Q1.

Name

Email Address

Company Name

Goal of this survey:

- Ensure completeness of capabilities inventory
- Prioritize capabilities needed by the anti-fraud ecosystem

Anonymity of information:

- Only company region, industry, and size (number of employees) will be collected
- Company name is optional and may be filled out if you would like to be contacted for follow-up conversations
- Consolidated results (not individual responses) will be shared within W3C's Anti-Fraud Community Group

Capabilities Gathering: Key terms to know

| • | Capabilities: Capabilities are the high-level functional requirements for a given set of anti-fraud |
|---|---|
| | use cases, and are not specific to any sources of truth or technologies. Capabilities are aligned |
| | to specific use cases. For capabilities, please focus on capabilities that a browser can |
| | communicate about a device |

| Q53. Where did you get a link to this survey? |
|--|
| Que. Where the you get a link to this survey. |
| 1:1 engagement with Google stakeholders |
| ○ Anti-Fraud CG Github |
| Q2. Would you like to have your individual response published publicly in W3C's Anti-Fraud Community Group with your company name visible? If yes, please add your company name below. |
| Note that consolidated results will be shared within the Anti-Fraud Community Group. |
| I do not want this individual response and company name published |
| I want to publish this response individually with the company name idwall |
| Q37. Would you like Google to reach out to you 1:1 to discuss these responses further? If yes, please include your name, email address, and company name below |

Kazuo Teramoto

idwall

| Q3. Company Operating Region (select all that apply) |
|--|
| ☐ North America |
| ✓ South America |
| ☐ Asia |
| ☐ Europe |
| ☐ Africa |
| ☐ N/A - not representing an organization |
| Q4. Company Industry (select all that apply) |
| ☐ Anti-Fraud Technology Providers |
| ☐ eCommerce |
| Financial Services (Banking, Payments, Cryptocurrency etc.) |
| ☐ Social Media |
| Content (Publishers, Streaming Services, Gaming etc.) |
| Other Identity Verification |
| |
| Q5. Company Size (number of employees) |
| ○ 1-50 |
| § 51-500 |
| ○ 501-10,000 |
| O 10,001-50,000 |
| ○ 50,001+ |
| ○ N/A - not representing an organization |
| Q7. To learn more about use cases, visit https://github.com/antifraudcg/use-cases/blob/main/USE-CASES.md |
| Q6. Which use cases are applicable to your organization? (select all that apply) |
| Account Creation |
| ✓ Account Takeover |
| ☐ Invalid Traffic (IVT) in Advertising |
| ✓ eCommerce Fraud |
| ✓ Payment Fraud |

Data Scraping

| Online Spam & Fake Engagements |
|---|
| ☐ Denial of Service |
| ☐ Theft of Intellectual Property |
| ✓ Unauthorized Access |
| Other |
| Other |
| Other |
| |
| |
| Q9. Rank the use cases in order of importance (drag choices to move) |
| |
| Account Creation |
| Payment Fraud |
| eCommerce Fraud |
| Unauthorized Access |
| Account Takeover |
| |
| Q36. To learn more about capabilities visit https://github.com/antifraudcg/use-cases/issues/3 |
| Q11. For the 'Account Creation' use case, select all relevant capabilities and add ones not listed below |
| Token Binding: With user consent, bind a credential (such as a cookie) to a specific physical device |
| Counters: Ability to keep an approximate count of how often a physical device has done a specific action |
| Geo Attestation: Confirm the geographic location of a specific device |
| Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| ✓ User Presence: Confirm that a user is present |
| Post-boot attestation: Check for up-to-date security patches and known strains of malware |
| ☐ Intent: Reason about the degree of coordination among an arbitrary set of users |
| App/Site attestation: Confirm that the application or website on the device matches the application or website reported to the service |
| Element Visibility: Confirm that a given visual element actually activated pixels on the device |
| Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identities' data coming from the same device can be indicative of account take over |
| ✓ Other Identity Verification |
| |
| Other |
| |

Q38. For the 'Account Creation' use case, rank all the relevant capabilities in order of importance

| | Identity Verification |
|---|---|
| | User Presence: Confirm that a user is present |
| - | Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identities' data coming from the same device can be indicative of account take over |
| | Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| 2 | 2. For the 'Account Takeover' use case, select all relevant capabilities and add ones not listed below |

| Token Binding: With user consent, bind a credential (such as a cookie) to a specific physical device |
|---|
| Counters: Ability to keep an approximate count of how often a physical device has done a specific action. |
| Geo Attestation: Confirm the geographic location of a specific device |
| ✓ Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| ✓ User Presence: Confirm that a user is present |
| Post-boot attestation: Check for up-to-date security patches and known strains of malware |
| ☐ Intent: Reason about the degree of coordination among an arbitrary set of users |
| App/Site attestation: Confirm that the application or website on the device match the application or website reported to the service |
| Element Visibility: Confirm that a given visual element actually activated pixels on the device |
| Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identities' data coming from the same device can be indicative of account take over |
| Other Identity Verification |
| Other |
| ☐ Other |

Q39. For the 'Account Takeover' use case, rank all the relevant capabilities in order of importance

| Identity Verification | 1 |
|--|--------------|
| User Presence: Confirm that a user is present | 2 |
| Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identicoming from the same device can be indicative of account take over | ties' data 3 |
| Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version | 4 |

Q21. For the 'Invalid Traffic (IVT) in Advertising' use case, select all relevant capabilities and add ones not listed below

This question was not displayed to the respondent.

Q40. For the 'Invalid Traffic (IVT) in Advertising' use case, rank all the relevant capabilities in order of importance

to the service

| | . For the 'eCommerce Fraud' use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and ones not listed below |
|----------|---|
| | Token Binding: With user consent, bind a credential (such as a cookie) to a specific physical device |
| | Counters: Ability to keep an approximate count of how often a physical device has done a specific action |
| | Geo Attestation: Confirm the geographic location of a specific device |
| ✓ | Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| ✓ | User Presence: Confirm that a user is present |
| | Post-boot attestation: Check for up-to-date security patches and known strains of malware |
| | Intent: Reason about the degree of coordination among an arbitrary set of users |
| | App/Site attestation: Confirm that the application or website on the device match the application or website reported to the service |
| | Element Visibility: Confirm that a given visual element actually activated pixels on the device |
| ✓ | Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identities' data coming from the same device can be indicative of account take over |
| ✓ | Other Identity Verification |
| | Other |
| | Other |
| | lentity Verification 1 Ser Presence: Confirm that a user is present 2 |
| _ | |
| CC | ecognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identities' data oming from the same device can be indicative of account take over |
| D | evice and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| | . For the 'Payment Fraud' use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and add s not listed below |
| | Token Binding: With user consent, bind a credential (such as a cookie) to a specific physical device |
| | Counters: Ability to keep an approximate count of how often a physical device has done a specific action |
| | Geo Attestation: Confirm the geographic location of a specific device |
| ✓ | Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| ✓ | User Presence: Confirm that a user is present |
| | Post-boot attestation: Check for up-to-date security patches and known strains of malware |
| | Intent: Reason about the degree of coordination among an arbitrary set of users |
| | |

| ✓ Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identities' data coming from the same device can be indicative of account take over | |
|---|--------|
| ✓ Other Identity Verification Other | |
| | |
| Other | |
| | |
| | |
| Q42. For the 'Payment Fraud' use case, rank all the relevant capabilities in order of importance | |
| Identity Verification | 1 |
| User Presence: Confirm that a user is present | 2 |
| Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities: For example, seeing many different identities' decoming from the same device can be indicative of account take over | lata 3 |
| Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version | 4 |
| | |
| Q25. For the 'Data Scraping' use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and ones not listed below | bbs t |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. | |
| Q43. For the 'Data Scraping' use case, rank all the relevant capabilities in order of importance | |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. | |
| Q26. For the 'Online Spam & Fake Engagement' use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and add ones not listed below | ess |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. | |
| Q44. For the 'Online Spam & Fake Engagement' use case, rank all the relevant capabilities in order of importance | |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. | |
| Q27. For the 'Denial of Service' use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case add ones not listed below | and |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. | |
| Q45. For the 'Denial of Service' use case, rank all relevant capabilities in order of importance | |

☐ Element Visibility: Confirm that a given visual element actually activated pixels on the device

This question was not displayed to the respondent.

| Q28. For the 'Theft of Intellectual Property' use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and add ones not listed below |
|--|
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. |
| Q46. For the 'Theft of Intellectual Property' use case, rank all relevant capabilities in order of importance |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. |
| Q29. For the 'Unauthorized Access' use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and add ones not listed below |
| ☐ Token Binding: With user consent, bind a credential (such as a cookie) to a specific physical device |
| Counters: Ability to keep an approximate count of how often a physical device has done a specific action. |
| Geo Attestation: Confirm the geographic location of a specific device |
| ✓ Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| ✓ User Presence: Confirm that a user is present |
| Post-boot attestation: Check for up-to-date security patches and known strains of malware |
| ☐ Intent: Reason about the degree of coordination among an arbitrary set of users |
| App/Site attestation: Confirm that the application or website on the device match the application or website reported to the service |
| Element Visibility: Confirm that a given visual element actually activated pixels on the device |
| Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities (e.g., Seeing many different identities' data coming from the same device can be indicative of account take over) Other Identity Verification |
| Other |
| ☐ Other ☐ |
| Q47. For the 'Unauthorized Access' use case, rank all relevant capabilities in order of importance |
| Identity Verification 1 |
| User Presence: Confirm that a user is present |
| Recognize whether the same device is seen again in the context of multiple identities (e.g., Seeing many different identities' data coming from the same device can be indicative of account take over) |
| Device and Boot attestation: Confirm that the device and OS matches the reported model and version |
| Q30. For the " use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and add ones not listed |

below

This question was not displayed to the respondent.

| This question was not displayed to the respondent. |
|--|
| Q31. For the "use case, select all relevant capabilities that can address the use case and add ones not listed below |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. |
| Q49. For the "use case, rank all relevant capabilities in order of importance |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. |
| Q32. For the "use case, rank all relevant capabilities in order of importance |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. |
| Q50. For the "use case, rank all relevant capabilities in order of importance |
| This question was not displayed to the respondent. |
| Q35. If an out-of-band feedback mechanism existed, would you be willing to provide the attestet with feedback (e.g. via RPC) on attestations associated with abuse of your services? |
| ○ Yes |
| No |
| Q34. Please share any other feedback and questions below |
| |
| |