq \text{m}$
 $\text{m}' = \text{emode}(o)$
 $e' = \mathbf{E}_{\text{m}'}[e_b\{\bar{v}'/\bar{x}\}\{o/\mathbf{this}\}]$

From Lemma 9 we have $\bar{x} : \bar{T}, \mathbf{this} : c\langle\mu, \iota\rangle; K \vdash \bar{x}.e_b : T'$. Using Lemma 8 twice gives us $\emptyset; K \vdash e_b\{\bar{v}'/\bar{x}\}\{o/\mathbf{this}\} : T'$.

Now, we may weaken \emptyset to Γ by Lemma 1 which gives us $\Gamma; K \vdash e_b\{\bar{v}'/\bar{x}\}\{o/\mathbf{this}\} : T'$.

Case T-Field $e = e_1.\text{fd}_i$ $\tau = T_i$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : T$ $\text{fields}(T) = \bar{T} \bar{\text{fd}}$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash \mathbf{this} : T_{\text{this}}$ $K \models \{\text{mode}(T) \leq \text{mode}(T_{\text{this}})\}$ $\text{mode}(T) \neq ?$

Subcase $e_1 \xRightarrow{\text{m}} e'_1$ $e' = e'_1.\text{fd}_i$
Easy.

Subcase R-Field $e_1 = \text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle\mu, \iota\rangle, \bar{v})$
 $\text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle\mu, \iota\rangle, \bar{v}).\text{fd}_i \xRightarrow{\text{m}} v_i \quad \mu \leq \text{m}$
 $e' = v_i$

Lemma 10 gives $\Gamma; K \vdash v_i : T_i$ which is exactly what we need.

Case T-Snapshot $e = \mathbf{snapshot} e_1 [\eta_1, \eta_2]$ $\tau = \exists \omega. c\langle \text{mt}, \iota \rangle$
 $\Gamma; K' \vdash e_1 : c\langle ?, \iota \rangle$ $\omega = \eta_1 \leq \text{mt} \leq \eta_2$

Subcase $e_1 \xRightarrow{\text{m}} e'_1$ $e' = \mathbf{snapshot} e'_1 [\eta_1, \eta_2]$
Easy.

Subcase R-Snapshot1 $\mathbf{snapshot} o [\text{m}_1, \text{m}_2] \xRightarrow{\text{m}} \text{obj}(\alpha', c\langle \mathbf{check}(e_a\{o/\mathbf{this}\}, \text{m}_1, \text{m}_2), \iota \rangle, (\text{m}_1, \text{m}_2), \bar{v})$
 $o = \text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle ?, \iota \rangle, \bar{v})$
 $\mathbf{class} \text{ c } \cdots \{ \cdots A \} \in P$
 $e_a = \text{abody}(c\langle ?, \iota \rangle)$

From Lemma ?? we have $\mathbf{this} : c\langle ?, \iota \rangle; K' \vdash e_a : \text{modev}$. Then, by Lemma 8 we have $\emptyset; K' \vdash e_a\{o/\mathbf{this}\} : \text{modev}$. Using Lemma 1 gives us $\Gamma; K \vdash e_a\{o/\mathbf{this}\} : \text{modev}$.

Now, we have $K = K' \cup \{\text{m}_1 \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \text{m}_2\}$ from T-Snapshot, from which $K \models \{\text{m}_1 \leq \text{mt}, \text{mt} \leq \text{m}_2\}$ is immediate.

We may then apply R-Check to get $\Gamma; K \vdash \mathbf{check}(e_a\{o/\mathbf{this}\}, \text{m}_1, \text{m}_2) : \text{modev}$. Using Lemma (come back), we have $\Gamma; K \vdash \bar{v} : \bar{T}$.

We may then apply R-Object, giving us $\Gamma; K \vdash \text{obj}(\alpha', c\langle \mathbf{check}(e_a\{o/\mathbf{this}\}, \text{m}_1, \text{m}_2), \iota \rangle, (\text{m}_1, \text{m}_2), \bar{v}) : \exists \text{m}_1 \leq \text{mt}' \leq \text{m}_2. c\langle \text{mt}', \iota \rangle$. Then, by T-Sub, we have $\Gamma; K \vdash \text{obj}(\alpha', c\langle \mathbf{check}(e_a\{o/\mathbf{this}\}, \text{m}_1, \text{m}_2), \iota \rangle, (\text{m}_1, \text{m}_2), \bar{v}) : \exists \eta_1 \leq \text{mt} \leq \eta_2. c\langle \text{mt}, \iota \rangle$

Subcase R-Snapshot2 $\mathbf{snapshot} o [\eta_1, \eta_2] \xRightarrow{\text{m}} o$ $o = \text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle \text{m}', \iota \rangle, \bar{v})$
Trivial.

Case T-MCase $e = \{\bar{\text{m}} : e_1\}^T$ $\tau = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_{1_i} : T$ for all i $\bar{\text{m}} = \text{modes}(P)$

Subcase $e_{1_i} \xRightarrow{\text{m}} e'_{1_i}$ $e' = \{\text{m} : v_{1_i}; \dots; \text{m} : e'_{1_i}; \dots; \text{m} : e_{1_n}\}$
Easy.

Case T-ElimCase $e = e_1 \triangleright \eta \quad \tau = T$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle \quad \eta \in \mathbf{modes}(P) \text{ or } \eta \text{ appears in } K$

Subcase $e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1 \quad e' = e'_1 \triangleright \eta$

Easy.

Subcase R-McaseProj $e_1 = \{\overline{m} : v\}^T \quad \eta = m_j$
 $\{\overline{m} : v\}^T \triangleright m_j \xRightarrow{m} v_j$
 $e' = v_j$

From T-Mcase we have $\overline{m} = \mathbf{modes}(P)$ and $\Gamma; K \vdash v_i : T$ for all i . $\Gamma; K \vdash v_j : T$ gives us what we need.

Case T-ModeValue $e = m \quad \tau = \mathbf{modev}$

Trivial: Cannot occur.

Case T-Sub $e = e_1 \quad \tau = \tau'_1$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad K \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau'_1$

Trivial.

Case T-Object $e = \mathbf{obj}(\alpha, c\langle \iota \rangle, \bar{e}) \quad \tau = c\langle \iota \rangle$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash \bar{e} : \bar{\tau} \quad \mathbf{fields}(c\langle \iota \rangle) = \bar{\tau} \overline{\mathbf{fd}} = \bar{e}$

Trivial: Cannot occur.

Case T-Check $e = \mathbf{check}(e_1, m_1, m_2) \quad \tau = \mathbf{modev}$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{modev}$

Subcase $e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1 \quad e' = \mathbf{check}(e'_1, m_1, m_2)$

Easy.

Subcase R-Check $e_1 = \mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2) \quad \mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2) \xRightarrow{m} m' \quad e' = \mathbf{modev}$

Easy.

Case T-Closure $e = \mathbf{cl}(m', e_1) \quad \tau = \tau_1$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \tau_1$

Subcase R-Closure1 $e_1 \xRightarrow{m'} e'_1 \quad e' = \mathbf{cl}(m', e'_1)$

Trivial.

Subcase R-Closure1 $\mathbf{cl}(m', v) \xRightarrow{m'} v \quad e' = v$

Trivial.

⟨⟨⟨ **Finish the proof. -Anthony** ⟩⟩⟩

□

Lemma 12.

- (1) If $\Gamma; K \vdash v : \tau$ and $K \vdash \tau <: c\langle \mu, \bar{\eta} \rangle$, then $\tau = c'\langle \mu', \bar{\eta} \rangle$ with $K \vdash c'\langle \mu', \bar{\eta} \rangle <: c\langle \mu, \bar{\eta} \rangle$.
- (2) If $\Gamma; K \vdash v : \tau$ and $K \vdash \tau <: \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$, then $\tau = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T' \rangle$ with $K \vdash T' <: T$.

Proof.

- (1) Case analysis on the induction of the derivation of $K \vdash \tau <: c\langle \mu, \bar{\eta} \rangle$: Only S-Dynamic and S-Class apply, we present S-Exists to clarify.

Case (S-Dynamic) $\tau = c\langle \mu', \bar{\eta} \rangle$

Letting c' be c and μ be $?$ finishes the case.

Case (S-Class) $\tau = c'\langle\iota\rangle$

Trivial. Exactly what we need.

Case (S-Exists) $\tau = \exists\omega.c\langle\mu, \bar{\eta}\rangle$

If $\tau = \exists\omega.c\langle\mu, \bar{\eta}\rangle$ then we need to have a value with type $\exists\omega.c\langle\mu, \bar{\eta}\rangle$, but by the structure of our terms and typing rules this cannot occur; hence, S-Exists contradicts our hypothesis and cannot occur.

(2) Induction on the derivation of $K \vdash \tau <: \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$: Only S-Mcase applies.

Case (S-Mcase) $\tau = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T' \rangle$

$K \vdash T' <: T$

Trivial. Exactly what we need.

□

Lemma 13 (Canonical Forms). *Given $\Gamma; K \vdash v : \tau$,*

(1) *If $\tau = c\langle\iota\rangle$ then v has the shape $\text{obj}(\alpha, \tau', \bar{v})$ with $K \vdash \tau' <: c\langle\iota\rangle$.*

(2) *If $\tau = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$ then v has the shape $\{\bar{m} : \bar{v}\}^{T'}$ with $K \vdash T' <: T$.*

(3) *If $\tau = \text{modev}$ then v has the shape m with $m \in \text{modes}(P)$.*

Proof.

(1) Induction on the derivation $\Gamma; K \vdash v : c\langle?, \iota\rangle$. Two rules may apply: T-Obj and T-Sub.

Case T-Obj $v = \text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle\iota\rangle, \bar{v})$

Letting τ' be $c\langle\iota\rangle$ finishes the case.

Case T-Sub $v = v_1$

$\Gamma; K \vdash v_1 : \tau_1 \quad K \vdash \tau_1 <: c\langle\iota\rangle$

By Lemma 12 $\tau_1 = c'\langle\iota\rangle$. Then, by the induction hypothesis, $v_1 = \text{obj}(\alpha, \tau'_1, \bar{v})$ with $K \vdash \tau'_1 <: c'\langle\iota\rangle$. By S-Trans, $K \vdash \tau'_1 <: c\langle\iota\rangle$. We may now apply T-Sub to get $\Gamma; K \vdash \text{obj}(\alpha, \tau'_1, \bar{v}) : c\langle\iota\rangle$.

(2) Induction on the derivation $\Gamma; K \vdash v : \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$. Two rules may apply: T-Mcase and T-Sub.

Case T-Mcase $v = \{\bar{m} : \bar{v}\}^T$

Letting T' be T finishes the case.

Case T-Sub $v = v_1$

$\Gamma; K \vdash v_1 : \tau_1 \quad K \vdash \tau_1 <: \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$

By Lemma 12 $\tau_1 = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T_1 \rangle$ with $K \vdash T_1 <: T$. Then, by the induction hypothesis, $v_1 = \{\bar{m} : \bar{v}\}^{T'_1}$ with $K \vdash T'_1 <: T_1$. By S-Trans, $K \vdash T'_1 <: T$. We may now apply T-Sub to get $\Gamma; K \vdash \{\bar{m} : \bar{v}\}^{T'_1} : \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$.

(3) Only T-ModeValue may apply from which $m \in \text{modes}(P)$ is immediate.

□

Definition 1 (Bad Cast). *Expression $(T')\text{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})$ is a bad cast iff $\emptyset \vdash T <: T'$ does not hold.*

Definition 2 (Bad Check). *Expression $\text{check}(m, m', m'')$ is a bad check iff $m' \leq m \leq m''$ does not hold.*

Lemma 14. *If $E_m[e]$, $\Gamma; K \vdash e : \tau$ with a premise containing $\Gamma; K \vdash \mathbf{this} : T_{\text{this}}$, then $\text{mode}(T_{\text{this}}) = m$.*

Proof. <<< Come back to prove. -Anthony >>>

□

Lemma 15 (Progress). *Suppose $\Gamma; K \vdash e : \tau$, then either*

- (1) $e \xRightarrow{m} e'$ for some e' .
(2) e is a value.
(3) $e = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ where $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$ does not hold.
(4) $e = \mathbf{E}[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ where $K \vdash T <: T'$ does not hold.

Proof. By induction on the derivaiton of $\Gamma; K \vdash e : \tau$.

Case T-Var $e = x \quad \tau = \Gamma(x)$

Trivial.

Case T-New $e = \mathbf{new} \ c \langle \iota \rangle \quad \tau = c \langle \iota \rangle$

Trivial by R-New, with $e' = \mathbf{obj}(\alpha, c \langle \iota \rangle, \mathbf{init}(P, c))$.

Case T-Cast $e = (T')e_1 \quad \tau = T'$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : c \langle \iota \rangle$

By the induction hypothesis the following may occur: (1) $e_1 \xRightarrow{e'}_1$ from which we have $e' = (T')e'_1$ by R-?. (2) e_1 is a value from which Lemma ?? gives $e_1 = \mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})$. If $K \vdash T <: T'$ then R-Cast applies, giving $e' = \mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})$. If $K \vdash T <: T'$ does not hold, then $e = \mathbf{E}[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$. (3) $e_1 = \mathbf{E}_1[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ where $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$ does not hold, which gives $e = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ for $\mathbf{E} = (T')\mathbf{E}_1$. (4) $e_1 = \mathbf{E}_1[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ where $K \vdash T <: T'$ does not hold, which gives $e = \mathbf{E}[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ for $\mathbf{E} = (T')\mathbf{E}_1$.

Case T-Msg $e = e_1.(\bar{e}_1) \quad \tau = T'$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : T \quad \Gamma; K \vdash \bar{e}_1 : \bar{T} \quad \mathbf{mtype}(\mathbf{md}, T) = \bar{T} \rightarrow T'$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash \mathbf{this} : T_{this} \quad K \models \{\mathbf{mode}(T) \leq \mathbf{mode}(T_{this})\} \quad \mathbf{mode}(T) \neq ?$

By the induction hypothesis,

$e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1$, e'_1 is a value, $e_1 = \mathbf{E}_1[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ where $m_1 \leq m' \leq m_2$ does not hold, or
 $e_1 = \mathbf{E}_1[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ where $K \vdash T <: T'$ does not hold.
 $e_{1_i} \xRightarrow{m} e'_{1_i}$, e'_{1_i} is a value, $e_{1_i} = \mathbf{E}_{1_i}[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ where $m_1 \leq m' \leq m_2$ does not hold, or
 $e_{1_i} = \mathbf{E}_{1_i}[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ where $K \vdash T <: T'$ does not hold.

If $e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1$ then we have $e' = e'_1.\bar{e}_1$ by R-?. If $e_1 = \mathbf{E}_1[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ or $e_1 = \mathbf{E}_1[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ then $e = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ or $e = \mathbf{E}[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ for $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_1.\mathbf{md}(\bar{e}_1)$.

If e_1 is a value then by Lemma 13, $e_1 = \mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})$. If $e_{1_i} \xRightarrow{m} e'_{1_i}$, then we have $e' = \mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v}).\mathbf{md}(\dots, e'_{1_i} \dots)$ by R-?. If $e_{1_i} = \mathbf{E}_{1_i}[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ or $e_{1_i} = \mathbf{E}_{1_i}[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ then $e = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{check}(m', m_1, m_2)]$ or $e = \mathbf{E}[(T')\mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v})]$ for $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v}).\mathbf{md}(\dots, \mathbf{E}_{1_i}, \dots)$.

We now consider the case that all e_{1_i} are values. By Lemma 14 we have $K \models \{\mathbf{mode}(T) \leq m\}$. R-Msg now applies, giving $e' = \mathbf{cl}(m', e_b\{\bar{v}/\bar{x}\}\{o/\mathbf{this}\})$ with $\mathbf{mbody}(\mathbf{md}, T) = \bar{x}.e_b$.

Case T-Field $e = e_1.\mathbf{fd}_i \quad \tau = T_i$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : T \quad \mathbf{fields}(T) = \bar{T} \ \bar{\mathbf{fd}}$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash \mathbf{this} : T_{this} \quad K \models \{\mathbf{mode}(T) \leq \mathbf{mode}(T_{this})\} \quad \mathbf{mode}(T) \neq ?$

Similar.

Case T-Snapshot $e = \mathbf{snapshot} \ e_1 \ [\eta_1, \eta_2] \quad \tau = \exists \omega. c \langle \mathbf{mt}, \iota \rangle$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : c \langle ?, \iota \rangle \quad \omega = \eta_1 \leq \mathbf{mt} \leq \eta_2$

Easy.

Case T-MCase $e = \{\overline{m : e_1}\}^T \quad \tau = \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_{1_i} : T \text{ for all } i \quad \overline{m} = \text{modes}(P)$

Easy.

Case T-ElimCase $e = e_1 \triangleright \eta \quad \tau = T$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{mcase}\langle T \rangle \quad \eta \in \text{modes}(P) \text{ or } \eta \text{ appears in } K$

Similar, except for the case that e_1 is a value. by Lemma 13, e_1 has the shape $\{\overline{m : v}\}^T$, from which (R-McaseProj) applies, giving us $e' = v_j$.

Case T-Mode $e = m \quad \tau = \text{modev}$
Trivial.

Case T-Sub $e = e_1 \quad \tau = \tau'_1$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad K \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau'_1$

Easy.

Case T-Object $e = \text{obj}(\alpha, c\langle \iota \rangle, \bar{e}) \quad \tau = c\langle \iota \rangle$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash \bar{e} : \bar{\tau} \quad \text{fields}(c\langle \iota \rangle) = \bar{\tau} \text{ fd} = \bar{e}$

Easy.

Case T-Check $e = \mathbf{check}(e_1, m_1, m_2) \quad \tau = \text{modev}$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \text{modev}$

By the induction hypothesis, e_1 is a value, bad cast, bad check, or there exists e'_1 such that $e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1$.

If e_1 is a value, then by Lemma 13, e_1 has the shape m . Now, we have two cases: If $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$ then R-Check applies, giving us $e' = m$. If $m_1 \leq m \leq m_2$ *does not hold* then by definition we have a bad check.

If $e_1 \xRightarrow{m} e'_1$ then we may replace e_1 with e'_1 by the reduction context, giving us $e' = \mathbf{check}(e'_1, m_1, m_2)$.

Case T-Cl $e = \text{cl}(m', e_1) \quad \tau = \tau_1$
 $\Gamma; K \vdash e_1 : \tau_1$

Easy. □

Theorem 1 (Type Soundness). *If P is well-typed and $\text{boot}(P) = \langle \top, e \rangle$, then either $e \xRightarrow{\top}_* v$, $\langle \top, e \rangle \uparrow$, or $e \xRightarrow{\top}_* e'$ and e' is a bad cast or a bad check.*

Let us say $\langle m_0; e_0 \rangle$ is a *sub-redex* of reduction $e \xRightarrow{m} e'$ iff $e_0 \xRightarrow{m_0} e'_0$ is a sub-derivation of $e \xRightarrow{m} e'$. We next state two important properties of ENT.

Theorem 2 (Type Decidability). *For any program P , it is decidable whether $\vdash P$ holds.*

Theorem 3 (Monotone Snapshotting). *If P is well-typed, $\text{boot}(P) = \langle \top, e \rangle$, $e \xRightarrow{\top} \dots e_1 \xRightarrow{\top} e_2 \dots \xRightarrow{\top} e_3 \xRightarrow{\top} e_4$, $\langle m; \text{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v}, \cdot) \rangle$ is a sub-redex of $e_1 \xRightarrow{\top} e_2$ and $\langle m'; \text{obj}(\alpha, T', \bar{v}', \cdot) \rangle$ is a sub-redex of $e_3 \xRightarrow{\top} e_4$, then if $\text{mode}(T) \neq ?$, $T = T'$.*

In other words, once the type of an object becomes static, it can never be changed any more. This theorem reveals the *monotone* nature of object type change throughout the object lifetime, a crucial property to guarantee type soundness.

Theorem 4 (Waterfall Invariant with Hybrid Typing). *If P is well-typed, $\text{boot}(P) = \langle \top, e \rangle$, $e \xRightarrow{\top} \dots e_1 \xRightarrow{\top} e_2$, and $\langle m, \text{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v}, \cdot) \text{md}(\bar{v}') \rangle$ or $\langle m, \text{obj}(\alpha, T, \bar{v}, \cdot) \text{fd}(\bar{v}') \rangle$ is a sub-redex of $e_1 \xRightarrow{\top} e_2$, then $R \models \text{mode}(T) <: m$ where $P = R \text{ } \overline{C} \text{ } e$.*

This theorem says even in the presence of hybrid typing, waterfall invariant — a key principle to regulate mode-based energy management — is still preserved. Observe that this theorem says run-time errors are never delayed to messaging or field access time. If any potential violation may happen due to dynamic typing, a run-time error would result from a bad check, *i.e.*, at snapshotting time.