

CSE331 Introduction to Algorithms

Lecture 19

Longest Common Subsequence

Antoine Vigneron
antoine@unist.ac.kr

Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology

July 23, 2021

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Problem statement
- 3 Brute-force approach
- 4 Structure of the solution
- 5 Recurrence relation
- 6 Computing the length of an LCS
- 7 Computing an LCS
- 8 Conclusion

Introduction

- In this lecture, we study the *longest common subsequence (LCS)*.
- Another application of dynamic programming.
- **Reference:** Section 15.4 of the textbook (p. 390)
[Introduction to Algorithms](#) by Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest and Stein.

Introduction

- Let $X = (A, B, C, B, D, A, B)$.
- We say that $Z = (B, D, A)$ is a *subsequence* of X .

Definition (subsequence)

A sequence $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ is a subsequence of $X = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ if there is an increasing function φ such that $z_i = x_{\varphi(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

- In the example above, $\varphi(1) = 2$, $\varphi(2) = 5$, $\varphi(3) = 6$,

$$z_1 = x_{\varphi(1)} = x_2 = B$$

$$z_2 = x_{\varphi(2)} = x_5 = D$$

$$z_3 = x_{\varphi(3)} = x_6 = A$$

- So the elements of the subsequence Z are taken from X , and appear in the same order.

Introduction

Definition (Common subsequence)

Given two sequences X and Y , we say that Z is a *common subsequence* of X and Y if Z is a subsequence of X and Y .

Example

$Z = (\textcolor{red}{B}, \textcolor{red}{C}, \textcolor{red}{A})$ is a common subsequence of

$X = (\textcolor{red}{A}, \textcolor{red}{B}, \textcolor{red}{C}, \textcolor{red}{B}, \textcolor{red}{D}, \textcolor{red}{A}, \textcolor{red}{B})$ and

$Y = (\textcolor{red}{B}, \textcolor{red}{D}, \textcolor{red}{C}, \textcolor{red}{A}, \textcolor{red}{B}, \textcolor{red}{A})$

- In the example above, there is a *longer* common subsequence:
 $(\textcolor{red}{B}, \textcolor{red}{D}, \textcolor{red}{A}, \textcolor{red}{B})$.

Problem Statement

Problem (Longest common subsequence)

Given two sequences $X = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, the **longest common subsequence problem** is to find a common subsequence Z of X and Y with maximum length. We say that Z is a **longest common subsequence (LCS)** of X and Y .

- Motivation: Measuring how similar two DNA strands are.

Example

- Two given strands

$S_1 = ACCGGTCGAGTGCAGCGGAAGCCGGCCGAA$

$S_2 = GTCGTTCGGAATGCCGTTGCTCTGTAAA$

- Their LCS is

$S_3 = GTCGTCGGAAGCCGGCCGAA$.

- The longer the strand S_3 is, the more similar S_1 and S_2 are.

Brute-Force Approach

Brute-Force Approach

For each subsequence of X , check whether it is a subsequence of Y .
Return the longest such subsequence of X and Y .

- What is the running time?
- There are 2^m subsequences of X , so the running time is $\Omega(2^m)$.
- This is exponential.
- It is too slow for DNA sequences, for instance.
- Therefore, we will try to use dynamic programming.

Structure of the Solution

Definition (prefix)

The i th *prefix* of a sequence $X = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, $m \geq i$ is the subsequence $X_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i)$.

- In order to solve the problem by dynamic programming, we need a better understanding of the structure of the optimal solutions.

Theorem (Optimal substructure of an LCS)

Let $Z_k = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ be an LCS of two sequences $X_m = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $Y_n = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

- ① If $x_m = y_n$, then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} .
- ② If $x_m \neq y_n$, then Z_k is an LCS of X_m and Y_{n-1} , or an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_n .

Structure of the Solution

Proof.

- ① (Case where $x_m = y_n$.) If $z_k \neq x_m$, then we can append x_m to Z_k and obtain a longer subsequence of X_m and Y_n . It contradicts the optimality of Z_k .

Now suppose that $z_k = x_m$. The prefix Z_{k-1} is a subsequence of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} . If it were not an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} , then there would be a common subsequence Z' of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} with length more than $k - 1$. After appending x_m to Z' , we obtain a common subsequence of X_m and Y_n which is longer than Z_k , a contradiction.

- ② As $x_m \neq y_n$, we must have $z_k \neq x_m$ or $z_k \neq y_n$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $z_k \neq x_m$. Therefore Z_k is a subsequence of X_{m-1} . We also know that Z_k is a subsequence of Y_n . Then Z_k must be an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_n , as otherwise, there would be a longer subsequence of X_m and Y_n , contradicting the assumption that Z_k is an LCS of X_m and Y_n .



Structure of the Solution

- The theorem above is an *optimal substructure* property.
- We usually need this type of result in order to use dynamic programming.
- It gives a connection between the original problem and the subproblems whose solutions are recorded by the algorithm.

Recurrence Relation

- Let $c(i, j)$ denote the length of an LCS of X_i and Y_j .
- The following recurrence relation follows from the theorem on Slide 8:

$$c(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0 \\ c(i - 1, j - 1) + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i = y_j \\ \max(c(i - 1, j), c(i, j - 1)) & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- This formula allows us to compute the length of an LCS recursively.
(See next slide.)

Computing the Length of an LCS: Naive Approach

Pseudocode

```
1: procedure LCS( $X, Y, i, j$ )
2:   if  $i = 0$  or  $j = 0$  then
3:     return 0
4:   if  $X[i] = Y[j]$  then
5:     return 1+LCS( $X, Y, i - 1, j - 1$ )
6:   return max(LCS( $X, Y, i - 1, j$ ), LCS( $X, Y, i, j - 1$ ))
```

Computing the Length of an LCS

- The algorithm above runs in exponential time. (Why?)
- So we will use dynamic programming instead.
- More precisely, we will use the bottom-up method.

Computing the Length of an LCS

Pseudocode

```
1: procedure LCSLENGTH( $X[1 \dots m]$ ,  $Y[1 \dots n]$ )
2:    $c[0 \dots m, 0 \dots n] \leftarrow$  new array
3:   for  $i = 0, m$  do
4:      $c[i, 0] \leftarrow 0$ 
5:   for  $j = 1, n$  do
6:      $c[0, j] \leftarrow 0$ 
7:   for  $i = 1, m$  do
8:     for  $j = 1, n$  do
9:       if  $X[i] = Y[j]$  then
10:         $c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i - 1, j - 1] + 1$ 
11:       else
12:         $c[i, j] \leftarrow \max(c[i - 1, j], c[i, j - 1])$ 
13:   return  $c[m, n]$ 
```

Computing the Length of an LCS

- Correctness of this algorithm follows from Equation (1), and the fact that at the time we compute $c[i, j]$, the values of the subproblems $c[i - 1, j - 1]$, $c[i - 1, j]$ and $c[i, j - 1]$ have already been computed.
- As usual with the bottom-up approach, we take advantage of an ordering of the solution. (Here we use the lexicographic order.)
- Analysis: This algorithm runs in $\Theta(mn)$ time due to the doubly-nested loops.
- This procedure only computes the length of an LCS. How do we recover an optimal subsequence $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$?

Computing an LCS

		j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
		i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
i	x_i								
0									
1	A								
2	B								
3	C								
4	B								
5	D								
6	A								
7	B								

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- `LCSLENGTH` computes the whole table $c[1 \dots m, 1 \dots n]$ of the LCS lengths of (X_i, Y_j) for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Computing an LCS

		j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
		i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
i	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3	3	
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3	4	
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4	4	

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- `LCSLENGTH` computes the whole table $c[1 \dots m, 1 \dots n]$ of the `LCSLengths` of (X_i, Y_j) for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- We can use this information to construct an LCS *backward*.

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- $x_7 = B$ and $y_6 = A$, so $x_7 \neq y_6$.

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- $x_7 = B$ and $y_6 = A$, so $x_7 \neq y_6$.
- So an LCS of (X_7, Y_6) is an LCS of (X_6, Y_6) or (X_7, Y_5) by the Theorem on Slide 8.
- As $c[6, 6] = c[7, 5] = 4$, we can recurse on either side.

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_6, Y_6 .
- $x_6 = y_6 = A$.

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_6, Y_6 .
- $x_6 = y_6 = A$.
- So an LCS of (X_6, Y_6) is obtained by appending A to an LCS of (X_5, Y_5) by the Theorem on Slide 8.

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	4
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_5, Y_5 .
- $x_5 = B$ and $y_5 = A$, so $x_5 \neq y_5$.

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_5, Y_5 .
- $x_5 = D$ and $y_5 = B$, so $x_5 \neq y_5$.
- So an LCS of (X_5, Y_5) is an LCS of (X_4, Y_5) or (X_5, Y_4) .
- As $c[5, 4] = 2$ and $c[4, 5] = 3$, it is an LCS of (X_4, Y_5)

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_4, Y_5 .
- $x_4 = B$ and $y_5 = B$, so $x_4 = y_5$.

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_3, Y_4 .

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_3, Y_3 .

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_2, Y_2 .

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_2, Y_1 .

Computing an LCS

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i	y_j	B	D	C	A	B	A
0	x_i	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	0	0	1	1
2	B	0	1	1	1	1	2
3	C	0	1	1	2	2	2
4	B	0	1	1	2	2	3
5	D	0	1	2	2	2	3
6	A	0	1	2	2	3	3
7	B	0	1	2	2	3	4

Table: $c[1 \dots 7, 1 \dots 6]$

- We recurse on X_1, Y_0 .
- End of recursion.
- BCBA is an LCS.
- Remark: It is not the only LCS.
BDAB is another LCS.

Computing an LCS

- The procedure below prints an LCS in forward order, given the array $c[1 \dots m, 1 \dots n]$ from LCSLENGTH.

Pseudocode

```
1: procedure PRINTLCS( $c, X, Y, i, j$ )
2:   if  $i = 0$  or  $j = 0$  then
3:     return
4:   if  $X[i] = Y[j]$  then
5:     PRINTLCS( $c, X, Y, i - 1, j - 1$ )
6:     Print  $X[i]$ 
7:   else if  $c[i - 1, j] = c[i, j]$  then
8:     PRINTLCS( $c, X, Y, i - 1, j$ )
9:   else
10:    PRINTLCS( $c, X, Y, i, j - 1$ )
```

Computing an LCS

- What is the running time of PRINTLCS?
- It is $O(m + n)$, because at each recursive call, j or i is decremented, so there are at most $m + n$ recursive calls.
- PRINTLCS only prints one LCS. What would happen if we tried to print all the LCS?
- There are exponentially many LCS (see exercise set), so it would take exponential time in the worst case.

Concluding Remarks

- Once again dynamic programming help us bring down the running time from exponential to polynomial.
- We were able to easily reconstruct an optimal solution, given the optimal value (i.e. we could reconstruct an LCS after computing its length).
- In particular, computing the optimal length took quadratic time $\Theta(mn)$, but using the table computed by this procedure, we could print an LCS in linear time $O(m + n)$.
- We used the bottom-up method. It is also possible to use the top-down memoized approach, but the code is longer, and should be slower by a constant factor.