

Survey September Analysis

Maksim Zubok

2024-10-07

Contents

Randomisation Check	2
Protest Type Effect on Support of Protesters' Actions	3
Authorisation Effect on Support of Protesters' Demands	5
Interaction Authorisation and Protest Type on Support Arrests	7
Descriptions of Protesters	8
Unweighted	8
Weighted	9
Descriptions of Protests	10
Unweighted	10
Weighted	11
Multiple Imputation	12
Interaction Authorisation and Protest Type on Support Arrests	12
Descriptions of Protesters (Unweighted)	13
Descriptions of Protesters (Weighted)	14
Descriptions of Protests (Unweighted)	15
Descriptions of Protests (Weighted)	16

Randomisation Check


Table 1: Randomisation Check

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	factor(protest_type)	factor(protest_actions)	factor(authorisation)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
age	−0.002 (0.01)	0.004 (0.01)	−0.004 (0.01)
genderWoman	0.12 (0.15)	−0.06 (0.15)	−0.23 (0.15)
university_educationBA+	−0.11 (0.13)	−0.001 (0.13)	0.05 (0.13)
Constant	0.22 (0.27)	−0.05 (0.26)	0.32 (0.27)
Observations	922	922	922
Log Likelihood	−636.22	−637.97	−637.41
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,280.43	1,283.94	1,282.82


Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01


Protest Type Effect on Support of Protesters' Actions




- The variable “support for protesters’ actions” has 196 missing values. **Missing values are treated as disagreement (lack of support).**
- The difference between mean support of protesters actions is 0.0900132 (2.8 standard errors away from zero), which is our effect of interest here.



Authorisation Effect on Support of Protesters' Demands



- The difference between mean support of protesters actions for authorised vs unauthorised is 0.084204 ($t=2.56$), which is our effect of interest here. Difference in means is significantly different from zero.



Interaction Authorisation and Protest Type on Support Arrests

- People are more likely to support arrests of protesters when protests are unauthorised.
- Effects for political protest and the interaction between political and authorised are not significant.
- Weighting by population size of key strata (gender x education x age_group) makes protest type significant and increases magnitude of the effects.

Table 2: Arrests are justified (Linear Prob. Model, Binary Outcome)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>	
	support arrests	
	(1)	(2)
factor(authorisation)unauthorised	0.10** (0.05)	0.24*** (0.04)
factor(protest_type)political	−0.01 (0.04)	0.08* (0.04)
factor(protest_actions)demonstration	−0.07** (0.03)	−0.16*** (0.03)
factor(authorisation)unauthorised:factor(protest_type)political	0.08 (0.06)	−0.01 (0.06)
Constant	0.32*** (0.04)	0.26*** (0.03)
Observations	922	922
R ²	0.03	0.09
Adjusted R ²	0.03	0.09
Residual Std. Error (df = 917)	0.47	0.45
F Statistic (df = 4; 917)	7.95***	23.21***
<i>Note:</i>	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Descriptions of Protesters

Unweighted

Table 3: Protesters Described As...(Linear Prob. Model for Binary Outcome)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	Aggressive	Law Abiding	Trustworthy	Think about Me	Dangerous
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
authorisation (unauthorised)	0.02 (0.05)	−0.16*** (0.05)	−0.13*** (0.05)	−0.04 (0.05)	0.0004 (0.04)
type (political)	0.09** (0.05)	−0.03 (0.05)	−0.07 (0.05)	−0.06 (0.05)	0.06 (0.04)
actions (demonstation)	−0.12*** (0.03)	0.10*** (0.03)	0.04 (0.03)	0.08** (0.03)	−0.06* (0.03)
auth x type	0.005 (0.06)	0.03 (0.07)	0.02 (0.07)	−0.04 (0.07)	0.03 (0.06)
Constant	0.37*** (0.04)	0.52*** (0.04)	0.53*** (0.04)	0.53*** (0.04)	0.29*** (0.04)
Observations	922	922	922	922	922
R ²	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01
Adjusted R ²	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01
Residual Std. Error (df = 917)	0.48	0.49	0.49	0.50	0.46
F Statistic (df = 4; 917)	5.70***	7.89***	4.94***	4.00***	2.84**

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 4: Protesters Described As...(Linear Prob. Model for Binary Outcome. Weighted)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>				
	Aggressive	Law Abiding	Trustworthy	Think about Me	Dangerous
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
authorisation (unauthorised)	0.04 (0.04)	-0.15*** (0.05)	-0.17*** (0.05)	-0.07 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.04)
type (political)	0.22*** (0.04)	-0.12** (0.05)	-0.18*** (0.05)	-0.20*** (0.05)	0.10** (0.04)
actions (demonstation)	-0.07** (0.03)	0.07** (0.03)	0.09*** (0.03)	0.15*** (0.03)	-0.04 (0.03)
auth x type	-0.03 (0.06)	-0.04 (0.07)	0.03 (0.07)	-0.07 (0.06)	0.08 (0.06)
Constant	0.27*** (0.04)	0.60*** (0.04)	0.59*** (0.04)	0.60*** (0.04)	0.28*** (0.03)
Observations	922	922	922	922	922
R ²	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.03
Adjusted R ²	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.02
Residual Std. Error (df = 917)	0.47	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.45
F Statistic (df = 4; 917)	12.16***	11.14***	12.36***	21.66***	6.89***

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Descriptions of Protests

Unweighted

Table 5: Protest Described As...(Linear Prob. Model for Binary Outcome))

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Violent	Law-Abiding	Lifethreatening
	(1)	(2)	(3)
authorisation (unauthorised)	−0.05 (0.04)	−0.29*** (0.04)	−0.002 (0.05)
type (political)	0.01 (0.04)	−0.02 (0.04)	0.002 (0.04)
actions (demonstation)	−0.09*** (0.02)	0.14*** (0.03)	−0.14*** (0.03)
auth x type	0.13*** (0.05)	−0.02 (0.06)	0.17*** (0.06)
Constant	0.21*** (0.03)	0.47*** (0.04)	0.40*** (0.04)
Observations	922	922	922
R ²	0.03	0.12	0.05
Adjusted R ²	0.03	0.12	0.04
Residual Std. Error (df = 917)	0.38	0.46	0.47
F Statistic (df = 4; 917)	7.89***	32.04***	11.66***

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Weighted

Table 6: Protest Described As...(Linear Prob. Model for Binary Outcome. Weighted)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	Violent	Law-Abiding	Lifethreatening
	(1)	(2)	(3)
authorisation (unauthorised)	−0.02 (0.03)	−0.26*** (0.04)	0.08* (0.04)
type (political)	0.06 (0.03)	−0.12*** (0.04)	0.06 (0.04)
actions (demonstation)	−0.07*** (0.02)	0.13*** (0.03)	−0.09*** (0.03)
auth x type	0.10** (0.05)	−0.12* (0.06)	0.16*** (0.06)
Constant	0.15*** (0.03)	0.58*** (0.03)	0.30*** (0.04)
Observations	922	922	922
R ²	0.04	0.15	0.06
Adjusted R ²	0.04	0.14	0.06
Residual Std. Error (df = 917)	0.35	0.46	0.46
F Statistic (df = 4; 917)	9.38***	39.04***	15.07***

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Multiple Imputation

- We impute missing values via multiple imputation, with the `mice` package. We use default parameter specification for imputation: `five datasets` and `10 iterations`. We work with the binary version of the variables, where all “refuse” and “do not know” replies are taken as NA and are imputed.
- Imputation results align with the no imputation results

Interaction Authorisation and Protest Type on Support Arrests

Term	Unweighted	Weighted
authorisation (unauthorised)	0.06 [0.05; 1.13]	0.21 [0.05; 4.14]
protest type (political)	-0.01 [0.05; -0.31]	0.09 [0.05; 1.7]
protest actions (demonstration)	-0.09 [0.04; -2.63]	-0.18 [0.04; -4.03]
authorisation * protest type	0.11 [0.07; 1.62]	0.01 [0.07; 0.08]
Note: Estimates are displayed as Estimate [SE; t-value].		

Table 7: Pooled Results for Support for Arrests

Descriptions of Protesters (Unweighted)

Term	aggressive	law_abiding	trustworthy	think_about_me	dangerous
authorisation (unauthorised)	0.01 [0.05; 0.11]	-0.19 [0.05; -4.08]	-0.15 [0.05; -3.1]	-0.1 [0.05; -1.94]	-0.03 [0.05; -0.7]
protest type (political)	0.05 [0.05; 1.02]	-0.07 [0.05; -1.3]	-0.09 [0.05; -1.69]	-0.07 [0.05; -1.52]	0.03 [0.05; 0.59]
protest actions (demonstration)	-0.11 [0.04; -3]	0.11 [0.03; 3.12]	0.08 [0.03; 2.5]	0.08 [0.03; 2.33]	-0.06 [0.03; -2.01]
authorisation * protest type	0.06 [0.07; 0.82]	0.05 [0.07; 0.72]	0.05 [0.07; 0.65]	0 [0.06; 0.08]	0.08 [0.06; 1.26]
Note: Estimates are displayed as Estimate [SE; t-value].					

Table 8: Pooled Results for Descriptions of Protesters (Unweighted)

Descriptions of Protesters (Weighted)

Term	aggressive	law_abiding	trustworthy	think_about_me	dangerous
authorisation (unauthorised)	0 [0.05; 0.1]	-0.16 [0.05; -3.6]	-0.19 [0.05; -3.74]	-0.08 [0.05; -1.54]	-0.09 [0.05; -1.99]
protest type (political)	0.19 [0.06; 3.4]	-0.13 [0.05; -2.38]	-0.16 [0.06; -2.72]	-0.14 [0.06; -2.5]	0.07 [0.05; 1.46]
protest actions (demonstration)	-0.09 [0.03; -2.54]	0.07 [0.04; 2.03]	0.11 [0.04; 3.18]	0.13 [0.04; 3.32]	-0.06 [0.03; -1.73]
authorisation * protest type	0.05 [0.07; 0.63]	-0.03 [0.07; -0.48]	0.06 [0.07; 0.8]	-0.1 [0.07; -1.29]	0.15 [0.07; 2.32]
Note: Estimates are displayed as Estimate [SE; t-value].					

Table 9: Pooled Results for Descriptions of Protesters (Weighted)

Descriptions of Protests (Unweighted)

Term	is_violent	is_lawabiding	is_lifethreatening
authorisation (unauthorised)	-0.06 [0.05; -1.14]	-0.3 [0.05; -5.61]	0.03 [0.05; 0.59]
protest type (political)	0.01 [0.04; 0.27]	-0.03 [0.05; -0.73]	0.01 [0.05; 0.11]
protest actions (demonstration)	-0.1 [0.03; -3.49]	0.14 [0.03; 4.29]	-0.15 [0.04; -4.21]
authorisation * protest type	0.14 [0.06; 2.21]	-0.03 [0.07; -0.4]	0.13 [0.07; 1.84]
Note: Estimates are displayed as Estimate [SE; t-value].			

Table 10: Pooled Results for Descriptions of Protests (Weighted)

Descriptions of Protests (Weighted)

Term	is_violent	is_lawabiding	is_lifethreatening
authorisation (unauthorised)	-0.03 [0.04; -0.69]	-0.29 [0.06; -4.65]	0.08 [0.05; 1.73]
protest type (political)	0.06 [0.05; 1.34]	-0.14 [0.06; -2.62]	0.07 [0.05; 1.29]
protest actions (demonstration)	-0.09 [0.03; -2.99]	0.12 [0.03; 3.48]	-0.1 [0.04; -2.73]
authorisation * protest type	0.14 [0.07; 2.11]	-0.11 [0.08; -1.51]	0.14 [0.07; 2]
Note: Estimates are displayed as Estimate [SE; t-value].			

Table 11: Pooled Results for Descriptions of Protests (Weighted)