

Banchhanidhi Rath vs The State Of Orissa And Ors. on 9 December, 1971

Equivalent citations: AIR1972SC843, 1972LABLC431, (1972)4SCC781, 1972(4)UJ350(SC), AIR 1972 SUPREME COURT 843, 1972 LAB. I. C. 431, 1972 4 SCC 781, 1972 SCD 291, (1971) 1 SERV LR 333, 38 CUTLT 267, 1972 2 SCJ 657

Author: A.N. Ray

Bench: A.N. Grover, A.N. Ray, K.S. Hegde

JUDGMENT

A.N. Ray, J.

1. This is an appeal by special leave against the judgment dated 15 April, 1970 of the High Court of Orissa dismissing the writ petition of the appellant.
2. The appellant in the writ petition asked for a writ of mandamus for quashing the order dated 19 May, 1969 passed by the Inspector of Schools, Cuttack Circle. The order stated that the date of birth of the appellant as recorded in service registers was 1st January, 1908 and since the appellant exceeded the age of 58 years he was requested to hand over charge of his duties by 24 May, 1969 to Madhusudan Das, the Senior most trained graduate assistant teacher of Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha Nanpur, Cuttack.
3. The appellant's case was as follows. The appellant was appointed Head Master of Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha in the year 1949. By a letter dated 19 May, 1969 the appellant was retired from service. The Inspector of Schools and the other respondents, namely, the State of Orissa and the Director of Public Instruction had no authority to superannuate the appellant at the age of 58 years inasmuch as there was no privity of contract between the appellant and the respondents. It has been the uniform usage and implied term of office of all teachers in private schools in Orissa that irrespective of age limit teachers will be in service as long as they are physically fit and mentally alert.
4. In the year 1951 the Government of Orissa recognised Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha as institution in accordance with the terms of Education Code. During the years 1954 to 1957 the Government of Orissa gave grant in aid to the institution at the rate of Rs. 75/-per month. In the month of January, 1958 the Government of Orissa addressed letters to Secretaries of Private High Schools stating that the Government was contemplating to sanction full net deficit as grants-in-aid to a number of aided High Schools in the State. One of the conditions enumerated for such grants in aid was that the

Managing Committee of the Schools concerned by resolution of the Committee should ask the Government to take over the management and control of the Schools. In the month of March, 1958 the Government of Orissa framed special Rules for Government Managed full deficit aided High Schools'. The Special Rules inter alia were these. First, that every school shall be under the control of the Inspector of Schools of the circle or the Inspector of Schools as the case may be who will be responsible for the maintenance of the institution on behalf of the Government and who will exercise all the powers in respect of Government institution. Second, a local Advisory Committee would be set up with the approval of the Director of Public Instruction for efficient management of the institution. Third, the appointments would be made by the Inspector of Schools as the case may be. Provisions were also made for transfer, leave and service conditions of the employees. In the month of September, 1959 the Director of Public Instruction wrote to Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha enquiring whether the managing committee the School agreed to transfer the management of the School and if the answers were in the affirmative the School was asked to send a unanimous resolution of the Managing Committee to that effect. On 20 September, 1959 the Managing Committee of Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha unanimously decided to hand over management of the school to the Government of Orissa.

5. On 6 April, 1962 the Secretary of Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha made over charge of the management of the School to the Inspector of Schools, Cuttack Circle along with the properties. The appellant, the then Headmaster of Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha, took over charge from the Secretary under the direction of the inspector of Schools, Cuttack Circle. The Inspector of Schools on 19 June, 1962 came to the institution and assumed control.

6. In the year 1964 the Government of Orissa asked the views of (be Advisory Committee of School as to whether the local people would be prepared to form the managing committee and take back the management of the school from the Government. This enquiry was made by the Government of Orissa inasmuch as the view gained currency that the management of some school was not satisfactory. Sadhu Charan Bidhyapitha passed a resolution on 20 September, 1964 requesting the Government to transfer the management back to the public. On 14 July, 1968, the Bidyapitha passed a similar resolution to expedite the transfer of the management of the school to the public. The Government however after careful consideration decided that "142 full deficit taken over High Schools in the State should be converted to full fledged Government High Schools with effect from 1 March, 1969." The Director of Public Instruction on 27 February, 1969 wrote to the schools and asked for particulars in the prescribed proforma enclosed to implement the scheme of conversion of the "full deficit taken over High Schools to Government High Schools". The Government thereafter on 14 May, 1969 wrote to the Director of Public Instruction that the Government had decided to retire Headmasters on attaining the age of 58 years. The Director of Public Instruction on 14 May, 1969 wrote to the Inspector of Schools communicating the decision of the Government that teachers including the Headmasters of the 'taken over' schools who attained 58 years of age on 1 March, 1969 should retire from service immediately. The Inspector of Schools on 19 May, 1969 wrote to the appellant to hand over charge as he had exceeded the age of 58 years.

7. It is manifest that the appellant could not enforce a contract of employment in an application under Article 326 of the Constitution. The appellant did not rely on any rule of the management of

the institution that the appellant would continue in service as long as the appellant was physically fit and mentally alert. The appellant alleged a custom to that effect in the petition. Custom of such a nature cannot be enforced in an application under Article 226.

8. The management of the school was by resolution passed over to the Government. The Government was giving grant-in-aid to the School. The Government therefore managed the School. The Government made a uniform policy that teachers should be retired on attaining the age of 58 years. There is no right to remain in service. If a right is claimed in terms of a contract such a right cannot be enforced in a writ petition.

9. The High Court Court was justified in dismissing the application. The appeal is dismissed. Each party will pay and bear its own costs.