

## **Collector Of Central Excise, Madras vs A. Md. Bilal And Co. on 22 February, 1999**

**Equivalent citations: 1999ECR488(SC), 1999(108)ELT331(SC), (2000)10SCC63, 1999 AIR SCW 4740, 2000 (10) SCC 63, (1999) 108 ELT 331, (1999) 7 SUPREME 485**

**Bench: Chief Justice, M. Srinivasan, N. Santosh Hegde**

### **ORDER**

1. There is a delay of 502 days in filing this appeal. In the application seeking condonation of delay, no explanation, worth the name, let alone a satisfactory or reasonable explanation, has been offered. When faced with this fact situation, the learned Attorney General fairly conceded that the manner in which the delay had been caused in filing the appeal warrants an Enquiry and that the Union of India would take appropriate steps in this behalf, more particularly when many such cases, according to the learned Attorney General, have been brought to his notice in recent time. Be that as it may, in the absence of any satisfactory or cogent explanation for delay, the application for condonation of delay is dismissed. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed as barred by time.