# Singularities in mixed characteristic via Riemann-Hilbert correspondence

Jakub Witaszek

Princeton University

June 14, 2023

(work in progress with B. Bhatt, L. Ma, Z. Patakfalvi, K. Schwede, K. Tucker, J. Waldron)

#### Table of Contents

Introduction

2 Ideals measuring singularities

3 Idea of the proof

## Introduction

Goal: Measure mildness of singularities.

**Setting:**  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  - finite type normal affine scheme over a DVR

Characteristic zero: use projective birational maps; e.g.

X has rational singularities  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Longleftrightarrow} R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$  for some resolution of singularities  $\pi: Y \to X$ .

Positive characteristic: use Frobenius; e.g.

- X is regular  $\iff$  Frobenius is flat (Kunz)
- X has mild singularities  $\iff F^* \colon \mathcal{O}_X \to F_*\mathcal{O}_X$  splits.

Mixed characteristic: What to do?

#### **Starting point:**

## Theorem (Hochster's direct summand conjecture (André))

Let R be a regular Noetherian local ring.

Then every finite extension  $R \subseteq S$  splits as an R-module map.

#### Candidate for a mild singularity in mixed characteristic:

X is a *splinter*  $\stackrel{def}{\iff} \mathcal{O}_X \to f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$  splits for every finite surjection  $f: Y \to X$ .

**Caveaut:** X characteristic 0, then splinter = normal

#### More history:

Breakthrough work of Ma-Schwede on mixed characteristic singularities after André.

### Theorem (Bhatt!!!)

R Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic. Then  $R^+$  is Cohen-Macaulay up to p-completion

Consequence: Kodaira vanishing up to finite covers.

#### **Geometric applications**

 $Theorem\ (\hbox{\it Bhatt-Ma-Patakfalvi-Schwede-Tucker-Waldron-W.,}\ Takamatsu-Yoshikawa)$ 

3-dimensional mixed characteristic Minimal Model Program over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/30]$ 

Assuming four-dimensional resolutions:

Theorem (Hacon-W., Xie-Xue)

4-dimensional semistable Minimal Model Program over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/30]$ 

#### Corollary (Hacon-W.)

Let X and Y be birational Calabi-Yau 3-folds in characteristic p > 5.

Then X lifts to characteristic  $0 \implies Y$  lifts to characteristic 0.

**Simplifying assumption:** X is Gorenstein ( $K_X$  is Cartier and X is CM).

characteristic 0	characteristic p	mixed characteristic
rational	F-rational	splinter

#### Characteristic 0

X rational  $\iff \pi_*\omega_Y = \omega_X$  for a resolution  $\pi\colon Y\to X$ 

#### Mixed characteristics

$$X$$
 splinter  $\iff f_*\omega_Y \twoheadrightarrow \omega_X$  for all  $f: Y \to X$  finite surjection  $\underset{(\dagger)}{\overset{Bhatt}{\iff}} \underbrace{g_*\omega_Y \twoheadrightarrow \omega_X}$  for all  $g: Y \to X$  alteration

#### **General definition**

X is alteration-splinter  $\stackrel{def}{\Longleftrightarrow}$  (†) holds

## Ideals measuring singularities

**Goal 1:** show that the locus of prime ideals p such that  $R_p$  is an alteration-splinter is open (cf. Datta-Tucker).

**Goal 2:** find an ideal  $I \subseteq R$  such that

- **1**  $R_p$  is an alteration-splinter  $\iff p \notin \operatorname{Supp} R/I$
- ② I is calculated using alterations of R.

We are building on the work of Blickle-Schwede-Tucker in positive characteristic (test ideals via finite maps and alterations) which in turn builds on the work of Hochster, Huneke, Smith, ....

## Ideal measuring rational singularities in characteristic 0:

(Grauert-Riemenschneider sheaf)

$$\mathcal{I}(\omega_X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{:=} \bigcap_{\pi : Y \to X} \pi_* \omega_Y \text{ over all projective birational } \pi \colon Y \to X$$
$$= \pi_* \omega_Y \text{ for a resolution } \pi \colon Y \to X$$

#### **Properties:**

- $\mathcal{I}(\omega_X) \subseteq \omega_X$  is a coherent ideal sheaf
- $\mathcal{I}(\omega_X) = \omega_X \iff X$  has rational singularities.

#### Ideal measuring alteration-splinters: (test ideal)

$$\tau(\omega_X) := \bigcap_{g \colon Y \to X} \operatorname{im} (g_* \omega_Y \to \omega_X) \text{ over all alterations } g \colon Y \to X$$

By definition,  $\tau(\omega_X) = \omega_X \iff X$  is alteration-splinter.

#### Conjecture

$$\tau(\omega_X) = \operatorname{im}(g_*\omega_Y \to \omega_X) \text{ for some alteration } g \colon Y \to X.$$

In particular,  $\tau(\omega_X)$  is coherent, i.e.  $\tau(\omega_R[\frac{1}{\ell}]) = \tau(\omega_R)[\frac{1}{\ell}]$ .

## Theorem (BMPSTWW II (in progress))

The above conjecture holds up to small p-perturbation.

Specifically,  $\tau(\omega_X, p^{\epsilon})$  is coherent and calculated by a single alteration.

#### **Applications**

- openness of *almost*-splinter locus
- effective global generation, Briancon-Skoda, subadditivity, . . .
- $au(\omega_X) = au_{\mathrm{HLS}}(\omega_X)$  (ideal of Hacon-Lamarche-Schwede)
- non-archimedean Calabi-Yau problem in mixed characteristics (Fang-Gubler-Künnemann)
- . . .

## Idea of the proof.

Goal: find an intrinsic definition of  $\tau(\omega_X)$  using topological methods.

(motivated by work of Bhatt-Blickle-Lyubeznik-Singh-Zhang in positive characteristic)

### Topological methods over $\mathbb{C}$ :

## Singular cohomology $H^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ :

- good properties when X smooth
- many things break when X is singular (e.g. Poincaré duality)

## $Intersection\ cohomology\ (Goresky-MacPherson,\ Deligne)$

There exists a complex  ${
m IC}_X\in D^b_{
m cons}(X)$  such that

$$I^pH^i(X,\mathbb{C}):=\mathbb{H}^i(X,\mathrm{IC}_X[-d])$$

has many good properties (e.g. Poincare duality).

#### Remark

- $\mathrm{IC}_{\mathrm{X}}=\mathbb{C}[d]$  if X smooth
- ullet IC $_{\mathrm{X}}$  is an example of a perverse sheaf

 $\operatorname{Perv}(X) \subseteq D^b_{\operatorname{cons}}(X)$  abelian category of *nice* complexes of cons. sheaves.

#### Intrinsic definition of $\mathcal{I}(\omega_X)$ :

#### Fact

$$\mathcal{I}(\omega_X) = \operatorname{im}(H^0 \operatorname{RH}^{\operatorname{Higgs}}(\operatorname{IC}_X) \to \omega_X)$$

## Higgs Riemann-Hilbert (= $GR_{\bullet}DR(-)$ ):

 $\mathrm{RH}^{\mathrm{Higgs}} \colon D^b_{\mathrm{HM}}(X) \to D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(X).$ 

#### Derived category of Hodge modules:

Intuition:  $D_{\mathrm{HM}}^b(X) = D_{\mathrm{cons}}^b(X)$  but with some additional structure

#### Example: If X smooth, then

$$\mathrm{RH}^{\mathrm{Higgs}}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathcal{O}_X[0] \oplus \Omega^1_X[-1] \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^d_X[-d]$$

#### New *p*-adic setting:

- $V = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $V_{\infty} = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p[p^{1/p^{\infty}}]}$ .
- $X_{\infty}$  proper flat scheme over  $V_{\infty}$ .

## Theorem (p-adic Riemann-Hilbert of Bhatt-Lurie)

There exists a functor  $\mathrm{RH}^{\mathrm{Higgs}}\colon D^b_{\mathrm{cons}}(X_\infty[\frac{1}{p}],\mathbb{Z}_p) o D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(X_\infty)^a$  such that

- it commutes with pushforward and duality
- $\mathrm{RH}^{\mathrm{Higgs}}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\infty},\mathrm{perfd}}$
- it is left t-exact for perverse t-structures on both sides

#### Remark:

For X smooth,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathrm{perfd}}[\frac{1}{\rho}]\simeq \mathcal{O}_{X[\frac{1}{\rho}]}[0]\oplus \Omega^1_{X[\frac{1}{\rho}]}[-1]\oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega^d_{X[\frac{1}{\rho}]}[-d].$ 

The following theorem implies the main theorem.

## Theorem (BMPSTWW II (in progress))

X – proper flat over V

$$X_{\infty} := X \otimes_{V} V_{\infty}$$

Then

(A) 
$$\tau(\omega_{X_{\infty}}) = \underbrace{\operatorname{im}(H^{0}\mathrm{RH}^{\operatorname{Higgs}}(\mathrm{IC}_{X_{\infty}}) \to \omega_{X_{\infty}})}_{(\star)}$$

(B) 
$$au(\omega_X) = t( au(\omega_{X_\infty}))$$
 for some special map  $t \colon \mathcal{O}_{X_\infty} o \mathcal{O}_X$ 

For simplicity, we omit technical shifts of indices related to the fact that the perverse t-structures are relative.

## **Sketch of the proof of (A):** After completion at $\mathfrak{m}$ , by Matlis duality:

$$\begin{split} \tau(\omega_{X_{\infty}}) &= \operatorname{im} \left( H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\infty}}) \to H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(\pi_* \mathcal{O}^+_{X_{\infty}}) \right)^{\vee} \\ (\star) &= \operatorname{im} \left( H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\infty}}) \to H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{RH}^{\operatorname{Higgs}}(\operatorname{IC}_{X_{\infty}}[-d])) \right)^{\vee}, \end{split}$$

where  $\pi\colon X_\infty^+ \to X_\infty$ .

By Bhatt,  $\mathrm{IC}_{X_\infty} o \pi_*(\mathbb{Z}_p[d])_{X_\infty^+}$  is an injection of perverse sheaves on  $X_\infty[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

$$\text{Apply RH: } \mathrm{RH}^{\mathrm{Higgs}}(\mathrm{IC}_{X_\infty}[-d]) \to \mathrm{RH}^{\mathrm{Higgs}}(\pi_*(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{X_\infty^+}) = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\infty}^+.$$

By perverse left t-exactness:  $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{RH}^{\mathrm{Higgs}}(\mathrm{IC}_{X_\infty}[-d])) \to H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X_\infty}^+)$  is injective.

Hence,  $\tau(\omega_{X_{\infty}}) = (\star)$ .