

## Category, space, type - Benjamin Antieau

### 12. Equivalences of categories

We discuss in this section the notion of ‘sameness’ for categories. On the one hand, we have the innate notion of isomorphism in the category  $\text{Cat}$  of categories. This notion is very strict in the sense that if  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  are inverse isomorphisms of categories, then  $G \circ F$  is *equal* to the identity functor on  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular,  $G(F(c)) = c$  for every object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ . Very frequently what happens instead is that one can find  $F$  and  $G$  such that  $G(F(c))$  is isomorphic to  $c$ , but not equal to it. This leads to the notion of natural transformations of functors and to the notion of equivalences of categories.

**Definition 12.1 (Natural transformations).** Let  $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be two functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ . A natural transformation from  $F$  to  $G$ , written for example as  $F \xrightarrow{\eta} G$ , consists of a morphism  $\eta_c: F(c) \rightarrow G(c)$  for each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  such that for each  $f: c \rightarrow c'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(c) & \xrightarrow{\eta_c} & G(c) \\ F(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow G(f) \\ F(c') & \xrightarrow{\eta_{c'}} & G(c') \end{array} \quad (1)$$

commute.

**Remark 12.2 (Recollection on commutative diagrams).** A square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{f} & b \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ c & \xrightarrow{g} & d \end{array}$$

of objects and morphisms in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  commutes if  $g \circ h = i \circ f$ .

**Exercise 12.3.** Given natural transformations  $\eta: F \rightarrow G$  and  $\epsilon: G \rightarrow H$  of functors  $F, G, H: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , show that there is a composite natural transformation  $\epsilon \circ \eta: F \rightarrow H$ .

**Exercise 12.4.** Let  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  be the set of functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ . Show that this set can be made into the set of objects of a category, which we will denote by  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ . The objects are functors and

the morphisms are natural transformations. Composition is given by your solution to Exercise 12.3.

**Remark 12.5.** Categories fit into a natural heirarchy of more and more complicated objects called  $n$ -categories. These have a notion of  $k$ -morphism for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In this language, 0-categories are sets and 1-categories are categories as we have discussed previously. The first example of a 2-category is an analogue of  $\text{Cat}$ . Let's call it  $\text{Cat}_1$  to disambiguate it from  $\text{Cat}$  for the moment. The objects of  $\text{Cat}_1$  are categories, the 1-morphisms are functors, and the 2-morphisms are natural transformations between functors. This is the beginning of a deep and beautiful subject, but we will not pursue it here.

**Example 12.6.** Recall that given a topological space  $X$  we have a poset  $P(X)$ . Given a poset  $P$ , we obtain a topological space  $D(P)$  by taking the topology given by the downsets. We also observed in Theorem 10.1(a) that there is a continuous function  $c_X: D(P(X)) \rightarrow X$ . In fact, this defines a natural transformation  $c: D \circ P \rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Top}}$ . To check this, for each continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , we must check that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(P(X)) & \xrightarrow{c_X} & X \\ D(P(f)) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ D(P(Y)) & \xrightarrow{c_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes. However, on underlying sets,  $c_X$  and  $c_Y$  are the identities and  $D(P(f)) = f$  by Theorem 10.1(d,e), so the diagram does indeed commute.

**Definition 12.7 (Natural isomorphisms).** Let  $\eta: F \rightarrow G$  be a natural transformation of functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ . If for each  $c \in C$  the morphism  $\eta_c: F(c) \rightarrow G(c)$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}$ , then we say that  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism. We say that  $F$  and  $G$  are naturally isomorphic if there exists a natural isomorphism  $\eta$  from  $F$  to  $G$ .

**Exercise 12.8.** Show that  $\eta$  is a natural isomorphism if and only if it is an isomorphism when viewed as a morphism in the functor category  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ .

**Definition 12.9 (Equivalences of categories).** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be categories and let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. We say that  $F$  is an

equivalence of categories if there exists a functor  $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that  $G \circ F$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $F \circ G$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are equivalent if there exists an equivalence between them. I tend to write  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}$  if  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are equivalent and reserve  $\cong$  as the generic symbol for isomorphism.

**Exercise 12.10.** Show that  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an equivalence if and only if it is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

**Example 12.11.** Let  $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{fd}}$  be the category of finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{R}$ -vector spaces and linear transformations between them. Let  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{R}}$  be the category with objects  $\mathbf{R}^0, \mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{R}^2, \dots$  and where  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{R}}}(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^m)$  is equal to the set of  $m \times n$ -matrices with real entries. There is a fully faithful, essentially surjective functor  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\text{fd}}$ , so these categories are equivalent. They are not isomorphic.

**Definition 12.12 (Opposite category).** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. There is another category  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  with the same objects as  $\mathcal{C}$  but where  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(x, y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(y, x)$ . It is obtained by “turning all arrows around”.

**Definition 12.13.** A duality between  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is an equivalence  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$ , then  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{D}$ .

There are many famous equivalences of categories in mathematics. Many of these, especially in topology, take the form of a duality between a “topological” category and an “algebraic” one. Here are a few.

- (a) The duality between sober topological spaces and locales.
- (b) The equivalence between posets and Alexandrov topological spaces.
- (c) Stone duality: the duality between Boolean algebras and completely disconnected compact Hausdorff spaces.
- (d) Gelfand duality: the duality between compact Hausdorff topological spaces and unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras.
- (e) The Birkhoff representation theorem, a equivalence between the category of finite distributive lattices and bounded homomorphisms and the category of finite posets and order-preserving morphisms.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY  
[antieau@northwestern.edu](mailto:antieau@northwestern.edu)