

Bhag Singh & Ors. Etc., Gurmukh Singh And ... vs State Of Punjab on 3 September, 1997

Equivalent citations: AIRONLINE 1997 SC 77, (1997) 5 SCALE 687, (1997) 4 CUR CRI R 8, (1997) 3 SCJ 78, (1997) 3 CRIMES 278, 1997 (7) SCC 712, (1997) 4 ALL CRI LR 246, (1997) 4 REC CRI R 331, (1998) 22 ALL CRI R 519, (1997) 35 ALL CRI C 511, 1997 CRI LR(SC MAH GUJ) 622, (1997) 7 JT 656, (1997) 8 SUPREME 78, 1997 UP CRIR 816, 1997 SCC (CRI) 1163, (1998) SC CR R 101, (1997) 7 JT 656 (SC), 1997 CRI LR (SC&MP) 622, (2013) 2 MADLW(CRI) 361, (2013) 2 ORISSA LR 1056, (2013) 3 KER LT 55.1, (2013) 3 RECCRIR 988, (2013) 3 SERVLJ 131, (2013) 4 MAD LW 558, (2013) 8 SCALE 213, 2013 (8) SCC 664

Author: K.T. Thomas

Bench: K.T. Thomas

PETITIONER:

BHAG SINGH & ORS. ETC., GURMUKH SINGH AND ANOTHER

Vs.

RESPONDENT:

STATE OF PUNJAB

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 03/09/1997

BENCH:

M.M. MUKHERJEE, K.T. THOMAS

ACT:

HEADNOTE:

JUDGMENT:

THE 3RD DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1997 Present:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.K. Mukherjee Hon'ble Mr. Justice K.T. Thomas U.R. Lalit, and

T.S. Arunachalam, Sr. Advs. L.K. Pandey, Adv. with them for the appellants. in CrI. A.No. 638/95. K.B. Sinha, Sr. Adv., H.S. Munjral, Vikrant Rana and Ms. B. Rana, Advs with him for the appellant in CrI. A.No. 402/95 for M/S. S.S. Rana & Co., Advs. Ajay Bansal, Adv. for R.S. Sodhi, adv. for the Respondents J U D G E M E N T The following Judgment of the Court was delivered:

WITH CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 402 OF 1995 THOMAS, J.

This is the story of a murder committed as revenge for another murder. Appellants were involved in the second murder and they challenged the conviction and sentence imposed on them by the sessions court and confirmed by the High court in appeal.

The murdered person in this case was one Bagicha Singh. There was a dispute over one house building as between the said Bagicha Singh and one Jagtar Singh which remained alive for some time. In that dispute, Karnail Singh (father of accused Nos.1 to 3) gave support to jagtar Singh. In a Previous incident the said Karnail Singh was murdered and a criminal case was charge-sheeted against PW-12 Balkar singh, PW-13 Swaran Singh and PW-14 Hardip Singh and some others.

According to the prosecution version the occurrence in this case happened on the night of 27-1-1985 at about 8.00 P.M. when deceased Bagicha Singh was going in the company of PW-12, PW-13 and PW-14 to reach their village. They were waylaid by eight assailants including the appellants herein near the yard of one Harbans Singh. Appellant Gurmukh Singh (A-1) made an exhortation to his companion assailants to carry out the onslaughts for avenging the murder of his father Karnail Singh. A-4 Satnam Singh Shot at the deceased with a gun and A-1 Gurmukh Singh, A-3 Gurbinder Singh and A- 6 Gurbux Singh attacked the deceased with kirpans and A-2 Harjinder Singh with a spear. A-5 Mohinder Singh and A-7 Darshan Singh dealt blows on PW-13 Swarn Singh with kirpans while A-8 Bhag Singh fired a gun shot at him. As the assailants thought that their mission was accomplished they all fled from the place with the weapons.

Bagicha Singh died on the spot and PW-13 Swarn Singh injured was removed to the hospital. First Information was lodged by PW-14 Hardip Singh. All the accused were arrested ought not have been relied on. Second is, there was no reason for the appellants to persist with the revenge for the murder of Karnail Singh as the murderers were convicted by the Court. Third is, it was impossible for any person to recount with meticulous exactitude the various individual acts done by each assailant and since the witnesses in this case have testified so, their testimony should have been rejected on that score alone.

It may be true that PW-12 Balkar Singh, PW-13 Swarn Singh and PW-14 Hardip Singh must have been simmering with grouse against the appellants for giving evidence against them which led to their conviction. Bad Blood would have existed as between them, But it is a fact that PW-13 Swarn Singh had also suffered injuries in this occurrence. Hence it is most unlikely that he would have

spared the actual assailants and falsely implicated these appellants merely because he is otherwise ill disposed to them.

It is in evidence that despite conviction and sentence passed on the accused in Karnail Singh Murder case they were released on bail as per orders of this Court during pendency of the appeals filed by them. So the fact of conviction would not have quenched the revenging thirst towards the murderers of Karnail Singh.

The third point which was forcibly pressed into service by counsel is that no eye witnesses can be expected to and some weapons were recovered by the police and completion of investigation eight persons including the appellants were challenged. Though the Sessions Court convicted all the eight accused of offences of murders, attempt to commit murder an rioting etc. the High Court of Punjab and Haryana acquitted A-2 Harjinder Singh, A-4 Satnam Singh and A-5 Mohinder Singh. The conviction and sentence passed on the appellants were, however, confirmed by the High Court and hence these appeals by special leave.

There is no dispute that PW-13 Swarn Singh was present at the scene and he also sustained serious injurious including lacerated and incised wounds on the head. This fact helps us to agree with the finding of the two courts that PW-13 Swarn Singh was able to see the assailants who attacked him and the deceased. He mentioned the names of the appellants as assailants without doubt. Evidence shows that it was a moonlit night. The other two eye witnesses PW-12 Balkar Singh and PW-14 Hardip Singh supported the version of PW-13 Swarn Singh.

Learned counsel for the appellants adopted a three pronged contention on the above evidence. First is, as the witnesses were all ill disposed to the appellants by the fact that they were convicted in the earlier murder case (in which Karnail Singh died) on the strength of the evidence given by the appellants, the testimony of those witnesses peak with precision regarding the respective roles played by each assailant including the sites of the body where each blow fell particularly since the occurrence happened during night time and in that case when the witnesses spoke with exactitude their testimony become highly incredible.

It is a general handicap attached to all eye witnesses, if they fail to speak with precision their evidence would be assailed as vague and evasive, on the contrary if they speak to all events very well and correctly their evidence becomes vulnerable to be attacked as tutored. Both approaches are dogmatic and fraught with lack of pragmatism. The testimony of a witness should be viewed from broad angles. It should not be weighed in golden scales, but with cogent standards. In a particular case an eye witness may be able to narrate the incident with all details without mistake if the occurrence had made an imprint on the canvass of his mind in the sequences in which it occurred. He may be a person whose capacity for absorption and retention of events is stronger than another person. It should be remembered that what he witnessed was not something that happens usually but a very exceptional one so far as he is concerned. If he reproduces it in the same sequences as it registered in his mind the testimony cannot be dubbed as artificial on that score alone.

Here the trial court which had the opportunity to hear the narration of the incident from those witnesses was impressed by the truth of the version. It is not fair to say now that the testimony of those witnesses deserved rejection for its precision. That apart, they would have spoken in the court as answers to different questions put to them by the chief examiner. It depends on the ability of the chief examiner in eliciting answers from the witness in the correct order of events. Looking at the evidence from this angle we are not disposed to castigate the evidence of the eye witness in this case for speaking to the details correctly.

We do not find any good ground to interfere with the conviction and sentence passed on the appellants as confirmed by the High Court. Accordingly, we dismiss these appeals.