## State Of Punjab vs Mohinder Singh And Anr. on 1 May, 1985

Equivalent citations: AIR1987SC758, 1985(1)SCALE1319, (1986)1SCC365, AIR 1987 SUPREME COURT 758, 1986 (1) SCC 365, (1987) IJR 174 (SC), 1986 2 LANDLR 102 (1), (1986) 2 LANDLR 102(1), (1986) 1 CIVLJ 440

## Bench: A. Varadarajan, Ranganath Misra, S. Murtaza Fazal Ali

**JUDGMENT** 

1. Heard counsel for the parties. We find no merit in this appeal so far as quantum of compensation is concerned because special leave petition has been dismissed against the impugned judgment However the respondents are entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Act 68 of 1984 by which 30% solatium is to be given from the date of publication to the date of notification under Section 4, Sub-section (1) of the Act, and interest at the rate of 9% instead of 6%, as originally contained in the un-amended Act, from the date of taking possession of the land acquired. Since the decision in this case has been given after one year, it is manifest that under the said Act, respondents would be entitled to interest at the rate of 9% out of which they have already got 6%.

1

2. With the above observation, we dismiss the appeal.